Encryption: A means to allow two panties to establish confidential communication oven an insecure channel that is subject to eaverdnopping.

$$C = E(M)$$
, $M = D(C)$
 $D \rightarrow Decnyption$

1 7 components of enyptosystem:

sot of 1) plaintexts 2) ciphentexts

- 3) eneryption keys
- 4) decryption

5) connespondence between onchyption and decryption

6) encryption also

7) decryption "

En Caesan aiphen: one of the cnyptosystem -> shifting letten

K) encryption key, {k = 3} AB -> EF -> forward shift (KKO) decryption key, {k=-3} EF -> AB -> bukwand

$$S(C,K) : Ex : S(A-3) = D$$

$$S(D,-3) = E$$
when key

图 Symmetric key distribution: cons: each pain needs a sepanate secret ket and and n panties need n(n-1)/2 keys Poblic key enyptognaphy: B has a keys: public PB private SB encrypted B, needs PB, [c = Ero(m). decnypt, needs SB [M = DsB (c)]
message n penson has 2n keys Pnos: pnovides strong security guarantees slower than symmetric unsuitable for interactive sessions. langen key length Combining symmetric and public key system: i) Efficient and fast 2) shaned secnet key -> ensures secured pros . duta transmission cons: complex, additional computational ovenhead

The pigital signature! It's needed To ensure that the text has come from senden DSB (EPB (M)) = M

« Eps (DSB (m)) = M

-> nevensal of encryption and decryption order

-> A signs the contract using secret key

-> B renifies the sign using A's public key

Pros :

- ensune messages ane authentic and unehanged duning thansmission

- neliable and secured
- easy validation using serden's public key

- complex key mgmt.
- signature size can be lange as message
 - Jow penformance
 - thansmission and stony cost is high.

The Corpto-system 2 attacks:

i) mITm (man in the middle): It occurs when an unauthonized 3rd panty intennuets communication between 2 panties.

I will be a second of the seco

309 Panty can - add, delete, modify, view traffic. - potentially compnomise confidentiality authenticity I keam aldegen lels integnity of Bob send (m, s): (c,s) message 3rd penson change (c's') sug bourdecusty * A thinks it's from B -> (c', s'): (m', s') and see the real message 2) Brute-fonce attack: Inying different probable keys oven a ciphentext to decrypt it into meaningful text. (thy every possible kers) If the neal message (m) is -> binany string, hand to find the valid message -> if natural language, find meaningful nesults aften a feu try. > the Unicity distance? It's the point at which the ciphentext becomes long enough fon an attacken to successfully decrypt it, necoven the oniginal message, even without knowing the key. $n = \frac{K}{1-\alpha}$ k - key length

There were purity on your property of

a - constant

** <u>Cons:</u> 1) ineffective for long, nandom, complex passwords
2) more time, resource, power needed

English text: 1 chanacten = 8 bits

Suppose, n=8+ t u = 8t u $t=\frac{n}{8}$: total possible annay = $2^{8}t$ = 2^{n}

1 chan \rightarrow 1.25 bits info : t " \rightarrow 1.25 bits no. of t-byte annay = 2.25t = $2^{1.25}$ th/8 = 2.0.16 n

valid text = 2 an (a-constant)

: probability of getting valid text $= \frac{2^{\alpha n}}{2^n} = 2^{(\alpha-1)n} = \frac{1}{2^{(1-\alpha)n}}$

Now, k -> length of decryption key

for a ciphentext Kakeys of plaintext

probabality of getting plain text

$$=\frac{2^{(1-\alpha)n}}{2^{(1-\alpha)n}}$$

Final

1) ASCII - 1.25, bits info - 32 bit sequence calculate the probability of getting meaningful text message

-> Probability =
$$\frac{1}{2^{(1-\alpha)^n}} = \frac{1}{2^{(1-1.25)32}} = 256$$
valid text

pnobability =
$$\frac{256}{2^{32}}$$
. (Ans)

(among all combo)

1.25 bits info pen chanacteri.

& bit ASCII

6.75 bits pen chanacten ane nedundant.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
-) & \text{pnobability} = \frac{\text{total valid ms9}}{\text{no. of total}} = \frac{2^{1.25 \text{ total ms9}}}{28 \text{ total}} \\
+ & \text{byte ms9}
\end{array}$$