Supplementary Material for the Paper: "Wireless Personalized Federated Fine-Tuning of Large Language Models via Low-Rank Adaptation"

Haofeng Sun, Hui Tian, Jingheng Zheng, and Wanli Ni

APPENDIX A PROOF OF THEOREM 1

With Assumptions 1, 2, 3, we investigate the convergence behavior of global loss function (2) composed by the desired local loss function $\nabla F_k(\mathbf{W}_{t,n})$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 2: Suppose that Assumption 1 holds. The convergence behavior of the global loss function with respect to multiple tasks in AirPFed-LoRA framework between two consecutive fine-tuning rounds can be expressed by

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{D_{n}}{D} \left(F(\{\mathbf{W}_{t+1,n}\}) - F(\{\mathbf{W}_{t,n}\}) \right) \\
\leq \underbrace{\operatorname{Vec}(\mathbf{W}_{t+1}^{s} - \mathbf{W}_{t}^{s})^{\mathrm{T}} \operatorname{Vec}(\nabla_{\mathbf{W}_{t}^{s}} F(\{\mathbf{W}_{t,n}\}))}_{E_{t,1}} \\
+ \underbrace{\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{D_{n}}{D} \operatorname{Vec}(\mathbf{W}_{t+1,n}^{p} - \mathbf{W}_{t,n}^{p})^{\mathrm{T}} \operatorname{Vec}(\nabla_{\mathbf{W}_{t,n}^{p}} F_{n}^{E}(\mathbf{W}_{t,n}))}_{E_{t,2}} \\
+ \underbrace{\frac{\beta}{2} \underbrace{\|\mathbf{W}_{t+1}^{s} - \mathbf{W}_{t}^{s}\|_{F}^{2}}_{E_{t,3}} + \frac{\beta}{2} \underbrace{\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{D_{n}}{D} \|\mathbf{W}_{t+1,n}^{p} - \mathbf{W}_{t,n}^{p}\|_{F}^{2}}_{E_{t,3}}, (38)}_{E_{t,3}}$$

where $\mathbf{W}_t^s = [\mathbf{B}_t^s; \mathbf{A}_t^s]$ denotes the s-adapter matrix, $\mathbf{W}_{t,n}^p = [\mathbf{B}_{t,n}^p; \mathbf{A}_{t,n}^p]$ denotes the p-adapter matrix of task n, and $F_n^E(\mathbf{W}_{t,n}) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_n} \frac{D_k}{D_n} F_k(\mathbf{W}_{t,n})$ denotes the desired edge loss function of task n.

Theorem 2 demonstrates that the convergence behavior of the global loss function is affected by four terms, i.e., $E_{t,1}$, $E_{t,2}$, $E_{t,3}$ and $E_{t,4}$. With Assumptions 2 and 3, we further bound the expectations of $E_{t,1} + E_{t,2}$ and $E_{t,3} + E_{t,4}$ in Lemma 1 and 2, respectively.

Lemma 1: The upper bound of $\mathbb{E}[E_{t,1} + E_{t,2}]$ is given by

$$\mathbb{E}\left[E_{t,1} + E_{t,2}\right] \leq -\frac{\eta}{2} \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_n} \frac{D_k}{D} \nabla F_k(\mathbf{W}_{t,n}) \right\|_{F}^{2}$$

$$-\frac{\eta}{2} \left\| \nabla_{\mathbf{W}_t^s} F\left(\{\mathbf{W}_{t,n}\}\right) \right\|_{F}^{2} - \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{D_n}{D} \left\| \nabla_{\mathbf{W}_{t,n}^p} F_n^E(\mathbf{W}_{t,n}) \right\|_{F}^{2}.$$
(39)

H. Sun, H. Tian, and J. Zheng are with the State Key Laboratory of Networking and Switching Technology, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing 100876, China (e-mail: sunhaofeng@bupt.edu.cn; tianhui@bupt.edu.cn; zhengjh@bupt.edu.cn).

W. Ni is with the Department of Electronic Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China (e-mail: niwanli@tsinghua.edu.cn).

Lemma 2: Suppose that Assumptions 2 and 3 hold. The expectation of $E_{t,3} + E_{t,4}$ can be bounded by

$$\mathbb{E}[E_{t,3} + E_{t,4}] \leq \lambda \eta^{2}(C+1) \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{n}} \frac{D_{k}}{D} \nabla F_{k}(\mathbf{W}_{t,n}) \right\|_{F}^{2} + \eta^{2}(C+1)\sigma_{\text{dif}}^{2} + \eta^{2}\sigma_{\text{SGD}}^{2} + \eta^{2} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{G}}_{t}^{\mathbf{W}_{t}^{s}} - \mathbf{G}_{t}^{\mathbf{W}_{t}^{s}} \right\|_{F}^{2} + \eta^{2} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{D_{n}}{D} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{G}}_{t,n}^{\mathbf{W}_{t,n}^{s}} - \mathbf{G}_{t,n}^{\mathbf{W}_{t,n}^{s}} \right\|_{F}^{2}$$

$$(40)$$

By leveraging Theorem 2, Lemma 1 and 2, we derive an optimality gap of the AirPFed-LoRA framework and establish an upper bound for the variations of s- and p-adapters' matrices in Theorem 3.

Theorem 3: Building upon Theorem 2, Lemma 1 and 2, while setting $\eta \leq \frac{1}{\lambda(C+1)\beta}$, the optimality gap of the AirPFed-LoRA framework between two consecutive fine-tuning rounds can be bounded by

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{D_n}{D} \left(F(\{\mathbf{W}_{t+1,n}\}) - F(\{\mathbf{W}_{t,n}\}) \right) \le -\frac{\eta}{2} \Lambda_t + \frac{\beta \eta^2}{2} \sigma_{\text{SGD}}^2 + \frac{\beta \eta^2}{2} (C+1) \sigma_{\text{dif}}^2 + \frac{\beta \eta^2 (L+Q) R}{2} \sigma_t^2 \Pi_t$$

$$(41)$$

where $\Pi_t = \frac{R^s}{R} \text{MSE}_t^s + \frac{R^p}{R} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{D_n}{D} \text{MSE}_{t,n}^p$ denotes the combined MSE of the edge and global aggregated gradient signals in AirComp, $R = R^s + R^p$ denotes the total rank of s-and p-adapters, Λ_t is the F-norm of gradient matrices which captures the variation of s- and p-adapters, given by

$$\Lambda_{t} = \left\| \nabla_{\mathbf{W}_{t}^{s}} F\left(\left\{ \mathbf{W}_{t,n} \right\} \right) \right\|_{F}^{2} + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{D_{n}}{D} \left\| \nabla_{\mathbf{W}_{t,n}^{p}} F_{n}^{E}(\mathbf{W}_{t,n}) \right\|_{F}^{2}.$$

$$(42)$$

Suppose that Assumptions 1, 2, 3 hold while set the learning rate as $\eta = \frac{1}{\lambda(C+1)\beta}$. Then, the average gradients variation Λ_t can be bounded by

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \Lambda_{t} \leq \frac{2 \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{D_{n}}{D} (F(\{\mathbf{W}_{1,n}\}) - F(\{\mathbf{W}_{n}^{*}\}))}{\eta T} + \frac{\sigma_{\text{SGD}}^{2}}{\lambda (C+1)} + \frac{\sigma_{\text{dif}}^{2}}{\lambda} + \frac{(L+Q)R}{\lambda (C+1)T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sigma_{t}^{2} \Pi_{t} = \Psi_{T}, \tag{43}$$

where $\{\mathbf{W}_n^*\}$ denotes the optimal global s-adapter and edge p-adapters matrices.