

This homework is due at **0am, January 9, 2019**.

Problem 1

(20 points) Let $x_1, \dots, x_p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ be the matrix whose j -th column is given by x_j . Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that we would like to find a sparse vector $\hat{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, such that $y \approx X\hat{\beta}$. A modified *forward stagewise regression method* iterates as follows: Let $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ be the all-zero vector, and $r_0 = y$. For every $t \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$, compute

$$\begin{aligned} j_t &\in \arg \max_j \{ |\langle r_t, x_j \rangle| \mid j \in \{1, \dots, p\} \}, \\ r_{t+1} &\leftarrow r_t - \tau_t \left[\text{sign}(\langle r_t, x_{j_t} \rangle) x_{j_t} + \frac{1}{C} (r_t - y) \right], \\ \beta_{t+1}^{(j)} &\leftarrow \begin{cases} (1 - \frac{\tau_t}{C}) \beta_t^{(j)} + \tau_t \text{sign}(\langle r_t, x_{j_t} \rangle) & , \text{if } j = j_t, \\ (1 - \frac{\tau_t}{C}) \beta_t^{(j)} & , \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for some $\tau_t \in]0, C[$ and $C > 0$. When there are multiple maximizers in the optimization problem defining j_t , we arbitrarily choose only one of them.

The vectors r_t are called the *residual*. The method is perhaps most understandable when we set $C \rightarrow +\infty$: Notice that if $y = X\beta$ exactly for some $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$, then y is a linear combination of x_1, \dots, x_p . In each iteration, the method finds the component x_{j_t} that contributes the most to the residual, removes the effect of x_{j_t} to the residual, and then adds x_{j_t} to β_{t+1} with a proper scaling.

Show that the iteration rule of the modified forward stagewise regression method is equivalent to that of the Frank-Wolfe algorithm applied to compute the lasso. The lasso is given by

$$\beta^* \in \arg \min_{\beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 \mid \beta \in \mathbb{R}^p, \|\beta\|_1 \leq C \right\},$$

where C is the same as in the modified forward stagewise regression method.

Problem 2

(20 points) Consider the optimization problem

$$f^* = \min_x \left\{ f(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, x \geq 0, \text{Tr}(x) = 1 \right\},$$

for some smooth (with respect to the Frobenius norm) convex function f . Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose that we would like to find an ε -approximate solution x_ε , such that

$$f(x_\varepsilon) - f^* \leq \varepsilon.$$

In [1], it was proved that any such x_ε must satisfy

$$\text{rank}(x_\varepsilon) = \Omega\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Show that this lower bound is tight. That is, show that there exists an ε -approximate solution of rank $O(1/\varepsilon)$.

Problem 3

Consider the stochastic optimization problem

$$x^* \in \arg \min_x \{ f(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{X} \},$$

for some bounded closed convex set $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^p$, where the objective function is given by

$$f(x) := \mathbb{E}[F(x; \xi)],$$

for some function F and random variable ξ . Suppose that we have access to a stochastic first-order oracle, which for any request $x \in \mathcal{X}$, returns some $g(x; \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^p$, such that

$$\mathbb{E}[g(x; \xi)] \in \partial f(x).$$

Assume that f is convex and continuous, and

$$\mathbb{E}[\|g(x; \xi)\|_2^2] \leq L^2, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X},$$

for some $L > 0$.

Let ξ_1, ξ_2, \dots be independent and identically distributed random variables following the probability distribution of ξ . Let $x_1 \in \mathcal{X}$. Consider the *stochastic gradient method*, which iterates as

$$x_{t+1} \leftarrow \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}(x_t - \eta_t g(x_t; \xi_t)), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{N}.$$

1. (20 points) **Show that**

$$\eta_t \mathbb{E}[f(x_t) - f(x^*)] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{2}\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{2}\|x_{t+1} - x^*\|_2^2\right] + \frac{1}{2}\eta_t^2 L^2.$$

2. (20 points) Define

$$\bar{x}_{t_1:t_2} := \frac{\sum_{\tau=t_1}^{t_2} \eta_\tau x_\tau}{\sum_{\tau=t_1}^{t_2} \eta_\tau}, \quad R := \max_x \{\|x - x_1\|_2 \mid x \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

Show that

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{x}_{t_1:t_2}) - f(x^*)] \leq \frac{4R^2 + L^2 \sum_{\tau=t_1}^{t_2} \eta_\tau^2}{2 \sum_{\tau=t_1}^{t_2} \eta_\tau}, \quad \forall 1 \leq t_1 \leq t_2.$$

3. (20 points) Set

$$\eta_t = \frac{R}{L\sqrt{t}}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Show that then,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{x}_{t_1:t_2}) - f(x^*)] \leq \frac{RL}{\sqrt{t_2}} \left[2 \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 - t_1 + 1} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{t_2}{t_1}} \right], \quad \forall 1 \leq t_1 \leq t_2.$$

Notice that therefore, if we choose $t_1 = \alpha t_2$ for some $\alpha \in]0, 1[$, then

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{x}_{t_1:t_2}) - f(x^*)] = O\left(\frac{RL}{\sqrt{t_2}}\right).$$

References

- [1] CLARKSON, K. L. Coresets, sparse greedy approximation, and the Frank-Wolfe algorithm. *ACM Trans. Algorithms* 6, 4 (2010).