

Lesson 12/10/2022

... typewriter sequence But we do have convergence in measure

Remark 1

$f_p \not\rightarrow 0$ a.e. on $[0, 1]$ But consider $\{f_{p(n,1)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. This is a subsequence and, by def

For this subsequence, we have $f_{p(n,1)}(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty \forall x \in (0, 1]$..

This is not random

Proposition 1.1

If $\mu(X) < \infty$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$ in measure, then \exists

Now we analyze ..

Theorem 1.1

$\{f_n \in L^1(X), f \in L^1(X)\}$ If $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(X)$ then $f_n \rightarrow f$ in measure on X

Proof. By contradiction. suppose that $f_n \not\rightarrow f$ in measure on X : $\exists \bar{\alpha} > 0$ s.t.

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{f_n - f \geq \bar{\alpha}\}) > 0$$

$\Rightarrow \exists \bar{\epsilon}$ and a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ s.t.

$$\mu(\{f_{n_k} - f \geq \bar{\alpha}\}) > \bar{\epsilon}$$

....

But, by assumption, $d_1(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0$

$$\Rightarrow d_1(f_{n_k}, f) \rightarrow 0$$

contradiction. ★

Remark 2

the convergence in measure doesn't imply the convergence in L^1

for example, consider on the other hand

convergence a.e. $\not\Rightarrow$ convergence in L^1 use the same example above, $f_n \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on $[0, 1] \not\Rightarrow f_n \rightarrow 0$ in L^1 Consider the typewriter sequence: But we don't have a.e. convergence However, recall the dominated convergence theorem: (DOM)

$$f_n \rightarrow f \text{ a.e.} + \exists \text{ of a dom function} \Rightarrow d(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0$$

It is also possible to show a reverse dom: s.t.

(1) $f_{n_k} \rightarrow f$ a.e. on X

(2) $\|f_{n_k}\| \leq w(x)$ for a.e. $x \in X$

Derivatives of measures

.....

necessary condition

$$\exists \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \Rightarrow \nu \ll \mu$$

Proof. $\nu(E) = \int_E () ..$

★

.....

Theorem 2.1

Radon Nykodim Theorem

Remark 3

if μ is not sigma finite the theorem may fail.

mi sto addormentando io ci sto provando anche altre cose su radon

Product Measure

$(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu), (Y, \mathcal{N}, \nu)$ measure spaces. the goal is to define a measure space on $X \times Y$

Definition 3.1

we call measurable rectangle in $X \times Y$ a set of type $A \times B$ where $A \in \mathcal{M}, B \in \mathcal{N}$

$$R = \{A \times B \subset X \times Y \dots\}$$

We define the product σ algebra ...

Definition 3.2

let $E \subset X \times Y$ For $\bar{x} \in X$ and $\bar{y} \in Y$ we define

$$(1) E_{\bar{x}} = \{y \in Y : (\bar{x}, y) \in E\} \subset Y$$

$$(2) E_{\bar{y}} = \{x \in X : (x, \bar{y}) \in Y\} \subset E$$

Proposition 3.1

$(X, \mathcal{M}), (Y, \mathcal{N})$ measurable spaces.

...

Theorem 3.1

If (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{N}, ν) are σ finite spaces, then:

(1) if ϕ is \mathcal{M} measurable and ψ is \mathcal{N} meas

(2) we have that

using

Theorem 3.2

iterated integrals for characteristic functions

$\mu \times \nu : \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(\mu \otimes \nu)(E) = \int_X \nu(E_x) d\mu = \int_Y \mu(E_y) d\nu$$

is a measure, the product measure

...

Theorem 3.3

Let λ_n be the lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^n . If $n = K + m$, then $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n), \lambda_n)$ is the completion of $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^m .., \lambda_k \otimes \lambda_m$