

EXAMPLE 16 (*Requires calculus*) Use quantifiers and predicates to express the fact that $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$ does not exist where $f(x)$ is a real-valued function of a real variable x and a belongs to the domain of f .

Solution: To say that $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$ does not exist means that for all real numbers L , $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) \neq L$. By using Example 8, the statement $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) \neq L$ can be expressed as

$$\neg \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall x (0 < |x - a| < \delta \rightarrow |f(x) - L| < \epsilon).$$


Successively applying the rules for negating quantified expressions, we construct this sequence of equivalent statements

$$\begin{aligned} & \neg \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall x (0 < |x - a| < \delta \rightarrow |f(x) - L| < \epsilon) \\ & \equiv \exists \epsilon > 0 \neg \exists \delta > 0 \forall x (0 < |x - a| < \delta \rightarrow |f(x) - L| < \epsilon) \\ & \equiv \exists \epsilon > 0 \forall \delta > 0 \neg \forall x (0 < |x - a| < \delta \rightarrow |f(x) - L| < \epsilon) \\ & \equiv \exists \epsilon > 0 \forall \delta > 0 \exists x \neg (0 < |x - a| < \delta \rightarrow |f(x) - L| < \epsilon) \\ & \equiv \exists \epsilon > 0 \forall \delta > 0 \exists x (0 < |x - a| < \delta \wedge |f(x) - L| \geq \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we used the equivalence $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge \neg q$, which follows from the fifth equivalence in Table 7 of Section 1.3.

Because the statement “ $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$ does not exist” means for all real numbers L , $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) \neq L$, this can be expressed as

$$\forall L \exists \epsilon > 0 \forall \delta > 0 \exists x (0 < |x - a| < \delta \wedge |f(x) - L| \geq \epsilon).$$

This last statement says that for every real number L there is a real number $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every real number $\delta > 0$, there exists a real number x such that $0 < |x - a| < \delta$ and $|f(x) - L| \geq \epsilon$. 

Exercises

- Translate these statements into English, where the domain for each variable consists of all real numbers.
 - $\forall x \exists y (x < y)$
 - $\forall x \forall y (((x \geq 0) \wedge (y \geq 0)) \rightarrow (xy \geq 0))$
 - $\forall x \forall y \exists z (xy = z)$
- Translate these statements into English, where the domain for each variable consists of all real numbers.
 - $\exists x \forall y (xy = y)$
 - $\forall x \forall y (((x \geq 0) \wedge (y < 0)) \rightarrow (x - y > 0))$
 - $\forall x \forall y \exists z (x = y + z)$
- Let $Q(x, y)$ be the statement “ x has sent an e-mail message to y ,” where the domain for both x and y consists of all students in your class. Express each of these quantifications in English.
 - $\exists x \exists y Q(x, y)$
 - $\exists x \forall y Q(x, y)$
 - $\forall x \exists y Q(x, y)$
 - $\exists y \forall x Q(x, y)$
 - $\forall y \exists x Q(x, y)$
 - $\forall x \forall y Q(x, y)$
- Let $P(x, y)$ be the statement “Student x has taken class y ,” where the domain for x consists of all students in your class and for y consists of all computer science courses at your school. Express each of these quantifications in English.
 - $\exists x \exists y P(x, y)$
 - $\exists x \forall y P(x, y)$
 - $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$
 - $\exists y \forall x P(x, y)$
 - $\forall y \exists x P(x, y)$
 - $\forall x \forall y P(x, y)$
- Let $W(x, y)$ mean that student x has visited website y , where the domain for x consists of all students in your school and the domain for y consists of all websites. Express each of these statements by a simple English sentence.
 - $W(\text{Sarah Smith}, \text{www.att.com})$
 - $\exists x W(x, \text{www.imdb.org})$
 - $\exists y W(\text{José Orez}, y)$
 - $\exists y (W(\text{Ashok Puri}, y) \wedge W(\text{Cindy Yoon}, y))$
 - $\exists y \forall z (y \neq (\text{David Belcher}) \wedge (W(\text{David Belcher}, z) \rightarrow W(y, z)))$
 - $\exists x \exists y \forall z ((x \neq y) \wedge (W(x, z) \leftrightarrow W(y, z)))$
- Let $C(x, y)$ mean that student x is enrolled in class y , where the domain for x consists of all students in your school and the domain for y consists of all classes being

given at your school. Express each of these statements by a simple English sentence.

