Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data

Lecture 4: Normal Regression

Zhian Hu

Central University of Finance and Economics

Fall 2024

This Lecture

- ► Hansen (2022): Chapter 5
- ► This chapter introduces the normal regression model, which is a special case of the linear regression model.
- ▶ It is important as normality allows precise distributional characterizations and sharp inferences.
- Therefore in this chapter we introduce likelihood methods.

The Normal Distribution

We say that a random variable Z has the standard normal distribution, or Gaussian, written $Z \sim N(0,1)$, if it has the density

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right), \quad -\infty < x < \infty$$

- Properties:
 - 1. All integer moments of Z are finite.
 - 2. All odd moments of Z equal 0.
 - 3. For any positive integer m

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Z^{2m}\right] = (2m-1)!! = (2m-1) \times (2m-3) \times \cdots \times 1$$

The Nornal Distribution

▶ If $Z \sim \mathrm{N}(0,1)$ and $X = \mu + \sigma Z$ for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma \geq 0$ then X has the univariate normal distribution, written $X \sim \mathrm{N}\left(\mu,\sigma^2\right)$. By change-of-variables X has the density

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right), \quad -\infty < x < \infty$$

▶ The expectation and variance of X are μ and σ^2 , respectively.

Multivariate Normal Distribution

We say that the k-vector Z has a multivariate standard normal distribution, written $Z \sim \mathrm{N}\left(0, I_{k}\right)$, if it has the joint density

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{k/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{x'x}{2}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^k$$

▶ The mean and covariance matrix of Z are 0 and I_k , respectively.

Multivariate Normal Distribution

If $Z \sim \mathrm{N}\left(0, \emph{\textbf{I}}_{k}\right)$ and $X = \mu + \emph{\textbf{B}}Z$ then the k-vector X has a multivariate normal distribution, written $X \sim \mathrm{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ where $\Sigma = \emph{\textbf{B}}\emph{\textbf{B}}' \geq 0$. If $\Sigma > 0$ then by change-of-variables X has the joint density function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{k/2} \det(\Sigma)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)'\Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)}{2}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^k$$

- ▶ The expectation and covariance matrix of X are μ and Σ , respectively.
- ▶ If $X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ and Y = a + BX, then $Y \sim N(a + B\mu, B\Sigma B')$.

Properties of Multivariate Normal Distribution

- ▶ If (X, Y) are multivariate normal, X and Y are uncorrelated if and only if they are independent.
- ▶ If $X \sim N(0, I_k)$ then $X'X \sim \chi_k^2$, chi-square with k degrees of freedom.
- ▶ If $X \sim N(0, \Sigma)$ with $\Sigma > 0$ then $X'\Sigma^{-1}X \sim \chi_k^2$ where $k = \dim(X)$.
- ▶ If $Z \sim N(0,1)$ and $Q \sim \chi_k^2$ are independent then $Z/\sqrt{Q/k} \sim t_k$, student t with k degrees of freedom.

卡方分布的作用:构造T统计量

Joint Normality and Linear Regression

ightharpoonup Suppose the variables (Y, X) are jointly normally distributed. Consider the best linear predictor of Y given X

$$Y = X'\beta + \alpha + e$$

最佳线性投影天然满足

- So $\mathbb{E}[Xe] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[e] = 0$, so X and e are uncorrelated, and hence independent (Why?). because X and e are multivariate normal
- Independence implies that

$$\mathbb{E}[e \mid X] = \mathbb{E}[e] = 0$$
 & $\mathbb{E}[e^2 \mid X] = \mathbb{E}[e^2] = \sigma^2$

which are properties of a homoskedastic linear CEF.

We have shown that when (Y, X) are jointly normally distributed, they satisfy a normal linear CEF

$$Y = X'\beta + \alpha + e$$
, $e \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$

e is independent of X.



► The normal regression model is the linear regression model with an independent normal error

$$Y = X'\beta + e$$

 $e \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$

- \triangleright The normal regression model holds when (Y, X) are jointly normally distributed.
- For notational convenience, X contains the intercept.

