

# [Ksummit-discuss] [MAINTAINER TOPIC] Succession Planning: Is It time to Throw Linus Under a Bus?

Jiri Kosina [jikos at kernel.org](mailto:jikos@kernel.org)  
Sun Sep 9 20:05:59 UTC 2018

- Previous message: [\[Ksummit-discuss\] \[MAINTAINER TOPIC\] Succession Planning: Is It time to Throw Linus Under a Bus?](#)
  - Next message: [\[Ksummit-discuss\] \[MAINTAINER TOPIC\] Succession Planning: Is It time to Throw Linus Under a Bus?](#)
  - Messages sorted by: [\[date\]](#) [\[thread\]](#) [\[subject\]](#) [\[author\]](#)
- 

On Sat, 8 Sep 2018, Mauro Carvalho Chehab wrote:

> *Q: How many Kernel developers does it take to replace Linus?*

Somebody please remind me, what problem exactly are we trying to solve here, again? :)

I honestly believe this all started as a joke from James (the mixed up conferences etc. of course providing excellent grounds for such a joke :) ), but now that this keeps going on, I think the problem that is actually being solved (and what would be the properties of expected outcome/solution) should be formulated again :)

Linus explicitly stated that he's willing to go on merging, and we don't have any "bus factor" plans for other critical people either anyway.

So if this "how do we generally deal with all the buses out there?", so be it, but it really should be clearly stated I think :)

Thanks,

--  
Jiri Kosina  
SUSE Labs

- 
- Previous message: [\[Ksummit-discuss\] \[MAINTAINER TOPIC\] Succession Planning: Is It time to Throw Linus Under a Bus?](#)
  - Next message: [\[Ksummit-discuss\] \[MAINTAINER TOPIC\] Succession Planning: Is It time to Throw Linus Under a Bus?](#)
  - Messages sorted by: [\[date\]](#) [\[thread\]](#) [\[subject\]](#) [\[author\]](#)
- 

[More information about the Ksummit-discuss mailing list](#)