- a) $C(\text{Randy Goldberg, CS 252})$
 - b) $\exists x C(x, \text{Math 695})$
 - c) $\exists y C(\text{Carol Sitea, } y)$
 - d) $\exists x (C(x, \text{Math 222}) \wedge C(x, \text{CS 252}))$
 - e) $\exists x \exists y \forall z ((x \neq y) \wedge (C(x, z) \rightarrow C(y, z)))$
 - f) $\exists x \exists y \forall z ((x \neq y) \wedge (C(x, z) \leftrightarrow C(y, z)))$
7. Let $T(x, y)$ mean that student x likes cuisine y , where the domain for x consists of all students at your school and the domain for y consists of all cuisines. Express each of these statements by a simple English sentence.
- a) $\neg T(\text{Abdallah Hussein, Japanese})$
 - b) $\exists x T(x, \text{Korean}) \wedge \forall x T(x, \text{Mexican})$
 - c) $\exists y (T(\text{Monique Arsenault, } y) \vee T(\text{Jay Johnson, } y))$
 - d) $\forall x \forall z \exists y ((x \neq z) \rightarrow \neg (T(x, y) \wedge T(z, y)))$
 - e) $\exists x \exists z \forall y (T(x, y) \leftrightarrow T(z, y))$
 - f) $\forall x \forall z \exists y (T(x, y) \leftrightarrow T(z, y))$
8. Let $Q(x, y)$ be the statement “student x has been a contestant on quiz show y .” Express each of these sentences in terms of $Q(x, y)$, quantifiers, and logical connectives, where the domain for x consists of all students at your school and for y consists of all quiz shows on television.
- a) There is a student at your school who has been a contestant on a television quiz show.
 - b) No student at your school has ever been a contestant on a television quiz show.
 - c) There is a student at your school who has been a contestant on *Jeopardy* and on *Wheel of Fortune*.
 - d) Every television quiz show has had a student from your school as a contestant.
 - e) At least two students from your school have been contestants on *Jeopardy*.
9. Let $L(x, y)$ be the statement “ x loves y ,” where the domain for both x and y consists of all people in the world. Use quantifiers to express each of these statements.
- a) Everybody loves Jerry.
 - b) Everybody loves somebody.
 - c) There is somebody whom everybody loves.
 - d) Nobody loves everybody.
 - e) There is somebody whom Lydia does not love.
 - f) There is somebody whom no one loves.
 - g) There is exactly one person whom everybody loves.
 - h) There are exactly two people whom Lynn loves.
 - i) Everyone loves himself or herself.
 - j) There is someone who loves no one besides himself or herself.
10. Let $F(x, y)$ be the statement “ x can fool y ,” where the domain consists of all people in the world. Use quantifiers to express each of these statements.
- a) Everybody can fool Fred.
 - b) Evelyn can fool everybody.
 - c) Everybody can fool somebody.
 - d) There is no one who can fool everybody.
 - e) Everyone can be fooled by somebody.
 - f) No one can fool both Fred and Jerry.
 - g) Nancy can fool exactly two people.
 - h) There is exactly one person whom everybody can fool.
 - i) No one can fool himself or herself.
 - j) There is someone who can fool exactly one person besides himself or herself.
11. Let $S(x)$ be the predicate “ x is a student,” $F(x)$ the predicate “ x is a faculty member,” and $A(x, y)$ the predicate “ x has asked y a question,” where the domain consists of all people associated with your school. Use quantifiers to express each of these statements.
- a) Lois has asked Professor Michaels a question.
 - b) Every student has asked Professor Gross a question.
 - c) Every faculty member has either asked Professor Miller a question or been asked a question by Professor Miller.
 - d) Some student has not asked any faculty member a question.
 - e) There is a faculty member who has never been asked a question by a student.
 - f) Some student has asked every faculty member a question.
 - g) There is a faculty member who has asked every other faculty member a question.
 - h) Some student has never been asked a question by a faculty member.
12. Let $I(x)$ be the statement “ x has an Internet connection” and $C(x, y)$ be the statement “ x and y have chatted over the Internet,” where the domain for the variables x and y consists of all students in your class. Use quantifiers to express each of these statements.
- a) Jerry does not have an Internet connection.
 - b) Rachel has not chatted over the Internet with Chelsea.
 - c) Jan and Sharon have never chatted over the Internet.
 - d) No one in the class has chatted with Bob.
 - e) Sanjay has chatted with everyone except Joseph.
 - f) Someone in your class does not have an Internet connection.
 - g) Not everyone in your class has an Internet connection.
 - h) Exactly one student in your class has an Internet connection.
 - i) Everyone except one student in your class has an Internet connection.
 - j) Everyone in your class with an Internet connection has chatted over the Internet with at least one other student in your class.
 - k) Someone in your class has an Internet connection but has not chatted with anyone else in your class.
 - l) There are two students in your class who have not chatted with each other over the Internet.
 - m) There is a student in your class who has chatted with everyone in your class over the Internet.
 - n) There are at least two students in your class who have not chatted with the same person in your class.
 - o) There are two students in the class who between them have chatted with everyone else in the class.

