

# Topic Introduction

Today we're diving into a family of classic coding interview questions that all rely on a powerful concept: **Dynamic Programming on Strings** (specifically, *edit operations*). If you've ever wondered about the minimum number of changes needed to transform one string into another, you've already met this theme!

## What is String Dynamic Programming (Edit Operations)?

In string DP, we often want to compare two strings and figure out how to "edit" one into the other using a set of allowed operations (insert, delete, replace, etc.). Each operation has a cost, and our goal is to reach the target string with the smallest total cost or fewest operations.

### How does it work?

- We break the problem into subproblems: What if I only cared about the first  $i$  characters of string A and first  $j$  of string B?
- We use a table (matrix) to store solutions for these subproblems so we never recompute them.
- We build up the answer, usually row by row or recursively with memoization.

### When and why is this useful?

- These problems are classic because they test your ability to model a problem, recognize overlapping subproblems, and optimize using DP.
- They appear in spell checkers, DNA sequence analysis, file comparison, and more.

### Quick Example (not one of today's main problems):

Suppose you want to find the length of the longest common subsequence (LCS) of "abcde" and "ace". You'd use a DP table to compare characters one by one, storing the best answer at each prefix.

Let's look at three famous problems that use these ideas, each with a unique twist:

- **Edit Distance** (Levenshtein Distance): Minimum edits (insert, delete, replace) to convert one string to another.
- **Delete Operation for Two Strings**: Minimum deletes (only delete allowed) to make two strings equal.
- **Minimum ASCII Delete Sum for Two Strings**: Like Delete Operation, but each character you delete has a cost equal to its ASCII value. Find the minimum total cost.

### Why are these grouped together?

All three are classic string DP problems using edit operations. The core logic is very similar: compare prefixes, decide on an operation, and record the minimum cost. The difference is which operations are allowed and how each operation is "scored."

## Problem 1: Edit Distance

[Leetcode 72: Edit Distance](#)

### Problem Statement (in my words):

Given two strings word1 and word2, find the minimum number of operations required to convert word1 into word2. You can insert a character, delete a character, or replace a character. Each operation costs 1.

### Example

```
Input: word1 = "horse", word2 = "ros"
```

```
Output: 3
```

```
Explanation:
```

```
- horse -> rorse (replace 'h' with 'r')  
- rorse -> rose (remove 'r')  
- rose -> ros (remove 'e')
```

Try manually: What if word1 = "intention", word2 = "execution"? (Answer: 5)

### Thought Process

This is a classic dynamic programming problem. The brute-force way is to try all possible ways to edit word1 into word2, which is exponential in time.

But, if we think recursively:

- If the last characters of the current prefixes are the same, we don't need to edit them. Move to the previous characters.
- If they're different, consider three options:
  - Insert a character (advance in word2)
  - Delete a character (advance in word1)
  - Replace a character (advance in both)

Our subproblem: what is the minimum edit distance for the first  $i$  characters of word1 and the first  $j$  of word2?

Let's formalize it:

- $dp[i][j]$  = minimum edits to convert word1[:i] to word2[:j]

Base cases:

- $dp[0][j] = j$  (need to insert all of word2[:j])
- $dp[i][0] = i$  (need to delete all of word1[:i])

### Brute-force approach

Try all combinations recursively. Time:  $O(3^{(m+n)})$  (very slow).

### Optimal DP approach

We fill a 2D table of size  $(m+1)$  by  $(n+1)$  ( $m, n$  are lengths of the strings), building it from the ground up.

### Clean Python Solution

```
def minDistance(word1, word2):
    m, n = len(word1), len(word2)
    # dp[i][j] = min edits to convert word1[:i] to word2[:j]
    dp = [[0] * (n + 1) for _ in range(m + 1)]

    # Fill base cases
    for i in range(m + 1):
        dp[i][0] = i # delete all characters
    for j in range(n + 1):
        dp[0][j] = j # insert all characters

    # Fill the table
    for i in range(1, m + 1):
        for j in range(1, n + 1):
            if word1[i - 1] == word2[j - 1]:
                dp[i][j] = dp[i - 1][j - 1]
            else:
                dp[i][j] = 1 + min(
                    dp[i - 1][j],      # delete
                    dp[i][j - 1],      # insert
                    dp[i - 1][j - 1]    # replace
                )
    return dp[m][n]
```

**Time Complexity:**  $O(mn)$

**Space Complexity:**  $O(mn)$  (can be reduced to  $O(\min(m, n))$  with some tweaks)

### Code Explanation

- `dp[i][j]` means: min edits to convert `word1[:i]` to `word2[:j]`
- We fill the base cases: if one string is empty, edits = length of the other string.
- For each character, if they match, move diagonally (no cost). If not, take min of insert, delete, or replace (each adding 1).

### Trace with "horse" and "ros"

- Start with `dp[0][0]` (empty to empty = 0 edits).
- Step through the table, comparing "h" vs "r", "o" vs "o", etc.
- Eventually, `dp[5][3] = 3` (as in the example above).

**Try this test case:**

`word1 = "kitten", word2 = "sitting"` (Expected: 3)

Take a moment to solve this on your own before jumping into the solution!

## Problem 2: Delete Operation for Two Strings

[Leetcode 583: Delete Operation for Two Strings](#)

### Problem Statement (in my words):

Given two strings, return the minimum number of delete operations needed to make both strings equal. Only deletions are allowed.

### Example

```
Input: word1 = "sea", word2 = "eat"
```

```
Output: 2
```

```
Explanation:
```

- Delete 's' from "sea" → "ea"
- Delete 't' from "eat" → "ea"

Try this: word1 = "leetcode", word2 = "etco" (Expected: 4)

### Similarities and Differences

This is similar to Edit Distance, but *only* deletes are allowed. No insert or replace.

