# CMPS 6610 Problem Set 03

In this assignment we'll explore further sequence, map, reduce, scan, and divide and conquer algorithms.

To make grading easier, please place all written solutions directly in answers.md, rather than scanning in handwritten work or editing this file.

All coding portions should go in main.py as usual.

## Part 1: Searching Unsorted Lists

As we know, the binary search algorithm takes as input a sorted list of length n and a specified key and is able to find it (or conclude that it is not in the list) in  $O(\log n)$  time. Let's consider a slightly different problem in which we are given an unsorted list L with a key x, and we want determine whether x is in L. For each part below, design an algorithm using the prescribed sequence operation. Note that you can preprocess the list as needed.

1a) Use iterate to implement the isearch stub, and check that your code passes the test cases given by test\_isearch (feel free to add additional cases).

.

**1b)** What is the work and span of this algorithm?

enter answer in answers.md

•

1c) Now, use reduce to implement the rsearch stub. Test it with test\_rsearch.

.

1d) What is the work and span of the resulting algorithm, assuming that reduce is implemented as specified in the lecture notes?

enter answer in answers.md

.

1e) Finally, let's consider another implementation of reduce as given by ureduce in main.py. That is, if you replace reduce from part b) with ureduce then there should be no difference in output. However, what is the work and span of the resulting algorithm for rsearch?

enter answer in answers.md

.

### Part 2: Document indexing

A key component of search engines is a data structure called an **inverted index** which maps each word to the list of documents it appears in.

Assume we have three documents with ids 0,1,2:

```
Γ
    ('document one is cool is it', 0),
    ('document two is also cool', 1),
    ('document three is kinda neat', 2)
1
then an inverted index would be
[('also', [1]),
 ('cool', [0, 1]),
 ('document', [0, 1, 2]),
 ('is', [0, 1, 2]),
 ('it', [0]),
 ('kinda', [2]),
 ('neat', [2]),
 ('one', [0]),
 ('three', [2]),
 ('two', [1])]
```

To implement this in map-reduce, we will implement our own map and reduce functions.

The map function doc\_index\_map is already complete. E.g.

```
>>> doc_index_map(('document one is cool is it', 0))
    [('document', 0), ('one', 0), ('is', 0), ('cool', 0), ('is', 0), ('it', 0)]
```

The reduce function is also implemented, but it has a bug:

```
>>> doc_index_reduce(['is', [0,0,1,2]])
    ('is', [0,0,1,2])
```

The problem is that document ids are duplicated in the final output (e.g., 0 in the above example).

While of course we could just fix doc\_index\_map to not emit duplicates, we will instead modify the doc\_index\_reduce function. We will do so with the help of another function dedup which takes in two sorted, deduplicated lists and returns their concatenation without any duplicates:

```
>>> dedup([1,2,3], [3,4,5])
[1,2,3,4,5]
```

2a. Implement dedup in constant time and test it with test\_dedup.

2b. Modify the doc index reduce function to use both dedup and reduce. Test it with test doc index reduce.

### \*Part 3: Parenthesis Matching

A common task of compilers is to ensure that parentheses are matched. That is, each open parenthesis is followed at some point by a closed parenthesis. Furthermore, a closed parenthesis can only appear if there is a corresponding open parenthesis before it. So, the following are valid:

```
• ((a)b)
• a()b(c(d))
```

but these are invalid:

```
• ((a)
• (a))b(
```

Below, we'll solve this problem three different ways, using iterate, scan, and divide and conquer.

**3a. iterative solution** Implement parens\_match\_iterative, a solution to this problem using the iterate function. **Hint**: consider using a single counter variable to keep track of whether there are more open or closed parentheses. How can you update this value while iterating from left to right through the input? What must be true of this value at each step for the parentheses to be matched? To complete this, complete the parens\_update function and the parens\_match\_iterative function. The parens\_update function will be called in combination with iterate inside parens\_match\_iterative. Test your implementation with test\_parens\_match\_iterative.

.

**3b.** What are the recurrences and corresponding asymptotic expressions for the work and span of this solution?

enter answer in answers.md

.

