Template for Proofs by Mathematical Induction

- 1. Express the statement that is to be proved in the form "for all $n \ge b$, P(n)" for a fixed integer b.
- 2. Write out the words "Basis Step." Then show that P(b) is true, taking care that the correct value of b is used. This completes the first part of the proof.
- 3. Write out the words "Inductive Step."
- 4. State, and clearly identify, the inductive hypothesis, in the form "assume that P(k) is true for an arbitrary fixed integer k > b."
- 5. State what needs to be proved under the assumption that the inductive hypothesis is true. That is, write out what P(k + 1) says.
- 6. Prove the statement P(k+1) making use the assumption P(k). Be sure that your proof is valid for all integers k with k > b, taking care that the proof works for small values of k, including k = b.
- 7. Clearly identify the conclusion of the inductive step, such as by saying "this completes the inductive step."
- 8. After completing the basis step and the inductive step, state the conclusion, namely that by mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all integers n with n > b.

It is worthwhile to revisit each of the mathematical induction proofs in Examples 1–14 to see how these steps are completed. It will be helpful to follow these guidelines in the solutions of the exercises that ask for proofs by mathematical induction. The guidelines that we presented can be adapted for each of the variants of mathematical induction that we introduce in the exercises and later in this chapter.

Exercises

- 1. There are infinitely many stations on a train route. Suppose that the train stops at the first station and suppose that if the train stops at a station, then it stops at the next station. Show that the train stops at all stations.
- 2. Suppose that you know that a golfer plays the first hole of a golf course with an infinite number of holes and that if this golfer plays one hole, then the golfer goes on to play the next hole. Prove that this golfer plays every hole on the course.

Use mathematical induction in Exercises 3–17 to prove summation formulae. Be sure to identify where you use the inductive hypothesis.

- 3. Let P(n) be the statement that $1^2 + 2^2 + \cdots + n^2 =$ n(n+1)(2n+1)/6 for the positive integer n.
 - a) What is the statement P(1)?
 - **b)** Show that P(1) is true, completing the basis step of the proof.
 - c) What is the inductive hypothesis?
 - **d)** What do you need to prove in the inductive step?
 - e) Complete the inductive step, identifying where you use the inductive hypothesis.

- f) Explain why these steps show that this formula is true whenever n is a positive integer.
- **4.** Let P(n) be the statement that $1^3 + 2^3 + \cdots + n^3 =$ $(n(n+1)/2)^2$ for the positive integer n.
 - a) What is the statement P(1)?
 - **b)** Show that P(1) is true, completing the basis step of the proof.
 - c) What is the inductive hypothesis?
 - **d)** What do you need to prove in the inductive step?
 - e) Complete the inductive step, identifying where you use the inductive hypothesis.
 - f) Explain why these steps show that this formula is true whenever n is a positive integer.
- **5.** Prove that $1^2 + 3^2 + 5^2 + \cdots + (2n+1)^2 = (n+1)$ (2n+1)(2n+3)/3 whenever n is a nonnegative integer.
- **6.** Prove that $1 \cdot 1! + 2 \cdot 2! + \cdots + n \cdot n! = (n+1)! 1$ whenever n is a positive integer.
- 7. Prove that $3+3\cdot 5+3\cdot 5^2+\cdots+3\cdot 5^n=3(5^{n+1}-1)/4$ whenever n is a nonnegative integer.
- **8.** Prove that $2 2 \cdot 7 + 2 \cdot 7^2 \dots + 2(-7)^n = (1 1)^n$ $(-7)^{n+1}$)/4 whenever n is a nonnegative integer.

- **b)** Prove the formula that you conjectured in part (a).
- 10. a) Find a formula for

$$\frac{1}{1\cdot 2} + \frac{1}{2\cdot 3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n(n+1)}$$

by examining the values of this expression for small values of n.

- **b)** Prove the formula you conjectured in part (a).
- 11. a) Find a formula for

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^n}$$

by examining the values of this expression for small values of n.

- **b)** Prove the formula you conjectured in part (a).
- 12. Prove that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right)^{j} = \frac{2^{n+1} + (-1)^{n}}{3 \cdot 2^{n}}$$

whenever n is a nonnegative integer.

