[Ksummit-discuss] [MAINTAINER TOPIC] Succession Planning: Is It time to Throw Linus Under a Bus?

James Bottomley <u>James.Bottomley at HansenPartnership.com</u> Thu Sep 6 19:51:15 UTC 2018

- Previous message: [Ksummit-discuss] [MAINTAINER TOPIC] Succession Planning: Is It time to Throw Linus Under a Bus?
- Next message: [Ksummit-discuss] [MAINTAINER TOPIC] Succession Planning: Is It time to Throw Linus Under a Bus?
- Messages sorted by: [date] [thread] [subject] [author]

```
On Thu, 2018-09-06 at 21:47 +0200, Daniel Vetter wrote:
> On Thu, Sep 6, 2018 at 9:44 PM, James Bottomley
> < <u>James.Bottomley at hansenpartnership.com</u>> wrote:
> > Since our fearless leader apparently can't even remember the dates
> > the only conference he goes to, perhaps now might be a good time to
> > talk about how we'd run an orderly succession process (purely
> > theoretically, of course ...)
> > I think a vote amongst the Maintainer Summit attendees might be the
> > to elect a new leader, but others probably have different ideas.
> Why I single leader?
> This group maintainer ship thing ... it works.
Well, lets talk about that. I like the single leader model because it
doesn't lead to the cabal cult like the group maintainer model does in
BSD. However, if we have a plan that can avoid that, I think it would
be a reasonable thing to try out.
I also note that group maintainership seems only to work for you in
DRM; most of the other subsystems seem to have single leader
hierarchical maintainership.
```

James

- Previous message: [Ksummit-discuss] [MAINTAINER TOPIC] Succession Planning: Is It time to Throw Linus Under a Bus?
- Next message: [Ksummit-discuss] [MAINTAINER TOPIC] Succession Planning: Is It time to Throw Linus Under a Bus?
- Messages sorted by: [date] [thread] [subject] [author]

More information about the Ksummit-discuss mailing list