CS376: Computer Vision: Assignment 4 Due: Nov. 16th, 11:59 PM

**Instruction:** 100 points in total.

**Submission:** See the end of this document for submission instructions.

# 1 Short answer problems [40 pts]

1. **(10 points).** In stereo matching, what is the search space for each pixel of one image in the other image? What is the meaning of image rectification?

The search space for each pixel in the first image is the set of all pixels in the corresponding epipolar line in the other image. Image rectification is the process using the camera parameters of the source images to “warp” and project the content of them onto new images on a plane parallel to the optical centers of the sources. This makes all horizontal scanlines between them epipolar.

1. **(10 points).** In stereo matching, what is the difference between determining the target pixels indepen- dently and determining the target pixels jointly? Please list three criteria for determining the target pixels jointly. What is the approach for solving the joint optimization procedure?
2. **(10 points).** In k-nearest neighbor classifier, what is impact of varying *k* on the classification accuracy? How to determine the value of *k*?
3. **(10 points).** List two differences between non-parametric methods and parametric methods for visual recognition.

# 2 Programming: Stereo Matching (60 points)

The goal of this assignment is to implement a simple window-based stereo matching algorithm for rectified stereo pairs. You will be using the following stereo pair: Following what we have discussed in class, pick a




Figure 1: Illustration of the data set. (Left) Source Image. (Middle) Target Image. (Right) Ground-truth disparity map.

window around each pixel in the first (reference) image, and then search the corresponding scanline in the second image for a matching window. The output should be a disparity map with respect to the first view. Note that key parameters should include the search window size, disparity range, and matching function. You should report comparisons for different values of these parameters:

* Search window size : show disparity maps for several window sizes and discuss which window size works the best (or what are the tradeoffs between using different window sizes). How does the running time depend on window size? **Bonus point:** How about combing multiple window sizes?
* Disparity range: what is the range of the scanline in the second image that should be traversed in order to find a match for a given location in the first image? **Bonus point:** How about use the smoothness in disparity ranges to accelerate the computation?
* Matching function: try sum of squared differences (SSD) and normalized correlation. Discuss in your report whether there is any difference between using these two functions, both in terms of quality of the results and in terms of running time.

In addition to showing your results and discussing implementation parameters, discuss the shortcomings of your algorithm. Where do the estimated disparity maps look good, and where do they look bad?

Grading considers the following factors:

* **30 points.** Implement a basic algorithm with a given window size, disparity range, and matching function. Please try sum of squared differences (SSD) and normalized correlation.
* **10 points.** Analyze the effects of the window size. Please display results of three window sizes, one small, one of median size, and one large.

A picture containing chart

Description automatically generated

A picture containing chart

Description automatically generated

Chart

Description automatically generated

The changing the window size results in a tradeoff between two variable determining the quality of the depth map-- noise and precision. Smaller windows mean more precision but increased noise, while larger windows result in less precision but less noise as well.

* **10 points.** Analyze the effects of changing the disparity range. Show results of using three values for the disparity range.

Chart

Description automatically generated

Chart

Description automatically generated

* **10 points.** Compare the effects of using sum of squared differences (SSD) and normalized correlation.

# Submission instructions:

Create a single zip file so submit on Canvas that includes

* Your well-commented code, including the files and functions named as specified above.
* A **PDF** writeup of your results with embedded figures where relevant. Please do not include any saved matrices or images etc. within your zip file.

Chart

Description automatically generatedChart

Description automatically generated