- The normal regression model implies that the conditional density of Y given X takes the form $f(y \mid x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(y x'\beta\right)^2\right)$
- ▶ Under the assumption that the observations are mutually independent this implies that the conditional density of (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n) given (X_1, \ldots, X_n) is

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_n \mid x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i \mid x_i)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(y_i - x_i'\beta\right)^2\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i - x_i'\beta\right)^2\right)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L_n(\beta, \sigma^2)$$

▶ This is called the likelihood function when evaluated at the sample data.

For convenience it is typical to work with the natural logarithm

$$\log L_n\left(\beta,\sigma^2\right) = -\frac{n}{2}\log\left(2\pi\sigma^2\right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{i=1}^n\left(Y_i - X_i'\beta\right)^2 \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{=} \ell_n\left(\beta,\sigma^2\right)$$

which is called the log-likelihood function.

- ▶ The maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) $(\widehat{\beta}_{\mathsf{mle}}, \widehat{\sigma}_{\mathsf{mle}}^2)$ is the value which maximizes the log-likelihood.
- We can write the maximization problem as

$$\left(\widehat{\beta}_{\mathsf{mle}}, \widehat{\sigma}_{\mathsf{mle}}^{2}\right) = \underset{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{k}, \sigma^{2} > 0}{\mathsf{argmax}} \, \ell_{n}\left(\beta, \sigma^{2}\right)$$

黑塞矩阵

▶ The maximizers $(\widehat{\beta}_{\mathsf{mle}}, \widehat{\sigma}_{\mathsf{mle}}^2)$ jointly solve the first-order conditions (FOC)

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ell_n \left(\beta, \sigma^2 \right) \Big|_{\beta = \widehat{\beta}_{\text{mle}}, \sigma^2 = \widehat{\sigma}_{\text{mle}}^2} = \frac{1}{\widehat{\sigma}_{\text{mle}}^2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \left(Y_i - X_i' \widehat{\beta}_{\text{mle}} \right)$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} \ell_n \left(\beta, \sigma^2 \right) \Big|_{\beta = \widehat{\beta}_{\text{mle}}, \sigma^2 = \widehat{\sigma}^2} = -\frac{n}{2\widehat{\sigma}_{\text{mle}}^2} + \frac{1}{2\widehat{\sigma}_{\text{mle}}^4} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(Y_i - X_i' \widehat{\beta}_{\text{mle}} \right)^2$$

► The first FOC is proportional to the first-order conditions for the least squares minimization problem. It follows that the MLE satisfies

$$\widehat{\beta}_{\mathsf{mle}} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i X_i'\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i Y_i\right) = \widehat{\beta}_{\mathsf{ols}}$$

▶ Solving the second FOC for $\widehat{\sigma}_{\text{mle}}^2$ we find

$$\widehat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{mle}}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(Y_i - X_i' \widehat{\beta}_{\mathrm{mle}} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(Y_i - X_i' \widehat{\beta}_{\mathrm{ols}} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{e}_i^2 = \widehat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{ols}}^2$$

Distribution of OLS Coefficient Vector

- ▶ In the normal linear regression model we can derive exact sampling distributions for the OLS/MLE estimator, residuals, and variance estimator.
- ▶ The normality assumption $e \mid X \sim \mathrm{N}\left(0, \sigma^2\right)$ combined with independence of the observations has the multivariate implication

$$\boldsymbol{e} \mid \boldsymbol{X} \sim \mathrm{N}\left(0, \boldsymbol{I}_{n} \sigma^{2}\right)$$

- ▶ That is, the error vector **e** is independent of **X** and is normally distributed.
- Recall that the OLS estimator satisfies

$$\widehat{eta} - eta = ig(oldsymbol{X}' oldsymbol{X} ig)^{-1} oldsymbol{X}' oldsymbol{e}$$

which is a linear function of e.

$$^{=}(X'X)^{-1}X'(X+e)$$

Distribution of OLS Coefficient Vector

▶ Since linear functions of normals are also normal this implies that conditional on X

