

Game Theory and Applications (博弈论及其应用)

# **Chapter 2 : Mixed Strategy Game and Nash Equilibrium**

南京大学

高 尉



# Recap

---

- Strategy game
- Formal definition
- Nash equilibrium
- How to find Nash equilibria
  - Payoff matrix
  - Continuous and differentiable payoff function

# An Example

---

- $G = \left\{ \{1,2\}, \left\{ \{U, L\}, \{L, R\} \right\}, \{u_1, u_2\} \right\}$

		Player 2	
		$L$	$R$
Player 1	$U$	1      2	0      4
	$D$	0      5	3      2

# Mixed Strategy

---

- Player 1 is mixing over the pure strategies  $U$  and  $D$
- Player 2 is mixing over the pure strategies  $L$  and  $R$

		Player 2	
		$L, \pi_2$	$R, 1 - \pi_2$
Player 1	$U, \pi_1$	1      2	0      4
	$D, 1 - \pi_1$	0      5	3      2

- Mixed strategy keeps the guess of player's strategies, keep unpredictable on pure strategies
- Pure strategy can be viewed as a special mixed strategy

# Pure and Mixed Strategies

---

Strategy game

$$G = \{N, \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N\}, \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N\}\}$$

**Pure strategy:** each strategy in  $A_i$

**Mixed strategy:** a probability over the set  $A_i$  of strategies

Denote by  $\Delta(A_i)$  the set of all prob. distributions over  $A_i$

An **mixed outcome**  $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N)$ , where  $p_i \in \Delta(A_i)$

For any  $p_i$ , we define

$$p_{-i} = (p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}, p_{i+1}, \dots, p_N)$$
$$p = (p_i, p_{-i})$$

## Pure and Mixed Strategies (cont.)

---

	Player $i$	Outcome	Players	Outcome
Pure strategy	$a_i \in A_i$	$a = (a_1, a_2 \dots a_N)$	$a_{-i}$	$a = (a_i, a_{-i})$
Mixed strategy	$p_i \in \Delta(A_i)$	$p = (p_1, p_2 \dots p_N)$	$p_{-i}$	$p = (p_i, p_{-i})$

Pure strategy game

$$G = \{N, \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N\}, \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N\}\}$$

Mixed strategy game

$$G = \{N, \{\Delta(A_1), \Delta(A_2), \dots, \Delta(A_N)\}, \{?, ?, \dots, ?\}\}$$

## The Expected Payoff

---

Given  $G = \{N, \{A_i\}, \{u_i\}\}$  and mixed  $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N)$ , the expected payoff of player  $i$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} U_i(p) &= \sum_{a \in A} p(a) u_i(a) \\ &= \sum_{a=(a_1, \dots, a_N) \in A} p_1(a_1) \times \dots \times p_N(a_N) u_i(a) \end{aligned}$$

Pure strategy game

$$G = \{N, \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N\}, \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N\}\}$$

Mixed strategy game

$$G = \{N, \{\Delta(A_1), \Delta(A_2), \dots, \Delta(A_N)\}, \{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_N\}\}$$

# Example

---

		Player 2	
		$L, \pi_2$	$R, 1 - \pi_2$
Player 1	$U, \pi_1$	1 2	0 4
	$D, 1 - \pi_1$	0 5	3 2

$$p = (p_1, p_2) = ((0.4, 0.6), (0.5, 0.5))$$

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(p) = & p_1(U)p_2(L)u_1(U, L) + p_1(U)p_2(R)u_1(U, R) \\ & + p_1(D)p_2(L)u_1(D, L) + p_1(D)p_2(R)u_1(D, R) = 1.1 \end{aligned}$$

