

Research in Real-World Settings: PCORI's Model for Comparative Clinical Effectiveness Research

David Hickam, MD, MPH

Director, Clinical Effectiveness & Decision Science Program

April 10, 2017



PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Welcome!



David Hickam
*Director,
Clinical Effectiveness
and Decision Science
Program*



PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

2

Overview

- Framework for understanding the purpose and approaches used in comparative effectiveness research (CER)
- Issues in designing and conducting a study to answer a CER question



PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

3

What is evidence-based information?

- Clinical Evidence
 - Valid data about the outcomes experienced by patients who receive specific medical interventions
 - Assurance that the most important outcomes are captured and recorded
 - Characteristics of the patients are sufficiently well-described to improve understanding about variation in outcomes across important subgroups
- Key Features
 - Clinical characteristics of the study population are comparable to those of the patients to whom the evidence will be applied
 - Clinical interventions are well-defined and reproducible
 - Outcomes include both benefits and harms associated with the specific clinical interventions



PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

4

What is PICOTS?

- The **P**opulation that is studied
- The **I**ntervention that is delivered to some patients
- The **C**omparator that other patients receive
- The important patient **O**utcomes that are assessed
- The **T**iming of when outcomes are assessed
- The study's clinical **S**etting



PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

5

What is the starting point of comparative effectiveness?

- Examine the choices people make about the options for managing a disease
- Consider how compelling it is to make a choice among these options
- Consider how the need to compare these options could inform the focus of new research
 - Heterogeneity of the patient population
 - Understanding the important benefits and harms
 - Clarity about gaps in the current evidence base



PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

6

Features of Patient-Centered Outcomes (PCOR) projects

- Project assesses whether two or more options differ in effectiveness (the benefits and harms experienced by patients)
- Project is conducted in a clinical setting that is as close as possible to a real-world setting
- Methodological approach (including study design, outcome measures, and follow-up) reflects the real-world setting(s) as much as possible without sacrificing scientific rigor



PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

7

What are the qualities of a good PCOR project?

- PCOR studies should be designed to generate scientifically valid evidence
 - Relevant, testable scientific hypotheses
 - Internal validity required for external validity
 - Adherence to appropriate standards and best practices
 - PCORI Methodology Standards provide guidance for thinking about how to design and conduct a study to answer a CER question



PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

8

Design & Analysis Issues in PCOR



PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

9

Choosing a study design: the problem of comparability of groups

- Confounding: systematic differences between patients receiving alternative interventions
 - Differences in outcomes between the groups of patients may be due to factors other than the treatment received.
- Example: Studies comparing immediate and delayed use of invasive management in acute cardiac ischemia



PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

10

Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs)

- **Pros:**
 - Best way to control for confounding
 - Outcome assessments are tailored
- **Cons:**
 - Sample sizes must be large to assess heterogeneity of treatment effects (HTE)
 - Take a long time to complete



Observational Studies

- **Pros:**
 - Large sample sizes
 - Real-world populations
 - Can be completed quickly
- **Cons:**
 - Imperfect methods to control for confounding
 - Outcomes may not be well-defined or hard to assess



Sources of data in clinical research projects: observational studies

- **Prospective Registries (prospective cohort)**
 - The registry is designed prior to data collection and often before defining the research question
 - Records data on both receipt of services and outcomes
 - Controls methods for selection of participants and collection of data
 - May not be perfectly aligned with goals of a specific research question
 - Require a long time to complete patient follow-up
- **Retrospective Cohorts**
 - The research question is identified prior to constructing the registry
 - Built upon existing data sources
 - Includes people who were identified and treated in the past
 - Imperfect identification of participants
 - Timing of data collection is problematic
 - Quicker and much less expensive



PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

13

Other sources of data for clinical research projects

- **Administrative Databases**
 - Data inherently collected for non-research purposes
 - Often require merging of datasets
 - Patient matching
 - Different clinical systems
 - Potential for very large datasets
 - Does size outweigh the limitations?



PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

14

Choosing the right outcomes

- Identify the most important benefits and harms
 - Value of engagement with clinical and patient partners
- Patient-reported outcomes (PROs)
 - Can be tailored to those outcomes that are important to patients
 - May require significant infrastructure to obtain these measures
 - Issues of validity of measurement instruments
- Time course of measurement
 - Is the follow-up sufficiently long?



Other considerations for choosing outcomes

- Potential sources of bias
 - Testing effects
 - Frequent measurement of patient-reported outcomes
 - Respondent fatigue
 - Measuring too many outcomes
- Careful selection and measurement of “process variables” for estimating treatment effects
 - Outcomes that may not be considered “patient-centered” may still be important for ensuring validity and reliability of results



Causal inference in PCOR

- **Causal Model**
 - Informed by the PICOTS framework
 - Represents the key variables, known or hypothesized relationships among them, and conditions under which the hypotheses are to be tested
- **Internal Validity**
 - Valid estimates of treatment effects in the study population
- **External Validity**
 - Generalizability of results to patients not included in the study population



Choosing appropriate statistical methods

- **Missing data**
 - Particular challenge in PCOR
 - Understanding the missing data mechanisms
 - Sensitivity analyses
- **Confounding**
 - Not necessarily eliminated by randomization
 - Measured vs. unmeasured confounding
 - Statistical approaches rely on assumptions, not all of which are testable
- **Heterogeneity of Treatment Effects (HTE)**
 - Justification and pre-specification of HTE analyses
 - Hypothesis-driven (confirmatory) vs. hypothesis-generating (exploratory)



PCORI Works to Improve Research Methodology

In any study, methods matter. That's why we've developed methodology standards that all research should follow, at a minimum.

Methodology Standards: 11 Broad Categories

- Formulating Research Questions
- Patient-Centeredness
- Data Integrity and Rigorous Analyses
- Preventing/Handling Missing Data
- Heterogeneity of Treatment Effects
- Data Networks
- Data Registries
- Adaptive and Bayesian Trial Designs
- Causal Inference
- Studies of Diagnostic Tests
- Systematic Reviews



PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

19

Conclusions

- The starting point of all PCOR is to define important healthcare decisions that need better evidence.
- The ending point of all PCOR is the generation of results that are useful to decision makers.
- The choice of study design, data source(s), and analytical methods affects the quality and strength of evidence generated by a study.



PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

20

Questions?



PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Thank You

David Hickam, MD, MPH

Director, Clinical Effectiveness & Decision Science Program

dhickam@pcori.org



PATIENT-CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH INSTITUTE