

Kalman Filter for SunSat

Why and How?

Rory Haggart

December 2020

1 Introduction

The SunSat avionics includes a dedicated subsystem for parachute deployment and attitude determination activities. Each of these two distinct tasks require some sort of state estimation based on the inputs from sensors during flight. This iteration of the Nova platform is using a passive parachute deployment system, but future iterations may use an active system. Active deployment should be triggered at the point of apogee in the flight to minimise loading and chances of damage, and for this to happen, sensor data needs to be processed appropriately such that the point of apogee can be detected to a sufficient degree of accuracy in real-time.

To estimate the states of a system, we would ideally use a huge suite of sensors to log every possible output over the period of interest. However, this is obviously a very complex, expensive, and sometimes impossible task. Instead, we want to be able to measure certain states of the vehicle by processing the data from existing, low-cost sensors. For example, we want to know the velocity of the satellite. We want to know velocity because we can then use the point of $u_z = 0$ to be the point of apogee (the vertical (z -axis) velocity is zero at the maximum height). However, we don't really have any practical means of directly measuring this.

- We can't use a rotary speed sensor - as used in cars - as we don't have any rotational motion that we can measure and translate into linear velocity
- We can't use a pitot tube - as used by aircraft - as we are enclosed in the rocket's payload section and so wind speed is not measurable.

We can, however, use an accelerometer. Acceleration is the first time derivative of velocity, and therefore, velocity is the integral of acceleration over the interval $[0, t]$.

$$a = \frac{du}{dt} \tag{1.1}$$

$$u = \int_0^t a dt \tag{1.2}$$

And so if we want to measure the velocity of our satellite, surely we can just integrate the output from our accelerometer in the z -direction? That would be great if we were living in an ideal world, but sadly our measurements are quite far from ideal... We're just taking snapshots of the measurement at discrete time intervals. This means that when we integrate, we're missing out on a bunch of readings. In these readings there will be actual acceleration variation as well as a bunch of noise - such is life. So long story short, it's not quite as simple as just integrating our acceleration.

Additionally, it would be nice to have access to 'smoothed' or 'accurate' acceleration data. We know that the vehicle acceleration isn't actually varying as the output from our sensor tells us it is - the noise is giving us a false impression of what the vehicle is actually doing.

A Kalman Filter will (hopefully) help us solve these problems! ... but what is a Kalman Filter? I'll try to explain this without getting too far into the nitty gritty of the control theory.

2 What is a Kalman Filter?

Lets say we had a **perfect** model of our system. We modelled every single particle of fuel in the rocket motor, accounted for the gravitational pull of Jupiter, and even managed to mathematically describe the current status of the entire atmosphere, allowing us to predict in which direction the wind would be blowing in a few months time. Using all of this information, we should be able to know the state (consisting of acceleration, velocity, position, etc.) of our vehicle at some arbitrary time without even having to use any sensors! But back in the real world, this is completely ridiculous, of course.

Instead, we typically model our systems in some simplified way. Maybe we just consider our vehicle as a point mass, or neglect the change in air density as altitude increases, for example. This way, we can sensibly approximate

our system, and we can use this simplified model to our advantage. By itself, this would allow us to at least make a guess about the acceleration of our vehicle at an arbitrary point in time. But remember, we have sensors to help us out! We can compare the acceleration as measured by the accelerometer to our guess based on the simplified model to refine our estimate and hopefully bring it as close to reality as possible. That's handy! It would also be helpful if we could account for the fact that we're not just going to be flying straight up - uncertainties in the environment (e.g. wind) are going to be pushing us around in some 'random' way that we aren't able to predict.

And **that** is what a Kalman Filter does for us! It is a way of using imperfect sensor data in a system full of uncertainties, along with a simplified model of the dynamics, in order to estimate the *actual* state of the system.

Details

Okay so that's the more fluffy description out of the way. This section will be a little more specific and try to define the structure in more strict terms. The first implementation will be outlined in Chapter 4. I want to quickly highlight that the rest of this section is heavily based on a very intuitive guide to the Kalman Filter which can be found [here](#). I have modified and simplified the description quite significantly but the flow is very much the same.

For the sake of illustration, let's limit our state variables to acceleration and velocity in the z -direction only. To more formally describe this, we'll define our state vector as:

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} a_z \\ u_z \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.1)$$

Now, we can use a simplified model of our system to get an initial 'guess' for the actual acceleration of the vehicle - we know that it isn't necessarily accurate due to uncertainties in the system. The Kalman Filter will interpret our estimate as a random variable with a Gaussian noise distribution, which introduces uncertainty. We can say that the 'most likely' value of the variable is at the centre of the Gaussian distribution (we'll call this point μ), and that the uncertainty surrounds this centre point (the variance of the measurement σ^2).