13. Let $M(x, y)$ be “ x has sent y an e-mail message” and $T(x, y)$ be “ x has telephoned y ,” where the domain consists of all students in your class. Use quantifiers to express each of these statements. (Assume that all e-mail messages that were sent are received, which is not the way things often work.)
- Chou has never sent an e-mail message to Koko.
 - Arlene has never sent an e-mail message to or telephoned Sarah.
 - José has never received an e-mail message from Deborah.
 - Every student in your class has sent an e-mail message to Ken.
 - No one in your class has telephoned Nina.
 - Everyone in your class has either telephoned Avi or sent him an e-mail message.
 - There is a student in your class who has sent everyone else in your class an e-mail message.
 - There is someone in your class who has either sent an e-mail message or telephoned everyone else in your class.
 - There are two different students in your class who have sent each other e-mail messages.
 - There is a student who has sent himself or herself an e-mail message.
 - There is a student in your class who has not received an e-mail message from anyone else in the class and who has not been called by any other student in the class.
 - Every student in the class has either received an e-mail message or received a telephone call from another student in the class.
 - There are at least two students in your class such that one student has sent the other e-mail and the second student has telephoned the first student.
 - There are two different students in your class who between them have sent an e-mail message to or telephoned everyone else in the class.
14. Use quantifiers and predicates with more than one variable to express these statements.
- There is a student in this class who can speak Hindi.
 - Every student in this class plays some sport.
 - Some student in this class has visited Alaska but has not visited Hawaii.
 - All students in this class have learned at least one programming language.
 - There is a student in this class who has taken every course offered by one of the departments in this school.
 - Some student in this class grew up in the same town as exactly one other student in this class.
 - Every student in this class has chatted with at least one other student in at least one chat group.
15. Use quantifiers and predicates with more than one variable to express these statements.
- Every computer science student needs a course in discrete mathematics.
 - There is a student in this class who owns a personal computer.
 - Every student in this class has taken at least one computer science course.
 - There is a student in this class who has taken at least one course in computer science.
 - Every student in this class has been in every building on campus.
 - There is a student in this class who has been in every room of at least one building on campus.
 - Every student in this class has been in at least one room of every building on campus.
16. A discrete mathematics class contains 1 mathematics major who is a freshman, 12 mathematics majors who are sophomores, 15 computer science majors who are sophomores, 2 mathematics majors who are juniors, 2 computer science majors who are juniors, and 1 computer science major who is a senior. Express each of these statements in terms of quantifiers and then determine its truth value.
- There is a student in the class who is a junior.
 - Every student in the class is a computer science major.
 - There is a student in the class who is neither a mathematics major nor a junior.
 - Every student in the class is either a sophomore or a computer science major.
 - There is a major such that there is a student in the class in every year of study with that major.
17. Express each of these system specifications using predicates, quantifiers, and logical connectives, if necessary.
- Every user has access to exactly one mailbox.
 - There is a process that continues to run during all error conditions only if the kernel is working correctly.
 - All users on the campus network can access all web-sites whose url has a .edu extension.
 - *d) There are exactly two systems that monitor every remote server.
18. Express each of these system specifications using predicates, quantifiers, and logical connectives, if necessary.
- At least one console must be accessible during every fault condition.
 - The e-mail address of every user can be retrieved whenever the archive contains at least one message sent by every user on the system.
 - For every security breach there is at least one mechanism that can detect that breach if and only if there is a process that has not been compromised.
 - There are at least two paths connecting every two distinct endpoints on the network.
 - No one knows the password of every user on the system except for the system administrator, who knows all passwords.
19. Express each of these statements using mathematical and logical operators, predicates, and quantifiers, where the domain consists of all integers.
- The sum of two negative integers is negative.
 - The difference of two positive integers is not necessarily positive.

