

## Topic Introduction

Today, we're diving into a classic but often underestimated topic in coding interviews: **Queue Variations**.

A **queue** is a linear data structure that follows the First-In-First-Out (FIFO) principle. In other words, the first element added is the first one to be removed. The basic operations are **enqueue** (add to back) and **dequeue** (remove from front). Queues are incredibly useful for scenarios like scheduling, order processing, and breadth-first search in graphs.

However, real-world problems often need more than just simple queues. Sometimes you need to access both ends (think of a line at a bank where people can join or leave from either end), or even the middle! This is where **queue variations** come in:

- **Circular Queue**: Uses a fixed-size array in a circular manner. When you reach the end, you wrap around to the beginning.
- **Circular Deque**: Like a double-ended queue, but with a circular, fixed-size buffer.
- **Front-Middle-Back Queue**: Supports adding and removing from the front, middle, or back.

Queues (and their cousins) are tested in interviews because they reveal your grasp of **pointer/index manipulation, efficiency, and edge-case handling**. They also help interviewers see if you can design data structures under constraints.

### Quick Example (not from our problems):

Suppose you have a simple queue supporting **enqueue** and **dequeue**. If you use a Python list and call **pop(0)** for dequeue, that operation takes  $O(n)$  time, not  $O(1)$ , because it has to shift all elements. Using **collections.deque** or managing indices in a circular buffer solves this efficiently.

Let's see how these ideas show up in three very real interview problems.

## Problem 1: Design Circular Queue

[LeetCode 622: Design Circular Queue](#)

### Problem Restated:

Design a fixed-size circular queue. Support these operations:

- **enqueue(value)**: Insert an element into the circular queue. Return **True** if successful, **False** if the queue is full.
- **dequeue()**: Delete an element from the circular queue. Return **True** if successful, **False** if the queue is empty.
- **Front()**: Get the front item. If the queue is empty, return **-1**.
- **Rear()**: Get the last item. If the queue is empty, return **-1**.
- **isEmpty()**: Check if the queue is empty.
- **isFull()**: Check if the queue is full.

### Example:

```
q = MyCircularQueue(3)  # Initialize buffer of size 3
q.enqueue(1)            # Returns True
q.enqueue(2)            # Returns True
q.enqueue(3)            # Returns True
q.enqueue(4)            # Returns False (queue is full)
q.Rear()                # Returns 3
```

```
q.isFull()          # Returns True
q.dequeue()         # Returns True
q.enqueue(4)        # Returns True
q.Rear()            # Returns 4
```

### Test yourself:

Suppose you have a queue of size 2. What happens if you call `enqueue(1)`, `enqueue(2)`, `isFull()`, `dequeue()`, `enqueue(3)`, `Rear()`?

### How to Think About It:

This is about **efficient array management**. If you just use a Python list and remove from the front, you get  $O(n)$  time. The trick is to use a fixed-size array and maintain two pointers: `front` and `rear`. When you reach the end of the array, you "wrap around" to the start—just like the seats in a round table.

### Brute-force approach:

Use a Python list, and on every dequeue, shift all elements left by one. This is  $O(n)$  per operation—not good for interviews.

### Optimal approach:

Use a fixed-size array (list), and two indices:

- `front`: points to the current front element
- `rear`: points to the next insertion index

Advance indices modulo the size  $((\text{index} + 1) \% \text{size})$  to wrap around.

### Python Implementation:

```
class MyCircularQueue:
    def __init__(self, k: int):
        self.size = k
        self.queue = [0] * k
        self.front = 0 # points to the front element
        self.count = 0 # number of elements
        self.rear = 0 # points to the next insertion index

    def enqueue(self, value: int) -> bool:
        if self.isFull():
            return False
        self.queue[self.rear] = value
        self.rear = (self.rear + 1) % self.size
        self.count += 1
        return True

    def dequeue(self) -> bool:
        if self.isEmpty():
            return False
        self.front = (self.front + 1) % self.size
        self.count -= 1
        return True
```

```
def Front(self) -> int:
    if self.isEmpty():
        return -1
    return self.queue[self.front]

def Rear(self) -> int:
    if self.isEmpty():
        return -1
    # rear points to the next insertion slot, so last element is at rear-1
    return self.queue[(self.rear - 1 + self.size) % self.size]

def isEmpty(self) -> bool:
    return self.count == 0

def isFull(self) -> bool:
    return self.count == self.size
```

### Time and Space Complexity:

- All operations:  $O(1)$  time (constant).
- Space:  $O(k)$ , for the buffer.