### Brute-force

Try all deletion combinations. Exponential time.

### DP Approach

Let's observe:

- To make both strings equal with only deletes, we need to remove all characters that aren't shared.
- The best we can do is to keep their **Longest Common Subsequence** (LCS).
- So, answer =  $(\text{len}(\text{word1}) - \text{LCS}) + (\text{len}(\text{word2}) - \text{LCS})$

### Step-by-step logic

- Find the length of the LCS between the two strings.
- Number of deletes =  $(\text{len}(\text{word1}) - \text{LCS}) + (\text{len}(\text{word2}) - \text{LCS})$

### Pseudocode

```
function minDistance(word1, word2):  
    m = length of word1
```

```
n = length of word2
dp = 2D array (m+1) x (n+1), initialized to 0

for i from 1 to m:
    for j from 1 to n:
        if word1[i-1] == word2[j-1]:
            dp[i][j] = dp[i-1][j-1] + 1
        else:
            dp[i][j] = max(dp[i-1][j], dp[i][j-1])

lcs = dp[m][n]
return (m - lcs) + (n - lcs)
```

### Example Walkthrough

word1 = "sea", word2 = "eat"

- LCS is "ea" (length 2)
- Deletes:  $(3 - 2) + (3 - 2) = 2$

**Another test case:**

word1 = "delete", word2 = "leet" (Expected: 2)

**Time Complexity:**  $O(mn)$

**Space Complexity:**  $O(mn)$

Take a moment to try this approach on your own! Can you spot the useful pattern? (Hint: LCS!)

## Problem 3: Minimum ASCII Delete Sum for Two Strings

[Leetcode 712: Minimum ASCII Delete Sum for Two Strings](#)

**Problem Statement (in my words):**

Given two strings, delete characters from either string so that the two strings are equal. The "cost" of deleting a character is its ASCII value. Return the minimum total cost to make the strings equal.

### What's New or More Challenging?

This problem builds on Problem 2, but instead of counting deletions, each character has a "weight" (its ASCII value). We want to minimize the total ASCII sum of deleted characters.

### Brute-force

Try all delete combinations, keeping track of total ASCII sum. Not feasible for long strings.

### DP Approach

We adapt the LCS-style DP, but track the minimum sum of deleted ASCII values:

#### Step-by-step logic

Let  $dp[i][j]$  = minimum total ASCII sum of deleted characters to make  $word1[:i]$  and  $word2[:j]$  equal.

- If  $word1[i-1] == word2[j-1]$ : no deletion needed, move to  $dp[i-1][j-1]$
- Else: we have two choices:
  - Delete  $word1[i-1]$ :  $cost += ord(word1[i-1])$ , move to  $dp[i-1][j]$
  - Delete  $word2[j-1]$ :  $cost += ord(word2[j-1])$ , move to  $dp[i][j-1]$

Base cases:

- $dp[0][j]$ : sum of ASCII values of  $word2[:j]$  (delete all of  $word1$ )
- $dp[i][0]$ : sum of ASCII values of  $word1[:i]$  (delete all of  $word2$ )

#### Pseudocode

```
function minimumDeleteSum(s1, s2):
    m = length of s1
    n = length of s2
    dp = 2D array (m+1) x (n+1), initialized to 0

    for i from 1 to m:
        dp[i][0] = dp[i-1][0] + ASCII(s1[i-1])
    for j from 1 to n:
        dp[0][j] = dp[0][j-1] + ASCII(s2[j-1])

    for i from 1 to m:
        for j from 1 to n:
            if s1[i-1] == s2[j-1]:
                dp[i][j] = dp[i-1][j-1]
            else:
                dp[i][j] = min(
                    dp[i-1][j] + ASCII(s1[i-1]),
                    dp[i][j-1] + ASCII(s2[j-1])
                )
    return dp[m][n]
```

#### Example

Input: s1 = "sea", s2 = "eat"

- ASCII('s') = 115, 'e' = 101, 'a' = 97, 't' = 116
- Delete 's' (115), delete 't' (116): Total = 231

**Try this test case:**

s1 = "delete", s2 = "leet" (Expected: 403)

**Time Complexity:**  $O(mn)$

**Space Complexity:**  $O(mn)$

Take a moment to implement this in code and test it! Does the pattern look familiar? Can you think of ways to optimize the space?

## Summary and Next Steps

These three problems are grouped together because they all use dynamic programming to solve string transformation questions, focusing on *edit operations*. The core pattern is to model the problem using prefixes and recursively build a DP table. The main differences are which operations are allowed, and how each operation is scored (step cost or ASCII value).

**Key insights to remember:**

- Many string transformation problems boil down to comparing prefixes and making optimal choices at each step.
- Recognize when a problem is a variant of Edit Distance or LCS.
- Careful base case handling is crucial in DP problems.
- Don't forget to reconstruct the solution if asked (not just the cost).

**Common mistakes:**

- Off-by-one errors in indices.
- Not initializing base cases properly.
- Forgetting what each cell in your DP table represents.

**Action List:**

- Solve all three problems on your own, using both code and pen-and-paper.
- Try solving Problems 2 and 3 with a recursive + memoization approach.
- Explore space optimization for these DP tables (can you use just two rows?).
- Check out similar problems: "Longest Common Subsequence", "Shortest Common Supersequence", or "One Edit Distance".
- Compare your solutions with others for edge cases and code clarity.
- If you get stuck, take a break and revisit — understanding comes with practice!

Keep practicing and you'll soon find these “scary” string DP problems are just clever applications of a single, powerful pattern. Happy coding!