Optimization

February 9, 2022

1 Sunay Bhat W2022 HW4 C247

2 ECE C147/247 HW4 Q1: Optimization for Fully Connected Networks

In this notebook, we will implement different optimization rules for gradient descent. We have provided starter code; however, you will need to copy and paste your code from your implementation of the modular fully connected nets in HW #3 to build upon this.

utils has built a solid API for building these modular frameworks and training them, and we will use their very well implemented framework as opposed to "reinventing the wheel." This includes using their Solver, various utility functions, and their layer structure. This also includes nndl.fc_net, nndl.layers, and nndl.layer_utils.

```
[2]: ## Import and setups
     import time
     import numpy as np
     import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
     from nndl.fc_net import *
     from utils.data_utils import get_CIFAR10_data
     from utils.gradient_check import eval_numerical_gradient,_
      →eval_numerical_gradient_array
     from utils.solver import Solver
     %matplotlib inline
     plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = (10.0, 8.0) # set default size of plots
     plt.rcParams['image.interpolation'] = 'nearest'
     plt.rcParams['image.cmap'] = 'gray'
     # for auto-reloading external modules
     # see http://stackoverflow.com/questions/1907993/
      \rightarrow autoreload-of-modules-in-ipython
     %load ext autoreload
     %autoreload 2
     def rel error(x, y):
       """ returns relative error """
```

```
return np.max(np.abs(x - y) / (np.maximum(1e-8, np.abs(x) + np.abs(y))))
```

```
[3]: # Load the (preprocessed) CIFAR10 data.

data = get_CIFAR10_data()
for k in data.keys():
    print('{}: {} '.format(k, data[k].shape))

X_train: (49000, 3, 32, 32)
```

```
X_train: (49000, 3, 32, 32)
y_train: (49000,)
X_val: (1000, 3, 32, 32)
y_val: (1000,)
X_test: (1000, 3, 32, 32)
y_test: (1000,)
```

2.1 Building upon your HW #3 implementation

Copy and paste the following functions from your HW #3 implementation of a modular FC net:

- affine_forward in nndl/layers.py
- affine_backward in nndl/layers.py
- relu_forward in nndl/layers.py
- relu_backward in nndl/layers.py
- affine_relu_forward in nndl/layer_utils.py
- affine_relu_backward in nndl/layer_utils.py
- The FullyConnectedNet class in nndl/fc_net.py

2.1.1 Test all functions you copy and pasted

```
[4]: from nndl.layer_tests import *

affine_forward_test(); print('\n')
affine_backward_test(); print('\n')
relu_forward_test(); print('\n')
relu_backward_test(); print('\n')
affine_relu_test(); print('\n')
fc_net_test()
```

If affine_forward function is working, difference should be less than 1e-9: difference: 9.769847728806635e-10

```
If affine_backward is working, error should be less than 1e-9::
dx error: 2.675168729800476e-10
dw error: 4.5751263918702534e-11
db error: 1.0489400292828403e-11
```

If relu_forward function is working, difference should be around 1e-8:

```
difference: 4.999999798022158e-08
```

```
If relu_forward function is working, error should be less than 1e-9:
dx error: 3.2756077382282424e-12
If affine_relu_forward and affine_relu_backward are working, error should be
less than 1e-9::
dx error: 2.1636207865197628e-10
dw error: 4.0634236850757724e-10
db error: 3.2756095922011834e-12
Running check with reg = 0
Initial loss: 2.316413617603716
W1 relative error: 2.2472746956718977e-06
W2 relative error: 1.81258129736145e-07
W3 relative error: 7.210768694035575e-08
b1 relative error: 1.1357459070280958e-08
b2 relative error: 1.361352057975109e-08
b3 relative error: 1.0847308582773077e-10
Running check with reg = 3.14
Initial loss: 6.902940007165628
W1 relative error: 1.0949097055726692e-08
W2 relative error: 1.970241029245354e-08
W3 relative error: 1.1413874871688892e-08
b1 relative error: 3.013348285170618e-08
b2 relative error: 3.4054435276750802e-09
b3 relative error: 2.4670371432898317e-10
```

3 Training a larger model

In general, proceeding with vanilla stochastic gradient descent to optimize models may be fraught with problems and limitations, as discussed in class. Thus, we implement optimizers that improve on SGD.

3.1 SGD + momentum

In the following section, implement SGD with momentum. Read the nndl/optim.py API, and be sure you understand it. After, implement sgd_momentum in nndl/optim.py. Test your implementation of sgd_momentum by running the cell below.

```
[7]: from nndl.optim import sgd_momentum

N, D = 4, 5
w = np.linspace(-0.4, 0.6, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
```

```
dw = np.linspace(-0.6, 0.4, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
v = np.linspace(0.6, 0.9, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
config = {'learning_rate': 1e-3, 'velocity': v}
next_w, _ = sgd_momentum(w, dw, config=config)
expected_next_w = np.asarray([
           0.20738947, 0.27417895, 0.34096842, 0.40775789],
 [ 0.1406,
  [ 0.47454737, 0.54133684, 0.60812632, 0.67491579, 0.74170526],
  [ 0.80849474, 0.87528421, 0.94207368, 1.00886316, 1.07565263],
  [ 1.14244211, 1.20923158, 1.27602105, 1.34281053, 1.4096
                                                               11)
expected_velocity = np.asarray([
  [0.5406, 0.55475789, 0.56891579, 0.58307368, 0.59723158],
  [ 0.61138947, 0.62554737, 0.63970526, 0.65386316, 0.66802105],
  [0.68217895, 0.69633684, 0.71049474, 0.72465263, 0.73881053],
  [ 0.75296842, 0.76712632, 0.78128421, 0.79544211, 0.8096
                                                                ]])
print('next w error: {}'.format(rel_error(next_w, expected_next_w)))
print('velocity error: {}'.format(rel_error(expected_velocity,__

¬config['velocity'])))
```

next_w error: 8.882347033505819e-09 velocity error: 4.269287743278663e-09

3.2 SGD + Nesterov momentum

Implement sgd_nesterov_momentum in ndl/optim.py.

```
[8]: from nndl.optim import sgd_nesterov_momentum
    N, D = 4, 5
    w = np.linspace(-0.4, 0.6, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
    dw = np.linspace(-0.6, 0.4, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
    v = np.linspace(0.6, 0.9, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
    config = {'learning_rate': 1e-3, 'velocity': v}
    next_w, _ = sgd_nesterov_momentum(w, dw, config=config)
    expected_next_w = np.asarray([
                0.15246105, 0.21778211, 0.28310316, 0.34842421],
      [0.08714,
      [0.41374526, 0.47906632, 0.54438737, 0.60970842, 0.67502947],
      [0.74035053, 0.80567158, 0.87099263, 0.93631368, 1.00163474],
      [1.06695579, 1.13227684, 1.19759789, 1.26291895, 1.32824
                                                                    ]])
    expected_velocity = np.asarray([
      [ 0.5406, 0.55475789, 0.56891579, 0.58307368, 0.59723158],
      [ 0.61138947, 0.62554737, 0.63970526, 0.65386316, 0.66802105],
      [ 0.68217895, 0.69633684, 0.71049474, 0.72465263, 0.73881053],
      [ 0.75296842, 0.76712632, 0.78128421, 0.79544211, 0.8096
                                                                    ]])
```

next_w error: 1.0875186845081027e-08 velocity error: 4.269287743278663e-09

3.3 Evaluating SGD, SGD+Momentum, and SGD+NesterovMomentum

Run the following cell to train a 6 layer FC net with SGD, SGD+momentum, and SGD+Nesterov momentum. You should see that SGD+momentum achieves a better loss than SGD, and that SGD+Nesterov momentum achieves a slightly better loss (and training accuracy) than SGD+momentum.

```
[10]: num_train = 4000
      small_data = {
        'X_train': data['X_train'][:num_train],
        'y_train': data['y_train'][:num_train],
        'X val': data['X val'],
        'y_val': data['y_val'],
      }
      solvers = {}
      for update rule in ['sgd', 'sgd momentum', 'sgd nesterov momentum']:
        print('Optimizing with {}'.format(update_rule))
        model = FullyConnectedNet([100, 100, 100, 100, 100], weight_scale=5e-2)
        solver = Solver(model, small_data,
                        num_epochs=5, batch_size=100,
                        update_rule=update_rule,
                        optim_config={
                           'learning_rate': 1e-2,
                        },
                        verbose=False)
        solvers[update rule] = solver
        solver.train()
        print
      plt.subplot(3, 1, 1)
      plt.title('Training loss')
      plt.xlabel('Iteration')
      plt.subplot(3, 1, 2)
      plt.title('Training accuracy')
      plt.xlabel('Epoch')
```

```
plt.subplot(3, 1, 3)
plt.title('Validation accuracy')
plt.xlabel('Epoch')


for update_rule, solver in solvers.items():
   plt.subplot(3, 1, 1)
   plt.plot(solver.loss_history, 'o', label=update_rule)

plt.subplot(3, 1, 2)
   plt.plot(solver.train_acc_history, '-o', label=update_rule)

plt.subplot(3, 1, 3)
   plt.plot(solver.val_acc_history, '-o', label=update_rule)

for i in [1, 2, 3]:
   plt.subplot(3, 1, i)
   plt.legend(loc='upper center', ncol=4)
plt.gcf().set_size_inches(15, 15)
plt.show()
```