In this case, the acceleration and velocity in the z -direction are directly correlated (i.e. an increase in measured acceleration necessarily means velocity has increased too). The way that our state variables are correlated is described by a *covariance matrix* (usually denoted P , with elements Σ_{ij} denoting the covariance between variables i and j).

$$P_k = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{a_z a_z} & \Sigma_{a_z u_z} \\ \Sigma_{u_z a_z} & \Sigma_{u_z u_z} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.2)$$

Our current state estimate is at point $k - 1$ in time. Now with the above knowledge of the system, the Kalman Filter will try to determine a new probability distribution for the system state at time k . By applying some matrix, F_k , to the current state, it can transform the old distribution into a new distribution. If \hat{x}_{k-1} is our current state estimation, then we can describe our new estimation as:

$$\hat{x}_k = F_k \hat{x}_{k-1} \quad (2.3)$$

In some systems, the relationship between variables may change over time, but with velocity and acceleration being directly related, we can assume that P is time invariant:

$$P_k = P_{k-1} = P \quad (2.4)$$

We might also have some information about the inputs to the system (again, the specifics will be described in Chapter 4), and we can define these as the control matrix u_k (not to be confused with velocity, u !). This then allows us to account for new inputs to the system which might change its state.

But then what about the uncertainties that we described earlier? For example, the wind might impart some force on the vehicle that we should really account for! The wind would actually modify the distribution of our state estimation (yet we can still assume it to be Gaussian), and so we model this as our *process noise*.

Using all of this information, we can make a pretty decent guess about the new state of our system at time k . To really hone in our predictions, we can then use sensor measurements to improve our accuracy. But again, these are full of noise (and guess what? we're going to assume it's Gaussian!). This noise is then the *measurement noise*, and is associated with the measurements of the state, not the state itself.

The data sheet of a sensor will typically give us information about the measurement noise, and so this is relatively simple to put in to our model. Process noise is a bit more difficult, as it really depends on the system. Often it has to be a case of trial and error, but sometime you might be able to look at previous examples of similar systems.

With all of this taken into account, we essentially have two estimates for our state. One as predicted by our modelling of the system and it's evolution over time, and another as shown to us by our noisy sensors. Combining the two can really refine our estimate to something sensible and (hopefully) accurate.

And this is really all the information we need. As I keep saying, Chapter 4 will go into even further specifics as we start modelling the system and accounting for putting all of this together, but I hope this section served as a decent 'technical introduction'.

3 So why should we use a Kalman Filter?

Kalman Filters do a pretty great job of estimating the system states. They are able to account for dynamic changes in the system that might have completely slipped your mind during the planning phase. Obviously there are limitations, and for sure this iteration will not be perfect, but that's why we test and develop these things! Especially at this point in which the apogee detection and attitude determination subsystems are not mission-critical, it is the perfect time to test new things out.

The Kalman Filter will allow us to process the data from our sensors and turn it into something much more useful at a low cost. We won't need a fancy sensor suite, and hopefully it will tell us a lot about the overall performance

for analysis after the flight. It's also very computationally lightweight. It doesn't require much memory as you only need to keep hold of information about the current and previous states, and the calculations at each time step are not overly complex (though this depends on the model to an extent...).

In some applications, a Kalman Filter might be a bit overkill as we'd only have one noisy sensor and some measurement that we want out of it. In these scenarios, a more rudimentary filter might suffice (e.g. low-pass filter to smooth out higher frequency noise). Here, we have a pretty time-critical decision to make, and one preventative factor against us using a low-pass filter is the time delay that they introduce - we could miss the point of apogee by several seconds depending on our smoothing window!

With all of this in mind, the Kalman Filter is a perfect candidate for getting as much useful data as we can. It's a method that is well suited for high-speed embedded systems, and one that is used frequently in space systems (even in the Apollo guidance computers!).

4 Kalman Filter as a part of the parachute deployment activities

This section is going to try and get right into the details of the implementation of using a Kalman Filter to obtain a good estimate of velocity from the accelerometer during ascent. The basic premise is this:

- Derive a simplified mathematical model of the ascent phase of the rocket
- Collect information about the characteristics of the different sensors
- Unify all of this information into a Kalman Filter for the ascent phase

Looking back to Equation 2.3, we have already described our basic principle of estimating the next state based on our current state. And we can define our total state vector for the vehicle as

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} a_z \\ v_z \\ s_z \end{bmatrix} \tag{4.1}$$