This homework is due at 23:59, April 14, 2019.

## **Problem 1**

In this problem, we will derive a generalization error bound for the *support vector machine*.

Consider the binary classification problem. Let  $(x, y), (x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$  be independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables in  $\mathcal{X} \times \{\pm 1\}$  for some set  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a class of hypotheses  $h : \mathcal{X} \to [-B, B]$  for some B > 0. Suppose for any given  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , we predict the corresponding y by the sign of h(x). Consider the 0 - 1 loss

$$\lambda(u) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{, if } u \le 0, \\ 0 & \text{, otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and the corresponding risk and empirical risk functions

$$R(h) := \mathsf{E}\,\lambda(yh(x)), \quad R_n(h) := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda(y_ih(x_i)), \quad \forall\, h\in\mathcal{H}.$$

Define the hinge loss

$$\varphi(u) := \max\{0, 1-u\}, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The support vector machine outputs a hypothesis  $\hat{h}_n$  that minimizes the average hinge loss

$$\Phi_n(h) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(y_i h(x_i)), \forall h \in \mathcal{H},$$

on the hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$ .

1. (10 points) We say a function  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a *contraction*, if and only if

$$|\psi(y) - \psi(x)| \le |y - x|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Theorem 1** (Contraction principle [2]). Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a contraction. Define

$$\psi \circ \mathscr{A} := \{ (\psi(a_1), \dots, \psi(a_n)) \mid (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathscr{A} \}.$$

Then, it holds that

$$\mathsf{E}\left[\sup_{(b_1,\dots,b_n)\in\psi\circ\mathscr{A}}\sum_{i=1}^n\sigma_i\,b_i\right]\leq\mathsf{E}\left[\sup_{(a_1,\dots,a_n)\in\mathscr{A}}\sum_{i=1}^n\sigma_i\,a_i\right],$$

where  $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n$  are i.i.d. Rademacher random variables.

Let  $\mathscr{F}$  be the set  $\{f_h : (x,y) \to \varphi(yh(x)) \mid h \in \mathscr{H}\}$ . Use Theorem 1 to show that

$$\hat{C}_n(\mathcal{F}) \le \hat{C}_n(\mathcal{H}),\tag{1}$$

where  $\hat{C}_n$  denotes the empirical Rademacher complexity, i.e.,

$$\hat{C}_n(\mathscr{F}) := \mathsf{E}_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n} \sup_{h \in \mathscr{H}} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i f_h(x_i, y_i) \right], \quad \hat{C}_n(\mathscr{H}) := \mathsf{E}_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n} \sup_{h \in \mathscr{H}} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i h(x_i) \right],$$

with  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$  being i.i.d. Rademacher random variables.

Solution. Obviously,  $\varphi$  is a contraction. Let

$$\mathscr{A} := \{ (y_1 h(x_1), \dots, y_n h(x_n)) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid h \in \mathscr{H} \}.$$

Then, by Theorem 1, we write

$$\begin{split} \hat{C}_n(\mathcal{F}) &= \mathsf{E}\left[\sup_{(b_1,\dots,b_n)\in\varphi\circ\mathscr{A}}\sum_{i=1}^n\sigma_ib_i\right] \\ &\leq \mathsf{E}\left[\sup_{(a_1,\dots,a_n)\in\mathscr{A}}\sum_{i=1}^n\sigma_ia_i\right] \\ &= \mathsf{E}_{\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_n}\sup_{h\in\mathscr{H}}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\sigma_iy_ih(x_i)\right] \\ &= \mathsf{E}_{\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_n}\sup_{h\in\mathscr{H}}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\sigma_ih(x_i)\right] \\ &= \hat{C}_n(\mathscr{H}). \end{split}$$

Notice the second last equality holds because  $y_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ .

2. (10 points) Use (1) to show that for any  $\delta \in ]0,1[$ ,

$$\mathsf{P}\left(R(\hat{h}_n) \le \Phi_n(\hat{h}_n) + 2C_n(\mathcal{H}) + (B+1)\sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{2n}}\right) \ge 1 - \delta,$$

where  $C_n$  denotes the Rademacher complexity, i.e.,

$$C_n(\mathcal{H}) := \mathsf{E}_{(x_1, \gamma_1), \dots, (x_n, \gamma_n)} \hat{C}_n(\mathcal{H}).$$

Solution. Define the expected hinge loss

$$\Phi(h) := \mathsf{E}\varphi(yh(x)), \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Notice that

$$0 \le \varphi(\gamma h(x)) \le B + 1, \quad \forall (x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \{\pm 1\}, h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Then, applying Theorem 1 of Lecture 2 with the normalized hinge loss  $\varphi/(B+1)$ , we write for any  $\delta \in ]0,1[$ ,

$$\mathsf{P}\left(\Phi(\hat{h}_n) \leq \Phi_n(\hat{h}_n) + 2C_n(\mathcal{F}) + 2\sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{2n}}\right) \geq 1 - \delta.$$

As

$$\lambda(yh(x)) \le \varphi(yh(x)), \quad \forall (x,y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \{\pm 1\}, h \in \mathcal{H},$$

we have  $\Phi(\hat{h}_n) \ge R(\hat{h}_n)$ . It remains to apply (1).

