

PRODUCT QUALITY BULLETIN

www.jig.org



BULLETIN NO. 142

TESTING WATER SEPARATION PROPERTIES OF JET FUEL

07/04/2022

TESTING WATER SEPARATION PROPERTIES OF JET FUEL DOWNSTREAM OF POINT OF MANUFACTURE
(REVISED MSEP PROTOCOL) - **This protocol supersedes JIG Bulletin 129**

SUMMARY

THIS DOCUMENT SUMMARISES THE LATEST UPDATES TO WORK BEING UNDERTAKEN ON THE RELIABILITY AND PERFORMANCE OF THE APPROVED ASTM TESTS FOR WATER SEPARATION PROPERTIES OF JET FUEL.

- 1) The preferred method for testing fuels containing a Static Dissipator Additive [SDA] downstream of the Point of Manufacture is ASTM D8073
- 2) ASTM D3948 can continue to be used as part JIG Standards (for example as part of Recertification testing and Certificate of Analysis)

Background

The term “surfactants” is a technical term for surface active agents and describes a class of chemicals that may be present dissolved in jet fuel. These can be present due to

- the intentional addition of permitted performance additive materials (such as lubricity improvers, corrosion inhibitors and static dissipator additives (SDA))
- trace materials from the crude oil that distil in the Jet fuel boiling cut
- unintended incidental contamination that occurs during fuel distribution especially in multi-product transportation systems.

Not all surfactants are a concern, but they need to be controlled in jet fuel as their presence may cause disarming of filtration systems that are designed to coalesce and remove water from onward transfer of the fuel. Surfactants can also act to disperse particles (or in extreme cases act as detergents to mobilise otherwise stable particles) which can result in fuel that is difficult to settle or filter.

Water separation property testing of fuel is conducted for two main reasons with jet fuel:

1. To limit the level of harmful surfactants which could carry over from the crude at the point of manufacture. (For this purpose, the industry currently prefers ASTM D3948)
2. To indicate the potential impact of a fuel on the performance of filters downstream of the point of manufacture. (For this purpose, either ASTM D3948, ASTM D7224 or ASTM D8073 may be used).

JOINT INSPECTION GROUP

PRODUCT QUALITY BULLETIN

www.jig.org



Testing at Point of Manufacture

Both Defence Standard 91-091 and ASTM D-1655 (the prime fuel specifications that make up the latest edition of AFQRJOS 'Checklist' Issue 33) require testing of water separation properties by Microseparometer (MSEP by ASTM D3948) and describe test limits with and without the addition of SDA. A high rating suggests a fuel free of harmful surfactants; a low rating indicates that harmful surfactants may be present. The reason that two limits are specified is that the test method (ASTM D3948) is sensitive to the presence of an SDA, but the surfactant effects of this additive are not deemed as harmful to operations as they have a negligible impact on both filtration efficiency, particulate mobilisation and settling.

No other water separation tests are currently approved for use at the point of manufacture.

Testing downstream of the Point of Manufacture

Both primary specifications contain a statement indicating that results from water separation property testing downstream of the point of manufacture are not to be used as the sole reason for rejection of fuel, but they can indicate a mandatory need for further diligent investigation. This statement is based on significant historical information where failing water separation property (by ASTM D3948) results downstream of the manufacturing location have been found to be due to either poor test method precision, or presence of surfactants which do not affect filtration performance. Due to the potential for unnecessary supply disruption, a protocol for handling failing water separation property (by ASTM D3948) results was introduced by JIG initially in Bulletin 14 (2007) and this has been updated by various Bulletins since that time: Bulletin 65, 121 and 129 (2013, 2019 and 2020),

Revised status of available testing methods:

ASTM D7224

Experience with this method in a small number of circumstances showed sensitivity with some fuels, as detailed in Bulletin 121. There have been continuing issues with the results of ASTM D7224 - particularly with fuels containing an SDA - being less than 88. This sensitivity could produce a failing result, whereas the other two permitted methods produce a passing result. Additional industry research work has been started to further investigate these anomalies, and JIG will issue further bulletins as this work is completed.

ASTM D8073

As this method has not shown the same variability of other methods, it has been identified as the preferred method for water separation testing for fuels containing SDA.

ASTM D3948

JIG Bulletin 121 indicated that method ASTM D3948 could only be used for testing the water separation property downstream of point of manufacture until the end of May 2020. In order to avoid unnecessary supply disruption, the previous restriction in the use of this test method for the testing of water separation property downstream of point of manufacture was withdrawn until further notice in JIG Bulletin 129. This method is used at the point of manufacture, and may still be used downstream for CoAs and RCTs.

PRODUCT QUALITY BULLETIN

www.jig.org



REVISED JIG PROTOCOL FOR WATER SEPARATION TESTING DOWNSTREAM OF POINT OF MANUFACTURE

EFFECTIVE APRIL 2022

Where water separation performance testing is conducted downstream of point of manufacture in facilities operating to the JIG Standards, the following protocols shall apply.

- 1) Testing should be done using either**
 - a. ASTM D7224 with a minimum limit of 85, or**
 - b. ASTM D8073 (IP624) with a minimum limit of 88.**

Note that for fuels containing an SDA the preferred method is ASTM D8073, and ASTM D7224 is not recommended.