$$U_2(p) = \dots = 3.3$$



## Continuous Expected Payoff Function

---

**Lemma**  $U_i(p)$  is a **continuous** function for each variable.

Let

$$U_i(p_{-i}, a_i) = \sum_{a_{-i} \in A_{-i}} p_{-i}(a_{-i}) u_i(a_i, a_{-i})$$

Then

$$U_i(p) = \sum_{a_i \in A_i} p_i(a_i) U_i(p_{-i}, a_i)$$

# Multi-linear Payoff Function

---

**Lemma:** The expected payoff function  $U_i$  is **multi-linear**

For mixed outcome  $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N)$  and  $p'_i$ , we have

$$U_i(\lambda p_i + (1 - \lambda)p'_i, p_{-i}) = \lambda U_i(p_i, p_{-i}) + (1 - \lambda)U_i(p'_i, p_{-i})$$

$$\lambda \in [0,1].$$

Proof. See board from the definition

$$U_i(p) = \sum_{a_i \in A_i} p_i(a_i) U_i(p_{-i}, a_i).$$

# Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibrium

---

An mixed strategy outcome  $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N)$  is a **Nash equilibrium (NE)** if for each  $i$ , we have

$$U_i(p_i, p_{-i}) \geq U_i(p'_i, p_{-i}) \text{ for } p'_i \in \Delta(A_i)$$

Given  $G = \{N, \{\Delta(A_i)\}, \{U_i\}\}$  and  $p = (p_1 \dots p_N)$ , the **best response correspondence** of player  $i$  is given by

$$B_i(p_{-i}) = \{p_i : U(p_i, p_{-i}) \geq U(p'_i, p_{-i}) \text{ for all } p'_i \in \Delta(A_i)\}$$

**Theorem** A mixed outcome  $p = (p_1 \dots p_N)$  is a NE if and only if  $p_i \in B_i(p_{-i})$

# Nash Theorem

---

**Theorem** Every finite strategic game has a mixed strategy Nash equilibrium

Here finite strategic game means

- **finite players**
- each player has **finite pure strategies**

Why is this important

- Difficult to understand properties (NE) without existence
- Find the NE if we know the existence of NE

## An Property of MNE

---

**Theorem** If a mixed strategy is a best response, then each of the pure strategies (positive prob.) involved in the mixed strategy must be a best response. Particularly, each must yield the same expected payoff.

If a mixed strategy  $p_i$  is a best response to the strategies of the others  $p_{-i}$ , then each pure strategy  $a_i$  s.t.  $p_i(a_i) > 0$  is itself a best response to  $p_{-i}$ .

Particularly, all  $U_i(a_i, p_{-i})$  must be equal

## An Property of MNE (cont.)

---

**Theorem**  $G = \{N, \{A_i\}, \{u_i\}\}$ ,  $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N)$  is a mixed Nash equilibrium if and only if every pure strategy of player  $i$  with positive probability is a best response to  $p_{-i}$

Proof. See board by contradiction and from

$$U_i(p) = \sum_{a_i \in A_i} p_i(a_i) U_i(p_{-i}, a_i) .$$

.

# Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibrium: Example 1

---

Nash Equilibrium

		Player 2	
		$L, \pi_2$	$R, 1 - \pi_2$
Player 1	$U, \pi_1$	1 2	0 4
	$D, 1 - \pi_1$	0 5	3 2

Fixed Player 1, the expectation payoff of Player 2 on  $L$

$$2\pi_1 + 5(1 - \pi_1)$$

the expectation payoff of Player 2 on  $R$

$$4\pi_1 + 5(1 - \pi_1)$$

Nash Equilibrium implies

$$2\pi_1 + 5(1 - \pi_1) = 4\pi_1 + 2(1 - \pi_1) \rightarrow \pi_1 = 3/5$$

# Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibrium: Example 1

---

Nash Equilibrium		Player 2	
		$L, \pi_2$	$R, 1 - \pi_2$
Player 1	$U, \pi_1$	1 2	0 4
	$D, 1 - \pi_1$	0 5	3 2