- **13.** Prove that $1^2 2^2 + 3^2 \dots + (-1)^{n-1}n^2 = (-1)^{n-1}$ n(n+1)/2 whenever n is a positive integer.
- **14.** Prove that for every positive integer n, $\sum_{k=1}^{n} k2^k = (n-1)2^{n+1} + 2$.
- 15. Prove that for every positive integer n,

$$1 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot 3 + \dots + n(n+1) = n(n+1)(n+2)/3.$$

16. Prove that for every positive integer n,

$$1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 + \dots + n(n+1)(n+2)$$
$$= n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)/4.$$

17. Prove that $\sum_{j=1}^{n} j^4 = n(n+1)(2n+1)(3n^2+3n-1)/30$ whenever *n* is a positive integer.

Use mathematical induction to prove the inequalities in Exercises 18–30.

- **18.** Let P(n) be the statement that $n! < n^n$, where n is an integer greater than 1.
 - a) What is the statement P(2)?
 - b) Show that P(2) is true, completing the basis step of the proof.
 - c) What is the inductive hypothesis?
 - **d)** What do you need to prove in the inductive step?
 - e) Complete the inductive step.
 - **f**) Explain why these steps show that this inequality is true whenever n is an integer greater than 1.
- **19.** Let P(n) be the statement that

$$1 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{9} + \dots + \frac{1}{n^2} < 2 - \frac{1}{n}$$

where n is an integer greater than 1.

- a) What is the statement P(2)?
- b) Show that P(2) is true, completing the basis step of the proof.

- c) What is the inductive hypothesis?
- **d)** What do you need to prove in the inductive step?
- e) Complete the inductive step.
- f) Explain why these steps show that this inequality is true whenever n is an integer greater than 1.
- **20.** Prove that $3^n < n!$ if n is an integer greater than 6.
- **21.** Prove that $2^n > n^2$ if *n* is an integer greater than 4.
- **22.** For which nonnegative integers n is $n^2 \le n!$? Prove your
- **23.** For which nonnegative integers n is $2n + 3 \le 2^n$? Prove your answer.
- **24.** Prove that $1/(2n) \le [1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \cdots \cdot (2n-1)]/(2 \cdot 4 \cdot \cdots \cdot 2n)$ whenever n is a positive integer.
- *25. Prove that if h > -1, then $1 + nh \le (1 + h)^n$ for all nonnegative integers n. This is called **Bernoulli's inequality**.
- *26. Suppose that a and b are real numbers with 0 < b < a. Prove that if n is a positive integer, then $a^n - b^n \le na^{n-1}(a-b)$.
- *27. Prove that for every positive integer n,

$$1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} > 2(\sqrt{n+1} - 1).$$

28. Prove that $n^2 - 7n + 12$ is nonnegative whenever n is an integer with $n \ge 3$.

In Exercises 29 and 30, H_n denotes the nth harmonic number.

- ***29.** Prove that $H_{2^n} \le 1 + n$ whenever n is a nonnegative integer.
- *30. Prove that

$$H_1 + H_2 + \cdots + H_n = (n+1)H_n - n.$$

Use mathematical induction in Exercises 31–37 to prove divisibility facts.

- **31.** Prove that 2 divides $n^2 + n$ whenever n is a positive integer.
- **32.** Prove that 3 divides $n^3 + 2n$ whenever n is a positive integer.
- **33.** Prove that 5 divides $n^5 n$ whenever n is a nonnegative integer.
- **34.** Prove that 6 divides $n^3 n$ whenever n is a nonnegative integer.
- *35. Prove that $n^2 1$ is divisible by 8 whenever n is an odd positive integer.
- *36. Prove that 21 divides $4^{n+1} + 5^{2n-1}$ whenever *n* is a positive integer.
- *37. Prove that if n is a positive integer, then 133 divides $11^{n+1} + 12^{2n-1}$.

Use mathematical induction in Exercises 38–46 to prove results about sets.

38. Prove that if A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n and B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n are sets such that $A_j \subseteq B_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, then

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} A_{j} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} B_{j}.$$

39. Prove that if A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n and B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n are sets such that $A_i \subseteq B_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, then

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^n A_j \subseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^n B_j.$$

40. Prove that if A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n and B are sets, then

$$(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_n) \cup B$$

= $(A_1 \cup B) \cap (A_2 \cup B) \cap \cdots \cap (A_n \cup B).$

41. Prove that if A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n and B are sets, then

$$(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n) \cap B$$

= $(A_1 \cap B) \cup (A_2 \cap B) \cup \cdots \cup (A_n \cap B).$

42. Prove that if A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n and B are sets, then

$$(A_1 - B) \cap (A_2 - B) \cap \cdots \cap (A_n - B)$$

= $(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_n) - B$.