Optimizing with sgd_momentum
Optimizing with sgd_nesterov_momentum



3.4 RMSProp

Now we go to techniques that adapt the gradient. Implement rmsprop in nndl/optim.py. Test your implementation by running the cell below.

```
[11]: from nndl.optim import rmsprop

N, D = 4, 5
w = np.linspace(-0.4, 0.6, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
dw = np.linspace(-0.6, 0.4, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
a = np.linspace(0.6, 0.9, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)

config = {'learning_rate': 1e-2, 'a': a}
```

next_w error: 9.524687511038133e-08 cache error: 2.6477955807156126e-09

3.5 Adaptive moments

Now, implement adam in nndl/optim.py. Test your implementation by running the cell below.

```
[16]: # Test Adam implementation; you should see errors around 1e-7 or less
     from nndl.optim import adam
     N, D = 4, 5
     w = np.linspace(-0.4, 0.6, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
     dw = np.linspace(-0.6, 0.4, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
     v = np.linspace(0.6, 0.9, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
     a = np.linspace(0.7, 0.5, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
     config = {'learning_rate': 1e-2, 'v': v, 'a': a, 't': 5}
     next_w, _ = adam(w, dw, config=config)
     expected_next_w = np.asarray([
       [-0.40094747, -0.34836187, -0.29577703, -0.24319299, -0.19060977],
       [-0.1380274, -0.08544591, -0.03286534, 0.01971428, 0.0722929],
       [0.1248705, 0.17744702, 0.23002243, 0.28259667, 0.33516969],
       [ 0.38774145, 0.44031188, 0.49288093, 0.54544852, 0.59801459]])
     expected_a = np.asarray([
       [0.69966, 0.68908382, 0.67851319, 0.66794809, 0.65738853,],
       [ 0.64683452, 0.63628604, 0.6257431, 0.61520571, 0.60467385,],
       [0.59414753, 0.58362676, 0.57311152, 0.56260183, 0.55209767,],
       [ 0.54159906, 0.53110598, 0.52061845, 0.51013645, 0.49966, ]])
     expected_v = np.asarray([
       [0.48, 0.49947368, 0.51894737, 0.53842105, 0.55789474],
       [ 0.57736842, 0.59684211, 0.61631579, 0.63578947, 0.65526316],
```

```
[ 0.67473684, 0.69421053, 0.71368421, 0.73315789, 0.75263158],
[ 0.77210526, 0.79157895, 0.81105263, 0.83052632, 0.85 ]])
print('next_w error: {}'.format(rel_error(expected_next_w, next_w)))
print('a error: {}'.format(rel_error(expected_a, config['a'])))
print('v error: {}'.format(rel_error(expected_v, config['v'])))
```

next_w error: 1.1395691798535431e-07
a error: 4.208314038113071e-09
v error: 4.214963193114416e-09

3.6 Comparing SGD, SGD+NesterovMomentum, RMSProp, and Adam

The following code will compare optimization with SGD, Momentum, Nesterov Momentum, RM-SProp and Adam. In our code, we find that RMSProp, Adam, and SGD + Nesterov Momentum achieve approximately the same training error after a few training epochs.

```
[17]: learning_rates = {'rmsprop': 2e-4, 'adam': 1e-3}
      for update rule in ['adam', 'rmsprop']:
        print('Optimizing with {}'.format(update_rule))
        model = FullyConnectedNet([100, 100, 100, 100, 100], weight scale=5e-2)
        solver = Solver(model, small data,
                        num_epochs=5, batch_size=100,
                        update_rule=update_rule,
                        optim_config={
                          'learning_rate': learning_rates[update_rule]
                        },
                        verbose=False)
        solvers[update_rule] = solver
        solver.train()
        print
      plt.subplot(3, 1, 1)
      plt.title('Training loss')
      plt.xlabel('Iteration')
      plt.subplot(3, 1, 2)
      plt.title('Training accuracy')
      plt.xlabel('Epoch')
      plt.subplot(3, 1, 3)
      plt.title('Validation accuracy')
      plt.xlabel('Epoch')
      for update_rule, solver in solvers.items():
        plt.subplot(3, 1, 1)
```


```
plt.plot(solver.loss_history, 'o', label=update_rule)

plt.subplot(3, 1, 2)
plt.plot(solver.train_acc_history, '-o', label=update_rule)

plt.subplot(3, 1, 3)
plt.plot(solver.val_acc_history, '-o', label=update_rule)

for i in [1, 2, 3]:
   plt.subplot(3, 1, i)
   plt.legend(loc='upper center', ncol=4)
plt.gcf().set_size_inches(15, 15)
plt.show()
```

