

## Disney's China ties in 'Mulan' undercut any virtue in the original film

The Hillsdale Collegian: Hillsdale College September 24, 2020 Thursday

University Wire

Copyright 2020 UWIRE via U-Wire All Rights Reserved

Section: OPINION; Pg. 1

Length: 840 words

Byline: Carmel Kookogey

## **Body**

When the match-maker calls roll in the iconic scene in my favorite Disney princess movie, my answer will be "not present."

No, I won't buy a ticket - and cer-tainly not Disney Plus access - to see the new live-action "Mulan," which released online Sept. 4. Disney's latest endeavor was largely shot in Xin-jiang, a north-western province in China where more than 1 million Uyghur Muslims are cur-rently held in internment camps by the Chinese Com-munist Party. It's a pro-pa-ganda product for which the company should be ashamed.

Iron-i-cally, "Mulan" is the one princess whom leftist media has paraded for decades as truly "fem-inist," while "Cin-derella" and "Snow White" pro-moted the so-called regressive female norms per-pet-uated by a patri-archal society. Yet the latest take on Disney's most egal-i-tarian princess was funded by one of the most anti-equality regimes in the world today.

It's not simply that the brand has finan-cially sup-ported a hor-rific regime which cur-rently enslaves reli-gious minorities, silences dis-si-dents, and oppresses its own people. Because of China's lucrative market for American films, Disney - not to mention Netflix and most of Hol-lywood - has been tied to China's purse-strings for years.

As The Her-itage Foundation's Tim Doescher has pointed out, "our films are being written with China in mind." Directors of "Top Gun: Mav-erick" removed a Tai-wainese symbol from Tom Cruise's jacket in one of Hollywood's latest efforts to ensure con-tinued access to China's movie-goers.

But until 2018, so long as you avoided the three Ts - Tibet, Taiwan, and Tiananmen - you were OK. Now there's a fourth item: Xin-jiang.

What makes "Mulan" par-tic-u-larly dis-turbing is its directors' and actors' blatant praise of Chinese state author-ities, like when Chinese-American star Yifei Liu (a.k.a. Crystal Liu) sided with Hong Kong police over prodemocracy pro-testers last year during a crackdown on demon-stra-tions. The directors' willful blindness to the atroc-ities com-mitted in Xin-jiang shows that for Hol-lywood, profit always comes before prin-ciple.

Many Amer-icans have heard of the Uyghur internment camps, but wide-spread knowledge of the atroc-ities the CCP is com-mitting in Xin-jiang was not imme-diate. The barbed-wire-lined com-pounds China calls "re-edu-cation camps" were created for the purpose of Sinocising, or making more Chinese, the Uyghur Muslims living in the Xin-jiang province.

Disney's China ties in 'Mulan' undercut any virtue in the original film

These camps were dis-covered in 2018, but may have existed for a while before that point.

Mean-while, an unknown number of Uyghurs - we now know at least 1 million - con-tinue to be iden-tified and tar-geted via China's face-recog-nition tech-nology. They are then forced to labor and recite Chinese pro-pa-ganda as a part of their "re-edu-cation" process and taught to think, speak, and act only for China. Much of this "training" involves forcing Muslims to violate their reli-gious prac-tices, like wearing beards or abstention from certain types of meat and alcohol.

The CCP describes its detention of 1 million Uyghur cit-izens as a "quar-antine" for the "disease" of being "infected by unhealthy thoughts."

Since 2018, many have since decried China's senior party leaders for their behavior. The United States passed a law this year con-demning China's treatment of the Uyghur minority. Yet thou-sands of busi-nesses, espe-cially in the film industry, con-tinue to shake hands with the Uyghurs' captors, pre-sumably in exchange for another round of pocket-linings.

Did Mulan's directors simply not notice the forced labor camps in the province where they filmed, or did they agree to keep their mouths shut - and praise CCP author-ities in the movie's credits - in exchange for shooting there?

The film credits' "China Special Thanks" list included the "Pub-licity Department of CPC Xin-jiang Uyghur Autonomous Region Com-mittee" and dis-plays that the film was "super-vised by China Film Co-Pro-duction Cor-po-ration."

The director didn't praise just any party leader, either. He praised the police security bureau in Turpan - the bureau reportedly tasked with running some of the Uyghur internment camps, and which "was black-listed last year along with other Chinese law enforcement agencies by the U.S. Com-merce Department, pro-hibiting U.S. com-panies from selling or sup-plying products to them," Vox reported.

Even CNN admitted this was a bad look for Disney. In a com-mentary segment on Sept. 13, network host Jake Tapper called out Disney's "prob-lematic" choice of thanking "the pro-pa-ganda author-ities of the ruling Chinese Com-munist Party in Xin-jiang" in the movie credits.

Disney Chief Financial Officer Christine McCarthy defended the brand's praise of China in the "Mulan" movie credits as standard pro-cedure in the film industry, which only fur-thers the point.

Disney may be OK with propping up a mur-derous com-munist regime, but American con-sumers should not be.

Carmel Kookogey is a senior George Wash-ington Fellow studying pol-itics. She is the editor-in-chief of the Col-legian.

Load-Date: September 24, 2020

**End of Document**