

## Topic Introduction

Welcome to today's PrepLetter! We're diving into a powerful set of interview problems that blend **mathematical computation with clever algorithmic patterns**. Our focus: using **binary search** and **bit manipulation** to achieve blazing-fast calculations, even when brute force would be far too slow.

### What are Binary Search and Bit Manipulation?

**Binary search** is a classic algorithm used to efficiently find a target value within a sorted sequence. Instead of checking each element one by one, it repeatedly divides the search space in half, zeroing in on the answer in logarithmic time. It's like guessing a number between 1 and 100, and each time someone tells you "higher" or "lower," you cut the range in half.

**Bit manipulation** refers to directly operating on the binary representations of numbers. This can unlock powerful tricks — like quickly multiplying or dividing by powers of two, or efficiently representing sets and flags.

#### Where are they useful?

- When calculations must be done without using built-in operators.
- When problems involve powers, roots, or division.
- Whenever brute-force is too slow for large numbers.

#### Simple Example (not one of our problems):

Suppose you want to find the smallest positive integer  $n$  such that  $n^2$  is greater than or equal to 40. Instead of checking 1, 2, 3..., you could use binary search on the range [1, 40], check the square at midpoints, and quickly zoom in on the answer.

Today's trio of problems — **Divide Two Integers**, **Pow(x, n)**, and **Sqrt(x)** — all require you to compute mathematical results efficiently using binary search or bit tricks.

Why these three together? Each asks you to perform what seems like a simple math calculation (division, exponentiation, square root), but **without using the direct operator**. The optimal solutions all use binary search or bit shifts to achieve logarithmic efficiency.

Let's explore these one by one, starting with the most conceptually challenging: **Divide Two Integers**.

### Problem 1: Divide Two Integers

#### Problem Statement (in plain English):

[Divide Two Integers - LeetCode](#)

Given two integers, **dividend** and **divisor**, compute the integer quotient after dividing **dividend** by **divisor** — **without using multiplication, division, or modulus operators**. The result should be truncated toward zero (like integer division in most languages), and you must handle overflow (if the result is outside the 32-bit signed integer range).

#### Example:

Input: **dividend = 10, divisor = 3**

Output: 3

(10 divided by 3 is 3.333..., so the integer part is 3.)

### Conceptual Walkthrough:

- At first glance, you might want to subtract the divisor from the dividend repeatedly until you can't anymore. But that would take O(N) time for large numbers!
- Instead, we can use **bit manipulation** to speed this up. Think of division like repeated subtraction, but instead of subtracting one divisor at a time, we subtract the largest possible multiple using bit shifts.

### Try This One:

Input: `dividend = 43, divisor = 8`

What should the output be?

### Brute-Force Approach:

- Repeatedly subtract `divisor` from `dividend` until what remains is less than `divisor`.
- This is O(N) where N is the absolute value of `dividend`.

### Optimal Approach (Bit Manipulation):

- Use bit shifts to subtract large multiples of `divisor` at once.
  - For example,  $8 \ll 1 = 16$ ,  $8 \ll 2 = 32$ , etc.
- At each step, subtract the highest shifted divisor that fits into the remaining dividend.
- Accumulate the multiples in the result.
- Handle negatives and overflow.

Let's see this in action!

```
def divide(dividend: int, divisor: int) -> int:  
    # Special case: overflow  
    INT_MAX = 2**31 - 1  
    INT_MIN = -2**31  
    if dividend == INT_MIN and divisor == -1:  
        return INT_MAX  
  
    # Work with negatives to avoid overflow  
    negatives = 2  
    if dividend > 0:  
        dividend = -dividend  
        negatives -= 1  
    if divisor > 0:  
        divisor = -divisor  
        negatives -= 1  
  
    quotient = 0  
    # Subtract divisor multiples from dividend  
    while dividend <= divisor:  
        temp_divisor = divisor
```

```
multiple = 1
# Double until too big
while dividend <= (temp_divisor << 1) and (temp_divisor << 1) < 0:
    temp_divisor <=> 1
    multiple <=> 1
    dividend -= temp_divisor
    quotient += multiple

if negatives == 1:
    return -quotient
else:
    return quotient
```

**Time Complexity:** O(log N), where N is the absolute value of the dividend

**Space Complexity:** O(1)

### Explanation:

- Convert both numbers to negatives (avoids overflow from -2<sup>31</sup>).
- For each step, find the largest shifted divisor that fits into the current dividend.
- Subtract that and record how many times it fit (by powers of 2).
- At the end, correct the sign.

### Trace Example (dividend = 43, divisor = 8):

- Both numbers negative: dividend = -43, divisor = -8
- Largest multiple: -8 << 2 = -32 (fits), so subtract -32, quotient += 4
- Remaining: -43 - (-32) = -11
- -8 << 0 = -8 (fits), subtract -8, quotient += 1
- Remaining: -11 - (-8) = -3 (less than divisor), done!
- Quotient = 5

### Try This One (for practice):

Input: `dividend = -27, divisor = 4`

What should the output be?

### Encouragement:

Take a moment to try implementing this or stepping through it with pen and paper before peeking at the code!

## Problem 2: Pow(x, n)

### Problem Statement (in plain English):

[Pow\(x, n\) - LeetCode](#)

Implement a function to compute `x` raised to the power `n` (i.e.,  $x^n$ ). You must do this efficiently (in logarithmic time) and handle negative exponents.