Optimizing with adam Optimizing with rmsprop



3.7 Easier optimization

In the following cell, we'll train a 4 layer neural network having 500 units in each hidden layer with the different optimizers, and find that it is far easier to get up to 50+% performance on CIFAR-10. After we implement batchnorm and dropout, we'll ask you to get 55+% on CIFAR-10.

```
[18]: optimizer = 'adam'
      best_model = None
      layer_dims = [500, 500, 500]
      weight_scale = 0.01
      learning_rate = 1e-3
      lr_decay = 0.9
      model = FullyConnectedNet(layer_dims, weight_scale=weight_scale,
                                 use_batchnorm=True)
      solver = Solver(model, data,
                      num_epochs=10, batch_size=100,
                      update_rule=optimizer,
                      optim config={
                         'learning_rate': learning_rate,
                      },
                      lr_decay=lr_decay,
                      verbose=True, print_every=50)
      solver.train()
```

```
(Iteration 1 / 4900) loss: 2.328181
(Epoch 0 / 10) train acc: 0.111000; val acc: 0.133000
(Iteration 51 / 4900) loss: 1.797477
(Iteration 101 / 4900) loss: 1.675898
(Iteration 151 / 4900) loss: 1.727979
(Iteration 201 / 4900) loss: 1.663434
(Iteration 251 / 4900) loss: 1.600791
(Iteration 301 / 4900) loss: 1.877411
(Iteration 351 / 4900) loss: 1.550363
(Iteration 401 / 4900) loss: 1.666523
(Iteration 451 / 4900) loss: 1.634747
(Epoch 1 / 10) train acc: 0.432000; val_acc: 0.416000
(Iteration 501 / 4900) loss: 1.745033
(Iteration 551 / 4900) loss: 1.468143
(Iteration 601 / 4900) loss: 1.722282
(Iteration 651 / 4900) loss: 1.660672
(Iteration 701 / 4900) loss: 1.701815
(Iteration 751 / 4900) loss: 1.529541
```

```
(Iteration 801 / 4900) loss: 1.438237
(Iteration 851 / 4900) loss: 1.741340
(Iteration 901 / 4900) loss: 1.583205
(Iteration 951 / 4900) loss: 1.363590
(Epoch 2 / 10) train acc: 0.485000; val acc: 0.468000
(Iteration 1001 / 4900) loss: 1.431547
(Iteration 1051 / 4900) loss: 1.718218
(Iteration 1101 / 4900) loss: 1.316846
(Iteration 1151 / 4900) loss: 1.379579
(Iteration 1201 / 4900) loss: 1.423866
(Iteration 1251 / 4900) loss: 1.591148
(Iteration 1301 / 4900) loss: 1.342587
(Iteration 1351 / 4900) loss: 1.503359
(Iteration 1401 / 4900) loss: 1.142978
(Iteration 1451 / 4900) loss: 1.567900
(Epoch 3 / 10) train acc: 0.506000; val_acc: 0.517000
(Iteration 1501 / 4900) loss: 1.248408
(Iteration 1551 / 4900) loss: 1.344610
(Iteration 1601 / 4900) loss: 1.365671
(Iteration 1651 / 4900) loss: 1.105743
(Iteration 1701 / 4900) loss: 1.458173
(Iteration 1751 / 4900) loss: 1.290630
(Iteration 1801 / 4900) loss: 1.407816
(Iteration 1851 / 4900) loss: 1.232504
(Iteration 1901 / 4900) loss: 1.153526
(Iteration 1951 / 4900) loss: 1.234272
(Epoch 4 / 10) train acc: 0.542000; val_acc: 0.501000
(Iteration 2001 / 4900) loss: 1.300892
(Iteration 2051 / 4900) loss: 1.210190
(Iteration 2101 / 4900) loss: 1.410650
(Iteration 2151 / 4900) loss: 1.131898
(Iteration 2201 / 4900) loss: 1.463518
(Iteration 2251 / 4900) loss: 1.187098
(Iteration 2301 / 4900) loss: 0.996405
(Iteration 2351 / 4900) loss: 1.310067
(Iteration 2401 / 4900) loss: 1.176746
(Epoch 5 / 10) train acc: 0.568000; val acc: 0.510000
(Iteration 2451 / 4900) loss: 1.322918
(Iteration 2501 / 4900) loss: 1.195075
(Iteration 2551 / 4900) loss: 1.012166
(Iteration 2601 / 4900) loss: 1.093007
(Iteration 2651 / 4900) loss: 1.414172
(Iteration 2701 / 4900) loss: 1.218426
(Iteration 2751 / 4900) loss: 0.984611
(Iteration 2801 / 4900) loss: 1.178021
(Iteration 2851 / 4900) loss: 1.183064
(Iteration 2901 / 4900) loss: 1.375793
(Epoch 6 / 10) train acc: 0.590000; val_acc: 0.517000
```

```
(Iteration 2951 / 4900) loss: 1.241499
     (Iteration 3001 / 4900) loss: 1.120312
     (Iteration 3051 / 4900) loss: 1.253601
     (Iteration 3101 / 4900) loss: 1.033850
     (Iteration 3151 / 4900) loss: 1.190524
     (Iteration 3201 / 4900) loss: 1.256515
     (Iteration 3251 / 4900) loss: 1.346379
     (Iteration 3301 / 4900) loss: 1.221121
     (Iteration 3351 / 4900) loss: 0.930110
     (Iteration 3401 / 4900) loss: 0.902628
     (Epoch 7 / 10) train acc: 0.609000; val_acc: 0.512000
     (Iteration 3451 / 4900) loss: 1.125307
     (Iteration 3501 / 4900) loss: 0.982823
     (Iteration 3551 / 4900) loss: 1.093506
     (Iteration 3601 / 4900) loss: 0.961197
     (Iteration 3651 / 4900) loss: 1.136582
     (Iteration 3701 / 4900) loss: 0.921714
     (Iteration 3751 / 4900) loss: 1.174514
     (Iteration 3801 / 4900) loss: 0.932937
     (Iteration 3851 / 4900) loss: 1.150155
     (Iteration 3901 / 4900) loss: 0.833050
     (Epoch 8 / 10) train acc: 0.636000; val acc: 0.529000
     (Iteration 3951 / 4900) loss: 0.956006
     (Iteration 4001 / 4900) loss: 0.977857
     (Iteration 4051 / 4900) loss: 0.992840
     (Iteration 4101 / 4900) loss: 1.016208
     (Iteration 4151 / 4900) loss: 0.886169
     (Iteration 4201 / 4900) loss: 1.030166
     (Iteration 4251 / 4900) loss: 0.976255
     (Iteration 4301 / 4900) loss: 0.995391
     (Iteration 4351 / 4900) loss: 0.812811
     (Iteration 4401 / 4900) loss: 1.104995
     (Epoch 9 / 10) train acc: 0.685000; val_acc: 0.533000
     (Iteration 4451 / 4900) loss: 1.174991
     (Iteration 4501 / 4900) loss: 0.851488
     (Iteration 4551 / 4900) loss: 0.877790
     (Iteration 4601 / 4900) loss: 1.069405
     (Iteration 4651 / 4900) loss: 0.914333
     (Iteration 4701 / 4900) loss: 0.774547
     (Iteration 4751 / 4900) loss: 0.965120
     (Iteration 4801 / 4900) loss: 0.937213
     (Iteration 4851 / 4900) loss: 0.781859
     (Epoch 10 / 10) train acc: 0.709000; val_acc: 0.536000
[19]: y_test_pred = np.argmax(model.loss(data['X_test']), axis=1)
      y_val_pred = np.argmax(model.loss(data['X_val']), axis=1)
```

Batch-Normalization

February 9, 2022

1 ECE C147/247 HW4 Q2: Batch Normalization

In this notebook, you will implement the batch normalization layers of a neural network to increase its performance. Please review the details of batch normalization from the lecture notes.

utils has built a solid API for building these modular frameworks and training them, and we will use their very well implemented framework as opposed to "reinventing the wheel." This includes using their Solver, various utility functions, and their layer structure. This also includes nndl.fc_net, nndl.layers, and nndl.layer_utils.

```
[1]: ## Import and setups
     import time
     import numpy as np
     import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
     from nndl.fc_net import *
     from nndl.layers import *
     from utils.data_utils import get_CIFAR10_data
     from utils.gradient_check import eval_numerical_gradient,_
      ⇔eval_numerical_gradient_array
     from utils.solver import Solver
     %matplotlib inline
     plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = (10.0, 8.0) # set default size of plots
     plt.rcParams['image.interpolation'] = 'nearest'
     plt.rcParams['image.cmap'] = 'gray'
     # for auto-reloading external modules
     # see http://stackoverflow.com/questions/1907993/
      \Rightarrow autoreload-of-modules-in-ipython
     %load ext autoreload
     %autoreload 2
     def rel_error(x, y):
       """ returns relative error """
       return np.max(np.abs(x - y) / (np.maximum(1e-8, np.abs(x) + np.abs(y))))
```

```
[2]: # Load the (preprocessed) CIFAR10 data.

data = get_CIFAR10_data()
for k in data.keys():
    print('{}: {} '.format(k, data[k].shape))

X_train: (49000, 3, 32, 32)
y_train: (49000,)
X_val: (1000, 3, 32, 32)
y_val: (1000,)
X_test: (1000, 3, 32, 32)
y_test: (1000,)
```

1.1 Batchnorm forward pass

Implement the training time batchnorm forward pass, batchnorm_forward, in nndl/layers.py. After that, test your implementation by running the following cell.

```
[3]: # Check the training-time forward pass by checking means and variances
     # of features both before and after batch normalization
     # Simulate the forward pass for a two-layer network
     N, D1, D2, D3 = 200, 50, 60, 3
     X = np.random.randn(N, D1)
     W1 = np.random.randn(D1, D2)
     W2 = np.random.randn(D2, D3)
     a = np.maximum(0, X.dot(W1)).dot(W2)
     print('Before batch normalization:')
     print(' means: ', a.mean(axis=0))
     print(' stds: ', a.std(axis=0))
     # Means should be close to zero and stds close to one
     print('After batch normalization (gamma=1, beta=0)')
     a norm, = batchnorm forward(a, np.ones(D3), np.zeros(D3), {'mode': 'train'})
     print(' mean: ', a_norm.mean(axis=0))
     print(' std: ', a_norm.std(axis=0))
     # Now means should be close to beta and stds close to gamma
     gamma = np.asarray([1.0, 2.0, 3.0])
     beta = np.asarray([11.0, 12.0, 13.0])
     a_norm, _ = batchnorm_forward(a, gamma, beta, {'mode': 'train'})
     print('After batch normalization (nontrivial gamma, beta)')
     print(' means: ', a_norm.mean(axis=0))
     print(' stds: ', a_norm.std(axis=0))
```