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\beta} - \beta \mid \boldsymbol{X} \sim \left(\boldsymbol{X}' \boldsymbol{X} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}' \mathrm{N} \left(0, \boldsymbol{I}_{n} \sigma^{2} \right) \\ \sim \mathrm{N} \left(0, \sigma^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{X}' \boldsymbol{X} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}' \boldsymbol{X} \left(\boldsymbol{X}' \boldsymbol{X} \right)^{-1} \right) \\ = \mathrm{N} \left(0, \sigma^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{X}' \boldsymbol{X} \right)^{-1} \right) \end{split}$$

▶ In the normal regression model,

$$\widehat{\beta} \mid \boldsymbol{X} \sim \operatorname{N}\left(\beta, \sigma^2 \left(\boldsymbol{X}' \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{-1}\right)$$

Letting β_i and $\widehat{\beta}_i$ denote the j^{th} elements of β and $\widehat{\beta}_i$, we have

$$\widehat{eta}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{X} \sim \operatorname{N}\left(eta_{j}, \sigma^{2}\left[\left(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X}
ight)^{-1}\right]_{jj}\right)$$

Distribution of OLS Residual Vector

▶ Recall that $\hat{e} = Me$ where $M = I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X'$. So conditional on X

$$\widehat{m{e}} = m{M}m{e} \mid m{X} \sim \mathrm{N}\left(0, \sigma^2m{M}m{M}
ight) = \mathrm{N}\left(0, \sigma^2m{M}
ight)$$

▶ Furthermore, it is useful to find the joint distribution of β and \hat{e} .

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \widehat{eta} - eta \\ \widehat{oldsymbol{e}} \end{array}
ight) = \left(\begin{array}{c} (oldsymbol{X}'oldsymbol{X})^{-1} \, oldsymbol{X}' \, oldsymbol{e} \\ oldsymbol{Me} \end{array}
ight) = \left(\begin{array}{c} (oldsymbol{X}'oldsymbol{X})^{-1} \, oldsymbol{X}' \ oldsymbol{M} \end{array}
ight) \, oldsymbol{e} \, .$$

▶ The vector has a joint normal distribution with covariance matrix

BB'\si gma^2
$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 (\textbf{\textit{X}'X})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 \textbf{\textit{M}} \end{pmatrix}$$
 XM=0, 因为正交矩阵 $\begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 (\textbf{\textit{X}'X})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 \textbf{\textit{M}} \end{pmatrix}$

▶ Since the off-diagonal block is zero it follows that $\widehat{\beta}$ and \widehat{e} are statistically independent.

Distribution of Variance Estimator

- Next, consider the variance estimator s^2 .
- It satisfies $(n-k) s^2 = \hat{e}' \hat{e} = e' Me$. The spectral decomposition of M is $M = H \wedge H'$ where $H'H = I_n$ and Λ is diagonal with the eigenvalues of M on the diagonal.
- ▶ Since M is idempotent with rank n-k, it has n-k eigenvalues equalling 1 and k eigenvalues equalling 0, so

$$\Lambda = \left[\begin{array}{cc} I_{n-k} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}_k \end{array} \right]$$

Distribution of Variance Estimator

Let $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{H}' \mathbf{e} \sim \mathrm{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n \sigma^2\right)$ and partition $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_1', \mathbf{u}_2')'$ where $\mathbf{u}_1 \sim \mathrm{N}\left(0, \mathbf{I}_{n-k} \sigma^2\right)$. Then

$$(n-k)s^{2} = e'Me$$

$$= e'H\begin{bmatrix} I_{n-k} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}H'e$$

$$= u'\begin{bmatrix} I_{n-k} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}u$$

$$= u'_{1}u_{1}$$

$$\sim \sigma^{2}\chi_{n-k}^{2}$$

We see that in the normal regression model the exact distribution of s^2 is a scaled chi-square. Since \hat{e} is independent of $\hat{\beta}$ it follows that s^2 is independent of $\hat{\beta}$ as well.

t-statistic

 $lackbox{
ightharpoonup}$ We already know that $\widehat{eta}_j \mid m{X} \sim \mathrm{N}\left(eta_j, \sigma^2\left[\left(m{X}'m{X}
ight)^{-1}\right]_{jj}\right)$. So

$$rac{\widehat{eta}_j - eta_j}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 \left[\left(oldsymbol{X}' oldsymbol{X}
ight)^{-1}
ight]_{jj}}} \sim \mathrm{N}(0,1)$$