## **Problem 2**

In this problem, we will derive a PAC Bayesian-type generalization error bound for a countable hypothesis class. Let  $z, z_1, ..., z_n$  be i.i.d. random variables taking values in a set  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a countable set of hypotheses  $h: \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{L}$ 

 $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\lambda: \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Z} \to [0,1]$  be a bounded loss function. Define

$$R(h) := \mathsf{E}_z \lambda(h, z), \quad R_n(h) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(h, z_i), \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Let  $\pi$  and  $\hat{\pi}$  be two probability distributions on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Suppose  $\pi$  is independent of  $z, z_1, \ldots, z_n$ 

1. (10 points) Show that for any  $\delta \in ]0,1[$ ,

$$\mathsf{P}\left(\mathsf{E}_{\hat{h} \sim \pi} R(\hat{h}) \leq \mathsf{E}_{\hat{h} \sim \pi} R_n(\hat{h}) + \sqrt{\frac{H(\pi) + \log(1/\delta)}{2n}}\right) \geq 1 - \delta,$$

where H denotes the entropy function, i.e.,

$$H(\pi) := -\sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \pi(h) \log \pi(h).$$

HINT: Recall the following result in Lecture 4:

$$\mathsf{P}\left(\forall h \in \mathcal{H} : R(h) \leq R_n(h) + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\pi(h)) + \log(1/\delta)}{2n}}\right) \geq 1 - \delta.$$

Solution. By the hint, we have

$$\mathsf{P}\left(\mathsf{E}_{\hat{h} \sim \pi}\left[R(h) - R_n(h)\right] \leq \mathsf{E}_{\hat{h} \sim \pi} \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\pi(\hat{h})) + \log(1/\delta)}{2n}}\right) \geq 1 - \delta.$$

By Jensen's inequality, we obtain

$$\mathsf{P}\left(\mathsf{E}_{\hat{h} \sim \pi}\left[R(h) - R_n(h)\right] \leq \sqrt{\frac{\mathsf{E}_{\hat{h} \sim \pi}\left[\log(1/\pi(\hat{h}))\right] + \log(1/\delta)}{2n}}\right) \geq 1 - \delta.$$

It remains to notice that

$$\mathsf{E}_{\hat{h} \sim \pi} \left[ \log \left( \frac{1}{\pi(\hat{h})} \right) \right] = H(\pi).$$

2. (10 points) Show that for any  $\delta \in ]0,1[$ ,

$$\mathsf{P}\left(\mathsf{E}_{\hat{h}\sim\hat{\pi}}R(\hat{h}) \leq \mathsf{E}_{\hat{h}\sim\hat{\pi}}R_n(\hat{h}) + \sqrt{\frac{D(\hat{\pi}\|\pi) + H(\hat{\pi}) + \log(1/\delta)}{2n}}\right) \geq 1 - \delta,$$

where D denotes the relative entropy, i.e.,

$$D(\hat{\pi} \| \pi) \coloneqq \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \hat{\pi}(h) \log \frac{\hat{\pi}(h)}{\pi(h)}.$$

Solution. Similarly as in the derivation above, we write

$$\mathsf{P}\left(\mathsf{E}_{\hat{h}\sim\hat{\pi}}\left[R(h)-R_n(h)\right] \leq \sqrt{\frac{\mathsf{E}_{\hat{h}\sim\hat{\pi}}\left[\log(1/\pi(\hat{h}))\right] + \log(1/\delta)}{2n}}\right) \geq 1-\delta.$$

It remains to notice that

$$\mathsf{E}_{\hat{h} \sim \hat{\pi}} \left[ \log \left( \frac{1}{\pi(\hat{h})} \right) \right] = \mathsf{E}_{\hat{h} \sim \hat{\pi}} \left[ \log \left( \frac{\hat{\pi}(\hat{h})}{\pi(\hat{h})} \right) - \log \left[ \hat{\pi}(\hat{h}) \right] \right] = D(\hat{\pi} \| \pi) + H(\hat{\pi}).$$

3. (10 points) Explain why we require  $\pi$  to be independent of  $z, z_1, ..., z_n$ , while we do not require  $\hat{\pi}$  to satisfy the same condition.

*Solution.* If  $\pi$  is not independent of  $z, z_1, \dots, z_n$ , the inequality in the hint may not hold.

