

# [Ksummit-discuss] [MAINTAINER TOPIC] Succession Planning: Is It time to Throw Linus Under a Bus?

Linus Torvalds [torvalds at linux-foundation.org](mailto:torvalds@linux-foundation.org)

Thu Sep 6 20:45:11 UTC 2018

- Previous message: [\[Ksummit-discuss\] \[MAINTAINER TOPIC\] Succession Planning: Is It time to Throw Linus Under a Bus?](#)
- Next message: [\[Ksummit-discuss\] \[MAINTAINER TOPIC\] Succession Planning: Is It time to Throw Linus Under a Bus?](#)
- Messages sorted by: [\[date\]](#) [\[thread\]](#) [\[subject\]](#) [\[author\]](#)

---

On Thu, Sep 6, 2018 at 1:35 PM Olof Johansson <[olof at lixom.net](mailto:olof@lixom.net)> wrote:

>  
> I'd argue that having a group would be substantially more robust,  
> especially since the pool of people are likely to come from industry  
> and not just LF. We're all pretty good at leaving company politics and  
> influence out of our community work, but it's still desirable to have  
> a bit of balance in the higher maintainer roles.

I think the groups mostly have worked well, but I don't believe in that "balance" notion at all.

Because I think *the* most important issue would be that the group maintainers trust each other, not that they come from different areas in industry.

Of course, outside people would have to trust that group too, but trust within the group is I think the most important part by far.

Linus

- 
- Previous message: [\[Ksummit-discuss\] \[MAINTAINER TOPIC\] Succession Planning: Is It time to Throw Linus Under a Bus?](#)
  - Next message: [\[Ksummit-discuss\] \[MAINTAINER TOPIC\] Succession Planning: Is It time to Throw Linus Under a Bus?](#)
  - Messages sorted by: [\[date\]](#) [\[thread\]](#) [\[subject\]](#) [\[author\]](#)

---

[More information about the Ksummit-discuss mailing list](#)