Fixed Player 2, the expectation payoff of Player 1 on  $U$

$$\pi_2$$

the expectation payoff of Player 2 on  $R$

$$3(1 - \pi_2)$$

Nash Equilibrium implies

$$\pi_2 = 3(1 - \pi_2) \rightarrow \pi_2 = 3/4$$



# Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibrium: Example 1

---

		Player 2	
		$L, 3/4$	$R, 1/4$
Player 1	$U, 3/5$	1 2	0 4
	$D, 2/5$	0 5	3 2

Nash Equilibrium:

Player 1 selects the mixed strategy  $p_1 = (3/5, 2/5)$  over  $\{U, D\}$

Player 2 selects the mixed strategy  $p_2 = (3/4, 1/4)$  over  $\{L, R\}$

The expected payoff of Player 1 on mixed strategy  $p = (p_1, p_2)$

$$3/5 * 3/4 * 1 + 2/5 * 1/4 * 3 = 3/4$$

The expected payoff of Player 2 on mixed strategy  $p = (p_1, p_2)$

$$3/5 * 3/4 * 2 + 3/5 * 1/4 * 4 + 2/5 * 3/4 * 5 + 2/5 * 1/4 * 2 = 16/5$$

# Prisoners' Dilemma: Mixed Strategy NE

		Prisoner 2	
		$\pi_2$ Confess(c)    Don't confess(d)	
Prisoner 1	$\pi_1$ Confess(c)	-6    -6	0    -12
	Don't confess(d)	-12    0	-1    -1

$$-6\pi_2 = -12\pi_2 - 1(1-\pi_2)$$

PNE and MNE coexists

$$-6\pi_1 = -12\pi_1 - (1-\pi_1)$$

# Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibrium: 2×2 games

---

$$G = \{\{1,2\}, \{\{a_1, a_2\}, \{b_1, b_2\}\}, \{u_1, u_2\}\}$$

		Player 2	
		$b_1, \pi_2$	$b_2, 1 - \pi_2$
Player 1	$a_1, \pi_1$	$a$ $c$	$e$ $g$
	$a_2, 1 - \pi_1$	$b$ $d$	$f$ $h$

# Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibrium: 3 Players

---

$$G = \{\{T, B, J\}, \{\{a_1, a_2\}, \{b_1, b_2\}\}, \{u_1, u_2\}\}$$

		Player 2	
		$b_1, \pi_2$	$b_2, 1 - \pi_2$
Player 1	$a_1, \pi_1$	a c	e g
	$a_2, 1 - \pi_1$	b d	f h

$$(a - b + f - e)\pi_1 = f - e$$

$$a + f = b + e$$

# Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibrium: 3 Players

---

$$G = \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{\{a, b\}, \{x, y\}, \{L, R\}\}, \{u_i\}_{i=1}^3\}$$

**P3 chooses  $L$**

		<b>P2</b>					
		$x$			$y$		
<b>P1</b>	$a$	0	0	0	-4	1	2
	$b$	1	-4	2	2	2	-2

**P3 chooses  $R$**

		<b>P2</b>					
		$x$			$y$		
<b>P1</b>	$a$	3	3	-2	1	-4	2
	$b$	-4	1	2	0	0	0

# Exercise: Primitive Hunting

Find all Nash Equilibria (pure and mix NE)

		Hunter 2	
		$\pi_2$ · Rabbit (r)	Deer (d)
Hunter 1	$\pi_1$ · Rabbit (r)	3, 3	3, 0
	Deer (d)	0, 3	9, 9

$$3\pi_1 + 3(1-\pi_1) = 9(1-\pi_1)$$

$$\pi_1 = 2 - 2\pi_1$$

$$\pi_1 = \frac{2}{3} = \pi_2$$

# Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibrium: Example 2

---

## Rock-Paper-Scissors

		Player 2					
		Rock		Paper		Scissors	
Player 1	Rock	0	0	-1	1	1	-1
	Paper	1	-1	0	0	-1	1
	Scissors	-1	1	1	-1	0	0

Nash Equilibrium (Proof on board):

$$p = (p_1, p_2) = \left( \left( \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3} \right), \left( \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3} \right) \right)$$

# General Method for MNE

---

## General Method for 2-player games with payoff matrix

		<b>Player 2</b>			
		0		...	1
		0	...	-1	
<b>Player 1</b>	...	...		...	
	-1	1	...	0	0

Step 1: Conjecture some rows and columns (positive prob.)

