

# 1 Lesson 12/09/2022

## Element of set theory

Let  $X$  be a set. Then

$$\mathcal{P}(X) = \{Y \mid Y \subseteq X\} \quad (\text{Power Set})$$

Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a set of indexes. A family of sets induced by  $I$  is

$$\{E_i\}_{i \in I}, \quad E_i \subseteq X \quad (\text{Family/Collection})$$

If  $I = \mathbb{N}$  is called a

$$\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad (\text{Sequence})$$

### Definition 1.1

$\{E_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  is monotone increasing (resp. decreasing) if

$$E_n \subseteq E_{n+1} \forall n \quad (\text{resp. } E_n \supseteq E_{n+1} \forall n)$$

and is written as

$$\{E_n\} \nearrow \quad (\text{resp. } \{E_n\} \searrow)$$

Given a family of sets  $\{E_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ , will be often considered

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} E_i = \{x \in X : \exists i \in I \text{ s.t. } x \in E_i\}$$

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i = \{x \in X : x \in E_i, \forall i \in I\}$$

$\{E_i\}$  is said to be **disjoint** if  $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset \forall i \neq j$ .

Examples:

$$[a, b] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a - \frac{1}{n}, b + \frac{1}{n})$$

$$(a, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a + \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n}]$$

### Definition 1.2

$\{E_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ . We define

$$\limsup_n E_n := \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n \right)$$

$$\liminf_n E_n := \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n \right)$$

If these two sets are equal, then

$$\lim_n E_n = \limsup_n E_n = \liminf_n E_n$$

### Proposition 1.1

Some limits are:

- $\limsup_n E_n = \{x \in X : x \in E_n \text{ for } \infty - \text{many indexes } n\}$
- $\liminf_n E_n = \{x \in X : x \in E_n \text{ for all but finitely many indexes } n\}$

- $\liminf_n E_n \subseteq \limsup_n E_n$
- $(\liminf_n E_n)^C = \limsup_n E_n^C$

**Definition 1.3**

$$\begin{aligned}
x \in \limsup_n E_n &\iff x \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n \right) \\
&\iff \forall k \in \mathbb{N} : \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n \\
&\iff \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \exists n_k \geq k \text{ s.t. } x \in E_{n_k}
\end{aligned}$$

So  $x \in \limsup_n E_n \implies \begin{aligned} &\exists m_1 = n_1 \text{ s.t. } x \in E_{n_1} \\ &\exists m_2 := n_{m_1+1} \geq m_1 + 1 \text{ s.t. } x \in E_{n_2} \\ &\vdots \\ &\exists m_k := n_{m_{k-1}+1} \geq m_{k-1} + 1 \text{ s.t. } x \in E_{n_k} \\ &\vdots \\ &x \in E_{m_1}, \dots, E_{m_k}, \dots \end{aligned}$

On the other hand, assume that  $x \in E_n$  for  $\infty$ -many indexes. We claim that  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \exists n_k \geq k \text{ s.t. } x \in E_{n_k} \iff x \in \limsup_n E_n$ . If that claim is not true, then  $\exists \bar{k} \text{ s.t. } x \notin E_n \forall n > \bar{k} \implies x$  belongs at most to  $E_1, \dots, E_{\bar{k}}$ , a contradiction. ★

**Definition 1.4**

$\{E_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a **covering** of  $X$  if

$$X \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} E_i$$

A subfamily of  $E_i$  that is still a covering is called a **subcovering**

**Definition 1.5**

Let  $E \subseteq X$ . The function  $\chi_E : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\chi_E(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in E \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in X \setminus E \end{cases}$$

is called **characteristic function** of  $E$

Let  $E_1, E_2$  be sets:

$$\chi_{E_1 \cap E_2} = \chi_{E_1} \cdot \chi_{E_2}$$

$$\chi_{E_1 \cup E_2} = \chi_{E_1} + \chi_{E_2} - \chi_{E_1 \cap E_2}$$

$$\{E_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X), \text{ disjoint, } E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \implies \chi_E = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{E_n}$$

$$\{E_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}, P = \liminf_n E_n, Q = \limsup_n E_n \implies \chi_P = \liminf_n \chi_{E_n}, \chi_Q = \limsup_n \chi_{E_n}$$

Recall that  $\limsup_n a_n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \geq k} a_n$  and  $\liminf_n a_n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{n \geq k} a_n$

Let's also check that  $\chi_Q = \limsup_n \chi_{E_n}$

$$\begin{aligned}
x \in \limsup_n E_n &\iff \chi_Q(x) = 1 \\
&\iff \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \exists n_k \geq k \text{ s.t. } x \in E_{n_k}
\end{aligned}$$

If we fix  $k$  then

$$\sup_{n \geq k} \chi_{E_n}(x) = \chi_{E_{n_k}}(x) = 1$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \geq k} \chi_{E_n}(x) = \lim_n \sup \chi_{E_n}(x) = 1$$

Let now  $x \notin \limsup E_n \iff \chi_Q(x) = 0$ . Then  $x$  belongs at most to finitely many  $E_n \implies \exists \bar{k}$  s.t.  $x \notin E_n, \forall n \geq \bar{k}$