3c. Using scan Implement parens\_match\_scan a solution to this problem using scan. Hint: We have given you the function paren\_map which maps ( to 1, ) to -1 and everything else to 0. How can you pass this function to scan to solve the problem? You may also find the min\_f function useful here. Implement parens\_match\_scan and test with test\_parens\_match\_scan

.

**3d.** Assume that any maps are done in parallel, and that we use the most efficient implementation of scan (that uses contraction) from class. What are the recurrences for the work and pan of this solution?

enter answer in answers.md

.

**3e.** A Divide-and-Conquer Solution Implement parens\_match\_dc\_helper, a divide and conquer solution to the problem. A key observation is that we *cannot* simply solve each subproblem using the above solutions and combine the results. E.g., consider '((()))', which would be split into '(((' and ')))', neither of which is matched. Yet, the whole input is matched. Instead, we'll have to keep track of two numbers: the number of unmatched right parentheses (R), and the number of unmatched left parentheses (L). parens\_match\_dc\_helper returns a tuple (R,L). So, if the input is just '(', then parens\_match\_dc\_helper returns (0,1), indicating that there is 1 unmatched left parens and 0 unmatched right parens. Analogously, if the input is just ')', then the result should be (1,0). The main difficulty is deciding how to merge the returned values for the two recursive calls. That is, if (i,j) is the result for the left half of the list, and (k,l) is the output of the right half of the list, how can we compute the proper return value (R,L) using only i,j,k,l? Try a few example inputs to guide your solution, then test with test\_parens\_match\_dc\_helper.

.

**3f.** Assuming any recursive calls are done in parallel, what are the recurrences and corresponding asymptotic expressions for the work and span of this solution?

enter answer in answers.md

.

## Part 4: Finding the Maximum Subarray

For this problem let's consider the  $maximum\ subarray\ problem$ . Given an array a, the goal is to find the contiguous sequence with the largest sum.

4a. Give a lower bound on the work required for this problem.

#### enter answer in answers.md

**4b.** Give a divide-and-conquer algorithm for this problem in SPARC and prove its correctness. You're welcome to use a divide-and-conquer sequence operation or devise your own approach from scratch.

#### enter answer in answers.md

4c. State the work and span of your algorithm, and compare it to the lower bound you gave above.

enter answer in answers.md

### Part 5: Black Hats and White Hats

A "white hat" conducts hacking activities for the common good, while a "black hat" hacker does so for nefarious reasons. Let's consider a computer security class with n students who are all either white hat or black hat hackers. You're the instructor, and you don't know who is a white hat or a black hat, but all of the student do.

Your goal is to identify the white hats and you're allowed to ask a pair of students about one another. White hats will always tell the truth, but you cannot trust a black hat's response. For a pair of students A and B then there are several possible outcomes:

A says	B says	Conclusion
B is a white hat B is a white hat	A is a white hat A is a black hat	both are good, or both are bad at least one is bad
B is a black hat $B$ is a black hat	A is a white hat $A$ is a black hat	at least one is bad at least one is bad

**5a.** Show that if more than n/2 students are black hats, you cannot determine which students are white hats based on a pairwise test. Note that you must assume the black hats are conspiring to fool you.

#### enter answer in answers.md

**5b.** Consider the problem of finding a single white hat, assuming strictly more than n/2 of the students are white hats. Show that n/2 pairwise interviews is enough to reduce the problem size by a constant fraction.

### enter answer in answers.md

**5c.** Using the above show that all white hats can be identified using  $\Theta(n)$  pairwise interviews.

enter answer in answers.md

### Part 6: Fun with Convolutions

**6a.** Write the FFT algorithm discussed in class in SPARC. Be sure to indicate possible concurrency in the specification you provide.

enter answer in answers.md

**6b.** Recall that the Karatsaba-Ofman algorithm was able to multiply two n-bit numbers with  $O(n^{\log_2 3})$  work. Devise an algorithm that multiplies two n-bit numbers with  $O(n\log n)$  work. Is it reasonable to use this algorithm, instead of the Karatsaba-Ofman algorithm, in practice? Why or why not?

enter answer in answers.md