### Example:

## PrepLetter: Divide Two Integers and similar

Input: `x = 2.0, n = 10`

Output: `1024.0`

### Why is this similar to the previous problem?

- Both use logarithmic patterns: we double (or halve) our work at each step.
- Here, we use **fast exponentiation** (exponentiation by squaring), which is analogous to using bit shifts to quickly accumulate results.

### Brute-Force:

Multiply `x` by itself `n` times ( $O(n)$ ).

### Optimal Approach (Exponentiation by Squaring):

- If  $n == 0$ : return 1.
- If  $n < 0$ : invert `x`, use  $-n$ .
- If  $n$  is even:  $\text{pow}(x, n) = \text{pow}(x*x, n//2)$
- If  $n$  is odd:  $\text{pow}(x, n) = x * \text{pow}(xx, n//2)$

### Step-by-Step (pseudocode):

```
function myPow(x, n):  
    if n == 0: return 1  
    if n < 0:  
        x = 1 / x  
        n = -n  
    result = 1  
    while n > 0:  
        if n % 2 == 1:  
            result *= x  
        x *= x  
        n /= 2  
    return result
```

### Example Trace ( $x=2, n=10$ ):

- $n$  even:  $\text{result} *= x$ ? No,  $n=10$  is even.
- $x = 2*2 = 4, n = 5$
- $n$  odd:  $\text{result} = x \rightarrow \text{result} = 14 = 4$
- $x = 4*4 = 16, n = 2$
- $n$  even:  $x = 16*16=256, n=1$
- $n$  odd:  $\text{result} = 4*256=1024$
- $n=0$ , done!

### Dry-run Case:

Try `x = 3.0, n = 5` — what should be the result?

**Time Complexity:**  $O(\log n)$

**Space Complexity:**  $O(1)$  (if iterative),  $O(\log n)$  if recursive

### Encouragement:

Try writing the iterative or recursive version on your own!

## Problem 3: Sqrt(x)

### Problem Statement (in plain English):

[Sqrt\(x\) - LeetCode](#)

Given a non-negative integer  $x$ , compute and return the integer part of its square root (i.e., the largest integer  $k$  such that  $k*k \leq x$ ). Do not use built-in sqrt functions.

### What's tricky here?

- This is a classic use of **binary search** on the answer space.
- Need to avoid integer overflow when checking  $mid*mid$ .

### Brute-Force:

Try every integer from 1 up to  $x$ , check if  $i*i \leq x$ .

### Optimal Approach (Binary Search):

- Set  $left = 0, right = x$
- While  $left \leq right$ :
  - $mid = (left + right) // 2$
  - if  $mid*mid == x$ : return  $mid$
  - if  $mid*mid < x$ :  $left = mid+1$
  - else:  $right = mid-1$
- Return  $right$  (the integer part of  $\sqrt{x}$ )

### Pseudocode:

```
function mySqrt(x):  
    if x < 2: return x  
    left = 1, right = x // 2  
    while left <= right:  
        mid = (left + right) // 2  
        if mid*mid == x:  
            return mid  
        elif mid*mid < x:  
            left = mid + 1  
        else:  
            right = mid - 1  
    return right
```

### Example Trace ( $x = 17$ ):

- $left=1, right=8$
- $mid=4, 4*4=16 < 17, left=5$
- $mid=6, 6*6=36 > 17, right=5$
- $mid=5, 5*5=25 > 17, right=4$

- left=5, right=4 (stop), return 4

### Dry-run Case:

Try  $x = 26$ . What should be returned?

**Time Complexity:**  $O(\log x)$

**Space Complexity:**  $O(1)$

### Encouragement:

Write the function and test it with the above and a few more cases. Reflect on how binary search helps you avoid unnecessary work.

## Summary and Next Steps

Today you tackled three classic problems that all **replace brute force with logarithmic-speed algorithms** using binary search or bit manipulation:

- **Divide Two Integers:** Used bit shifts to subtract large multiples, mimicking division.
- **Pow(x, n):** Used exponentiation by squaring — doubling the work at each step for fast results.
- **Sqrt(x):** Used binary search on the answer to quickly find the integer square root.

### Key Patterns:

- Binary search is not just for searching arrays — it's for narrowing down any monotonic function.
- Bit manipulation lets you speed up repeated addition/subtraction.
- Exponentiation by squaring is a must-have trick for both integers and floats.

### Common Mistakes:

- Forgetting to handle negative numbers or overflow cases.
- Not considering integer overflow in  $\text{mid} * \text{mid}$ .
- Not truncating (e.g., for square root, division).

## Action List

- **Solve all three problems on your own** — even the one with code provided here.
- **Try implementing Problem 2 and 3 recursively** as well as iteratively.
- **Challenge yourself:** Can you solve Pow(x, n) using binary search on the answer space?
- **Explore more:** Find and practice other problems using these patterns, like searching in rotated arrays, finding the kth root, or bitwise manipulation tricks.
- **Compare your solutions:** Look at others' solutions for edge cases and style improvements.
- Most importantly, **don't get discouraged if you get stuck** — these patterns take practice, and every attempt builds your skill!

Happy coding — and may your algorithms always run fast!