## CSC 212: Data Structures and Abstractions

03: Introduction to Analysis of Algorithms (part 1)

## Prof. Marco Alvarez

Department of Computer Science and Statistics University of Rhode Island

Fall 2025



# Analysis of algorithms

# Problems, algorithms, programs

## Problem

- ✓ a computational problem represents a formalized task requiring a solution
- well-defined input specifications, expected output requirements, and formal constraints and conditions


## Algorithm

- $\ensuremath{\checkmark}$  precise, unambiguous sequence of computational steps
- essential properties:
- correctness (produces valid output for all valid inputs), finiteness (terminates after a finite number of steps), determinism (produces consistent results), feasibility (each step must be executable)

## Program implementation

concrete realization of an algorithm using a programming language

# Developing a usable algorithm



## Analysis of algorithms

- Definition
  - branch of CS that studies the **efficiency of algorithms** in terms of time and space complexity
- Objectives
  - performance prediction: estimate running time growth with input size (<u>asymptotic analysis</u>)
  - resource optimization: identify bottlenecks and minimize CPU time, memory, and other resources
  - comparative evaluation: provide standardized metrics (e.g., Big-O) to compare algorithms solving the same problem

# Importance of analysis of algorithms

- Scientific classification of algorithms
  - groups algorithms by computational characteristics; complexity classes guide design and approximation strategies
- Performance prediction and resource utilization
  - ✓ anticipates behavior before implementation to avoid costly bottlenecks
- Time and space complexity
  - · critical in environments where processing power and memory are constrained
- Theoretical guarantees
  - provides confidence bounds for reliable and predictable system design

#### 6

# Approaches

- Empirical analysis
  - ✓ **implement** the program using a programming language
  - ✓ systematic **testing** with varied input sizes
  - statistical analysis of collected performance (<u>hypothesis</u> formation)
  - ✓ **validation** through prediction models
- Theoretical analysis
  - ✓ mathematical modeling of time and space complexity

# Empirical analysis

7

## Example: the *e* number

An irrational constant that is the base of the natural logarithm. It is approximately equal to 2.71828

$$e = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n \qquad e = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \qquad \frac{\text{can be expressed as an infinite sum}}{\text{an infinite sum}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{0!} + \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} + \frac{1}{4!} + \dots$$
Algorithm 1

# Solution 1 double euler1(int n) { double e = 1.0; for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++) { double fact = 1.0; for (int j = 1; j <= i; j++) { fact \*= j; } e += (1.0 / fact); } return e; }</pre>

check redundant computation with n=4

# Example: the *e* number

An irrational constant that is the base of the natural logarithm. It is approximately equal to 2.71828

$$e = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n \qquad e = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{can be expressed as an infinite sum} \\ \\ = \frac{1}{0!} + \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} + \frac{1}{4!} + \dots \end{array}$$

Algorithm 2

## Solution 2

```
double euler2(int n) {
    double e = 1.0;
    double fact = 1.0;
    for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++) {
        fact *= i;
        e += (1.0 / fact);
    }
    return e;
}</pre>
```

11

```
Timing
#include <iostream>
                            $ g++ -std=c++11 -00 euler.cpp -o prog
#include <iomanip>
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    if (argc != 3) {
       std::cerr << "Usage: " << argv[0] << " <n> <alg>\n";
    double e;
    int n = std::stoi(argv[1]);
   int alg = std::stoi(argv[2]);
   auto start = std::chrono::high resolution clock::now();
    if (ala == 1) e = euler1(n):
    if (alg == 2) e = euler2(n);
    auto end = std::chrono::high_resolution_clock::now();
    std::chrono::duration<double> elapsed = end - start;
    std::cout << std::fixed << std::setprecision(10);</pre>
    std::cout << e << " " << (double) elapsed.count() << std::endl;</pre>
    return 0;
```

## Hypothesis, Prediction, Validation Hypothesis: observe running — Euler 1 Euler 2 - n^2 x 10e-9 times and formulate specific hypothesis about performance characteristics, for example, we observe that 2 25 Euler1's time is $T(n) = cn^2$ . Prediction: make concrete predictions that can be tested 1.50 empirically, for example, predict running times for different values of n. Validation: designing and implementing controlled experiments and comparing actual timing results against predictions. while read n; do echo -n " $$n\t"$ ; ./prog \$n 1; done > e1.txt while read n; do echo -n "\$n\t"; ./prog \$n 2; done > e2.txt \$ seq 1 399 50000