If  $k \geq \bar{k}$ , then  $\sup_{n \geq k} \chi_{E_n}(x) = 0 \implies \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \geq k} \chi_{E_n}(x) = \limsup_n \chi_{E_n}(x) = 0$

## Relations

Given  $X, Y$  sets, is called a **relation** of  $X$  and  $Y$  a subset of  $X \times Y$

$$R \subseteq X \times Y \quad R = \{(x, y) : x \in X, y \in Y\}$$

$$(x, y) \in R \iff xRy$$

$$X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \quad R = \{(0, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1)\} \text{ is a relation in } X$$

### Definition 1.6

A **function** from  $X$  to  $Y$  is a relation  $R$  s.t. for any element  $x$  of  $X$   $\exists!$  element  $y$  of  $Y$  s.t.  $xRy$

### Definition 1.7

$R$  on  $X$  is an **equivalence relation** if

- (1)  $xRx \forall x \in X$  ( $R$  is **reflexive**)
- (2)  $xRy \implies yRx$  ( $R$  is **symmetric**)
- (3)  $xRy, yRz \implies xRz$  ( $R$  is **transitive**)

If  $R$  is an equivalence relation, the set  $E_x := \{y \in X : yRx\}$ ,  $x \in X$  is called the **equivalence class** of  $X$

### Definition 1.8

$\frac{X}{R} := \{E_x : x \in X\}$  is the **quotient set**

Ex:  $X = \mathbb{Z}$ , let's say that  $nRm$  if  $n - m$  is even. This is an equivalence relation.

$$E_n = \{\dots, n-4, n-2, n, n+2, n+4, \dots\}$$

in this case if  $n$  is even,  $E_n = \{\text{even numbers}\}$  and if  $n$  is odd,  $E_n = \{\text{odd numbers}\}$

## Measure theory

### Definition 1.9

A family  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  is called a  **$\sigma$ -algebra** if

- (1)  $X \in \mathcal{M}$
- (2)  $E \in \mathcal{M} \implies E^C = X \setminus E \in \mathcal{M}$
- (3) If  $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$  and  $E_n \in \mathcal{M} \forall n$ , then  $E \in \mathcal{M}$

If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  is called **measurable space** and the sets in  $\mathcal{M}$  are called **measurable**. Ex:

- $(X, \mathcal{P}(X))$  is a measurable space

- Let  $X$  be a set, then  $\{\emptyset, X\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra

**Remark 2**

$\sigma$  is often used to denote the closure w.r.t. countably many operators. If we replace the countable unions with finite unions in the definition of  $\sigma$ -algebra, we obtain an **algebra**.

Some **basic properties** of a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ :

- (1)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{M}$ :  $\emptyset = X^C$  and  $X \in \mathcal{M}$
- (2)  $\mathcal{M}$  is an algebra, and  $E_1, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{M}$

$$E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_n = E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_n \cup \underbrace{\emptyset}_{\in \mathcal{M}} \cup \emptyset \dots \in \mathcal{M}$$

- (3)  $E_n \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n \in \mathcal{M}$

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n = \left( \underbrace{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n^C}_{\in \mathcal{M}} \right)^C \quad (\mathcal{M} \text{ is also closed under finite intersection})$$

- $E, F \in \mathcal{M} \implies E \setminus F \in \mathcal{M} = E \setminus F = E \cap F^C \in \mathcal{M}$
- If  $\Omega \subset X$ , then the **restriction** of  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $\Omega$ , written as

$$\mathcal{M}|_{\Omega} := \{F \subseteq \Omega : F = E \cap \Omega, \text{ with } E \in \mathcal{M}\}$$

is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$

**Theorem 2.1**

$\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ . Then it is well defined the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{S}$ , the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{S} := \sigma_0(\mathcal{S})$ :

- $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \sigma_0(\mathcal{S})$  and thus is a  $\sigma$ -algebra
- $\forall \sigma(\mathcal{M})$  s.t.  $\mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{S}$ , we have  $\mathcal{M} \supseteq \sigma_0(\mathcal{S})$

*Proof idea.*

$$\mathcal{V} = \{\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X) : \mathcal{M} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-algebra and } \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{M}\} \neq \emptyset \text{ since } \mathcal{P}(X) \in \mathcal{V}$$

We define  $\sigma_0(\mathcal{S}) = \bigcap \{\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{V}\}$ , so it can be proved that this is the desired  $\sigma$ -algebra ★

**Borel sets**

Given  $(X, d)$  metric space, the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the open sets is called **Borel**  $\sigma$ -algebra, written as  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ . The sets in  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  are called **Borel sets**. The following sets are Borel sets:

- open sets
- closed sets
- countable intersections of open sets:  $G_{\sigma}$  sets
- countable unions of closed sets:  $F_{\sigma}$  sets

**Remark 3**

$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  can be equivalently defined as the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by

$$\{(a, b) : a, b \in \mathcal{R}, a < b\}$$

$$\{(-\infty, b) : b \in \mathcal{R}\}$$

$$\{(a, +\infty) : a \in \mathcal{R}\}$$

$$\{[a, b) : a, b \in \mathcal{R}, a < b\}$$

$$\vdots$$