

## CAB420: Machine Learning Assignment 1B CRA

Each of the two (2) questions will be marked according the following CRA. All questions are weighted equally.

7 (High Distinction)	6 (Distinction)	5 (Credit)	4 (Pass)	3 (Marginal Fail)	2 (Fail)	1 (Low Fail)
Selection of and justification for the selected of methods and their parameters (30% of question mark)						
Demonstrates <i>superior</i> knowledge of the machine learning methods, relevant to the question, and presents <i>compelling</i> justification for the selected methods/parameters.	Demonstrates <i>advanced</i> knowledge of the machine learning methods, relevant to the question, and presents <i>strong</i> justification for the selected methods/parameters.	Demonstrates <i>credible</i> knowledge of the machine learning methods, relevant to the question, and presents <i>credible</i> justification for the selected methods/parameters.	Demonstrates <i>good</i> knowledge of the machine learning methods, relevant to the question, and presents <i>good</i> justification for the selected methods/parameters.	Demonstrates <i>limited</i> knowledge of the machine learning methods, relevant to the question, and presents <i>some</i> justification for the selected methods/parameters.	Demonstrates <i>poor</i> knowledge of the machine learning methods, relevant to the question, and presents <i>little</i> justification for the selected methods/parameters.	Demonstrates <i>no</i> knowledge of the machine learning methods, relevant to the question, and presents <i>no</i> justification for the selected methods/parameters.
Evaluation and Discussion of Results (50% of question mark)						
Presents a <i>superior</i> analysis of algorithm results, and an <i>insightful</i> interpretation of the results.	Presents a <i>advanced</i> analysis of algorithm results, and a <i>thoughtful</i> interpretation of the results.	Presents a <i>credible</i> analysis of algorithm results, and a <i>good</i> interpretation of the results.	Presents a <i>good</i> analysis algorithm results, and <i>some</i> interpretation of the results.	Presents a <i>limited</i> analysis of algorithm results, and <i>vague or unclear</i> interpretation of the results.	Presents a <i>poor</i> analysis of algorithm results, and a <i>limited and/or incorrect</i> interpretation of the results.	Presents a <i>no meaningful analysis</i> of algorithm results, and <i>no interpretation</i> of the results.
Presentation (20% of question mark)						
All parts of the response are presented at the highest possible standard, including spelling and grammar, style, formatting, structure and fluency of language and terminology.	The response is <i>very well written</i> and understandable throughout, with only a few insignificant presentation errors.	The report is <i>well written</i> and understandable throughout, but with a few noticeable presentation errors.	The report is <i>generally well-written</i> and understandable, but with a few small presentation errors that make one or two points unclear.	The report contains a number of <i>distracting errors</i> in its presentation, making several parts hard to understand.	Large parts of the report are <i>poorly written</i> , making many parts difficult to understand.	The entire report is <i>poorly-written</i> and/or incomplete and/or <i>impossible to understand</i> .