C++17 Metaprogramming

Section 6

In this section

- if constexpr (...)
- Fold expressions

if constexpr (...)

Part 6.1

- Branching at compile-time was often cumbersome
- Regular if statements are not powerful enough

```
template <typename Component>
void registry::add_component(Component& component)
{
    if (has_initialize_v<Component>)
    {
        component.initialize();
    }

    track_component(component);
}
```

```
template <typename Component>
void registry::add_component(Component& component)
{
   if (has_initialize_v<Component>) { component.initialize(); }
   track_component(component);
}
```

```
struct test_component { };

test_component tc;
registry r;
r.add_component(tc); // Compile-time error
```

```
error: 'test_component' has no member named 'initialize'
```

- Even if the condition given to a regular if is a constant expression, all branches are instantiated
- A possible workaround is using tag dispatch
 - Verbose and cumbersome

```
template <typename Component>
void registry::try_to_initialize(std::true_type, Component&);

template <typename Component>
void registry::try_to_initialize(std::false_type, Component&);
```

```
try_to_initialize(has_initialize<Component>{}, component);
```

In C++17...

• C++17 introduces a new construct, if constexpr

```
if constexpr (/* condition */)
{
     // `true` branch
}
else
{
     // `false` branch
}
```

- The provided condition **must** be a *constant expression*
- Only the taken branch is instantiated, the other one isn't

In C++17...

```
struct test_component { };
struct init_component { void initialize(); };

test_component tc;
init_component ic;

registry r;
r.add_component(tc); // OK
r.add_component(ic); // OK, calls `ic.initialize()`
(C) | V | ttorio Romeo | vittorio romeo coutlook.com
```

if constexpr - recursion

• if constexpr works well to control compile-time recursion

```
template <typename T, typename ... Ts>
    void print_with_spaces(const T& x, const Ts& ... xs)
         std::cout << x;</pre>
         if constexpr (sizeof ... (Ts) = \emptyset)
              std::cout << '\n';</pre>
         else
               std::cout << ' ';
               print_with_spaces(xs...);
(C) | Vittorio Romeo | vittorioromeo.info | vittorio.romeo@outlook.com
```

if constexpr - specialization

• if constexpr makes it easy to specialize algorithms

```
template <typename →
constexpr bool fuzzy_equality(const T& x, const T& y)
  if constexpr (std::is_floating_point_v<T>)
      return std::abs(x - y) < T(0.0001);
  else
      return a = b;
```

if constexpr - closing thoughts

- Compared to overloading or template specialization, if constexpr ...
 - ...is more readable and requires less boilerplate;
 - ...is faster at compile-time;
 - ...is more "closed", users cannot add new branches.
- There is no constexpr ternary operator

Fold expressions

Part 6.2

- Dealing with variadic *parameter packs* prior to C++17 is not easy
 - Our How to generate code for each element in the pack?
 - Our How to collapse the pack into a final single result?
- Some techniques can be used
 - Recursion
 - Arbitrary expansion context (e.g. std::initializer_list)

Adding elements together - C++11 with recursion

```
template <typename T>
auto add(const T& x)
    return X;
template <typename T, typename ... Ts>
auto add(const T& x, const Ts& ... xs)
    return x + add(xs...);
                                                                  (on godbolt.org)
```

- Requires two overloads
- Slow to compile

Adding elements together - C++11 with std::intializer_list

```
template <typename T, typename ... Ts>
auto add(const T& x, const Ts& ... xs)
    std::common_type_t<T, Ts ... > acc{x};
    (void) std::initializer list<bool>{
        ((acc += xs), true)...
    return acc;
                                                                  (on godbolt.org)
```

- Arcane technique, hard to read and to explain
- Requires state and mutability

In C++17...

- C++17 introduces *fold expressions*
 - They "collapse" a parameter pack into a single result, using a specified binary operator

```
template <typename ... Ts>
auto add(const Ts& ... xs)
{
   return (xs + ...);
}
```

fold expression(since C++17)

Reduces (folds) a parameter pack over a binary operator.

Syntax

(pack op)	(1)
(op pack)	(2)
(pack op op init)	(3)
(init op op pack)	(4)

- 1) unary right fold
- 2) unary left fold
- binary right fold
- 4) binary left fold
- op any of the following 32 binary operators: + * / % ^ & | = < > << >> += -= *= /= %= ^= &= |= <<= >>= != <= >= && || , .* ->*. In a binary fold, both ops must be the same.
- pack an expression that contains an unexpanded parameter pack and does not contain an operator with precedence lower than cast at the top level (formally, a cast-expression)
- init an expression that does not contain an unexpanded parameter pack and does not contain an operator with precedence lower than cast at the top level (formally, a cast-expression)

Note that the open and closing parentheses are part of the fold expression.

