

## Lecture Notes 19

December 3, 2015

Scribe: Wei-Chang Lee, Chi-Ning Chou

## 0.1 Condition and independence

Here, we formally define the concept of conditional distribution in random vector.

**Definition 1 (conditional probability density function)** Let  $\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2$  be two random vectors with  $f_{\tilde{X}_1}(x_1) > 0$ . Then, the conditional pdf of  $\tilde{X}_2$  given  $\tilde{X}_1 = x_1$  is defined as

$$f_{\tilde{X}_2|\tilde{X}_1}(x_2|x_1) = \frac{f_{\tilde{X}}(x)}{f_{\tilde{X}_1}(x_1)}$$

Intuitively, we can think of the whole sample space has a partition  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$  over random vector  $\tilde{X}_1$  and  $\tilde{X}_2$ . Then conditional sense it to restrict  $\tilde{X}_1$  occurs in  $A_1$ . Thus, the sample space becomes  $A_1 \times \Omega_2$ .

With the concept of conditional pdf, we can know further characterize the idea of independence. In probability theory, we say two **events** are independent if the probability of an events is not affected by another. Now, what we are consider is **random variables**, whose probability is defined over the whole  $\sigma$ -algebra. As a results, the formation is much more complicated. However, the idea is quite the same. And actually, the condition is more simple. As  $\sigma$ -algebra have the good closeness property, here once we define the independence relation for some specific representative events, the independence has been characterized. Formally, we have

**Definition 2 (independence)** Let  $\tilde{X} = (\tilde{X}_1^T, \dots, \tilde{X}_p^T)^T$  be a random vector with joint pdf  $F_{\tilde{X}}(x)$  and we denote the marginal distribution of  $\tilde{X}_i$  as  $F_{\tilde{X}_i}(x_i)$ . Now, we say  $\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_p$  are mutually independent if

$$F_{\tilde{X}}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^p F_{\tilde{X}_i}(x_i)$$

The concept of independence is very important in probability theory and statistics. With help of independence, we can derive lots of great properties for the models. Take a look at the following example.

**Example:** Consider the example of hazard time and missing data.

- Failure time:  $T \sim f_T(t)$ , where the cdf is  $F_T(t)$  and the hazard function  $S_T(t) = 1 - F_T(t)$ .
- Censure time:  $C \sim f_C(t)$ , where the cdf is  $F_C(t)$  and the hazard function  $S_C(t) = 1 - F_C(t)$ .
- $X = \min\{T, C\}$
- $\delta = \mathbf{1}_{T \neq X}$

Assume that  $T$  and  $C$  are independent. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{X,\delta}(x, 1) &= \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{P[X \in [x, x + \Delta], \delta = 1]}{\Delta} = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{P[X \in [x, x + \Delta], T \neq C]}{\Delta} \\
 &= \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{P[X \in [x, x + \Delta], C \geq x + \Delta]}{\Delta} \\
 (\because T, C \text{ are independent}) &= \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} P[X \in [x, x + \Delta]] \cdot P[C \geq x + \Delta] \\
 &= f_T(x) \cdot S_C(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1 (necessary and sufficient condition for mutually independence)** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_p$  be random variables, they are mutually independent iff  $\exists g$  such that  $F_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^p g_i(x_i)$ .

**Proof:**

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Take  $g_i$  to be the marginal distribution of  $X_i$  is sufficient.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Consider the marginal distribution  $F_{X_i}(x_i) = F_{\underline{X}}(\infty, \dots, x_i, \dots, \infty) = g_i(x_i) \prod_{j \neq i} g_j(\infty)$ . Let

$c_i = g_i(\infty)$ , then  $\prod_{i=1}^p c_i = 1$ . Thus, we can further write  $F_{X_i}(x_i) = \frac{g_i(x_i)}{c_i}$ . Finally, by the assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) &= \prod_{i=1}^p g_i(x_i) \\
 (\because \prod_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{c_i} &= \prod_{i=1}^p \frac{g_i(x_i)}{c_i} = \prod_{i=1}^p F_{X_i}(x_i)
 \end{aligned}$$

■

### Intuition (necessary and sufficient condition for mutually independence)

Mutually independence of random variables is equivalent to the partition of their marginal distribution.

**Example:** Latent analysis. Suppose there are three random variables  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$ . Suppose only  $Y$  and  $Z$  are mutually independence, how can we analyze the network? A possible solution is to assume there are some underlying latent effect  $W_1$  among  $X$  and  $Y$  and another latent effect  $W_2$  among  $X$  and  $Z$ . Now, as we consider  $X$  and  $Y$  conditioned on  $W_1$ , they will be independent. That is,  $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y | W_1$  and  $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Z | W_2$ .

So far, we construct the concept of independence. Now, we may want to discover the good properties implied by mutually independence. In the following context, we assume random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_p$  are mutually independence.

**Property 1 (measurable function)** If  $g_1, \dots, g_p$  are measurable, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^p g_i(X_i)\right] = \prod_{i=1}^p \mathbb{E}[g_i(X_i)]$$

**Property 2 ( $\sigma$ -algebra)** Suppose  $\dim(X_i) = r_i$ , then  $\forall A_i \in \mathcal{B}^{r_i}$ , we have

$$Pr[X_1 \in A_1, \dots, X_p \in A_p] = \prod_{i=1}^p Pr[X_i \in A_i]$$

**Proof:** Take  $g_i = \mathbf{1}_{\{x_i \in A_i\}}$  and apply Property 1. ■

**Property 3 (induced random variables)** Suppose  $g_i$  are measurable and let  $U_i = g_i(X_i)$ , then  $\{U_i\}$  are mutually independent.

**Proof:** We can see that the induced  $\sigma$ -algebra is a subset of the original one. By Property 2, we know that  $\{U_i\}$  are mutually independent. ■

### Intuition (independence and correlation)

Independence talks about the relation of random variables over the whole  $\sigma$ -algebra. Thus, it is actually very difficult to achieve independence. However, correlation deals with some basic relation such as linearity among random variables.

## 0.2 Characteristic function

For univariate random variable, we define the characteristic function as the expectation of  $e^{itX}$ . However, now we are in the world of multivariate random variables, how can we define the characteristic function in a similar fashion? The idea is actually quite simple, we also take  $t$  as a vector instead of a scalar, which turns the characteristic function into a multivariate function. Then using inner product to create the exponent term. Thus, result in a similar expectation form  $e^{it^T \tilde{X}}$ . Formally, we define the characteristic function for multivariate random variables as follow:

**Definition 3 (characteristic function)** The characteristic function of multivariate random variable  $\tilde{X}$  is

$$\phi_{\tilde{X}}(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{it^T \tilde{X}}]$$

Similarly, we can define the moment generating function as  $M_{\tilde{X}}(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{t^T \tilde{X}}]$ .