Before batch normalization:

means: [18.08245532 14.30449559 33.41358387] stds: [29.32085642 38.28340501 30.98650269]

```
After batch normalization (gamma=1, beta=0)
mean: [ 1.30173650e-16 -1.88182803e-16 -4.57134330e-16]
std: [0.99999999 1. 0.99999999]
After batch normalization (nontrivial gamma, beta)
means: [11. 12. 13.]
stds: [0.999999999 1.999999999 2.999999998]
```

Implement the testing time batchnorm forward pass, batchnorm_forward, in nndl/layers.py. After that, test your implementation by running the following cell.

```
[4]: # Check the test-time forward pass by running the training-time
     # forward pass many times to warm up the running averages, and then
     # checking the means and variances of activations after a test-time
     # forward pass.
     N, D1, D2, D3 = 200, 50, 60, 3
     W1 = np.random.randn(D1, D2)
     W2 = np.random.randn(D2, D3)
     bn_param = {'mode': 'train'}
     gamma = np.ones(D3)
     beta = np.zeros(D3)
     for t in np.arange(50):
       X = np.random.randn(N, D1)
      a = np.maximum(0, X.dot(W1)).dot(W2)
      batchnorm_forward(a, gamma, beta, bn_param)
     bn param['mode'] = 'test'
     X = np.random.randn(N, D1)
     a = np.maximum(0, X.dot(W1)).dot(W2)
     a_norm, _ = batchnorm_forward(a, gamma, beta, bn_param)
     # Means should be close to zero and stds close to one, but will be
     # noisier than training-time forward passes.
     print('After batch normalization (test-time):')
     print(' means: ', a_norm.mean(axis=0))
     print(' stds: ', a_norm.std(axis=0))
```

After batch normalization (test-time): means: [0.03389563 0.04485845 0.10096212]

stds: [1.1150012 0.93355312 0.94601145]

1.2 Batchnorm backward pass

Implement the backward pass for the batchnorm layer, batchnorm_backward in nndl/layers.py. Check your implementation by running the following cell.

```
[5]: # Gradient check batchnorm backward pass

N, D = 4, 5
```

```
x = 5 * np.random.randn(N, D) + 12
gamma = np.random.randn(D)
beta = np.random.randn(D)
dout = np.random.randn(N, D)
bn_param = {'mode': 'train'}
fx = lambda x: batchnorm_forward(x, gamma, beta, bn_param)[0]
fg = lambda a: batchnorm_forward(x, gamma, beta, bn_param)[0]
fb = lambda b: batchnorm_forward(x, gamma, beta, bn_param)[0]
dx_num = eval_numerical_gradient_array(fx, x, dout)
da_num = eval_numerical_gradient_array(fg, gamma, dout)
db_num = eval_numerical_gradient_array(fb, beta, dout)
_, cache = batchnorm_forward(x, gamma, beta, bn_param)
dx, dgamma, dbeta = batchnorm_backward(dout, cache)
print('dx error: ', rel_error(dx_num, dx))
print('dgamma error: ', rel_error(da_num, dgamma))
print('dbeta error: ', rel_error(db_num, dbeta))
```

dx error: 4.102799594114112e-10 dgamma error: 4.8208809343424334e-11 dbeta error: 3.276959277354581e-12

1.3 Implement a fully connected neural network with batchnorm layers

Modify the FullyConnectedNet() class in nndl/fc_net.py to incorporate batchnorm layers. You will need to modify the class in the following areas:

- (1) The gammas and betas need to be initialized to 1's and 0's respectively in __init__.
- (2) The batchnorm_forward layer needs to be inserted between each affine and relu layer (except in the output layer) in a forward pass computation in loss. You may find it helpful to write an affine_batchnorm_relu() layer in nndl/layer_utils.py although this is not necessary.
- (3) The batchnorm_backward layer has to be appropriately inserted when calculating gradients.

After you have done the appropriate modifications, check your implementation by running the following cell.

Note, while the relative error for W3 should be small, as we backprop gradients more, you may find the relative error increases. Our relative error for W1 is on the order of 1e-4.

```
[11]: N, D, H1, H2, C = 2, 15, 20, 30, 10
X = np.random.randn(N, D)
y = np.random.randint(C, size=(N,))

for reg in [0, 3.14]:
   print('Running check with reg = ', reg)
   model = FullyConnectedNet([H1, H2], input_dim=D, num_classes=C,
```

```
reg=reg, weight_scale=5e-2, dtype=np.float64,
                             use_batchnorm=True)
  loss, grads = model.loss(X, y)
  print('Initial loss: ', loss)
  for name in sorted(grads):
    f = lambda _: model.loss(X, y)[0]
    grad_num = eval_numerical_gradient(f, model.params[name], verbose=False,_
  \rightarrowh=1e-5)
    print('{} relative error: {}'.format(name, rel_error(grad_num,_
  ⇒grads[name])))
  if reg == 0: print('\n')
Running check with reg = 0
Initial loss: 2.2244131561672003
W1 relative error: 1.910603882187157e-05
W2 relative error: 1.5530850127155482e-05
W3 relative error: 4.0381176170850605e-10
b1 relative error: 0.0022204460492503126
b2 relative error: 4.440892098500626e-07
b3 relative error: 1.2766396166406513e-10
beta1 relative error: 4.080835971806538e-09
beta2 relative error: 1.090036130965299e-08
gamma1 relative error: 9.107694431370659e-09
gamma2 relative error: 3.662332876020335e-08
Running check with reg = 3.14
Initial loss: 6.916972135377012
W1 relative error: 3.4878789210583476e-06
W2 relative error: 3.6523344204693075e-07
W3 relative error: 2.3927497037876514e-08
b1 relative error: 1.3183898417423734e-08
b2 relative error: 4.440892098500626e-08
b3 relative error: 1.9828315572957545e-10
beta1 relative error: 1.6796183376744786e-07
beta2 relative error: 4.351905510834597e-09
gamma1 relative error: 3.066521454196165e-07
gamma2 relative error: 4.6196135505950856e-09
```

1.4 Training a deep fully connected network with batch normalization.

To see if batchnorm helps, let's train a deep neural network with and without batch normalization.

```
[13]: # Try training a very deep net with batchnorm hidden_dims = [100, 100, 100, 100]
```

```
num_train = 1000
small data = {
  'X_train': data['X_train'][:num_train],
  'y_train': data['y_train'][:num_train],
  'X_val': data['X_val'],
  'y_val': data['y_val'],
}
weight scale = 2e-2
bn_model = FullyConnectedNet(hidden_dims, weight_scale=weight_scale,_

use batchnorm=True)

model = FullyConnectedNet(hidden_dims, weight_scale=weight_scale,_

use_batchnorm=False)


bn_solver = Solver(bn_model, small_data,
                num_epochs=10, batch_size=50,
                update_rule='adam',
                optim_config={
                  'learning_rate': 1e-3,
                },
                verbose=True, print_every=200)
bn_solver.train()
solver = Solver(model, small_data,
                num_epochs=10, batch_size=50,
                update_rule='adam',
                optim config={
                   'learning_rate': 1e-3,
                verbose=True, print_every=200)
solver.train()
(Iteration 1 / 200) loss: 2.336318
(Epoch 0 / 10) train acc: 0.113000; val_acc: 0.091000
(Epoch 1 / 10) train acc: 0.342000; val_acc: 0.276000
(Epoch 2 / 10) train acc: 0.427000; val_acc: 0.317000
(Epoch 3 / 10) train acc: 0.453000; val_acc: 0.321000
(Epoch 4 / 10) train acc: 0.540000; val_acc: 0.339000
(Epoch 5 / 10) train acc: 0.559000; val acc: 0.326000
(Epoch 6 / 10) train acc: 0.621000; val_acc: 0.351000
(Epoch 7 / 10) train acc: 0.667000; val_acc: 0.324000
(Epoch 8 / 10) train acc: 0.712000; val acc: 0.342000
(Epoch 9 / 10) train acc: 0.757000; val_acc: 0.328000
```