43. Prove that if A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n are subsets of a universal set U, then

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} A_k = \bigcap_{k=1}^{n} \overline{A_k}.$$

44. Prove that if A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n and B are sets, then

$$(A_1 - B) \cup (A_2 - B) \cup \cdots \cup (A_n - B)$$

= $(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n) - B$.

- **45.** Prove that a set with n elements has n(n-1)/2 subsets containing exactly two elements whenever n is an integer greater than or equal to 2.
- *46. Prove that a set with n elements has n(n-1)(n-2)/6 subsets containing exactly three elements whenever n is an integer greater than or equal to 3.

In Exercises 47 and 48 we consider the problem of placing towers along a straight road, so that every building on the road receives cellular service. Assume that a building receives cellular service if it is within one mile of a tower.

- **47.** Devise a greedy algorithm that uses the minimum number of towers possible to provide cell service to d buildings located at positions x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d from the start of the road. [*Hint:* At each step, go as far as possible along the road before adding a tower so as not to leave any buildings without coverage.]
- *48. Use mathematical induction to prove that the algorithm you devised in Exercise 47 produces an optimal solution, that is, that it uses the fewest towers possible to provide cellular service to all buildings.

Exercises 49–51 present incorrect proofs using mathematical induction. You will need to identify an error in reasoning in each exercise.

49. What is wrong with this "proof" that all horses are the same color?

Let P(n) be the proposition that all the horses in a set of n horses are the same color.

Basis Step: Clearly, P(1) is true.

Inductive Step: Assume that P(k) is true, so that all the horses in any set of k horses are the same color. Consider any k+1 horses; number these as horses $1,2,3,\ldots,k,k+1$. Now the first k of these horses all must have the same color, and the last k of these must also have the same color. Because the set of the first k horses and the set of the last k horses overlap, all k+1 must be the same color. This shows that P(k+1) is true and finishes the proof by induction.

50. What is wrong with this "proof"? "Theorem" For every positive integer n, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = (n + \frac{1}{2})^2/2$.

Basis Step: The formula is true for n = 1.

Inductive Step: Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = (n + \frac{1}{2})^2/2$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} i) + (n+1)$. By the inductive hypothesis, $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i = (n + \frac{1}{2})^2/2 + n + 1 = (n^2 + n + \frac{1}{4})/2 + n + 1 = (n^2 + 3n + \frac{9}{4})/2 = (n + \frac{3}{2})^2/2 = [(n+1) + \frac{1}{2}]^2/2$, completing the inductive step.

51. What is wrong with this "proof"? "Theorem" For every positive integer n, if x and y are positive integers with $\max(x, y) = n$, then x = y.

Basis Step: Suppose that n = 1. If $\max(x, y) = 1$ and x and y are positive integers, we have x = 1 and y = 1.

Inductive Step: Let k be a positive integer. Assume that whenever $\max(x, y) = k$ and x and y are positive integers, then x = y. Now let $\max(x, y) = k + 1$, where x and y are positive integers. Then $\max(x - 1, y - 1) = k$, so by the inductive hypothesis, x - 1 = y - 1. It follows that x = y, completing the inductive step.

- **52.** Suppose that m and n are positive integers with m > n and f is a function from $\{1, 2, ..., m\}$ to $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Use mathematical induction on the variable n to show that f is not one-to-one.
- *53. Use mathematical induction to show that n people can divide a cake (where each person gets one or more separate pieces of the cake) so that the cake is divided fairly, that is, in the sense that each person thinks he or she got at least (1/n)th of the cake. [Hint: For the inductive step, take a fair division of the cake among the first k people, have each person divide their share into what this person thinks are k + 1 equal portions, and then have the (k + 1)st person select a portion from each of the k people. When showing this produces a fair division for k + 1 people, suppose that person k + 1 thinks that person i got pi of the cake where ∑_{i=1}^k p_i = 1.]
- **54.** Use mathematical induction to show that given a set of n+1 positive integers, none exceeding 2n, there is at least one integer in this set that divides another integer in the set.
- *55. A knight on a chessboard can move one space horizontally (in either direction) and two spaces vertically (in either direction) or two spaces horizontally (in either direction) and one space vertically (in either direction). Suppose that we have an infinite chessboard, made up

of all squares (m, n) where m and n are nonnegative integers that denote the row number and the column number of the square, respectively. Use mathematical induction to show that a knight starting at (0, 0) can visit every square using a finite sequence of moves. [Hint: Use induction on the variable s = m + n.]