- c) The sum of the squares of two integers is greater than or equal to the square of their sum.
 d) The absolute value of the product of two integers is the product of their absolute values.
20. Express each of these statements using predicates, quantifiers, logical connectives, and mathematical operators where the domain consists of all integers.
- The product of two negative integers is positive.
 - The average of two positive integers is positive.
 - The difference of two negative integers is not necessarily negative.
 - The absolute value of the sum of two integers does not exceed the sum of the absolute values of these integers.
21. Use predicates, quantifiers, logical connectives, and mathematical operators to express the statement that every positive integer is the sum of the squares of four integers.
22. Use predicates, quantifiers, logical connectives, and mathematical operators to express the statement that there is a positive integer that is not the sum of three squares.
23. Express each of these mathematical statements using predicates, quantifiers, logical connectives, and mathematical operators.
- The product of two negative real numbers is positive.
 - The difference of a real number and itself is zero.
 - Every positive real number has exactly two square roots.
 - A negative real number does not have a square root that is a real number.
24. Translate each of these nested quantifications into an English statement that expresses a mathematical fact. The domain in each case consists of all real numbers.
- $\exists x \forall y (x + y = y)$
 - $\forall x \forall y (((x \geq 0) \wedge (y < 0)) \rightarrow (x - y > 0))$
 - $\exists x \exists y (((x \leq 0) \wedge (y \leq 0)) \wedge (x - y > 0))$
 - $\forall x \forall y ((x \neq 0) \wedge (y \neq 0) \leftrightarrow (xy \neq 0))$
25. Translate each of these nested quantifications into an English statement that expresses a mathematical fact. The domain in each case consists of all real numbers.
- $\exists x \forall y (xy = y)$
 - $\forall x \forall y (((x < 0) \wedge (y < 0)) \rightarrow (xy > 0))$
 - $\exists x \exists y ((x^2 > y) \wedge (x < y))$
 - $\forall x \forall y \exists z (x + y = z)$
26. Let $Q(x, y)$ be the statement “ $x + y = x - y$.” If the domain for both variables consists of all integers, what are the truth values?
- $Q(1, 1)$
 - $Q(2, 0)$
 - $\forall y Q(1, y)$
 - $\exists x Q(x, 2)$
 - $\exists x \exists y Q(x, y)$
 - $\forall x \exists y Q(x, y)$
 - $\exists y \forall x Q(x, y)$
 - $\forall y \exists x Q(x, y)$
 - $\forall x \forall y Q(x, y)$
27. Determine the truth value of each of these statements if the domain for all variables consists of all integers.
- $\forall n \exists m (n^2 < m)$
 - $\exists n \forall m (n < m^2)$
 - $\forall n \exists m (n + m = 0)$
 - $\exists n \forall m (nm = m)$
- $\exists n \exists m (n^2 + m^2 = 5)$
 - $\exists n \exists m (n + m = 4 \wedge n - m = 1)$
 - $\exists n \exists m (n + m = 4 \wedge n - m = 2)$
 - $\forall n \forall m \exists p (p = (m + n)/2)$
28. Determine the truth value of each of these statements if the domain of each variable consists of all real numbers.
- $\forall x \exists y (x^2 = y)$
 - $\forall x \exists y (x = y^2)$
 - $\exists x \forall y (xy = 0)$
 - $\exists x \exists y (x + y \neq y + x)$
 - $\forall x (x \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y (xy = 1))$
 - $\exists x \forall y (y \neq 0 \rightarrow xy = 1)$
 - $\forall x \exists y (x + y = 1)$
 - $\exists x \exists y (x + 2y = 2 \wedge 2x + 4y = 5)$
 - $\forall x \exists y (x + y = 2 \wedge 2x - y = 1)$
 - $\forall x \forall y \exists z (z = (x + y)/2)$
29. Suppose the domain of the propositional function $P(x, y)$ consists of pairs x and y , where x is 1, 2, or 3 and y is 1, 2, or 3. Write out these propositions using disjunctions and conjunctions.
- $\forall x \forall y P(x, y)$
 - $\exists x \exists y P(x, y)$
 - $\exists x \forall y P(x, y)$
 - $\forall y \exists x P(x, y)$
30. Rewrite each of these statements so that negations appear only within predicates (that is, so that no negation is outside a quantifier or an expression involving logical connectives).
- $\neg \exists y \exists x P(x, y)$
 - $\neg \forall x \exists y P(x, y)$
 - $\neg \exists y (Q(y) \wedge \forall x \neg R(x, y))$
 - $\neg \exists y (\exists x R(x, y) \vee \forall x S(x, y))$
 - $\neg \exists y (\forall x \exists z T(x, y, z) \vee \exists x \forall z U(x, y, z))$
31. Express the negations of each of these statements so that all negation symbols immediately precede predicates.
- $\forall x \exists y \forall z T(x, y, z)$
 - $\forall x \exists y P(x, y) \vee \forall x \exists y Q(x, y)$
 - $\forall x \exists y (P(x, y) \wedge \exists z R(x, y, z))$
 - $\forall x \exists y (P(x, y) \rightarrow Q(x, y))$
32. Express the negations of each of these statements so that all negation symbols immediately precede predicates.
- $\exists z \forall y \forall x T(x, y, z)$
 - $\exists x \exists y P(x, y) \wedge \forall x \forall y Q(x, y)$
 - $\exists x \exists y (Q(x, y) \leftrightarrow Q(y, x))$
 - $\forall y \exists x \exists z (T(x, y, z) \vee Q(x, y))$
33. Rewrite each of these statements so that negations appear only within predicates (that is, so that no negation is outside a quantifier or an expression involving logical connectives).
- $\neg \forall x \forall y P(x, y)$
 - $\neg \forall y \exists x P(x, y)$
 - $\neg \forall y \forall x (P(x, y) \vee Q(x, y))$
 - $\neg (\exists x \exists y \neg P(x, y) \wedge \forall x \forall y Q(x, y))$
 - $\neg \forall x (\exists y \forall z P(x, y, z) \wedge \exists z \forall y P(x, y, z))$
34. Find a common domain for the variables x , y , and z for which the statement $\forall x \forall y ((x \neq y) \rightarrow \forall z ((z = x) \vee (z = y)))$ is true and another domain for which it is false.
35. Find a common domain for the variables x , y , z , and w for which the statement $\forall x \forall y \forall z \exists w ((w \neq x) \wedge (w \neq y) \wedge (w \neq z))$ is true and another common domain for these variables for which it is false.

