Logistic Regression

READING: 9.1-9.4

7.3-7.6

EXERCISES: CH 9. 21,33,35,37

ASSIGNED: NONE

PRODUCER: DR. MARIO



Motivation



Response Variable is Binary (Coded as Indicator)

$$Y = \begin{cases} 1 & if Yes (Success) \\ 0 & if No (Failure) \end{cases}$$

- Predictor Variable Could Be Numeric or Categorical
- Bad Idea -> Linear Regression or ANOVA

$$Y \neq \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon$$
 $Y \neq \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon$

Requirements

$$Y = Binary\ Response$$
 $X = Predictor\ Variable$
 $\pi = P(Y = 1|X = x) = Proportion\ of\ 1's\ if\ X = x$

Logistic Regression Model

$$\log\left(\frac{\pi}{1-\pi}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X \quad \text{or} \quad \pi = \frac{e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X}}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X}}$$

- Parameter π Versus Statistic p
- Example
 - π = Probability of Having Green Eyes
 - Sample of 10 Random People 0,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,0
 - p = Sample Average or Proportion of Blue-Eyed People in Sample

$$p = \frac{0+1+1+0+1+0+0+0+0+0}{10} = \frac{3}{10} = 0.3 = 30\%$$

Another Reason Why Linear Regression or ANOVA Wouldn't Work

• Odds that Y = 1


Parameter:
$$\frac{\pi}{1-\pi}$$
 Estimate: $\frac{p}{1-p}$

- Odds are a Ratio of P(Y = 1) to $P(Y \neq 1) = P(Y = 0)$ (Binary Case)
- Example: The Odds of a Horse Winning a Race is 4 to 1 or 4:1 or 4
 - Interpretation: "4 Wins for Every 1 Loss"
 - Probability: P(Win) = 4/5 and P(Loss) = 1/5
 - Calculation of Odds: $P(Win)/P(Loss) = \frac{4}{5} * \frac{5}{1} = 4$

Reason for Logistic Regression Model

$$\log\left(\frac{\pi}{1-\pi}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X$$

- Notice
 - Probability: $0 < \pi < 1$
 - Odds: $0 < \frac{\pi}{1-\pi} < \infty$
 - Log Odds: $-\infty < \log\left(\frac{\pi}{1-\pi}\right) < \infty$



- Interpretation of Logistic Regression Model
 - Model:

$$\log\left(\frac{\hat{\pi}}{1-\hat{\pi}}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X$$

- Default in Statistics is the Natural Logarithm
- Intercept Represents the Log Odds When *X=0*
- Slope Represents the Change in Log Odds When X Increases by 1

Suppose We Have Model

$$\log\left(\frac{\hat{\pi}}{1-\hat{\pi}}\right) = 3-2X$$
Log Odds Decreasing by 2 for Every 1 Unit Increase in X is not Equivalent to Saying that Odds Decreases by e^2

Log Odds Decreasing by 2 for Every

for Every 1 Unit Increase in X

Then for Odds

$$\frac{\hat{\pi}}{1 - \hat{\pi}} = e^{3 - 2X} \neq e^3 - e^2 X$$

Slope $\beta_1 < 0$ Indicates Odds Decreases as X Increases

Suppose We Have Model

$$\log\left(\frac{\widehat{\pi}}{1-\widehat{\pi}}\right) = 3 - 2X$$

 $\log\left(\frac{\hat{\pi}}{1-\hat{\pi}}\right) = 3-2X$ Then for Probability $\hat{\pi} = \frac{e^{3-2X}}{1+e^{3-2X}} = \frac{Odds}{1+Odds}$

Notice What Happens When $X = \frac{-\beta_0}{\beta_1} = \frac{3}{2}$

$$\hat{\pi} = \frac{e^{3-2X}}{1+e^{3-2X}} = \frac{e^{3-2\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}}{1+e^{3-2\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}} = \frac{e^0}{1+e^0} = \frac{1}{1+1} = 1/2$$

• Estimating Parameters β_0 and β_1

$$\log\left(\frac{\pi}{1-\pi}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X$$

- Recall in Linear Regression We Chose Estimates Based Off Minimization of a Bad Thing (SSE)
- In Logistic Regression We Choose Estimates that Maximize the Likelihood (Good Thing)
- The Likelihood the Probability of Our Data

- Function in R that Estimates Logistic Regression Models glm(formula, family=binomial, data)
- GLM Stands for Generalized Linear Model
- The "family=binomial" Argument Uses a Logit Link Function to Connect the Mean π to a Linear Predictor

$$logit(\pi) = log\left(\frac{\pi}{1-\pi}\right)$$

Example: Putts

Data from 587 Different Putts

Length	3	4	5	6	7
Made	84	88	61	61	44
Missed	17	31	47	64	90
Total	101	119	108	125	134

 Question: What is the relationship between the length of a putt and the probability of making that putt?



Supplement for Lecture 27

Comparing Sample Proportions to Estimates From Model

	# Made
#	Attempts

Length	3	4	5	6	7
$\rightarrow \hat{p}$	0.832	0.739	0.565	0.488	0.328
$\widehat{\pi}_{oldsymbol{\zeta}}$	0.826	0.730	0.605	0.465	0.330

Why the Difference?

$$\frac{e^{3.26 - 0.57X}}{1 + e^{3.26 - 0.57X}}$$

- Estimate Odds
 - When are the Odds Greater Than 1?
 - When are the Odds Less Than 1?

Odds Ratios

- Way to Compare Two Groups
 - Example: Compare a Putt at 3ft Versus a Putt at 4ft
- Formula

$$OR = \frac{Odds_1}{Odds_2} = e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1} / e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_2}$$

 Interpretation: Odds of success in Group 1 is _____ times the odds of success in Group 2

Supplement for Lecture 27

- Calculate Odds Ratios
- Compare Odds Ratios to Slope of Logistic Regression Model

$$Slope = \frac{Rise}{Run} = \frac{Change\ in\ Log\ Odds}{1 - 0} = \frac{\log(Odds_{a+1}) - \log(Odds_a)}{1 - 0} = \log(OR)$$

What Happens When We Increase X by 1?

$$e^{\beta_0+\beta_1(X+1)}=e^{\beta_0+\beta_1X+\beta_1}=(e^{\beta_1})e^{\beta_0+\beta_1X}$$

Odds Odds

Rule of Exponents: $x^{a+b} = x^a x^b$ Rule of Logarithms:


$$\log\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \log(a) - \log(b)$$

Assumptions for Logistic Regression

- Linearity
 - Assume the Linear Model for the Log Odds is Reasonable
 - Assess by Plotting the Log Odds from Proportions in Sample

Against Your X Variable

- Check for Linearity
- Large Sample Size
 - Recall: np>10 and n(1-p)>10
 - Require 10 Successes and Failures
 Per Predictor Variable



Assumptions for Logistic Regression

- Randomness
 - Is Flipping a Weighted Coin Reasonable for Deciding Whether or Not the Outcome is 0 or 1?
 - Tied to the Bernouilli Distribution (Binary Variables)
- Independence
 - Observed Successes/Failures Independent of Each Other
 - Tied to the Binomial Distribution
- No Multicollinearity (Applies if Doing Multiple Logistic Regression)

Thank You

Make Reasonable Decisions