(Epoch 10 / 10) train acc: 0.814000; val acc: 0.343000

(Epoch 0 / 10) train acc: 0.143000; val_acc: 0.140000 (Epoch 1 / 10) train acc: 0.269000; val_acc: 0.239000

(Iteration 1 / 200) loss: 2.303048

```
(Epoch 2 / 10) train acc: 0.340000; val_acc: 0.254000
     (Epoch 3 / 10) train acc: 0.364000; val_acc: 0.283000
     (Epoch 4 / 10) train acc: 0.416000; val_acc: 0.302000
     (Epoch 5 / 10) train acc: 0.477000; val_acc: 0.328000
     (Epoch 6 / 10) train acc: 0.484000; val acc: 0.329000
     (Epoch 7 / 10) train acc: 0.563000; val_acc: 0.316000
     (Epoch 8 / 10) train acc: 0.597000; val acc: 0.326000
     (Epoch 9 / 10) train acc: 0.619000; val_acc: 0.293000
     (Epoch 10 / 10) train acc: 0.667000; val acc: 0.324000
[14]: plt.subplot(3, 1, 1)
     plt.title('Training loss')
      plt.xlabel('Iteration')
      plt.subplot(3, 1, 2)
      plt.title('Training accuracy')
      plt.xlabel('Epoch')
      plt.subplot(3, 1, 3)
      plt.title('Validation accuracy')
      plt.xlabel('Epoch')
      plt.subplot(3, 1, 1)
      plt.plot(solver.loss_history, 'o', label='baseline')
      plt.plot(bn_solver.loss_history, 'o', label='batchnorm')
      plt.subplot(3, 1, 2)
      plt.plot(solver.train_acc_history, '-o', label='baseline')
      plt.plot(bn_solver.train_acc_history, '-o', label='batchnorm')
      plt.subplot(3, 1, 3)
      plt.plot(solver.val_acc_history, '-o', label='baseline')
      plt.plot(bn_solver.val_acc_history, '-o', label='batchnorm')
      for i in [1, 2, 3]:
       plt.subplot(3, 1, i)
       plt.legend(loc='upper center', ncol=4)
      plt.gcf().set_size_inches(15, 15)
      plt.show()
```



1.5 Batchnorm and initialization

The following cells run an experiment where for a deep network, the initialization is varied. We do training for when batchnorm layers are and are not included.

```
[15]: # Try training a very deep net with batchnorm
hidden_dims = [50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50]

num_train = 1000
small_data = {
    'X_train': data['X_train'][:num_train],
    'y_train': data['y_train'][:num_train],
    'X_val': data['X_val'],
```


```
'y_val': data['y_val'],
bn_solvers = {}
solvers = {}
weight_scales = np.logspace(-4, 0, num=20)
for i, weight_scale in enumerate(weight_scales):
  print('Running weight scale {} / {}'.format(i + 1, len(weight_scales)))
  bn_model = FullyConnectedNet(hidden_dims, weight_scale=weight_scale,_

use_batchnorm=True)

  model = FullyConnectedNet(hidden_dims, weight_scale=weight_scale,_
  ⇔use_batchnorm=False)
  bn_solver = Solver(bn_model, small_data,
                  num_epochs=10, batch_size=50,
                   update_rule='adam',
                   optim_config={
                     'learning_rate': 1e-3,
                   },
                   verbose=False, print_every=200)
  bn solver.train()
  bn_solvers[weight_scale] = bn_solver
  solver = Solver(model, small_data,
                   num_epochs=10, batch_size=50,
                   update_rule='adam',
                   optim config={
                     'learning_rate': 1e-3,
                   },
                   verbose=False, print_every=200)
  solver.train()
  solvers[weight_scale] = solver
Running weight scale 1 / 20
```

```
Running weight scale 2 / 20
Running weight scale 2 / 20
Running weight scale 3 / 20
Running weight scale 4 / 20
Running weight scale 5 / 20
Running weight scale 6 / 20
Running weight scale 6 / 20
Running weight scale 7 / 20
Running weight scale 8 / 20
Running weight scale 9 / 20
Running weight scale 10 / 20
Running weight scale 11 / 20
Running weight scale 12 / 20
Running weight scale 13 / 20
Running weight scale 13 / 20
Running weight scale 14 / 20
```

```
Running weight scale 15 / 20
     Running weight scale 16 / 20
     Running weight scale 17 / 20
     Running weight scale 18 / 20
     Running weight scale 19 / 20
     Running weight scale 20 / 20
[16]: # Plot results of weight scale experiment
      best_train_accs, bn_best_train_accs = [], []
      best_val_accs, bn_best_val_accs = [], []
      final train loss, bn final train loss = [], []
      for ws in weight scales:
        best_train_accs.append(max(solvers[ws].train_acc_history))
        bn_best_train_accs.append(max(bn_solvers[ws].train_acc_history))
        best_val_accs.append(max(solvers[ws].val_acc_history))
        bn_best_val_accs.append(max(bn_solvers[ws].val_acc_history))
        final_train_loss.append(np.mean(solvers[ws].loss_history[-100:]))
        bn final_train_loss.append(np.mean(bn_solvers[ws].loss history[-100:]))
      plt.subplot(3, 1, 1)
      plt.title('Best val accuracy vs weight initialization scale')
      plt.xlabel('Weight initialization scale')
      plt.ylabel('Best val accuracy')
      plt.semilogx(weight scales, best val accs, '-o', label='baseline')
      plt.semilogx(weight_scales, bn_best_val_accs, '-o', label='batchnorm')
      plt.legend(ncol=2, loc='lower right')
      plt.subplot(3, 1, 2)
      plt.title('Best train accuracy vs weight initialization scale')
      plt.xlabel('Weight initialization scale')
      plt.ylabel('Best training accuracy')
      plt.semilogx(weight_scales, best_train_accs, '-o', label='baseline')
      plt.semilogx(weight_scales, bn_best_train_accs, '-o', label='batchnorm')
      plt.legend()
      plt.subplot(3, 1, 3)
      plt.title('Final training loss vs weight initialization scale')
      plt.xlabel('Weight initialization scale')
      plt.ylabel('Final training loss')
      plt.semilogx(weight_scales, final_train_loss, '-o', label='baseline')
      plt.semilogx(weight_scales, bn_final_train_loss, '-o', label='batchnorm')
      plt.legend()
      plt.gcf().set_size_inches(10, 15)
```



1.6 Question:

In the cell below, summarize the findings of this experiment, and WHY these results make sense.

1.7 Answer:

Across weight initializations, batchnorm generally performs better and is more stable to changes in the initialization.

When our weight initalizations were low ($< 10^{-1}$), the baseline network likely has a **vanishing** gradient problem with weights going to zero. When the weights are intialized larger, we still get decent training accuracy, but poorer generalization to val accuracy probably due to exploding gradients/weights. Thus, the baseline is only comparable - and slightly better - than batchnorm in a narrow window of weight initilizations around 10^{-1} . Overall batchnorm is a far more robust technique.