## Problem 3

Lecturer: Yen-Huan Li

In this problem, we will derive a general inequality that can yield a variety of PAC Bayesian bounds, and a special case of it.

Consider the same setting as in Problem 2, except that now the hypothesis class is general and not necessarily countable.

1. (20 points) Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$  possibly dependent on  $z_1, ..., z_n$ . The *change of measure inequality* says that for any  $\eta \in ]0, +\infty[$ ,

$$\eta \mathsf{E}_{\hat{h} \sim \hat{\pi}} \varphi(\hat{h}) \le D(\hat{\pi} \| \pi) + \mathsf{log} \left( \mathsf{E}_{\hat{h} \sim \pi} e^{\eta \varphi(\hat{h})} \right),$$

where  $D(\hat{\pi} \| \pi)$  denotes the relative entropy between  $\hat{\pi}$  and  $\pi$ . Use the inequality to show that for any  $\eta \in ]0, +\infty[$  and  $\delta \in ]0, 1[$ ,

$$\mathsf{P}\left(\mathsf{E}_{\hat{h}\sim\hat{\pi}}\varphi(\hat{h}) \leq \frac{1}{\eta}D(\hat{\pi}\|\pi) + \frac{1}{\eta}\log\frac{C_n(\eta)}{\delta}\right) \geq 1 - \delta,\tag{2}$$

where the probability is with respect to the randomness of  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ , and

$$C_n(\eta) := \mathsf{E}_{z_1,\dots,z_n} \mathsf{E}_{\hat{h} \sim \pi} e^{\eta \varphi(\hat{h})}.$$

*Remark.* Rigorously speaking, the term  $\mathsf{E}_{\hat{h}\sim\hat{\pi}}\,\varphi(\hat{h})$  in the change of measure inequality should be understood as

$$\mathsf{E}_{\hat{h} \sim \hat{\pi}} \varphi(\hat{h}) \coloneqq \sup_{B \in \mathbb{R}} \mathsf{E}_{\hat{h} \sim \hat{\pi}} \min \left\{ B, \varphi(\hat{h}) \right\}.$$

See [1, Section 5.2] for the details. You can ignore this mathematical subtlety for this homework.

Solution. By Markov's inequality, we write

$$\mathsf{P}\left(\mathsf{E}_{\hat{h}\sim\pi}\mathrm{e}^{\eta\varphi(\hat{h})} \leq \frac{C_n(\eta)}{\delta}\right) \geq 1 - \delta.$$

Equivalently, we have

$$\mathsf{P}\left(\mathsf{log}\,\mathsf{E}_{\hat{h}\sim\pi}\mathsf{e}^{\eta\varphi(\hat{h})}\leq\mathsf{log}\,\frac{C_n(\eta)}{\delta}\right)\geq 1-\delta.$$

By the change of measure inequality, we obtain

$$\mathsf{P}\left(\eta \mathsf{E}_{\hat{h} \sim \hat{\pi}} \, \varphi(\hat{h}) - D(\hat{\pi} \| \pi) \le \log \frac{C_n(\eta)}{\delta}\right) \ge 1 - \delta,$$

the desired inequality.

2. (10 points) For any  $p, q \in ]0, 1[$ , define

$$\delta(p||q) \coloneqq p \log \frac{p}{q} + (1-p) \log \frac{1-p}{1-q}.$$

Let u, v be random variables taking values in ]0,1[. **Show that** 

$$\mathsf{E}\,\delta(u\|v) \ge \delta(\mathsf{E}\,u\|\mathsf{E}\,v). \tag{3}$$

HINT: Let  $\psi: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  for some set  $\mathcal{X}$ . *Jensen's inequality* says if for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$\psi((1-\alpha)x + \alpha y) \le (1-\alpha)\psi(x) + \alpha \psi(y), \quad \forall \alpha \in [0,1], \tag{4}$$

then for any random variable  $\xi$  taking values in  $\mathcal{X}$ ,

$$\mathsf{E}\psi(\xi) \ge \psi(\mathsf{E}\xi)$$
.

Solution. We write

$$\begin{split} \delta((1-\alpha)p + \alpha\tilde{p} \| (1-\alpha)q + \alpha\tilde{q}) &= \left[ (1-\alpha)p + \alpha\tilde{p} \right] \log \left[ (1-\alpha)p + \alpha\tilde{p} \right] + \\ &\left\{ 1 - \left[ (1-\alpha)p + \alpha\tilde{p} \right] \right\} \log \left\{ 1 - \left[ (1-\alpha)p + \alpha\tilde{p} \right] \right\} - \\ &\left[ (1-\alpha)p + \alpha\tilde{p} \right] \log \left[ (1-\alpha)q + \alpha\tilde{q} \right] - \\ &\left\{ 1 - \left[ (1-\alpha)p + \alpha\tilde{p} \right] \right\} \log \left\{ 1 - \left[ (1-\alpha)q + \alpha\tilde{q} \right] \right\}. \end{split}$$

