This homework is due at 2pm, March 4, 2019.

Problem 1

Let $f: \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}$. Its *gradient* is a *p*-dimensional vector given by

$$\nabla f(x) := \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{(1)}}(x), \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{(p)}}(x)\right), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^p,$$

where $x^{(i)}$ denotes the *i*-th entry of the vector x. Its *Hessian* is a matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ given by

$$\left[\nabla^2 f(x)\right]^{(i,j)} := \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^{(i)} \partial x^{(j)}}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^p,$$

for all $1 \le i, j \le p$, where $\left[\nabla^2 f(x)\right]^{(i,j)}$ denotes the (i,j)-th entry of the matrix $\nabla^2 f(x)$.

Define

$$g(x) := \frac{1}{2} \langle x, Ax \rangle, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^p,$$

for some symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$.

1. (10 points) Show that

$$\nabla g(x) = Ax, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^p.$$

Solution. Notice that

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{p} x^{(i)} A^{(i,j)} x^{(j)}.$$

Then, we have

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x^{(k)}}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{j=1}^p A^{(k,j)} x^{(j)} + \sum_{i=1}^p x^{(i)} A^{(i,k)} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[2 \sum_{j=1}^p A^{(k,j)} x^{(j)} \right] = (Ax)^{(k)}, \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq p.$$

The second last equality follows from symmetry of the matrix A.

2. (15 points) Show that

$$\nabla^2 g(x) = A, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^p.$$

Solution. By the derivation above, we write

$$\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^{(i)} \partial x^{(j)}}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(\sum_{k=1}^p A^{(j,k)} x^{(k)} \right) = A^{(j,i)} = A^{(i,j)}.$$

The last equality follows from symmetry of the matrix *A*.

Problem 2

Let $\mathscr{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ be the set of positive semi-definite matrices of unit trace.

1. (10 points) Show that for each $X \in \mathcal{X}$, we can write

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i \Pi_i,$$

where $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_p$ are non-negative real numbers summing up to one, and Π_i are rank-1 matrices of unit trace. *Solution*. This is simply the eigenvalue decomposition of the matrix X, where $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_p$ are the eigenvalues.

2. (15 points) Let $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ which may not be in \mathcal{X} . Define f(X) := Tr(YX), the trace of YX. Define

$$f^{\star} = \min_{X} \{ f(X) \mid X \in \mathcal{X} \}.$$

Show that there exists some rank-1 matrix $X^* \in \mathcal{X}$, such that $f^* = f(X^*)$.

Solution. Let X^* be a minimizer of f on \mathcal{X} . Then, we have

$$X^{\star} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i \Pi_i^{\star},$$

where λ_i 's sum up to 1, and Π_i 's are rank-1 matrices. Suppose that

$$f(\Pi_i) = \operatorname{Tr}(Y\Pi_i) > f^*, \quad \forall 1 \le i \le p.$$

Then, we have

$$f^* = \operatorname{Tr}(YX^*) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(Y\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \Pi_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \operatorname{Tr}(Y\Pi_i) > f^*,$$

a contradiction, showing that at least one of the Π_i 's is a minimizer.

Problem 3

Let ξ be a non-negative real-valued random variable, and η be a real-valued random variable.

1. (10 points) Show that

$$P(\xi \ge t) \le \frac{E\xi}{t}, \quad \forall t > 0,$$

where $P(\xi \ge t)$ denotes the probability that $\xi \ge t$, and $E \xi$ denotes the expectation of ξ .

Solution. This is called Markov's inequality. Search for it on Wikipedia to find a proof.

2. (15 points) Suppose that η is a subgaussian random variable of parameter $\lambda > 0$, i.e.,

$$\mathsf{E}\exp\left(\lambda\eta^2\right) \le 2.$$

Show that

$$P(|\eta| \ge t) \le 2 \exp(-\lambda t^2), \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$

Solution. By Markov's inequality, we write

$$P(|\eta| \ge t) = P(\eta^2 \ge t^2) = P(e^{\lambda \eta^2} \ge e^{\lambda t^2}) \le \frac{E e^{\lambda t^2}}{e^{\lambda t^2}} = 2e^{-\lambda t^2}.$$

Problem 4

Let ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n be a sequence of independent Bernoulli random variable of expectation $\theta^{\natural} \in [0, 1]$, i.e.,

$$P(\xi_i = 1) = 1 - P(\xi_i = 0) = \theta^{\natural}, \quad 1 \le i \le n.$$

The maximum-likelihood estimator of θ is given by any minimizer of the function

$$f_n(\theta) := -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\xi_i \log \theta + (1 - \xi_i) \log(1 - \theta) \right],$$

on the interval [0,1].

1. (10 points) Show that indeed, the maximum-likelihood estimator, which we denote by $\hat{\theta}_n$, is given by

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i.$$

Solution. We write

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f_n}{\mathrm{d}\theta}(\theta) = -\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\xi_i}{\theta} - \frac{1-\xi_i}{1-\theta}\right] = -\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\xi_i-\theta}{\theta(1-\theta)}\right],$$

showing that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f_n}{\mathrm{d}\theta}(\hat{\theta}_n) = 0.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 f_n}{\mathrm{d}\theta^2}(\theta) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{-\xi_i}{\theta^2} - \frac{2-\theta-\xi_i}{(1-\theta)^2} \right] \ge 0.$$

Then, by Taylor's theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{split} f_n(\theta) &= f_n(\hat{\theta}_n) + \frac{\mathrm{d}f_n}{\mathrm{d}\theta}(\hat{\theta}_n)(\theta - \hat{\theta}_n) + \int_0^1 \int_0^t \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 f_n}{\mathrm{d}\theta^2}(\hat{\theta}_n + \tau(\theta - \hat{\theta}_n))(\theta - \hat{\theta}_n)^2 \, \mathrm{d}\tau \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &\geq f_n(\hat{\theta}_n), \quad \forall \theta \in]0,1[, \end{split}$$

showing that $\hat{\theta}_n$ is a minimizer of f_n on]0,1[. Notice that f_n is not defined (or f_n equals $+\infty$) at the endpoints of the interval [0,1].

2. (15 points) Show that as $n \to +\infty$, it holds that $\hat{\theta}_n$ converges to θ^{\natural} in probability, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathsf{P}\left(\left|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^{\natural}\right| \ge t\right) = 0, \quad \forall \, t > 0.$$

Do not simply cite the law of large numbers.

Solution. Define

$$\eta_i := \xi_i - \mathsf{E} \xi_i = \xi_i - \theta^{\natural}, \quad \forall 1 \le i \le n.$$

Notice that $\eta_i \in [-2, 2]$. Then, we have

$$\exists \eta_i^2 \leq 4, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

and hence

$$\mathsf{E}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\eta_{i}\right]^{2} = \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathsf{E}\,\eta_{i}^{2} + \sum_{i\neq j}\mathsf{E}\left(\eta_{i}\eta_{j}\right)\right] = \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathsf{E}\,\eta_{i}^{2} + \sum_{i\neq j}\mathsf{E}\,\eta_{i}\mathsf{E}\,\eta_{j}\right] = \frac{1}{n^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\eta_{i}^{2} \leq \frac{4}{n}.$$

By Markov's ienquality, we write

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{P}\left(\left|\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta^{\natural}\right| \geq t\right) &= \mathsf{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\eta_{i}\right| \geq t\right) \\ &= \mathsf{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\eta_{i}\right|^{2} \geq t^{2}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\mathsf{E}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\eta_{i}\right]^{2}}{t^{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{4}{t^{2}n}, \quad \forall \, t > 0. \end{split}$$

The desired equality follows.