[]:

```
This file implements various first-order update rules that are commonly used for
training neural networks. Each update rule accepts current weights and the
gradient of the loss with respect to those weights and produces the next set of
weights. Each update rule has the same interface:
def update(w, dw, config=None):
Inputs:

    w: A numpy array giving the current weights.

 - dw: A numpy array of the same shape as w giving the gradient of the
    loss with respect to w.

    config: A dictionary containing hyperparameter values such as learning rate,

   momentum, etc. If the update rule requires caching values over many
   iterations, then config will also hold these cached values.
Returns:
 - next_w: The next point after the update.

    config: The config dictionary to be passed to the next iteration of the

   update rule.
NOTE: For most update rules, the default learning rate will probably not perform
well; however the default values of the other hyperparameters should work well
for a variety of different problems.
For efficiency, update rules may perform in-place updates, mutating w and
setting next_w equal to w.
def sgd(w, dw, config=None):
 Performs vanilla stochastic gradient descent.
 config format:
 - learning_rate: Scalar learning rate.
 if config is None: config = {}
 config.setdefault('learning_rate', 1e-2)
 w -= config['learning_rate'] * dw
 return w, config
def sgd_momentum(w, dw, config=None):
 Performs stochastic gradient descent with momentum.
 config format:
 - learning_rate: Scalar learning rate.

    momentum: Scalar between 0 and 1 giving the momentum value.

   Setting momentum = 0 reduces to sgd.

    velocity: A numpy array of the same shape as w and dw used to store a moving

   average of the gradients.
 if config is None: config = {}
 config.setdefault('learning_rate', 1e-2)
  config.setdefault('momentum', 0.9) # set momentum to 0.9 if it wasn't there
 v = config.get('velocity', np.zeros_like(w)) # gets velocity, else sets it to zero.
  # YOUR CODE HERE:
     Implement the momentum update formula. Return the updated weights
     as next_w, and the updated velocity as v.
 v = config['momentum'] * v - config['learning_rate'] * dw
 next_w = w + v
  # END YOUR CODE HERE
   config['velocity'] = v
 return next_w, config
def sgd_nesterov_momentum(w, dw, config=None):
 Performs stochastic gradient descent with Nesterov momentum.
 config format:
 - learning_rate: Scalar learning rate.
 - momentum: Scalar between 0 and 1 giving the momentum value.
   Setting momentum = 0 reduces to sgd.

    velocity: A numpy array of the same shape as w and dw used to store a moving

   average of the gradients.
 if config is None: config = {}
  config.setdefault('learning_rate', 1e-2)
  config.setdefault('momentum', 0.9) # set momentum to 0.9 if it wasn't there
  v = config.get('velocity', np.zeros_like(w)) # gets velocity, else sets it to zero.
  # YOUR CODE HERE:
     Implement the momentum update formula. Return the updated weights
     as next w, and the updated velocity as v.
   # Use change of vars implementation
 v \text{ old} = v
 v = config['momentum'] * v - config['learning_rate'] * dw
 next_w = w + v + config['momentum'] * (v - v_old)
 # END YOUR CODE HERE
 config['velocity'] = v
 return next_w, config
def rmsprop(w, dw, config=None):
 Uses the RMSProp update rule, which uses a moving average of squared gradient
 values to set adaptive per-parameter learning rates.
 config format:
 - learning_rate: Scalar learning rate.
 - decay_rate: Scalar between 0 and 1 giving the decay rate for the squared
   gradient cache.

    epsilon: Small scalar used for smoothing to avoid dividing by zero.

    beta: Moving average of second moments of gradients.

 if config is None: config = {}
  config.setdefault('learning_rate', 1e-2)
  config.setdefault('decay_rate', 0.99)
  config.setdefault('epsilon', 1e-8)
  config.setdefault('a', np.zeros_like(w))
 next_w = None
 # YOUR CODE HERE:
     Implement RMSProp. Store the next value of w as next_w. You need
     to also store in config['a'] the moving average of the second
     moment gradients, so they can be used for future gradients. Concretely,
     config['a'] corresponds to "a" in the lecture notes.
 config['a'] = config['a'] * config['decay_rate'] + (1-config['decay_rate']) * dw**2
 next_w = w - config['learning_rate']/(np_sqrt(config['a']) + config['epsilon']) * dw
 # END YOUR CODE HERE
 return next_w, config
def adam(w, dw, config=None):
 Uses the Adam update rule, which incorporates moving averages of both the
 gradient and its square and a bias correction term.
 config format:
 - learning_rate: Scalar learning rate.

    beta1: Decay rate for moving average of first moment of gradient.

    beta2: Decay rate for moving average of second moment of gradient.

    epsilon: Small scalar used for smoothing to avoid dividing by zero.

 - m: Moving average of gradient.
 v: Moving average of squared gradient.

    t: Iteration number.

 if config is None: config = {}
  config.setdefault('learning_rate', 1e-3)
  config.setdefault('beta1', 0.9)
  config.setdefault('beta2', 0.999)
  config.setdefault('epsilon', 1e-8)
  config.setdefault('v', np.zeros_like(w))
  config.setdefault('a', np.zeros_like(w))
 config.setdefault('t', 0)
 next_w = None
   YOUR CODE HERE:
     Implement Adam. Store the next value of w as next_w. You need
     to also store in config['a'] the moving average of the second
     moment gradients, and in config['v'] the moving average of the
     first moments. Finally, store in config['t'] the increasing time.
  config['t'] += 1
 # Moment Updates
 config['v'] = config['beta1'] * config['v'] + (1-config['beta1']) * dw
 config['a'] = config['beta2'] * config['a'] + (1-config['beta2']) * dw**2
 # Bias Corection
 v_corr = config['v'] / (1-config['beta1']**config['t'])
 a_corr = config['a'] / (1-config['beta2']**config['t'])
 # Param Update
 next_w = w - config['learning_rate']/(np.sqrt(a_corr) + config['epsilon']) * v_corr
  # END YOUR CODE HERE
```

