# NoSQL Hospital Information System (HIS) benchmark report - Neo4j

Vittorio Romeo (mat. 444962) Sergio Zavettieri (mat. 447265)

# Table of Contents

1	Development					<b>2</b>
	1.1	Client	at request			2
	1.2	Enviro	ronment			3
	1.3 Architecture and implementation					
		1.3.1	Dataset loader			4
		1.3.2	Query benchmarker			7
		1.3.3	Automation script			8
2	Conclusion					10
	2.1	Result	lt graphs			11
	2.2	Links	3			14

## Chapter 1

## Development

### 1.1 Client request

The client requests an application that benchmarks the Neo4j NoSQL DBMS with randomly-generated data, in order to understand its performance with a specific schema (used for an Hospital Information System) and to see how the system's efficiency scales with an increasing data amount.

The desired schema is shown below:




Figure 1.1: Client dataset schema

The requested application must import several randomly-generated datasets, and benchmark three different queries:

- 1. Select all patients.
- 2. Select all patients matching a specific condition.
- 3. Select all patients matching a specific condition with at least 5 measurements.

The generated datasets must be five and contain the following element amounts: 10, 100, 1000, 10000, 100000.

After running the queries, the client requests histogram plots of the results, by repeating the same query 50 times.

#### 1.2 Environment

The application was implemented using Python 3.5.1 and the Neo4j NoSQL DBMS.

The following libraries were used as dependencies:

- **neo4j-rest-client**: a Python interface to interact with Neo4j.
- matplotlib: a Python library to easily create plots and graphs.

### 1.3 Architecture and implementation

Two different Python scripts were developed for the project:

- load\_dataset.py, which, given a JSON dataset, loads it into the current Neo4j instance.
- queries.py, which runs the queries on the current Neo4j instance and outputs the benchmark plots.

An helper bash script, run\_benchmarks.sh, was developed to automate the benchmarking process.

#### 1.3.1 Dataset loader

The dataset loader is conceptually very simple. The dataset path and a **chunk value** (which represents the number of insertions to execute in batch) are taken as command-line parameters.

A master class was created to wrap the Neo4j connection and functions to run the queries:

```
# Class containing an open neo4j connection
# and functions to manage the data
class master:
   # Defines a label `l` and stores it in the
    # labels list
   def define_label(self, 1):
       self.labels[1] = self.db.labels.create(1)
    # Constructor
    # Given an open connection, stores the connection
    # in `master`
    # Defines labels for every entity
   def __init__(self, db):
       self.db = db
        self.labels = {}
    # Completely clears the database
    def delete_everything(self):
        q = 'MATCH (n) DETACH DELETE n'
        self.db.query(q)
        self.define_label("patient")
        self.define_label("measurement")
        tx = self.db.transaction(for_query=True)
        tx.append("CREATE INDEX ON :patient(id)")
        tx.execute()
        tx.commit()
    # Executes the string `x` as a query
    def do_query(self, x):
        tx = self.db.transaction(for_query=True)
        tx.append(x)
        tx.execute()
        tx.commit()
```

The rest of the application deals with dataset loading and query generation, using an

efficient string concatenation method thanks to the StringBuilder class:

```
# Helper class for efficient string concatenation
class StringBuilder(object):
    def __init__(self):
        self._stringio = io.StringIO()

def __str__(self):
        return self._stringio.getvalue()

def append(self, *objects, sep=' ', end=''):
        print(*objects, sep=sep, end=end, file=self._stringio)
```

The main function is as follows:

```
# Create a `master` and clear the database
m = master(make_connection("neo4j", "admin"))
m.delete_everything()
# Read dataset path from command line arguments
dataset_path = sys.argv[1]
# Read how many queries to batch per transaction
chunk_size = int(sys.argv[2])
# Read the dataset file as ison
ds_patients = json.loads(open(dataset_path, "r").read())
# Index used to generate unique node names
idx = 0
# Execute all insertions
for i in range(0, len(ds_patients), chunk_size):
    q = StringBuilder()
    # Iterate patients in chunks
    for p in ds_patients[i:i + chunk_size]:
        # Stringify `idx`
        sidx = str(idx)
        # Generate patient node creation query
        q.append("CREATE (n")
        q.append(sidx)
        q.append(":patient {")
        q.append(make_patient_dict(p))
        q.append(")\n")
        # Generate measurement queries, which build relationships
        for s in p["step_datas"]:
            q.append("CREATE (n")
            q.append(sidx)
            q.append(")-[:measure]->(:measurement {")
            q.append(make_measurement_dict(s))
            q.append("})\n")
        # Increment next unique node id
        idx += 1
    m.do_query(str(q))
```

The make\_patient\_dict and make\_measurement\_dict functions efficiently build Cypher strings for the insertion of multiple parameters.