56. Suppose that

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix},$$

where a and b are real numbers. Show that

$$\mathbf{A}^n = \begin{bmatrix} a^n & 0 \\ 0 & b^n \end{bmatrix}$$

for every positive integer n.

- **57.** (*Requires calculus*) Use mathematical induction to prove that the derivative of $f(x) = x^n$ equals nx^{n-1} whenever n is a positive integer. (For the inductive step, use the product rule for derivatives.)
- **58.** Suppose that **A** and **B** are square matrices with the property $\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{BA}$. Show that $\mathbf{AB}^n = \mathbf{B}^n \mathbf{A}$ for every positive integer n.
- **59.** Suppose that m is a positive integer. Use mathematical induction to prove that if a and b are integers with $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$, then $a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{m}$ whenever k is a nonnegative integer.
- **60.** Use mathematical induction to show that $\neg (p_1 \lor p_2 \lor \dots \lor p_n)$ is equivalent to $\neg p_1 \land \neg p_2 \land \dots \land \neg p_n$ whenever p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n are propositions.
- ***61.** Show that

$$[(p_1 \to p_2) \land (p_2 \to p_3) \land \cdots \land (p_{n-1} \to p_n)]$$

 $\to [(p_1 \land p_2 \land \cdots \land p_{n-1}) \to p_n]$

is a tautology whenever p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n are propositions, where n > 2.

- *62. Show that n lines separate the plane into $(n^2 + n + 2)/2$ regions if no two of these lines are parallel and no three pass through a common point.
- **63. Let a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n be positive real numbers. The **arithmetic mean** of these numbers is defined by

$$A = (a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n)/n$$
,

and the geometric mean of these numbers is defined by

$$G = (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)^{1/n}$$

Use mathematical induction to prove that $A \geq G$.

- **64.** Use mathematical induction to prove Lemma 3 of Section 4.3, which states that if p is a prime and $p \mid a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$, where a_i is an integer for $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n$, then $p \mid a_i$ for some integer i.
- **65.** Show that if n is a positive integer, then

$$\sum_{\{a_1,\dots,a_k\}\subseteq\{1,2,\dots,n\}} \frac{1}{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k} = n.$$

(Here the sum is over all nonempty subsets of the set of the *n* smallest positive integers.)

*66. Use the well-ordering property to show that the following form of mathematical induction is a valid method to prove that P(n) is true for all positive integers n.

Basis Step: P(1) and P(2) are true.

Inductive Step: For each positive integer k, if P(k) and P(k+1) are both true, then P(k+2) is true.

- **67.** Show that if A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n are sets where $n \ge 2$, and for all pairs of integers i and j with $1 \le i < j \le n$ either A_i is a subset of A_j or A_j is a subset of A_i , then there is an integer i, $1 \le i \le n$ such that A_i is a subset of A_j for all integers j with $1 \le j \le n$.
- *68. A guest at a party is a **celebrity** if this person is known by every other guest, but knows none of them. There is at most one celebrity at a party, for if there were two, they would know each other. A particular party may have no celebrity. Your assignment is to find the celebrity, if one exists, at a party, by asking only one type of question asking a guest whether they know a second guest. Everyone must answer your questions truthfully. That is, if Alice and Bob are two people at the party, you can ask Alice whether she knows Bob; she must answer correctly. Use mathematical induction to show that if there are npeople at the party, then you can find the celebrity, if there is one, with 3(n-1) questions. [Hint: First ask a question to eliminate one person as a celebrity. Then use the inductive hypothesis to identify a potential celebrity. Finally, ask two more questions to determine whether that person is actually a celebrity.]

Suppose there are n people in a group, each aware of a scandal no one else in the group knows about. These people communicate by telephone; when two people in the group talk, they share information about all scandals each knows about. For example, on the first call, two people share information, so by the end of the call, each of these people knows about two scandals. The **gossip problem** asks for G(n), the minimum number of telephone calls that are needed for all n people to learn about all the scandals. Exercises 69–71 deal with the gossip problem.