### What does each part do?

- The buffer is a fixed-size list.
- **front** and **rear** wrap around using modulo arithmetic.
- **count** tracks how many elements are in the queue.
- **enqueue** writes at **rear**, then advances.
- **dequeue** advances **front**.
- **Front** and **Rear** use the current indices to fetch values.

### Trace Example:

Suppose **k** = 3.

- **enqueue(1)**: queue = [1, \_, \_], front = 0, rear = 1, count = 1
- **enqueue(2)**: queue = [1, 2, \_], front = 0, rear = 2, count = 2
- **enqueue(3)**: queue = [1, 2, 3], front = 0, rear = 0, count = 3 (wraps)
- **dequeue()**: front = 1, rear = 0, count = 2
- **enqueue(4)**: queue = [4, 2, 3], front = 1, rear = 1, count = 3
- **Rear()**: returns queue[(1-1+3)%3] = queue[0] = 4

### Try this test case yourself:

What happens if you initialize with size 2, **enqueue(10)**, **enqueue(20)**, **isFull()**, **dequeue()**, **enqueue(30)**, **Rear()**?

**Take a moment to solve this on your own before jumping into the solution!**

## Problem 2: Design Circular Deque

### [LeetCode 641: Design Circular Deque](#)

#### What's Different?

Now you need to support insertions and deletions at **both the front and the rear**. It's a double-ended queue (deque), but with a fixed-size circular buffer.

#### Operations:

- `insertFront(value)`, `insertLast(value)`
- `deleteFront()`, `deleteLast()`
- `getFront()`, `getRear()`
- `isEmpty()`, `isFull()`

#### Example:

```
d = MyCircularDeque(3)
d.insertLast(1)      # True
d.insertLast(2)      # True
d.insertFront(3)     # True
d.insertFront(4)     # False, full
d.getRear()          # 2
d.isFull()           # True
d.deleteLast()       # True
d.insertFront(4)     # True
d.getFront()         # 4
```

#### Your turn:

Suppose you have a deque of size 2. Try: `insertFront(1)`, `insertLast(2)`, `isFull()`, `deleteFront()`, `insertLast(3)`, `getRear()`.

#### Brute-force Approach:

Use a Python list; insertions/deletions at the front require shifting, so  $O(n)$  per operation.

#### Optimal Approach:

Again, use a fixed-size array, but now allow both ends to advance or retreat.

- Maintain `front` and `rear` pointers.
- For `insertFront`, decrement `front` (with wraparound).
- For `insertLast`, increment `rear`.
- For deletions, adjust pointers accordingly.

#### Step-by-step:

- The buffer is size  $k$ .
- `front` points to the first element (for `getFront`).
- `rear` points to the next insertion slot at the rear (for `insertLast`).
- For `insertFront`, move front backward: `front = (front - 1 + k) % k`
- For `insertLast`, move rear forward: `rear = (rear + 1) % k`
- For deletions, move pointers in the opposite direction.

#### Pseudocode:

```
class MyCircularDeque:
```

```
init(size):
    self.size = size
    self.q = [0] * size
    self.front = 0
    self.rear = 0
    self.count = 0

insertFront(value):
    if isFull(): return False
    self.front = (self.front - 1 + size) % size
    self.q[self.front] = value
    self.count += 1
    return True

insertLast(value):
    if isFull(): return False
    self.q[self.rear] = value
    self.rear = (self.rear + 1) % size
    self.count += 1
    return True

deleteFront():
    if isEmpty(): return False
    self.front = (self.front + 1) % size
    self.count -= 1
    return True

deleteLast():
    if isEmpty(): return False
    self.rear = (self.rear - 1 + size) % size
    self.count -= 1
    return True

getFront():
    if isEmpty(): return -1
    return self.q[self.front]

getRear():
    if isEmpty(): return -1
    return self.q[(self.rear - 1 + size) % size]

isEmpty():
    return self.count == 0
```

```
isFull():  
    return self.count == self.size
```

### Trace Example:

With size 3:

- insertLast(1): front = 0, rear = 1, [1, \_, \_], count = 1
- insertLast(2): front = 0, rear = 2, [1, 2, \_], count = 2
- insertFront(3): front = 2, rear = 2, [1, 2, 3], count = 3
- deleteLast(): rear = 1, count = 2
- insertFront(4): front = 1, [1, 4, 3], count = 3

### Test yourself:

Try: insertFront(10), insertLast(20), insertFront(30), deleteLast(), insertLast(40), getFront(), getRear() with size 3.