# Impact of compiler optimization

```
void take_step(int n, double fn(int)) {
   auto start = std::chrono::high_resolution_clock::now();
   auto end = std::chrono::high_resolution_clock::now();
   std::chrono::duration<double> elapsed = end - start;
   std::cout << n << '\t':
   std::cout << std::fixed << std::setprecision(10);</pre>
   std::cout << e << " " << (double) elapsed.count() << '\t';</pre>
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
   if (argc != 2) {
       std::cerr << "Usage: " << argv[0] << " <steps>\n";
   int n = 1;
   int steps = std::stoi(argv[1]);
   for (int i = 0; i < steps; i++) {
       take_step(n, &euler1);
       take_step(n, &euler2);
       std::cout << std::endl;</pre>
       n *= 2;
                   $ q++ -std=c++11 | -00 | euler.cpp -o prog
   return 0;
```

ng -	$\cap$ 0			
ng -	00			
1	2.0000000000 0.0000001340	1	2.0000000000 0.00000	000680
2	2.5000000000 0.0000000840	2	2.5000000000 0.00000	00500
4	2.7083333333 0.00000000890	4	2.7083333333 0.00000	000610
8	2.7182787698 0.0000001640	8	2.7182787698 0.00000	00900
16	2.7182818285 0.0000003460	16	2.7182818285 0.00000	001450
32	2.7182818285 0.0000011100	32	2.7182818285 0.00000	002580
64	2.7182818285 0.0000039970	64	2.7182818285 0.00000	004830
128	2.7182818285 0.0000159510	128	2.7182818285 0.00000	09450
256	2.7182818285 0.0001041730	256	2.7182818285 0.00000	18210
512	2.7182818285 0.0003848000	512	2.7182818285 0.00000	35790
1024	2.7182818285 0.0011147290	1024	2.7182818285 0.00000	70770
2048	2.7182818285 0.0044453980	2048	2.7182818285 0.00001	40490
4096	2.7182818285 0.0178193800	4096	2.7182818285 0.000002	80730
8192	2.7182818285 0.0715642710	8192	2.7182818285 0.00005	73730
16384	2.7182818285 0.2795817420	16384	2.7182818285 0.00011	20670
32768	2.7182818285 1.0806353640	32768	2.7182818285 0.00021	190680
65536	2.7182818285 4.5505467900	65536	2.7182818285 0.00048	371680
131072	2.7182818285 18.0388929500	131072	2.7182818285 0.00085	69540
262144	2.7182818285 73.0555476340	262144	2.7182818285 0.00175	19060
524288	2.7182818285 285.4464698470	524288	2.7182818285 0.00354	19900
2.2		o : <b>.</b> .		,
3.2	GHz 6-Core Intel	Core 1/ (usi	ng a single c	ore)

# Using -O3

1	2.0000000000 0.0000001160	1	2.0000000000 0.0000000470
2	2.5000000000 0.0000000710	2	2.5000000000 0.0000000460
4	2.7083333333 0.0000000790	4	2.7083333333 0.0000000540
8	2.7182787698 0.0000001370	8	2.7182787698 0.0000000550
16	2.7182818285 0.0000002430	16	2.7182818285 0.0000000580
32	2.7182818285 0.0000005280	32	2.7182818285 0.0000000700
64	2.7182818285 0.0000015410	64	2.7182818285 0.0000001040
128	2.7182818285 0.0000057720	128	2.7182818285 0.0000001700
256	2.7182818285 0.0000252330	256	2.7182818285 0.0000002980
512	2.7182818285 0.0001099880	512	2.7182818285 0.0000005820
1024	2.7182818285 0.0004630170	1024	2.7182818285 0.0000011220
2048	2.7182818285 0.0019061790	2048	2.7182818285 0.0000020220
4096	2.7182818285 0.0077172340	4096	2.7182818285 0.0000039080
8192	2.7182818285 0.0311110110	8192	2.7182818285 0.0000078850
16384	2.7182818285 0.1249416530	16384	2.7182818285 0.0000153770
32768	2.7182818285 0.4870702990	32768	2.7182818285 0.0000290200
65536	2.7182818285 2.0076935130	65536	2.7182818285 0.0000612600
131072	2.7182818285 8.0763145900	131072	2.7182818285 0.0001146470
262144	2.7182818285 32.0460794660	262144	2.7182818285 0.0002447660
524288	2.7182818285 128.4794438710	524288	2.7182818285 0.0004891970

3.2 GHz 6-Core Intel Core i7 (using a single core)

# Limitations of empirical analysis

### · Implementation overhead

- multiple algorithm implementations required
- development time constraints
- variations in code quality

### • Experimentation challenges

- designing comprehensive test cases
- time-intensive execution cycles
- ✓ input distribution sensitivity

### · Environmental dependencies

- compiler optimizations and flags (-O1, -O2, -O3)
- · hardware architecture variations (AVX, branch prediction, cache sizes)
- · OS scheduling, runtime environment fluctuations, networking

### · Reproducibility

- · timing/memory measurements may fluctuate
- exact conditions hard to replicate
- experiments may not scale or generalize

1