Now take the standardized statistic and replace the unknown variance σ^2 with its estimator s^2 . We call this a t-ratio or t-statistic

$$T = \frac{\widehat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{\sqrt{s^2 \left[(\boldsymbol{X}' \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \right]_{jj}}} = \frac{\widehat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{s \left(\widehat{\beta}_j \right)}$$

where $s\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j}\right)$ is the classical (homoskedastic) standard error for $\widehat{\beta}_{j}$.

t-statistic

▶ With algebraic re-scaling we can write the t-statistic as the ratio of the standardized statistic and the square root of the scaled variance estimator.

$$T = rac{\widehat{eta}_j - eta_j}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 \left[(oldsymbol{X}'oldsymbol{X})^{-1}
ight]_{jj}}} / \sqrt{rac{(n-k)s^2}{\sigma^2} / (n-k)} \ \sim rac{\mathrm{N}(0,1)}{\sqrt{\chi^2_{n-k} / (n-k)}} \ \sim t_{n-k}$$

a student t distribution with n - k degrees of freedom.

This derivation shows that the t-ratio has a sampling distribution which depends only on the quantity n - k.



t-statistic

- An important caveat about the above theorem is that it only applies to the t-statistic constructed with the homoskedastic (old-fashioned) standard error.
- It does not apply to a t-statistic constructed with any of the heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors.
- In fact, the robust t-statistics can have finite sample distributions which deviate considerably from t_{n-k} even when the regression errors are independent $N\left(0,\sigma^2\right)$.
- ► Thus the distributional result in the above theorem and the use of the t distribution in finite samples is only exact when applied to classical t-statistics under the normality assumption.

- ▶ The OLS estimator $\widehat{\beta}$ is a point estimator for a coefficient β .
- lacktriangle A broader concept is a set or interval estimator which takes the form $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}=[\widehat{\mathcal{L}},\widehat{\mathcal{U}}].$
- ▶ The goal of an interval estimator \widehat{C} is to contain the **true value**, e.g. $\beta \in \widehat{C}$, with high probability.
- ightharpoonup The interval estimator \widehat{C} is a function of the data and hence is random.

- An interval estimator \widehat{C} is called a $1-\alpha$ confidence interval when $\mathbb{P}[\beta \in \widehat{C}] = 1-\alpha$ for a selected value of α .
- ▶ The value 1α is called the coverage probability. Typical choices for the coverage probability 1α are 0.95 or 0.90.
- ▶ The probability calculation $\mathbb{P}[\beta \in \widehat{C}]$ is easily mis-interpreted as treating β as random and \widehat{C} as fixed. (The probability that β is in \widehat{C} .)
- This is not the appropriate interpretation. Instead, the correct interpretation is that the probability $\mathbb{P}[\beta \in \widehat{C}]$ treats the point β as fixed and the set \widehat{C} as random. It is the probability that the random set \widehat{C} covers (or contains) the fixed true coefficient β .

A good choice for a confidence interval for the regression coefficient β is obtained by adding and subtracting from the estimator $\widehat{\beta}$ a fixed multiple of its standard error:

$$\widehat{C} = [\widehat{\beta} - c \times s(\widehat{\beta}), \quad \widehat{\beta} + c \times s(\widehat{\beta})]$$

where c > 0 is a pre-specified constant which determines the coverage probability.

▶ This confidence interval is symmetric about the point estimator $\widehat{\beta}$ and its length is proportional to the standard error $s(\widehat{\beta})$.

▶ Equivalently, \widehat{C} is the set of parameter values for β such that the t-statistic $T(\beta)$ is smaller (in absolute value) than c, that is

$$\widehat{C} = \{ eta : |T(eta)| \le c \} = \left\{ eta : -c \le \frac{\widehat{eta} - eta}{s(\widehat{eta})} \le c
ight\}$$

▶ The coverage probability of this confidence interval is

$$\mathbb{P}[\beta \in \widehat{C}] = \mathbb{P}[|T(\beta)| \le c]$$
$$= \mathbb{P}[-c \le T(\beta) \le c]$$

- Since the t-statistic $T(\beta)$ has the t_{n-k} distribution, it equals F(c) F(-c), where F(u) is the student t distribution function with n-k degrees of freedom.
- ▶ Since F(-c) = 1 F(c), we can write it as

$$\mathbb{P}[\beta \in \widehat{C}] = 2F(c) - 1$$

This is the coverage probability of the interval \widehat{C} , and only depends on the constant c.