Explanation

The instantiation of a *fold expression* expands the expression e as follows:

- 1) Unary right fold $(E \ op \dots)$ becomes $(E_1 \ op \ (\dots \ op \ (E_{N-1} \ op \ E_N)))$
- 2) Unary left fold (... op E) becomes $(((E_1 op E_2) op ...) op E_N)$
- 3) Binary right fold $(E \ op \ ... \ op \ I)$ becomes $(E_1 \ op \ (... \ op \ (E_{N-1} \ op \ (E_N \ op \ I))))$
- 4) Binary left fold (I op ... op E) becomes (((($I op E_1$) $op E_2$) op ...) $op E_N$)

(where N is the number of elements in the pack expansion)

Fold expressions - example

The above is a binary left fold

Explanation

The instantiation of a *fold expression* expands the expression e as follows:

- 1) Unary right fold $(E \ op ...)$ becomes $E_1 \ op \ (... \ op \ (E_{N-1} \ op \ E_N))$
- 2) Unary left fold (... op E) becomes $((E_1 op E_2) op ...) op E_N$
- 3) Binary right fold (E op ... op I) becomes $E_I op (... op (E_{N-I} op (E_N op I)))$
- 4) Binary left fold $(I \circ p \dots \circ p E)$ becomes $(((I \circ p E_1) \circ p E_2) \circ p \dots) \circ p E_N$ (where N is the number of elements in the pack expansion)

Fold expressions - example

```
template <typename ... Xs>
void print(const Xs& ... xs)
{
    (std::cout << ... << xs);
}</pre>
```

```
print(1, 'a', 2);
```

 \downarrow

```
((std::cout << 1) << 'a') << 2
```

Fold expressions - ordering

 Precedence, associativity, and sequencing order are given by the chosen operator, not by the parenthesis

C++ Operator Precedence

The following table lists the precedence and associativity of C++ operators. Operators are listed top to bottom, in descending precedence.

Precedence	Operator	Description	Associativity
1	::	Scope resolution	Left-to-right
	a++ a	Suffix/postfix increment and decrement	
	type() type{}	Functional cast	
2	a()	Function call	
	a[]	Subscript	
	>	Member access	
	a?b:c	Ternary conditional ^[note 2]	Right-to-left
	throw	throw operator	
	=	Direct assignment (provided by default for C++ classes)	
	+= -=	Compound assignment by sum and difference	
	*= /= %=	Compound assignment by product, quotient, and remainder	
	<<= >>=	Compound assignment by bitwise left shift and right shift	
	&= ^= =	Compound assignment by bitwise AND, XOR, and OR	
17	,	Comma	Left-to-right

9) Every value computation and side effect of the first (left) argument of the built-in comma operator , is sequenced before every value computation and side effect of the second (right) argument.

Fold expression - comma operator example

```
template <typename Vector, typename ... Ts>
void push_back_all(Vector& vec, Ts& ... xs)
{
    (vec.push_back(std::forward<Ts>(xs)), ...);
}
```

```
push_back_all(vec, 1, 2, 3);
```



```
vec.push_back(1), (vec.push_back(2), vec.push_back(3)); // \{1, 2, 3\}
```

Fold expression - comma operator example

```
template <typename Vector, typename ... Ts>
void push_back_all(Vector& vec, Ts& ... xs)
{
    (..., vec.push_back(std::forward<Ts>(xs)));
}
```

```
push_back_all(vec, 1, 2, 3);
```



```
(vec.push_back(1), vec.push_back(2)), vec.push_back(3); // \{1, 2, 3\}
```

Fold expression - improving print_with_spaces

From the previous section

```
template <typename T, typename ... Ts>
    void print_with_spaces(const T& x, const Ts& ... xs)
         std::cout << x;</pre>
         if constexpr (sizeof ... (Ts) = \emptyset)
              std::cout << '\n';
         else
              std::cout << ' ';
              print with spaces(xs...);
(C) | Vittorio Romeo | vittorioromeo.info | vittorio.romeo@outlook.com
```

Fold expression - improving print_with_spaces

```
template <typename T, typename ... Ts>
void print_with_spaces(const T& x, const T& ... xs)
{
    std::cout << x;
    ((std::cout << ' ' << xs), ...);
    std::cout << '\n';
}</pre>
```

- No recursion
 - Simpler
 - Faster to compile
 - Easier to read

Fold expression - use cases

- Fold expressions are useful whenever you need to...
 - ...generate code for each element in a parameter pack;
 - ...collapse a parameter pack into a single result.
- In practice, this translates to:
 - Helper functions that reduce boilerplate
 - Avoidance of recursion and speeding up compilation time