Despite the uncertainty with some fuels as explained above, these methods are the preferred methods for testing downstream of the point of manufacture, as they have improved precision relative to MSEP (ASTM D3948).

- 2) Alternatively, testing may also be conducted using ASTM D3948 (it is noted that JIG still intends to withdraw this method in the future).**
 - a. If the results are between 60 and 70, a confirmation test shall be run of the original sample. Provided the two test results are within the repeatability of the ASTM D3948 method, enter the average MSEP result from the two tests onto the test certificate. Subject to all other properties meeting specification requirements the certificate can be issued. The Batch may be released without recourse to other fuel suppliers involved at the location concerned, subject to local procedures. The local Re-certifying authority shall endorse the certificate. "MSEP result within precision limits of the test method". All fuel suppliers at the location should be advised of this occurrence retrospectively.**
 - b. If the result of ASTM D3948 testing is less than 60, a test shall be run on the original sample using either ASTM D7224 or ASTM D8073 (IP624). If the result of the test is greater than 85 for ASTM D7224 or 88 for ASTM D8073 (IP624), this result shall be entered onto the test certificate. Subject to all other properties meeting specification requirements, the certificate can be issued. The Batch may be released without recourse to other fuel suppliers involved at the location concerned, subject to local procedures.**
- 3) If the initial result for ASTM D7224 or ASTM D8073 (IP624) is below the stated minimum limit for these tests shown under item 1 or 2b above, the protocols detailed in EI/JIG 1530 Annex E should be followed, to establish the final result before quarantining the fuel pending an**

JOINT INSPECTION GROUP

PRODUCT QUALITY BULLETIN

www.jig.org



investigation to determine the source of the failure.

- 4) A useful part of the investigation should be to carry out a test on the same sample with the other test method listed in 1 above before quarantining the fuel. Results for a sample which has a “pass” result for one method but a “fail” result by the other should be reported to the JIG Product Quality Committee
- 5) Where the source of failure cannot be identified after investigation, remediation actions such as, but not limited to, clay treating or dilution may be used to achieve the required minima for ASTM D7224 or ASTM D8073 (IP624). (Note that clay treatment and/or dilution may result in loss of electrical conductivity in the fuel batch, which may need subsequent correction by redosing SDA.)
- 6) Where remediation is not feasible the product shall be downgraded.

Throughout transfers of fuel associated with batches released under this protocol, special care should be taken to ensure that water draining is done in accordance with the operating standards in effect at the location, either JIG 1/2 or EI/JIG 1530, to further ensure that the fuel is free of excess water and dirt when delivered into aircraft.

Subject to the endorsement of all fuel suppliers at a specific location, the above protocol shall be advised to their recertification laboratory(s) for automatic implementation. However, ensuring the integrity of Jet Fuel is at all times the paramount consideration, nothing in this protocol shall be deemed to override this principle. Fuel suppliers retain the right, in the absence of positive evidence of an investigation and any subsequent suitable corrective/preventative action, to ultimately withdraw their support for the use of this protocol.

Although it remains permissible at this point, it is the intention of JIG, at some future date, to withdraw the use of ASTM D3948 as a product quality control method downstream of refineries. This withdrawal will be the subject of a future bulletin, which will be published in due course.

PRODUCT QUALITY BULLETIN

www.jig.org



Actions to Implement this Bulletin (See Table 2 for Action Type Codes)

Action Description	Action Type	Target Completion Date
Where water separation performance testing is conducted downstream of point of manufacture in facilities operating to the JIG Standards, the revised protocol defined in this Bulletin shall apply	JS	1 st May 2022

Table 2 Action Type Codes

Action Types	JIG Bulletin Action Type Definition
JS	Change to JIG Standard – to be adopted by JV and/or Operator to continue to meet the JIG Standard(s) (JIG 1, 2, 4, EI/JIG 1530 and the JIG HSSE Management System).
RA	Required Action to implement one off verification or checks outlined in the table of actions.
RP	JIG Recommended Practice which the JV should consider adopting as its own practice (**).
I	Issued for information purposes only.

Note (**) - If the JV agreements require any of the JIG Standards and/or any of the JIG Common Processes as the governing operational standard then adoption of changes to applicable JIG Standards and/or Common Processes should not be considered optional by the JV Board.

Note: This document is intended for the guidance of Members of JIG and companies affiliated with Members of JIG and does not preclude the use of any other operating procedures, equipment or inspection procedures. The information contained in this publication is subject to constant review in the light of changing government requirements and regulations. Although efforts are made to keep this information up-to-date, accurate, complete, and free from error, we make no representation, warranty or guarantee, whether express or implied, that the information is up-to-date, accurate, complete, free from error, or in compliance with applicable law and regulations. No subscriber or other reader should act on the basis of any such information without referring to applicable laws and regulations and/or without taking appropriate professional advice. None of JIG, its Members, the Companies affiliated with its Members accepts responsibility for any loss or damage, whether in contract, tort (including negligence), breach of statutory duty, or otherwise, even if foreseeable, arising under or in connection with your use, adoption or reliance on the information in this document. You use this information at your own risk, but for the full terms and conditions concerning use of this document, please refer to <http://www.jig.org/legal-copyright/>

JIG is the owner of the copyright and all intellectual property rights in the publication.

IATA uses such rights with permission from JIG.