Statistical Inference I

Prof. Chin-Tsang Chiang

Scribe: Chi-Ning Chou

## Lecture Notes 10

October 15, 2015

## 1 Expectation

Expectation is simply a functional of the distribution. It maps a distribution to a certain real value to represent the behavior, shape, or other properties. Formally, we define the expectation of a random variable X as follow:

**Definition 1 (expectation)** Let X be a r.v. and g be a measurable function. Then, the expectation of g(X), which is also a r.v., is denoted as  $\mathbb{E}[g(X)]$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \int_{x} g(x)dF_X(x)$$

Note that the expectation of  $\mathbb{E}[g(X)]$  exists provided that  $\mathbb{E}[|g(X)|] < \infty$ .

**Remark**: If the distribution is not a mixture of both discrete and continuous distribution, then we can represent it as

- If X is discrete,  $\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \sum_{x} g(x) f_X(x)$ .
- If X is continuous,  $\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dF_X(x)$ .

However, not all the distribution has expectation! Cauchy distribution is a beautiful example: **Example:** (Cauchy distribution has no mean)

The pdf of Cauchy distribution is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}dx$$

With simple integration, we can check that  $\mathbb{E}(|X|) = 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{x}{\pi(1+x^2)} dx = \infty$ . Thus, the expectation of Cauchy distribution does not exist. As a remark, Cauchy is a bell-shaped distribution with median 0. And actually, the cumulative distribution of Cauchy is the arc tangent function!

**Property 2** Let X be a r.v. and a,b,c be constants. Moreover,  $g_1(X)$ ,  $g_2(X)$  be any r.v. with expectation. Then,

- 1. (Preserve linear combination)  $\mathbb{E}[]ag_1(X) + bg_2(X) + c] = a\mathbb{E}[g_1(X)] + b\mathbb{E}[g_2(X)] + c.$
- 2. (Preserve non-negativity) If  $f(x) \ge 0$ ,  $\forall x$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[g(X)]$ .
- 3. (Preserve dominance) If  $g_1(x) \geq g_2(x)$ ,  $\forall x$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[g_1(X)]gleq\mathbb{E}[g_2(X)]$ .
- 4. (Existence of bounded r.v.) If  $a \leq g(x) \leq b$ ,  $\forall x$ , then  $a \leq \mathbb{E}[g(X)] \leq \mathbb{E}[g(X)]b$ .

Now, we turn to an useful and interesting application of expectation.

**Example**: (The expectation of indicator function is probability) Consider  $I_A$  to be an indicator function of a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}[I_A(X)] = P(A)$$

Moreover, we can regard the above equation as a **binary response**. That is, the indicator separate the space  $\mathbb{R}$  into two parts:  $\{x: x \in A\}$  and  $\{x: x \notin A\}$  and the expectation is a functional to see the response of such partition.

For example, consider the following indicator function  $I(X \leq x)$ . We can see that  $\mathbb{E}[I(X \leq x)] = F_X(x)$ . And this representation gives us a broad way to describe the data. Suppose now we are concerning the probability  $Pr[X = x | Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_p]$ , the most simply way is to use a general model to describe it, say

$$Pr[X = x | Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_p] = G(x, \beta_1 Z_1 + \beta_2 Z_2 + ... + \beta_p Z_p)$$

As we choose to use the expectation representation:  $\mathbb{E}[I(X \leq x)|Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_p]$ , the impact of  $Z_i$ s can somehow depends on the value of x and become even more general. In other words, the linear parameter  $\beta_i$ s can be depended on x. For example,

$$x_1 : \{x : X \le x_1\} \leftrightarrow \beta_{11}Z_1 + \beta_{12}Z_2 + \dots + \beta_{1p}Z_p$$
  
 $x_2 : \{x : X \le x_2\} \leftrightarrow \beta_{21}Z_1 + \beta_{22}Z_2 + \dots + \beta_{2p}Z_p$ 

With the above concept, we can simply show the inclusion-exclusion theorem with the help of indicator function and its expectation. First consider two facts:

- $\mathbf{1}_{A \cap B} = \mathbf{1}_A \mathbf{1}_B$  and  $\mathbf{1}_{A \cup B} = 1 \mathbf{1}_{A^C \cap B^C}$
- $\mathbf{1}_{\cup_i A_i} = 1 \prod_i (1 \mathbf{1}_{A_i})$

Now, we can derive the inclusion-exclusion theorem:

$$P(\cup A_i) = 1 - \mathbb{E}[\prod_i (1 - \mathbf{1}_{A_i})]$$

$$= 1 - \mathbb{E}[1 - \sum_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i} + \sum_{i,j} \mathbf{1}_{A_i} \mathbf{1}_{A_j} - \dots + (-1)^k \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_k} \mathbf{1}_{A_{i_1}} \cdots \mathbf{1}_{A_{i_k}} \pm \dots \pm \mathbf{1}_{\cap A_i}]$$

$$= \sum_i P(A_i) - \sum_{i,j} P(A_i \cap A_j) + \dots + (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_k} P(A_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k}) \pm \dots \pm P(\cup_i A_i)$$