Fold expression - concatenation

```
template <typename ... Ts>
std::string cat(Ts& ... xs)
{
    std::ostringstream oss;
    (oss << ... << xs);
    return oss.str();
}</pre>
```

```
std::cout << cat("meow", "purr") << '\n';</pre>
```

meowpurr

Fold expression - repeated comparisons

```
if(foo = 'a' || foo = 'c' || foo = 'e')
{
    // ... do something ...
}
```

• foo = is repeated multiple times

Fold expression - repeated comparisons

```
template <typename T, typename ... Ts>
constexpr bool is_any_of(const T& x, const Ts& ... xs)
{
   return ((x = xs) || ...);
}
```

```
if(is_any_of(foo, 'a', 'c', 'e'))
{
     // ... do something ...
}
```

Fold expression - repeated comparisons

Syntax can be improved with a helper class

```
if(any_of('a', 'b', 'c').is(foo))
{
     // ... do something ...
}
```

Fold expression - compile-time unrolling

```
repeat<32>([](auto i)
{
    std::array<int, i> arr;
    // ... use `arr`...
});
```

- i is an std::integral_constant
- The closure is invoked 32 times

Fold expression - compile-time unrolling

```
template <auto N, typename F>
void repeat(F&& f)
{
    repeat_impl(f, std::make_index_sequence<N>{});
}
```

- N is explicitly provided by the user
- F is deduced
- ${\sf std::make_index_sequence}$ creates a compile-time integer sequence from 0 to N (non-inclusive)

Fold expression - compile-time unrolling

```
template <typename F, auto ... Is>
void repeat_impl(F&& f, std::index_sequence<Is ... >)
{
    (f(std::integral_constant<std::size_t, Is>{}), ...);
}
```

- "Match" the generated sequence into Is ...
- ullet Invoke f N times using a fold expression over the comma operator

Fold expression - compile-time unrolling

```
template <typename F, auto ... Is>
void repeat_impl(F& f, std::index_sequence<Is...>)
    (f(std::integral_constant<std::size_t, Is>{}), ...);
template <auto N, typename F>
void repeat(F& f)
    repeat_impl(f, std::make_index_sequence<N>{});
                                                               (on wandbox.org)
```

Fold expression - iteration over std::tuple

```
template <typename F, typename Tuple>
void for_tuple(F&& f, Tuple&& tuple)
{
    std::apply([&f](auto&& ... xs)
    {
        (f(std::forward<decltype(xs)>(xs)), ...);
    }, std::forward<Tuple>(tuple));
}
```

- std::apply invokes a function by "unpacking" all the elements of a tuple as arguments
- The provided function uses a *fold expression* over the *comma operator* to invoke f for each tuple element

Fold expression - iteration over std::tuple

```
for_tuple([](const auto& x)
{
    std::cout << x;
}, std::tuple{1, 2, 'a', 'b'});
    (on wandbox.org)</pre>
```

12ab

```
for_types<int, float, char>([](auto t)
{
    using type = typename decltype(t)::type;
    // ... use `type`...
});
```

- The passed closure is invoked for each type
- t is an empty object carrying information about the current type

```
template <typename T>
struct type_wrapper
{
   using type = T;
};
```

- type_wrapper stores information about a type inside an empty object that can be used like a value
- It will be passed to the user-provided lambda
- "Type-value encoding" idiom

```
template <typename ... Ts, typename F>
void for_types(F& f)
{
    (f(type_wrapper<Ts>{}), ...);
}
```

- Ts ... are explicitly provided by the user
- F is deduced
- A fold expression over the comma operator invokes f with every type

```
struct A { void foo() { std::cout << "A\n"; } };
struct B { void foo() { std::cout << "B\n"; } };
struct C { void foo() { std::cout << "C\n"; } };

for_types<A, B, C>([](auto t)
{
    using type = typename decltype(t)::type;
    type{}.foo();
});
    (on wandbox.org)
```

В

C

- C++14 variable templates can be specialized
- Variables can be inline since C++17
- std::bool_constant<X> was introduced in C++17 it's an alias for std::integral_constant<bool, X>

Base case

```
template <typename ... >
inline constexpr auto is_unique = std::true_type{};
```

An empty type list is unique

Recursive case

- <T, Rest ... > type is unique if:
 - Rest ... does **not** contain T
 - < <Rest ... > is an unique type list
- The "contains" check uses a *fold expression* over the & operator

Section recap

- if constexpr greatly simplifies branching at compile-time
 - Supersedes template trickery in most cases
 - Not powerful enough in others (e.g. generating data members)
- Fold expressions provide a clean way of reducing parameter packs
 - Useful to repeat an action for every element of a pack...
 - ...or to collapse a pack into a single result

Discussion

Use cases for metaprogramming in your projects

Exercise

- Implement compile-time loops with fold expressions
 - exercise4.cpp
 - on Wandbox
 - on Godbolt



Break

5 minutes