- **69.** Find G(1), G(2), G(3), and G(4).
- **70.** Use mathematical induction to prove that $G(n) \le 2n 4$ for $n \ge 4$. [Hint: In the inductive step, have a new person call a particular person at the start and at the end.]
- **71. Prove that G(n) = 2n 4 for n > 4.
- *72. Show that it is possible to arrange the numbers $1, 2, \ldots, n$ in a row so that the average of any two of these numbers never appears between them. [*Hint*: Show that it suffices to prove this fact when n is a power of 2. Then use mathematical induction to prove the result when n is a power of 2.]
- *73. Show that if I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_n is a collection of open intervals on the real number line, $n \ge 2$, and every pair of these intervals has a nonempty intersection, that is, $I_i \cap I_j \ne \emptyset$ whenever $1 \le i \le n$ and $1 \le j \le n$, then the intersection of all these sets is nonempty, that is, $I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \cdots \cap I_n \ne \emptyset$. (Recall that an **open interval** is

the set of real numbers x with a < x < b, where a and b are real numbers with a < b.)

Sometimes we cannot use mathematical induction to prove a result we believe to be true, but we can use mathematical induction to prove a stronger result. Because the inductive hypothesis of the stronger result provides more to work with, this process is called inductive loading. We use inductive loading in Exercise 74.

74. Suppose that we want to prove that

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cdots \frac{2n-1}{2n} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{3n}}$$

for all positive integers n.

- a) Show that if we try to prove this inequality using mathematical induction, the basis step works, but the inductive step fails.
- b) Show that mathematical induction can be used to prove the stronger inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cdots \frac{2n-1}{2n} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{3n+1}}$$

for all integers greater than 1, which, together with a verification for the case where n = 1, establishes the weaker inequality we originally tried to prove using mathematical induction.

75. Let n be an even positive integer. Show that when n people stand in a yard at mutually distinct distances and each

- person throws a pie at their nearest neighbor, it is possible that everyone is hit by a pie.
- **76.** Construct a tiling using right triominoes of the 4×4 checkerboard with the square in the upper left corner removed.
- 77. Construct a tiling using right triominoes of the 8×8 checkerboard with the square in the upper left corner re-
- **78.** Prove or disprove that all checkerboards of these shapes can be completely covered using right triominoes whenever n is a positive integer.
 - a) 3×2^n
- **b**) 6×2^n
- **c)** $3^{n} \times 3^{n}$
- **d)** $6^n \times 6^n$
- *79. Show that a three-dimensional $2^n \times 2^n \times 2^n$ checkerboard with one $1 \times 1 \times 1$ cube missing can be completely covered by $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cubes with one $1 \times 1 \times 1$ cube re-
- *80. Show that an $n \times n$ checkerboard with one square removed can be completely covered using right triominoes if n > 5, n is odd, and $3 \nmid n$.
- **81.** Show that a 5×5 checkerboard with a corner square removed can be tiled using right triominoes.
- *82. Find a 5×5 checkerboard with a square removed that cannot be tiled using right triominoes. Prove that such a tiling does not exist for this board.
- 83. Use the principle of mathematical induction to show that P(n) is true for $n = b, b + 1, b + 2, \ldots$, where b is an integer, if P(b) is true and the conditional statement $P(k) \to P(k+1)$ is true for all integers k with $k \ge b$.

Strong Induction and Well-Ordering

Introduction

In Section 5.1 we introduced mathematical induction and we showed how to use it to prove a variety of theorems. In this section we will introduce another form of mathematical induction, called **strong induction**, which can often be used when we cannot easily prove a result using mathematical induction. The basis step of a proof by strong induction is the same as a proof of the same result using mathematical induction. That is, in a strong induction proof that P(n) is true for all positive integers n, the basis step shows that P(1) is true. However, the inductive steps in these two proof methods are different. In a proof by mathematical induction, the inductive step shows that if the inductive hypothesis P(k) is true, then P(k+1) is also true. In a proof by strong induction, the inductive step shows that if P(i) is true for all positive integers not exceeding k, then P(k+1) is true. That is, for the inductive hypothesis we assume that P(i)is true for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$.

The validity of both mathematical induction and strong induction follow from the wellordering property in Appendix 1. In fact, mathematical induction, strong induction, and wellordering are all equivalent principles (as shown in Exercises 41, 42, and 43). That is, the validity of each can be proved from either of the other two. This means that a proof using one of these two principles can be rewritten as a proof using either of the other two principles. Just as it is sometimes the case that it is much easier to see how to prove a result using strong induction rather than mathematical induction, it is sometimes easier to use well-ordering than one of the