return next_w, config

import numpy as np

```
import numpy as np
def affine_forward(x, w, b):
 Computes the forward pass for an affine (fully-connected) layer.
 The input x has shape (N, d_1, ..., d_k) and contains a minibatch of N
  examples, where each example x[i] has shape (d_1, ..., d_k). We will
  reshape each input into a vector of dimension D = d_1 * ... * d_k, and
  then transform it to an output vector of dimension M.
 Inputs:
 - x: A numpy array containing input data, of shape (N, d_1, ..., d_k)
 - w: A numpy array of weights, of shape (D, M)
 b: A numpy array of biases, of shape (M,)
 Returns a tuple of:
 - out: output, of shape (N, M)
 - cache: (x, w, b)
   YOUR CODE HERE:
     Calculate the output of the forward pass. Notice the dimensions
     of w are D x M, which is the transpose of what we did in earlier
     assignments.
 out = x.reshape(x.shape[0], np.prod(x.shape[1:])) @ w + b
  # END YOUR CODE HERE
  cache = (x, w, b)
  return out, cache
def affine_backward(dout, cache):
 Computes the backward pass for an affine layer.
 Inputs:
 dout: Upstream derivative, of shape (N, M)
 - cache: Tuple of:
    - x: A numpy array containing input data, of shape (N, d_1, ..., d_k)
   - w: A numpy array of weights, of shape (D, M)
   b: A numpy array of biases, of shape (M,)
 Returns a tuple of:
 - dx: Gradient with respect to x, of shape (N, d1, ..., d_k)
 - dw: Gradient with respect to w, of shape (D, M)
 db: Gradient with respect to b, of shape (M,)
 x, w, b = cache
 dx, dw, db = None, None, None
   YOUR CODE HERE:
     Calculate the gradients for the backward pass.
  # Notice:
     dout is N x M
     dx should be N x d1 x \dots x dk; it relates to dout through multiplication with w, which is D x M
     dw should be D \times M; it relates to dout through multiplication with \times, which is N \times D after reshaping
     db should be M; it is just the sum over dout examples
  dx = (dout @ w.T).reshape(x.shape)
 dw = x.reshape(x.shape[0], np.prod(x.shape[1:])).T @ dout
 db = np.sum(dout, axis=0)
  # END YOUR CODE HERE
 return dx, dw, db
def relu_forward(x):
 Computes the forward pass for a layer of rectified linear units (ReLUs).
  Input:
 - x: Inputs, of any shape
 Returns a tuple of:
 - out: Output, of the same shape as x
  - cache: x
  # YOUR CODE HERE:
     Implement the ReLU forward pass.
 out = np.maximum(0,x)
  # END YOUR CODE HERE
  cache = x
 return out, cache
def relu_backward(dout, cache):
 Computes the backward pass for a layer of rectified linear units (ReLUs).
 Input:

    dout: Upstream derivatives, of any shape

    cache: Input x, of same shape as dout

 Returns:
 - dx: Gradient with respect to x
  x = cache
  # YOUR CODE HERE:
     Implement the ReLU backward pass
  dx = (x > 0) * dout
  # END YOUR CODE HERE
  return dx
def batchnorm_forward(x, gamma, beta, bn_param):
 Forward pass for batch normalization.
 During training the sample mean and (uncorrected) sample variance are
  computed from minibatch statistics and used to normalize the incoming data.
 During training we also keep an exponentially decaying running mean of the mean
 and variance of each feature, and these averages are used to normalize data
  at test-time.
 At each timestep we update the running averages for mean and variance using
  an exponential decay based on the momentum parameter:
  running_mean = momentum * running_mean + (1 - <math>momentum) * sample_mean
  running_var = momentum * running_var + (1 - <math>momentum) * sample_var
 Note that the batch normalization paper suggests a different test-time
  behavior: they compute sample mean and variance for each feature using a
  large number of training images rather than using a running average. For
  this implementation we have chosen to use running averages instead since
  they do not require an additional estimation step; the torch7 implementation
 of batch normalization also uses running averages.
 Input:
 - x: Data of shape (N, D)
 gamma: Scale parameter of shape (D,)
 beta: Shift paremeter of shape (D,)
  - bn_param: Dictionary with the following keys:
   - mode: 'train' or 'test'; required
   - eps: Constant for numeric stability
   - momentum: Constant for running mean / variance.
   - running_mean: Array of shape (D,) giving running mean of features
   running_var Array of shape (D,) giving running variance of features
  Returns a tuple of:
 - out: of shape (N, D)

    cache: A tuple of values needed in the backward pass

  1111111
 mode = bn_param['mode']
 eps = bn_param.get('eps', 1e-5)
 momentum = bn_param.get('momentum', 0.9)
 N, D = x shape
  running_mean = bn_param.get('running_mean', np.zeros(D, dtype=x.dtype))
  running_var = bn_param.get('running_var', np.zeros(D, dtype=x.dtype))
 out, cache = None, None
  if mode == 'train':
    # YOUR CODE HERE:
       A few steps here:
         (1) Calculate the running mean and variance of the minibatch.
         (2) Normalize the activations with the running mean and variance.
         (3) Scale and shift the normalized activations. Store this
             as the variable 'out'
         (4) Store any variables you may need for the backward pass in
             the 'cache' variable.
    batch mean = x_mean(axis=0)
    batch_var = x.var(axis=0)
    running_mean = momentum * running_mean + (1 - momentum) * batch_mean
    running_var = momentum * running_var + (1 - momentum) * batch_var
   x_norm = (x - batch_mean) / np_sqrt(batch_var + eps)
   out = gamma * x_norm + beta
    cache = {
      'x norm': x norm,
      'gamma': gamma,
      'batch_var': batch_var,
      'eps': eps,
      'a': (x - batch_mean),
      'mean': batch_mean,
    # END YOUR CODE HERE
     elif mode == 'test':
    # YOUR CODE HERE:
       Calculate the testing time normalized activation. Normalize using
       the running mean and variance, and then scale and shift appropriately.
       Store the output as 'out'.
   x_{norm} = (x - running_mean) / np_sqrt(running_var + eps)
    out = gamma * x norm + beta
    # END YOUR CODE HERE
  else:
    raise ValueError('Invalid forward batchnorm mode "%s"' % mode)
 # Store the updated running means back into bn_param
 bn_param['running_mean'] = running_mean
  bn_param['running_var'] = running_var
  return out, cache
def batchnorm_backward(dout, cache):
 Backward pass for batch normalization.
 For this implementation, you should write out a computation graph for
 batch normalization on paper and propagate gradients backward through
  intermediate nodes.
  Inputs:
 dout: Upstream derivatives, of shape (N, D)
 cache: Variable of intermediates from batchnorm_forward.
 Returns a tuple of:
 dx: Gradient with respect to inputs x, of shape (N, D)

    dgamma: Gradient with respect to scale parameter gamma, of shape (D,)

    dbeta: Gradient with respect to shift parameter beta, of shape (D,)

 dx, dgamma, dbeta = None, None, None
  # YOUR CODE HERE:
     Implement the batchnorm backward pass, calculating dx, dgamma, and dbeta.
 M = dout.shape[0]
  dbeta = dout.sum(axis=0)
 dgamma = (cache['x_norm'] * dout).sum(axis=0)
 # dx calc
 dx_norm = dout * cache['gamma']
 da = dx_norm / np.sqrt(cache['batch_var'] + cache['eps'])
 dmu = -1 * dx_norm_sum(axis=0) / np_sqrt(cache['batch_var'] + cache['eps'])
 db = cache['a'] * dx_norm
 dc = -1 * db / (cache['batch_var'] + cache['eps'])
 de = dc * 1/2 * 1/ np.sqrt(cache['batch_var'] + cache['eps'])
 dvar = de_sum(axis=0)
 dx = da + 2/M * cache['a'] * dvar + 1/M * dmu
  # END YOUR CODE HERE
 return dx, dgamma, dbeta
def dropout_forward(x, dropout_param):
 Performs the forward pass for (inverted) dropout.
  Inputs:
 - x: Input data, of any shape
 - dropout_param: A dictionary with the following keys:
   - p: Dropout parameter. We drop each neuron output with probability p.
   - mode: 'test' or 'train'. If the mode is train, then perform dropout;
     if the mode is test, then just return the input.
   - seed: Seed for the random number generator. Passing seed makes this
      function deterministic, which is needed for gradient checking but not in
      real networks.
 Outputs:
 - out: Array of the same shape as x.
 - cache: A tuple (dropout_param, mask). In training mode, mask is the dropout
   mask that was used to multiply the input; in test mode, mask is None.
  p, mode = dropout_param['p'], dropout_param['mode']
 if 'seed' in dropout_param:
   np.random.seed(dropout_param['seed'])
 mask = <u>None</u>
 out = None
  if mode == 'train':
    # YOUR CODE HERE:
       Implement the inverted dropout forward pass during training time.
       Store the masked and scaled activations in out, and store the
       dropout mask as the variable mask.
   mask = (np.random.random_sample(x.shape) >= p) / (1 - p)
    out = x * mask
   # END YOUR CODE HERE
  elif mode == 'test':
    # ============================= #
     YOUR CODE HERE:
       Implement the inverted dropout forward pass during test time.
     out = x
     END YOUR CODE HERE
     #
 cache = (dropout_param, mask)
 out = out.astype(x.dtype, copy=False)
 return out, cache
def dropout_backward(dout, cache):
 Perform the backward pass for (inverted) dropout.
  Inputs:

    dout: Upstream derivatives, of any shape

 cache: (dropout_param, mask) from dropout_forward.
 dropout_param, mask = cache
 mode = dropout_param['mode']
  dx = None
  if mode == 'train':
    # YOUR CODE HERE:
       Implement the inverted dropout backward pass during training time.
    dx = dout * mask
     END YOUR CODE HERE
     _______
  elif mode == 'test':
     YOUR CODE HERE:
       Implement the inverted dropout backward pass during test time.
     dx = dout
    # END YOUR CODE HERE
  return dx
def svm_loss(x, y):
 Computes the loss and gradient using for multiclass SVM classification.
 Inputs:
 - x: Input data, of shape (N, C) where x[i, j] is the score for the jth class
    for the ith input.
 - y: Vector of labels, of shape (N,) where y[i] is the label for x[i] and
   0 \le y[i] < C
 Returns a tuple of:
 - loss: Scalar giving the loss
 - dx: Gradient of the loss with respect to x
 N = x_shape[0]
 correct_class_scores = x[np.arange(N), y]
 margins = np.maximum(0, x - correct_class_scores[:, np.newaxis] + 1.0)
 margins[np.arange(N), y] = 0
  loss = np.sum(margins) / N
 num_pos = np.sum(margins > 0, axis=1)
 dx = np_zeros_like(x)
 dx[margins > 0] = 1
 dx[np.arange(N), y] -= num_pos
 dx /= N
 return loss, dx
def softmax_loss(x, y):
 Computes the loss and gradient for softmax classification.
 Inputs:
 - x: Input data, of shape (N, C) where x[i, j] is the score for the jth class
   for the ith input.
 - y: Vector of labels, of shape (N,) where y[i] is the label for x[i] and
   0 <= y[i] < C
 Returns a tuple of:
  - loss: Scalar giving the loss
 - dx: Gradient of the loss with respect to x
```

probs = np.exp(x - np.max(x, axis=1, keepdims=True))

loss = -np.sum(np.log(probs[np.arange(N), y])) / N

probs /= np.sum(probs, axis=1, keepdims=True)