Step 2: Calculate the mixed strategy

Step 3: Check Nash Equilibria

The running time is exponential with # of strategies



# How Many Nash Equilibria?

---

- A game with a finite number of players, each with a finite number of pure strategies, has at least one Nash equilibrium.
- So if the game has no pure strategy Nash equilibrium, then it must have at least one mixed strategy Nash equilibrium.
- In the worst case, the running time for find MNE is exponential in the # of strategies

# Nash Theorem

---

**Theorem** Every finite strategic game has a mixed strategy Nash equilibrium

**Theorem** A mixed outcome  $p$  is a NE iff  $p \in B(p)$

$$B(p) = (B_1(p_{-1}), B_2(p_{-2}), \dots, B_N(p_{-N}))$$

$$B(p): \Delta(A_1) \times \dots \times \Delta(A_N) \rightarrow \Delta(A_1) \times \dots \times \Delta(A_N)$$

## Proof Sketch

---

**Kakutani Fixed Point Theorem** Let  $f: A \rightarrow A$  be a correspondence with  $f(x) \subset A$  for  $x \in A$ . If

- 1)  $A$  is compact, convex and non-empty (finite space);
- 2)  $f(x)$  is non-empty for all  $x \in A$ ;
- 3)  $f(x)$  is a convex set;
- 4)  $f(x)$  has a closed graph: if  $\{x_n, y_n\} \rightarrow \{x, y\}$  and  $y_n \in f(x_n)$  then  $y \in f(x)$ ,

then, there is a  $x \in A$  such that  $x \in f(x)$

## Proof Sketch

---

$$B(p) = (B_1(p_{-1}), B_2(p_{-2}), \dots, B_N(p_{-N}))$$

$$B(p): \Delta(A_1) \times \dots \times \Delta(A_N) \rightarrow \Delta(A_1) \times \dots \times \Delta(A_N)$$

- 1)  $\Delta(A_1) \times \dots \times \Delta(A_N)$  is compact, convex and non-empty;
- 2)  $B(p)$  is non-empty for all  $p$ ;
- 3)  $B(p)$  is a convex set;
- 4)  $B(p)$  has a closed graph: if  $\{p^n, \hat{p}^n\} \rightarrow \{p, \hat{p}\}$  and  $\hat{p}^n \in B(p^n)$  then  $\hat{p} \in B(p)$ ,

then, there is a  $p \in \Delta(A_1) \times \dots \times \Delta(A_N)$  s.t.  $p \in B(p)$

## Proof of condition 1

---

$\Delta(A_1) \times \cdots \times \Delta(A_N)$  is compact, convex and non-empty

*Pf.* It suffices to prove  $\Delta(A_i)$  is compact, convex and non-empty. Let  $n = |A_i|$ . Then

$$\Delta(A_i) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in [0,1], \sum x_i = 1\}$$

is a simplex of dimension  $n - 1$ .

## Proof of condition 2

---

$B(p) = \{(p'_1, p'_2, \dots, p'_N) : p'_i \in B_i(p_{-i})\}$  is non-empty

*Pf.* It suffices to prove  $B_i(p_{-i})$  is non-empty.

$$B_i(p_{-i}) = \operatorname{argmax}_{p'_i \in \Delta(A_i)} U_i(p'_i, p_{-i})$$

Let  $f(x) = U_i(x, p_{-i}) = \sum_k x_k U_i(p_{-i}, a_k)$  for  $x \in \Delta(A_i)$ .