### Time and Space Complexity:

All operations: O(1) time.

Space: O(k).

## Problem 3: Design Front Middle Back Queue

[LeetCode 1670: Design Front Middle Back Queue](#)

### What's New?

Now, you can insert and remove from the **front**, **middle**, or **back**. Think of a queue where someone can jump into the exact middle!

### Operations:

- `pushFront(val)`, `pushMiddle(val)`, `pushBack(val)`
- `popFront()`, `popMiddle()`, `popBack()`

### Example:

```
q = FrontMiddleBackQueue()  
q.pushFront(1)      # [1]  
q.pushBack(2)       # [1, 2]  
q.pushMiddle(3)     # [1, 3, 2]  
q.pushMiddle(4)     # [1, 4, 3, 2]  
q.popFront()        # returns 1, queue: [4, 3, 2]  
q.popMiddle()       # returns 3, queue: [4, 2]  
q.popMiddle()       # returns 4, queue: [2]  
q.popBack()         # returns 2, queue: []
```

### Try this:

Start with an empty queue and perform: pushBack(5), pushMiddle(10), pushFront(15), popMiddle(), popBack(), popFront().

### Brute-force Approach:

Use a list and insert/remove at the appropriate index. For the middle, insertion/removal is O(n).

### Optimal Approach:

To get  $O(1)$  or  $O(\log n)$  operations, use two deques to simulate the two halves of the queue:

- **left**: first half
- **right**: second half

Keep them balanced so that the size difference is at most 1. The "middle" is the end of **left** if size is odd, or the beginning of **right** if even.

### Pseudocode:

```
class FrontMiddleBackQueue:
    init():
        left = deque()
        right = deque()

    pushFront(val):
        left.appendleft(val)
        balance()

    pushBack(val):
        right.append(val)
        balance()

    pushMiddle(val):
        if len(left) > len(right):
            right.appendleft(left.pop())
        left.append(val)
        balance()

    popFront():
        if not left and not right: return -1
        if left: ret = left.popleft()
        else: ret = right.popleft()
        balance()
        return ret

    popBack():
        if not left and not right: return -1
        if right: ret = right.pop()
        else: ret = left.pop()
        balance()
        return ret

    popMiddle():
        if not left and not right: return -1
```

```
    if len(left) == len(right):
        ret = left.pop()
    else:
        ret = left.pop()
    balance()
    return ret

balance():
    # Keep len(left) == len(right) or len(left) == len(right) + 1
    while len(left) > len(right) + 1:
        right.appendleft(left.pop())
    while len(left) < len(right):
        left.append(right.popleft())
```

### Trace Example:

Suppose you perform pushFront(1), pushBack(2), pushMiddle(3):

- left: [1, 3], right: [2]

### Try this test case:

pushMiddle(7), pushBack(8), pushFront(9), popMiddle(), popFront(), popBack()

### Time and Space Complexity:

- Each operation:  $O(1)$  (since deque operations at both ends are  $O(1)$ ).
- In the worst case, balancing moves up to  $O(n)$  elements, but overall, amortized complexity per operation stays constant.

## Summary and Next Steps

These problems are all about **queue variations** and how to efficiently manage data with fixed-size or flexible constraints. Here's what you should remember:

- **Circular buffer:** Use modulo arithmetic to wrap around. Keep track of how many elements you have.
- **Deque:** Allow insertions and deletions at both ends with careful pointer/index management.
- **Front-Middle-Back Queue:** Split data into two parts and keep them balanced to support efficient middle operations.

### Common mistakes to watch for:

- Off-by-one errors in index arithmetic.
- Forgetting to wrap pointers using modulo.
- Not maintaining size invariants (like balancing the two halves in Problem 3).
- Over-complicating: Sometimes, two deques or a simple array with indices is enough!

## Action List

- Try implementing all three problems yourself—even the one with code provided.
- For Problem 2 and 3, experiment with different data structures (like linked lists or two deques).



- Explore LeetCode discussions or similar problems using circular buffers or multi-ended queues.
- Dry-run your code on paper for edge cases (empty/full, wraparound, etc.).
- If you get stuck, review the patterns above and try again—practice is the best way to master these!

Happy coding!