 $N = x_shape[0]$

 $dx^{-}/=N$

dx = probs.copy()

return loss, dx

dx[np.arange(N), y] = 1

```
from .layer_utils import *
class FullyConnectedNet(object):
 A fully-connected neural network with an arbitrary number of hidden layers,
 ReLU nonlinearities, and a softmax loss function. This will also implement
 dropout and batch normalization as options. For a network with L layers,
  the architecture will be
  \{affine - [batch norm] - relu - [dropout]\} \times (L - 1) - affine - softmax
 where batch normalization and dropout are optional, and the {...} block is
  repeated L - 1 times.
 Similar to the TwoLayerNet above, learnable parameters are stored in the
  self.params dictionary and will be learned using the Solver class.
 def __init__(self, hidden_dims, input_dim=3*32*32, num_classes=10,
              dropout=0, use_batchnorm=False, reg=0.0,
              weight scale=1e-2, dtype=np.float32, seed=None):
   Initialize a new FullyConnectedNet.
    Inputs:
   - hidden_dims: A list of integers giving the size of each hidden layer.
    - input dim: An integer giving the size of the input.
    - num_classes: An integer giving the number of classes to classify.
    - dropout: Scalar between 0 and 1 giving dropout strength. If dropout=0 then
     the network should not use dropout at all.
   - use_batchnorm: Whether or not the network should use batch normalization.
    reg: Scalar giving L2 regularization strength.
   - weight_scale: Scalar giving the standard deviation for random
      initialization of the weights.

    dtype: A numpy datatype object; all computations will be performed using

     this datatype. float32 is faster but less accurate, so you should use
     float64 for numeric gradient checking.
   - seed: If not None, then pass this random seed to the dropout layers. This
     will make the dropout layers deteriminstic so we can gradient check the
     model.
   self.use_batchnorm = use_batchnorm
   self.use_dropout = dropout > 0
    self.reg = reg
    self.num_layers = 1 + len(hidden_dims)
    self.dtype = dtype
    self.params = {}
     YOUR CODE HERE:
       Initialize all parameters of the network in the self.params dictionary.
       The weights and biases of layer 1 are W1 and b1; and in general the
       weights and biases of layer i are Wi and bi. The
       biases are initialized to zero and the weights are initialized
       so that each parameter has mean 0 and standard deviation weight_scale.
   #
       BATCHNORM: Initialize the gammas of each layer to 1 and the beta
       parameters to zero. The gamma and beta parameters for layer 1 should
       be self.params['gamma1'] and self.params['beta1']. For layer 2, they
       should be gamma2 and beta2, etc. Only use batchnorm if self.use_batchnorm
       is true and DO NOT do batch normalize the output scores.
   # Concat dims for full NN
    dims = [input_dim] + hidden_dims + [num_classes]
    for layer in range(self.num_layers):
     self.params['W' + str(layer + 1)] = np.random.normal(\emptyset, weight_scale,(dims[layer], dims[layer + 1]))
     self.params['b' + str(layer + 1)] = np.zeros(dims[layer + 1])
     if self.use_batchnorm and (layer != (self.num_layers-1)):
       self.params['gamma' + str(layer + 1)] = np.ones(dims[layer + 1])
       self.params['beta' + str(layer + 1)] = np.zeros(dims[layer + 1])
     END YOUR CODE HERE
   # When using dropout we need to pass a dropout_param dictionary to each
    # dropout layer so that the layer knows the dropout probability and the mode
   # (train / test). You can pass the same dropout_param to each dropout layer.
    self.dropout_param = {}
    if self.use_dropout:
     self.dropout_param = {'mode': 'train', 'p': dropout}
     if seed is not None:
       self.dropout_param['seed'] = seed
   # With batch normalization we need to keep track of running means and
   # variances, so we need to pass a special bn_param object to each batch
    # normalization layer. You should pass self.bn_params[0] to the forward pass
   # of the first batch normalization layer, self.bn_params[1] to the forward
    # pass of the second batch normalization layer, etc.
    self.bn_params = []
    it selt use_batchnorm:
     self.bn_params = [{'mode': 'train'} for i in np.arange(self.num_layers - 1)]
   # Cast all parameters to the correct datatype
    for k, v in self.params.items():
     self.params[k] = v.astype(dtype)
 def loss(self, X, y=None):
   Compute loss and gradient for the fully-connected net.
   Input / output: Same as TwoLayerNet above.
   X = X.astype(self.dtype)
   mode = 'test' if y is None else 'train'
   # Set train/test mode for batchnorm params and dropout param since they
   # behave differently during training and testing.
   if self.dropout_param is not None:
     self.dropout_param['mode'] = mode
   if self.use_batchnorm:
      for bn_param in self.bn_params:
       bn_param[mode] = mode
    scores = None
    # YOUR CODE HERE:
       Implement the forward pass of the FC net and store the output
       scores as the variable "scores".
       BATCHNORM: If self.use_batchnorm is true, insert a bathnorm layer
       between the affine_forward and relu_forward layers. You may
       also write an affine_batchnorm_relu() function in layer_utils.py.
       DROPOUT: If dropout is non-zero, insert a dropout layer after
       every ReLU layer.
   a = \{\}
   norm = \{\}
   h = \{\}
   drop = \{\}
   drop[0] = [X]
    for layer in range(self.num_layers):
     #Affine
     a[layer + 1] = affine_forward(drop[layer][0], self.params['W' + str(layer + 1)], self.params['b' + str(layer + 1)])
     if layer < (self.num_layers-1):</pre>
       # BatchNorm
       if self use_batchnorm: norm[layer + 1] = batchnorm_forward(a[layer + 1][0], self params['gamma' + str(layer + 1)],
         self.params['beta' + str(layer + 1)], self.bn_params[layer])
       else: norm[layer + 1] = a[layer + 1]
          # ReLU
       h[layer + 1] = relu_forward(norm[layer + 1][0])
       # Dropout
       if self.use_dropout: drop[layer + 1] = dropout_forward(h[layer + 1][0], self.dropout_param)
       else: drop[layer + 1] = h[layer + 1]
   scores = a[self.num_layers][0]
      END YOUR CODE HERE
     # If test mode return early
    if mode == 'test':
     return scores
    loss, grads = 0.0, {}
      # YOUR CODE HERE:
       Implement the backwards pass of the FC net and store the gradients
       in the grads dict, so that grads[k] is the gradient of self.params[k]
       Be sure your L2 regularization includes a 0.5 factor.
       BATCHNORM: Incorporate the backward pass of the batchnorm.
       DROPOUT: Incorporate the backward pass of dropout.
    loss, dout = softmax_loss(scores, y)
   Ws = [self.params['W' + str(i + 1)] for i in range(self.num_layers)]
    loss += 0.5 * self reg * sum([np.linalg.norm(weight, 'fro')**2 for weight in Ws])
   das = \{\}
   dhs = \{\}
   ddrops = {}
   dnorms = \{\}
   dgammas = \{\}
    dbetas = \{\}
    dws = \{\}
   dbs = \{\}
   das[self num_layers] = dout
    for layer in reversed(range(self.num_layers)):
     ddrops[layer], dws[layer + 1], dbs[layer + 1] = affine_backward(das[layer + 1], a[layer + 1][1])
     if layer != 0:
       if self.use_dropout: dhs[layer] = dropout_backward(ddrops[layer],drop[layer][1])
       else: dhs[layer] = ddrops[layer]
        dnorms[layer] = relu_backward(dhs[layer], h[layer][1])
       if self use_batchnorm: das[layer], dgammas[layer], dbetas[layer] = batchnorm_backward(dnorms[layer], norm[layer][1])
       else: das[layer] = dnorms[layer]
    for layer in range(self.num_layers):
     grads['W' + str(layer + 1)] = dws[layer + 1] + self reg * self params['W' + str(layer + 1)]
     grads['b' + str(layer + 1)] = dbs[layer + 1].T
     if layer != (self.num_layers-1) and self.use_batchnorm:
       grads['gamma' + str(layer + 1)] = dgammas[layer + 1]
       grads['beta' + str(layer + 1)] = dbetas[layer + 1].T
     END YOUR CODE HERE
    return loss, grads
```

import numpy as np

from .layers import *