#### 1.3.2 Query benchmarker

The second Python script, which runs the queries, benchmarks them and produces the graphs thanks to **matplotlib**, has a very straightforward implementation:

```
# Get output path from command line arguments
outfile = sys.argv[1]
# Create a `master`
m = master(make_connection("neo4j", "admin"))
# Benchmark queries
bench_query('query0', '''
    MATCH (n:patient)
    RETURN n''')
bench_query('query1', '''
    MATCH (n:patient)
    WHERE n.n = "SIVV33W0"
    RETURN n''')
bench_query('query2', '''
    MATCH (p:patient)-[r:measure]->(m:measurement)
    WITH p, m, count(m) as relcount
    WHERE p.lwalk_td < 5000 AND p.w <> 5000 AND relcount > 4
    RETURN p''')
# Create graph legends and plot to file
plt.legend(loc='upper right', shadow=True, fontsize='x-large')
plt.savefig(outfile)
```

The bench\_query functions is implemented as follows:

```
# Executes `q`, timing it and plotting the result
# with label `lbl`
def bench_query(lbl, q):
    xs = [] # X axis: query iteration
    ys = [] # Y axis: execution time

for i in range(0, 50):
    xs.append(i)

    start_timer()
    m.exec_query(q)
    ys.append(end_timer())

plt.plot(xs, ys, label=lbl)
```

The start\_timer and end\_timer functions make use of the time.perf\_counter() Python high-precision timer in order to retrieve the execution time of every single query:

```
# Benchmark utilities
t0 = []
def start_timer():
    global t0
    t0.append(time.perf_counter())

def end_timer():
    global t0
    val = time.perf_counter() - t0.pop()
    return val
```

#### 1.3.3 Automation script

In order to automate the whole benchmarking process, a simple **bash** script was implemented to load all datasets and execute the queries on them:

```
# Create `results` folder if required
mkdir -p results
# Dataset N array
VALUES=(10 100 1000 10000 100000)
# Load dataset chunk N array
CHUNKS=(1 1 1 5 10)
# Next chunk value index
ICHUNK=0
for i in "${VALUES[@]}"
    # Dataset path
   DS="../../dataset_lokomat/output/ds${i}.json"
    # Output graph path
    OF="./results/r${i}.png"
    # Load dataset
    python3 -0 ./load_dataset.py "${DS}" "${CHUNKS[$ICHUNK]}"
    # Increment index for next chunk
    ((ICHUNK++))
    # Run queries and create plots
    python3 -0 ./queries.py "${OF}"
done
```

The script, in short, simply runs the previously described Python scripts for every randomly-generated dataset, automatically passing the dataset path and a reasonable chunk value in every execution.

# Chapter 2

### Conclusion

The results of the queries are provided as histograms:

- The **X** axis represents the query iteration. Since since DBMSs have caching mechanisms, queries are repeated 50 times. Every iteration is plotted in order to measure the effect of those caching mechanisms.
- The Y axis represents the execution time, in milliseconds.

## 2.1 Result graphs




Figure 2.1: Benchmark results: 10 patients




Figure 2.2: Benchmark results: 100 patients




Figure 2.3: Benchmark results: 1000 patients




Figure 2.4: Benchmark results: 10000 patients

Benchmarking 100000 patients was not feasible on the machine used for the other tests.

query1 is always the fastest, as the filter used to match patients is very strict. query2, due to the complex filtering rules, is always the slowest because it's necessary to iterate over the measurement nodes connected to the patient nodes.

### 2.2 Links

The project is available on GitHub: https://github.com/SuperV1234/db2.