Then, it suffices to check if both  $v \mapsto v \log v$  and  $u \mapsto -\log u$  satisfy (4); if yes, then  $v \mapsto (1-v)\log(1-v)$  and  $u \mapsto -\log(1-u)$  also satisfy (4) by symmetry. Let  $v, \tilde{v} \in ]0,1[$ ; assume  $v \geq \tilde{v}$  without loss of generality. Then, we write

$$\begin{split} &[(1-\alpha)v + \alpha \tilde{v}] \log [(1-\alpha)v + \alpha \tilde{v}] - (1-\alpha)v \log v - \alpha \tilde{v} \log \tilde{v} \\ &= (1-\alpha)v \log \frac{(1-\alpha)v + \alpha \tilde{v}}{v} + \alpha \tilde{v} \log \frac{(1-\alpha)v + \alpha \tilde{v}}{\tilde{v}} \\ &\leq (1-\alpha)v \log \frac{(1-\alpha)v + \alpha \tilde{v}}{v} + \alpha v \log \frac{(1-\alpha)v + \alpha \tilde{v}}{\tilde{v}} \\ &= \log \frac{(1-\alpha)v + \alpha \tilde{v}}{v} + v \log \frac{\tilde{v}}{v} \\ &\leq 0. \end{split}$$

Checking if  $u \mapsto -\log u$  satisfies (4) is easy, so we skip the proof.

3. (20 points) Below is a non-trivial result in probability theory.

**Theorem 2** ([3]). Let  $\xi, \xi_1, ..., \xi_n$  be i.i.d. random variables taking values in [0,1]. Define

$$\mu \coloneqq \mathsf{E}\,\xi, \quad \hat{\mu}_n \coloneqq \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i.$$

Then, it holds that

$$\mathsf{E} e^{n\delta(\hat{\mu}_n \| \mu)} \le 2\sqrt{n}, \quad \forall n \ge 8.$$

Assume  $R(h) \in ]0,1[$  for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ . Use (2), (3), and Theorem 2 to show that for any  $\delta \in ]0,1[$  and  $n \geq 8$ ,

$$\mathsf{P}\left(\delta\left(\mathsf{E}_{\hat{h}\sim\hat{\pi}}\,R_n(\hat{h})\,\middle\|\,\mathsf{E}_{\hat{h}\sim\hat{\pi}}\,R(\hat{h})\right)\leq \frac{1}{n}\left[D(\hat{\pi}\,\lVert\pi)+\log\frac{2\sqrt{n}}{\delta}\right]\right)\geq 1-\delta.$$

Solution. Set

$$\varphi(h) = \delta(R_n(h) || R(h)).$$

Then, by (2) with  $\eta = n$ , we have

$$\mathsf{P}\left(\mathsf{E}_{\hat{h}\sim\hat{\pi}}\delta(R_n(\hat{h})\|R(\hat{h})) \leq \frac{1}{n}D(\hat{\pi}\|\pi) + \frac{1}{n}\log\frac{\tilde{C}_n}{\delta}\right) \geq 1 - \delta,$$

where

$$\tilde{C}_n := \mathsf{E}_{z_1,\dots,z_n} \mathsf{E}_{\hat{h} \sim \pi} e^{n\delta(R_n(\hat{h}) \| R(\hat{h}))}.$$

By Theorem 2, we write

$$\tilde{C}_n \leq \mathsf{E}_{\hat{h} \sim \pi}(2\sqrt{n}) = 2\sqrt{n}.$$

Lecturer: Yen-Huan Li

Then, we obtain

$$\mathsf{P}\left(\mathsf{E}_{\hat{h}\sim\hat{\pi}}\delta(R_n(\hat{h})\|R(\hat{h})) \leq \frac{1}{n}D(\hat{\pi}\|\pi) + \frac{1}{n}\log\frac{2\sqrt{n}}{\delta}\right) \geq 1 - \delta.$$

It remains to apply (3) and write

$$\mathsf{E}_{\hat{h}\sim\hat{\pi}}\delta(R_n(\hat{h})\|R(\hat{h})) \geq \delta\left(\mathsf{E}_{\hat{h}\sim\hat{\pi}}\,R_n(\hat{h})\,\Big\|\,\mathsf{E}_{\hat{h}\sim\hat{\pi}}\,R(\hat{h})\right).$$

## References

- [1] CATONI, O. Statistical Learning Theory and Stochastic Optimization. Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [2] LEDOUX, M., AND TALAGRAND, M. Probability in Banach Spaces. Springer-Verl., Berlin, 1991.
- [3] MAURER, A. A note on the PAC Bayesian theorem. arXiv:cs/0411099v1.