36. Express each of these statements using quantifiers. Then form the negation of the statement so that no negation is to the left of a quantifier. Next, express the negation in simple English. (Do not simply use the phrase “It is not the case that.”)
- No one has lost more than one thousand dollars playing the lottery.
 - There is a student in this class who has chatted with exactly one other student.
 - No student in this class has sent e-mail to exactly two other students in this class.
 - Some student has solved every exercise in this book.
 - No student has solved at least one exercise in every section of this book.
37. Express each of these statements using quantifiers. Then form the negation of the statement so that no negation is to the left of a quantifier. Next, express the negation in simple English. (Do not simply use the phrase “It is not the case that.”)
- Every student in this class has taken exactly two mathematics classes at this school.
 - Someone has visited every country in the world except Libya.
 - No one has climbed every mountain in the Himalayas.
 - Every movie actor has either been in a movie with Kevin Bacon or has been in a movie with someone who has been in a movie with Kevin Bacon.
38. Express the negations of these propositions using quantifiers, and in English.
- Every student in this class likes mathematics.
 - There is a student in this class who has never seen a computer.
 - There is a student in this class who has taken every mathematics course offered at this school.
 - There is a student in this class who has been in at least one room of every building on campus.
39. Find a counterexample, if possible, to these universally quantified statements, where the domain for all variables consists of all integers.
- $\forall x \forall y (x^2 = y^2 \rightarrow x = y)$
 - $\forall x \exists y (y^2 = x)$
 - $\forall x \forall y (xy \geq x)$
40. Find a counterexample, if possible, to these universally quantified statements, where the domain for all variables consists of all integers.
- $\forall x \exists y (x = 1/y)$
 - $\forall x \exists y (y^2 - x < 100)$
 - $\forall x \forall y (x^2 \neq y^3)$
41. Use quantifiers to express the associative law for multiplication of real numbers.
42. Use quantifiers to express the distributive laws of multiplication over addition for real numbers.
43. Use quantifiers and logical connectives to express the fact that every linear polynomial (that is, polynomial of degree 1) with real coefficients and where the coefficient of x is nonzero, has exactly one real root.
44. Use quantifiers and logical connectives to express the fact that a quadratic polynomial with real number coefficients has at most two real roots.

