

TwizSec Library Crate

Surendra Jammishetti

Twizzler

1 Early Goals

The TwizSec crate aims to provide an external library for the Twizzler kernel that has the following goals (summarized from me and Daniels meeting on 11/26/24).

1. storing and receiving capabilities
2. signing and verifying capabilities
3. programming the mmu / io to reflect security policy data

2 Planning

2.1 Needs

The plan is to work on the second item first, as its the path of least resistance. Ideally expose two functions.

1. Taken in capability and signature, returns if they are correct or not
2. Given a capability, construct a signature

2.2 Deps

The kernel has crypto libraries already integrated, use those to build these features currently this is all we got

p256 : <https://crates.io/crates/p256>
sha2 : <https://crates.io/crates/sha2>

Which, atleast right now, should have everything we need.

2.3 Capabilites

Currently we dont have a capability struct, so Im going to use what was in the security paper as an example.

Additionally I'm considering making the two functions impl'd onto the struct, so that way they can be called on any capability struct, as I think it would be nice and ergonomic but not sure what others would think.

This is the spec inside the paper

```
CAP := {  
  target, accessor : ObjectId,  
  permissions, flags : BitField,  
  gates: Gates,  
  revocation : Revoc,  
  siglen: Length,  
  sig: u8[],  
}
```

3 Explaining the Implementation

3.1 Cap struct

The Cap struct encompasses the functionality of the Capability, having everything but the gates and revocation fields present in the paper, only because I wasn't planning to finish that this time around, maybe next time. I'll list some key decision details below.

- UnsignedCapability => Capability VS Capability::new()

My first iteration had a UnsignedCap struct that would only have two methods, new(...) -> Self, which would initialize it, and a sign(self) -> Cap, which would consume the unsignedcap and return a signed capability. The second implementation would only have the capability struct, which gets signed on initialization. While I liked how "satisfying" the sign function was in the first implementation, the conciseness of the second implementation is cleaner since it's one struct, one function, one capability, so I went ahead with that.

- the serialize function

Since it's a no_std environment, the most pressing concern is that there is no std library, nor any memory allocator. Which means that when I'm trying to "serialize" the contents of the capability struct to hash (where all of the hashing functions take in a [u8]). The easiest solution, in my mind at least, was to just count how many bytes the array is and just create a new array of that size, copy the bytes of each field over one by one, and boom, serialized capability struct as fast as possible. Just note that once the revoc and gates fields are added, this hashing function will need to be changed to account for them.