- ▶ When the degree of freedom is large the distinction between the student *t* and the normal distribution is negligible.
- ▶ In particular, for $n k \ge 61$ we have $c \approx 2.00$ for a 95% interval.
- Using this value we obtain the most commonly used confidence interval in applied econometric practice:

$$\widehat{C} = [\widehat{\beta} - 2s(\widehat{\beta}), \quad \widehat{\beta} + 2s(\widehat{\beta})]$$

▶ This is a useful rule-of-thumb. This 95% confidence interval \widehat{C} is simple to compute and can be easily calculated from coefficient estimates and standard errors.

- A typical goal in an econometric exercise is to assess whether or not a coefficient β equals a specific value β_0 .
- Often the specific value to be tested is $\beta_0 = 0$ but this is not essential. This is called **hypothesis testing**.
- \triangleright For simplicity write the coefficient to be tested as β . The null hypothesis is

$$\mathbb{H}_0: \beta = \beta_0$$

- This states that the hypothesis is that the true value of β equals the hypothesized value β_0 .
- lacktriangle The alternative hypothesis is the complement of \mathbb{H}_0 , and is written as

$$\mathbb{H}_1: \beta \neq \beta_0$$

- ightharpoonup We are interested in testing \mathbb{H}_0 against \mathbb{H}_1 .
- ▶ The method is to design a statistic which is informative about \mathbb{H}_1 and to characterize its sampling distribution.
- ▶ The standard statistic is the absolute value of the t-statistic

$$|T| = \left| \frac{\widehat{\beta} - \beta_0}{s(\widehat{\beta})} \right|$$

- ▶ If \mathbb{H}_0 is true then we expect |T| to be small, but if \mathbb{H}_1 is true then we would expect |T| to be large.
- ▶ Hence the standard rule is to reject \mathbb{H}_0 in favor of \mathbb{H}_1 for large values of the t-statistic |T| and otherwise fail to reject \mathbb{H}_0 . Thus the hypothesis test takes the form: Reject \mathbb{H}_0 if |T| > c.

► The constant *c* which appears in the statement of the test is called the critical value.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}\left[\text{ Reject } \mathbb{H}_0 \mid \mathbb{H}_0 \right] &= \mathbb{P}\left[\mid T \mid > c \mid \mathbb{H}_0 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left[T > c \mid \mathbb{H}_0 \right] + \mathbb{P}\left[T < -c \mid \mathbb{H}_0 \right] \\ &= 1 - F(c) + F(-c) \\ &= 2(1 - F(c)) \end{split}$$

- We select the value c so that this probability equals a pre-selected value called the significance level which is typically written as α .
- It is conventional to set $\alpha=0.05$, though this is not a hard rule. We then select c so that $F(c)=1-\alpha/2$, which means that c is the $1-\alpha/2$ quantile (inverse CDF) of the t_{n-k} distribution.
- ▶ With this choice the decision rule "Reject \mathbb{H}_0 if |T| > c" has a significance level (false rejection probability) of α .



- ▶ A simplification of the above test is to report what is known as the **p**-value of the test.
- ▶ In general, when a test takes the form "Reject \mathbb{H}_0 if S > c" and S has null distribution G(u) then the p-value of the test is p = 1 G(S).
- ▶ A test with significance level α can be restated as "Reject \mathbb{H}_0 if $p < \alpha$ ".
- ▶ It is sufficient to report the p-value p and we can interpret the value of p as indexing the test's strength of rejection of the null hypothesis.
- ► Thus a *p*-value of 0.07 might be interpreted as "nearly significant", 0.05 as "borderline significant", and 0.001 as "highly significant".
- ▶ In the context of the normal regression model the p-value of a t-statistic |T| is $p = 2(1 F_{n-k}(|T|))$ where F_{n-k} is the t_{n-k} CDF.

