

PEER REVIEW FOR JONATHAN FALK

- 1a. Good interpretation using plots and reasoning as well.
 - 1b. Valid reasoning with plots which verify the reasoning.
 - 1c. Precise and to the point reasoning with required plots.
 - 2a. The inference based on the differing variance values could have been added. Otherwise, apt reasoning with plots proving those.
 - 2b. correct posterior gaussian distribution graphs for both the lakes.
 - 2c. Valid reasoning for why Andres should chose Antalya with mean and variance values.
 - 2d. The reasoning given does not look valid because if the prediction given by the friends is accurate the mean will converge to the exact value but the variance will still exist and not diminish.
 - 3a. The MAP and MMSE lines are not being able to be distinguished but the inference seems right.
 - 3b. Good reasoning done and the plot looks good.
 - 3c. Very precise plot and reasoning done.
- On a whole, it's a nice job.