Project Name: Finding Free alternatives Models to Open AI for Legal Tasks

Objective: The primary goal of this task is to investigate alternative free of cost models to OpenAI, such as Bard or any relevant open-source models, to showcase similar functionality to OpenAI without incurring costs.

Features to Implement:

1. Researchbook Name Generation:

- Description: Develop a mechanism to generate research book names based on legal queries. Use the model you found to achieve the desired responses.
- Examples
 - Query: "Siblings Murder"
 - Research Book Name: "Analyzing Murder Cases: Relevant Judgments and Legal Insights"
 - Query: " Cheque Bounce "
 - Research Book Name: "Cheque Bounce Chronicles: Legal Perspectives and Summaries "

2. Generating Enhanced Facts:

- o *Description:* Develop a mechanism to generate enhanced legal facts from the given facts. This involves transforming standard facts into more detailed and context-rich information. Use the model you found to achieve the desired responses.
- o Examples:
 - Fact: "Loan pending with the bank."
 - Enhanced Fact: "A loan is pending with a bank."
 - Fact: "Physical or mental torture."
 - Enhanced Fact: "The victim suffered from torture, whether physical or mental."

Approach:

My first approach was to research about available open-source and free large language models. I took help of chatgpt, bard and google search to search about it and selected few good models from those recommendations.

Secondly, I searched for from where I can obtain the model, how to implement the model for every of those model.

Then, I ran those code on my local machine and checked the output, compared with the desired output. After that, I tried to get better result by providing better prompt and tuning other parameters.

Models Used:

- 1. Bard
- 2. Bart-base
- 3. T5-base
- 4. Gpt2
- 5. Lamda
- 6. LaMini-Flan-T5-783M
- 7. Lamini-GPT-774M
- 8. LaMini-Cerebras-590M

Most of the models are taken from huffing face.

Platform used:

Google colab, vs code

Result:

1. The best performance is gotten from the model LaMini-Flan-T5-783M. the model performed quite well at text enhancement. Although the accuracy

- can be better. At book recommendation also, it performed decent, although sometimes it generates random book name.
- 2. Some other decent performances are: Lamini-GPT-774M, LaMini-Cerebras-590M, T5-base
- 3. The other models didn't perform good.
- 4. Bard doesn't response with proper answers, when it thinks it is legal/crime/sensitive/ethical issue. As our work is related to law, bard is performing very bad as it is not providing any data or responses related to that.
- 5. Bart model was just returning the given fact without any changing.
- 6. T5 model is returning same sentences, adding sometimes a few words to complete the sentence, not adding any legal context.
- 7. Gpt-2 was generating some gibberish sentences with the words of the sentences, similar with book name answering.
- 8. couldn't implement lamda model, LaMini-Neo-1.3B and some other models.

Roadblocks/ Drawbacks:

- As these models are pretrained for general purpose, they are not good at legal specific tasks.
- We can not prompt engineer properly for most of the models.
- Different prompt and even same prompt gives different results time to time.

Improvement areas:

If we could train those pre-trained models with proper datasets according to our need, we may get better performances.