

This homework is due at **2pm, November 23**.

Problem 1

Let $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}$ be the data. Consider the ℓ_1 -penalized ℓ_1 -regression problem:

$$\hat{\beta} \in \arg \min_{\beta} \{ f(\beta) + g(\beta) \mid \beta \in \mathbb{R}^p \},$$

where

$$f(\beta) := \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i - \langle x_i, \beta \rangle|, \quad g(\beta) := \lambda \|\beta\|_1,$$

for some penalization parameter $\lambda > 0$.

1. (10 points) The Huber loss is given by

$$H_\mu(z) := \begin{cases} \frac{z^2}{2\mu}, & |z| \leq \mu, \\ |z| - \frac{\mu}{2}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases},$$

for every $\mu > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}$. **Show that the Huber loss is the Moreau envelope of the absolute value function, i.e.,**

$$H_\mu(z) := \min_w \left\{ |w| + \frac{1}{2\mu} (w - z)^2 \mid w \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

2. (10 points) Define

$$f_\mu(\beta) := \sum_{i=1}^n H_\mu(\langle x_i, \beta \rangle - y_i).$$

Show that f_μ is L_μ -smooth with $L_\mu := (1/\mu) \|X\|_{2 \rightarrow 2}^2$ with respect to the 2-norm, where $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ denotes the matrix whose i -th row is given by x_i^\top .

HINT: You may consider first showing that $h_\mu(\beta) := \sum_{j=1}^p H_\mu(\beta^{(j)})$ is the Moreau envelope of the ℓ_1 -norm function, and it is $(1/\mu)$ -smooth with respect to the 2-norm.

3. (10 points) **Show that**

$$f(\beta) - \frac{n\mu}{2} \leq f_\mu(\beta) \leq f(\beta), \quad \forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^p.$$

4. (20 points) **Provide an algorithm that, given $\varepsilon > 0$, finds some $\tilde{\beta}$ such that**

$$(f + g)(\tilde{\beta}) - (f + g)(\hat{\beta}) \leq \varepsilon,$$

after calling the first-order oracle associated with f_μ for $O(1/\varepsilon)$ times.

Problem 2

The *alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM)* is an optimization method that solves the problem

$$(x_1^*, x_2^*) \in \arg \min_{(x_1, x_2)} \{ \varphi(x_1) + \psi(x_2) \mid x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1}, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{p_2}, A_1 x_1 + A_2 x_2 = b \},$$

for given $A_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p_1}$, $A_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p_2}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^p$, where φ and ψ are proper closed convex functions. Let $\kappa > 0$, $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $x_{2,0} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_2}$. The ADMM iterates as, for every $t = 0, 1, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} x_{1,t+1} &\leftarrow \arg\min_{x_1} \left\{ \varphi(x_1) + \langle \lambda_t, A_1 x_1 \rangle + \frac{\kappa}{2} \|A_1 x_1 + A_2 x_{2,t} - b\|_2^2 \mid x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1} \right\}, \\ x_{2,t+1} &\leftarrow \arg\min_{x_2} \left\{ \psi(x_2) + \langle \lambda_t, A_2 x_2 \rangle + \frac{\kappa}{2} \|A_1 x_{1,t+1} + A_2 x_2 - b\|_2^2 \mid x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{p_2} \right\}, \\ \lambda_{t+1} &\leftarrow \lambda_t + \kappa (A_1 x_{1,t+1} + A_2 x_{2,t+1} - b). \end{aligned}$$

The ADMM is guaranteed to converge for any $\kappa > 0$; the iteration complexity of the ADMM is $O(1/\varepsilon)$ in general [2, 1], and can be $O(\log(1/\varepsilon))$ if either f or g is strongly convex [3].

Suppose that now we would like to solve the optimization problem

$$x^* \in \arg\min_x \{ f(x) + g(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^p \}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$f(x) := \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x),$$

for proper closed convex functions f_1, \dots, f_n , and g is a proper closed convex function.

1. (20 points) **Show that the optimization problem (1) can be solved via the following method.** Let $\kappa > 0$, $\lambda_{1,0}, \lambda_{2,0}, \dots, \lambda_{n,0}, z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$. For every $t = 0, 1, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} x_{i,t+1} &\leftarrow \arg\min_{x_i} \left\{ f_i(x_i) + \langle \lambda_{i,t}, x_i \rangle + \frac{\kappa}{2} \|x_i - z_t\|_2^2 \mid x_i \in \mathbb{R}^p \right\}, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ z_{t+1} &\leftarrow \arg\min_z \left\{ g(z) - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\langle \lambda_{i,t}, z \rangle - \frac{\kappa}{2} \|x_{i,t+1} - z\|_2^2 \right) \mid z \in \mathbb{R}^p \right\}, \\ \lambda_{i,t+1} &\leftarrow \lambda_{i,t} + \kappa (x_{i,t+1} - z_{t+1}), \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

2. (10 points) Indeed, computing z_{t+1} in (2) corresponds to computing the proximal mapping associated with g . Define, for every t ,

$$\bar{x}_t := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,t}, \quad \bar{\lambda}_t := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{i,t}.$$

Show that (2) can be equivalently written as

$$z_{t+1} \leftarrow \text{prox}_{g/(n\kappa)} \left(\bar{x}_{t+1} + \frac{1}{\kappa} \bar{\lambda}_t \right).$$

3. (10 points) Suppose that $n = 4m$ for some positive integer m . **Show how we can solve (1) using four processors, all of which are connected to a central unit.**

Recall the lasso, which corresponds to the case where

$$f(x) := \|y - Ax\|_2^2, \quad g(x) := \tau \|x\|_1,$$

for given $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, and penalization parameter $\tau > 0$. Suppose that $n = 4m$ for some positive integer m . Decompose y and A into four equal-sized blocks as

$$y := \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A := \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ A_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

4. (10 points) **Show that we can compute the lasso via the following algorithm.** Let $\kappa > 0$, $\lambda_{1,0}, \lambda_{2,0}, \lambda_{3,0}, \lambda_{4,0}, z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$. For every $t = 0, 1, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\lambda}_t &\leftarrow \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^4 \lambda_{i,t} \\ x_{i,t+1} &\leftarrow \left(A_i^T A_i + \frac{\kappa}{2} I \right)^{-1} \left[A_i^T y_i + \frac{\kappa}{2} \left(z_t - \frac{1}{\kappa} \lambda_{i,t} \right) \right], \quad \forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ \bar{x}_{t+1} &\leftarrow \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^4 x_{i,t+1} \\ z_{t+1} &\leftarrow \text{soft}_{\tau/(n\kappa)} \left(\bar{x}_{t+1} + \frac{1}{\kappa} \bar{\lambda}_t \right), \\ \lambda_{i,t+1} &\leftarrow \lambda_{i,t} + \kappa (x_{i,t+1} - z_{t+1}), \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, 4,\end{aligned}$$

where $I \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ denotes the identity matrix, and $\text{soft}(\cdot)$ is the soft-thresholding operator defined in the lecture slides.

References

- [1] HE, B., AND YUAN, X. On the $O(1/n)$ convergence rate of the Douglas-Rachford alternating direction method. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 50, 2 (2012), 700–709.
- [2] HE, B., AND YUAN, X. On non-ergodic convergence rate of Douglas-Rachford alternating direction method of multipliers. *Numer. Math.* 130 (2015), 567–577.
- [3] NISHIHARA, R., LESSARD, L., RECHT, B., PACKARD, A., AND JORDAN, M. I. A general analysis of the convergence of ADMM. In *Proc. 32nd Int. Conf. Machine Learning* (2015).