Overview of PCORI Funding Announcements (PFAs), Priority Topics, and Methodology Standards

Steven Clauser, PhD, MPA, Director, Improving Healthcare Systems Program

James Hulbert, Assistant Director, Policy and Planning, Contracts Management and Administration

September 20, 2016



PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Welcome!



Steven Clauser, Program Director, Science, Improving Healthcare Systems Program



James Hulbert,
Assistant Director,
Policy and Planning,
Contracts Management and
Administration

*

The Model of Comparative Effectiveness Research

Research that

- Provides Improved Evidence for Healthcare Decision Makers
- Driven by the Needs of Stakeholders
- Focuses on outcomes that matter to patients
- Guided by Well Defined Evidence Gaps
- Conducted in "real world" settings
- Applies appropriate methods and data sources



PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

2

Our National Priorities for Research



Assessment of Prevention, Diagnosis, and Treatment Options













PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

3

Assessment of Prevention, Diagnosis and Treatment Options

- · Decision Makers: Patients and their Clinicians
- Choices among Clinical Options
- Head to Head Comparisons
- Often focuses on "What" to do

No. of Funded Projects Executed/Application Amount

138



\$521,365,860



Improving Healthcare Systems

- Decision Makers: Healthcare Managers
- Choices among Options for Organizing and Delivering Care
- Often involve Clinicians or Health Organizations as the Unit of Analysis
- Often focuses on "How" to do it

No. of Funded Projects Executed/Application Amount





\$308,828,849



Addressing Disparities

- Decision Makers: Members of Disadvantaged Populations and their Caregivers
- Choices among Options for Eliminating Barriers to High Quality Care
- Often Addresses Strategies for Improving Access among the Underserved

No. of Funded Projects

Executed/Application Amount

65



\$183,841,632



Communication and Dissemination Research

- Decision Makers: People who Implement Programs for Improving Quality of Care
- Choices among Options for Implementing Best Evidence
- Can Address Shared Decision Making or Dissemination Strategies

No. of Funded Projects

Executed/Application Amount

43



\$79,352,444





Improving the Methodology of Comparative Effectiveness Research

- Decision Makers: Researchers who Desire to Use Better Methods
- Choices among Options for Measuring Outcomes and Analyzing Data
- Can Address Techniques for Improving Collaboration among Researchers and Partners

No. of Funded Projects

Executed/Application Amount





\$89,000,000



PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

We Work to Improve Research Methodology

In any study, methods matter. That's why we've developed methodology standards that all research should follow, at a minimum.

Methodology Standards: 11 Broad Categories

- · Formulating Research Questions
- Patient-Centeredness
- Data Integrity and Rigorous Analyses
- · Preventing/Handling Missing Data
- Heterogeneity of Treatment Effects
- · Data Networks
- Data Registries
- Adaptive and Bayesian Trial Designs
- · Causal Inference
- Studies of Diagnostic Tests
- · Systematic Reviews



PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

S

Broad PFA Budget and Period Limitations

Budget

- Traditional PCORI Broad Awards direct costs capped up to \$1.5
- Indirect costs up to 40%
- Up to \$10,000 for scientific travel
- *Note that Large Awards are available in IHS Broad PFAs Up to \$5 million



Period of Performance

- Traditional Awards up to maximum of 3 years
- IHS Large Awards up to a maximum of 5 years
- Do not anticipate receiving a cost OR no-cost time extension





PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Pragmatic Clinical Studies

Seeks to produce information that can be directly adopted by providers:

- Cross-Cutting funding mechanism across all the PCORI Research Areas
- Decision Makers: Everyone Involved with Health Care
- Most Projects are Randomized Controlled Trials
- Large Sample Sizes: Heterogeneity of Treatment Effects
- Topics of special interest from stakeholders, Institute of Medicine, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

Overview

- Anticipated Awards per Funding Cycle: Six to Nine
- Funds Available per Cycle: Up to \$90 Million
- Maximum Project Duration: 5 Years
- Maximum Direct Costs per Project: \$10 Million



Targeted Funding Initiatives

- Targeted funding initiatives; stakeholder-driven topics
 - Very specific research questions
 - Developed through:
 - Expert workgroups
 - Iterative review with Board Subcommittee
 - Review and approval by the Board of
- Typically One-time Opportunities, although we have recently reissued targeted PFAs for Clinical Strategies for Managing and Reducing Long-Term Opioid Use for Chronic Pain and Treatment of Multiple Sclerosis



PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Questions?



Thank You!

Steven Clauser, PhD, MPA, Director, Improving Healthcare Systems Program

James Hulbert, Assistant Director, Policy and Planning, Contracts Management and Administration