45. Determine the truth value of the statement $\forall x \exists y (xy = 1)$ if the domain for the variables consists of
- the nonzero real numbers.
 - the nonzero integers.
 - the positive real numbers.
46. Determine the truth value of the statement $\exists x \forall y (x \leq y^2)$ if the domain for the variables consists of
- the positive real numbers.
 - the integers.
 - the nonzero real numbers.
47. Show that the two statements $\neg \exists x \forall y P(x, y)$ and $\forall x \exists y \neg P(x, y)$, where both quantifiers over the first variable in $P(x, y)$ have the same domain, and both quantifiers over the second variable in $P(x, y)$ have the same domain, are logically equivalent.
- *48. Show that $\forall x P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x)$ and $\forall x \forall y (P(x) \vee Q(y))$, where all quantifiers have the same nonempty domain, are logically equivalent. (The new variable y is used to combine the quantifications correctly.)
- *49. a) Show that $\forall x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x)$ is logically equivalent to $\forall x \exists y (P(x) \wedge Q(y))$, where all quantifiers have the same nonempty domain.
b) Show that $\forall x P(x) \vee \exists x Q(x)$ is equivalent to $\forall x \exists y (P(x) \vee Q(y))$, where all quantifiers have the same nonempty domain.

A statement is in **prenex normal form (PNF)** if and only if it is of the form

$$Q_1 x_1 Q_2 x_2 \cdots Q_k x_k P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k),$$

where each $Q_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, is either the existential quantifier or the universal quantifier, and $P(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ is a predicate involving no quantifiers. For example, $\exists x \forall y (P(x, y) \wedge Q(y))$ is in prenex normal form, whereas $\exists x P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x)$ is not (because the quantifiers do not all occur first).

Every statement formed from propositional variables, predicates, **T**, and **F** using logical connectives and quantifiers is equivalent to a statement in prenex normal form. Exercise 51 asks for a proof of this fact.

- *50. Put these statements in prenex normal form. [Hint: Use logical equivalence from Tables 6 and 7 in Section 1.3, Table 2 in Section 1.4, Example 19 in Section 1.4, Exercises 45 and 46 in Section 1.4, and Exercises 48 and 49.]
- $\exists x P(x) \vee \exists x Q(x) \vee A$, where A is a proposition not involving any quantifiers.
 - $\neg(\forall x P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x))$
 - $\exists x P(x) \rightarrow \exists x Q(x)$
- **51. Show how to transform an arbitrary statement to a statement in prenex normal form that is equivalent to the given statement. (Note: A formal solution of this exercise requires use of structural induction, covered in Section 5.3.)
- *52. Express the quantification $\exists! x P(x)$, introduced in Section 1.4, using universal quantifications, existential quantifications, and logical operators.

1.6 Rules of Inference

Introduction

Later in this chapter we will study proofs. Proofs in mathematics are valid arguments that establish the truth of mathematical statements. By an **argument**, we mean a sequence of statements that end with a conclusion. By **valid**, we mean that the conclusion, or final statement of the argument, must follow from the truth of the preceding statements, or **premises**, of the argument. That is, an argument is valid if and only if it is impossible for all the premises to be true and the conclusion to be false. To deduce new statements from statements we already have, we use rules of inference which are templates for constructing valid arguments. Rules of inference are our basic tools for establishing the truth of statements.

Before we study mathematical proofs, we will look at arguments that involve only compound propositions. We will define what it means for an argument involving compound propositions to be valid. Then we will introduce a collection of rules of inference in propositional logic. These rules of inference are among the most important ingredients in producing valid arguments. After we illustrate how rules of inference are used to produce valid arguments, we will describe some common forms of incorrect reasoning, called **fallacies**, which lead to invalid arguments.

After studying rules of inference in propositional logic, we will introduce rules of inference for quantified statements. We will describe how these rules of inference can be used to produce valid arguments. These rules of inference for statements involving existential and universal quantifiers play an important role in proofs in computer science and mathematics, although they are often used without being explicitly mentioned.

Finally, we will show how rules of inference for propositions and for quantified statements can be combined. These combinations of rule of inference are often used together in complicated arguments.

Valid Arguments in Propositional Logic

Consider the following argument involving propositions (which, by definition, is a sequence of propositions):

“If you have a current password, then you can log onto the network.”

“You have a current password.”

Therefore,

“You can log onto the network.”

We would like to determine whether this is a valid argument. That is, we would like to determine whether the conclusion “You can log onto the network” must be true when the premises “If you have a current password, then you can log onto the network” and “You have a current password” are both true.