$f(x)$  is continuous and  $\Delta(A_i)$  is a nonempty compact set. By **Weierstrass Theorem**,  $f(x)$  has maximum in  $\Delta(A_i)$ .

$$B_i(p_{-i}) = \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \Delta(A_i)} f(x) \text{ is not-empty}$$

## Proof of condition 3

---

$B(p) = \{(p'_1, p'_2, \dots, p'_N) : p'_i \in B_i(p_{-i})\}$  is a convex set

*Pf.* It suffices to prove  $B_i(p_{-i})$  is convex. For any  $\lambda \in [0,1]$ , if  $p'_i, p''_i \in B_i(p_{-i})$  then we need to prove

$$\lambda p'_i + (1 - \lambda)p''_i \in B_i(p_{-i}).$$

From  $p_i, p'_i \in B_i(p_{-i})$ , we have

$$U_i(p_i, p_{-i}) \geq U_i(p_i^*, p_{-i}) \text{ for } p_i^* \in \Delta(A_i)$$

$$U_i(p'_i, p_{-i}) \geq U_i(p_i^*, p_{-i}) \text{ for } p_i^* \in \Delta(A_i)$$

$$U_i(\lambda p_i + (1 - \lambda)p'_i, p_{-i}) \geq U_i(p_i^*, p_{-i}) \text{ for } p_i^* \in \Delta(A_i)$$

## Proof of condition 4

---

$B(p) = \{(p'_1, p'_2, \dots, p'_N) : p'_i \in B_i(p_{-i})\}$  has a closed graph

*Pf.* Assume  $(p^n, \hat{p}^n) \rightarrow (p, \hat{p})$ ,  $\hat{p}^n \in B(p^n)$  but  $\hat{p} \notin B(p)$ .  
There exists  $\hat{p}_i \notin B_i(p_{-i})$ , i.e., there exist  $\bar{p}_i$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  s.t.

$$U_i(\bar{p}_i, p_{-i}) \geq U_i(\hat{p}_i, p_{-i}) + 3\epsilon$$

For continuous  $U_i$ ,  $p_{-i}^n \rightarrow p_{-i}$  and  $(\hat{p}_i^n, p_{-i}^n) \rightarrow (\hat{p}_i, p_{-i})$

$$U_i(\bar{p}_i, p_{-i}^n) > U_i(\bar{p}_i, p_{-i}) - \epsilon$$

$$U_i(\hat{p}_i, p_{-i}) > U_i(\hat{p}_i^n, p_{-i}^n) - \epsilon$$

We have  $U_i(\bar{p}_i, p_{-i}^n) > U_i(\hat{p}_i^n, p_{-i}^n) + \epsilon$ . Thus  $\hat{p}_i^n \notin B_i(p_{-i}^n)$



# Summary on Mixed Strategy

---

- Mixed strategy, mixed strategy game
- Nash Theorem
- How to find mixed strategy Nash Theorem

# An Exercise 1

---

- Find all pure strategy Nash equilibria

**P2**

		h		i		j		k		l		m	
<b>P1</b>	a	3	5	8	9	2	7	6	3	3	9	6	5
	b	6	21	13	6	5	8	9	4	8	9	7	8
	c	9	7	1	1	7	9	9	2	2	6	4	12
	d	2	14	10	12	6	5	6	8	7	2	9	19
	e	8	9	15	9	13	9	7	5	13	15	12	7

## Exercise 2 田忌赛马

		田忌					
		上中下	上下中	中上下	中下上	下上中	下中上
齐威王	上中下	3, -3	1, -1	1, -1	1, -1	-1, 1	1, -1
	上下中	1, -1	3, -3	1, -1	1, -1	1, -1	-1, 1
	中上下	1, -1	-1, 1	3, -3	1, -1	1, -1	1, -1
	中下上	-1, 1	1, -1	1, -1	3, -3	1, -1	1, -1
	下上中	1, -1	1, -1	1, -1	-1, 1	3, -3	1, -1
	下中上	1, -1	1, -1	-1, 1	1, -1	1, -1	3, -3

Find a mixed Nash equilibrium