RULES OF PROCEDURE INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE



NMMUN 2022

Awards

- Best Advocate
- Best Judge
- Best Opening Statement

Case to be discussed-

1) The legality of the capture and sentencing of Khulbushan Sudhir Jadhav

General rules and procedure

- There is the President of the Court (Chair)
- Judges: hear the cases of the advocates and make a ruling on the case
- Advocates: Counsel for the two parties (prosecution and defence)
 - Prosecution is the accusing party and the burden of proof is on them
 - They must present a case with evidence that proves to the judges that their side is correct
 - Prosecution must meet the burden of proof for the charges and prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt
 - Defence are the advocates responsible to respond to the charges and accusations that the prosecution brings
 - Find counter-evidence or defect arguments to disprove the case made my prosecution
 - Focus on undermining prosecution case

Special ICJ Rules

- Judges decision must be respected
- One advocate can speak at a time, no interruption will take place unless anyone wishes to object or raise a point of personal privilege or order.
- No advocates can speak to judges without the President present
- Personal Pronouns can be used (refer everyone as- advocate / lawyer or your honour / judge; only witnesses can be called by the names of their selected personalities)
- All other rules of procedure, such as using points apply.

<u>Objections: When an advocate objects to the attempted presentation of certain evidence</u>

- Objections can be sustained or overruled by the judges
 - Judges can have a short recess to discuss whether to overrule or sustain

President can deem whether the recess seems fit

Different types of Objections

(very important- advocates must use these as much as possible)

- Vague: Unclear, unspecific statement or question that needs to be further explained
- Answer Exceeds: A question that requires an answer that exceeds the concern and scope of the question
- Argumentative: Prejudicial question that does not give facts
- Assumes facts not in evidence: Question that assumes facts and evidence that has not been admitted into evidence
- Badgering the Witness: Harassing, quarrelling, displeasing or provoking the witness on the stand
- Continued Objecting: When one side continuously objects and impairs the participation and presentation of arguments by the other side
- Hearsay: The information in a question or answer is hearsay when it is stated by a third party outside the court and reiterated within the court
- Improper argument: Information given by a team that is incorrect and can be proven untrue
- Leading Question: The question by its form or content suggests the desirable answer
- Relevancy: An irrelevant question
- Speculation: When something is assumed or guessed in a discussion

Order of Proceedings in The Legal Committee:-

DAY 1-

- 1. Oaths
 - a. Judges, Prosecution Advocates, Defence Advocates
- 2. President reads charges to the accused
 - a. Defence Advocates state how their accused pleads: Guilty or Not Guilty
- 3. Opening Statements from each Side
 - a. 20 Minutes
 - b. India then Pakistan
 - c. Floor can be yielded to colleagues
 - d. Introduce case and main arguments/ counter- arguments
- **4.** Presentation of Stipulations- Stipulations are facts related to the sources, they cannot be opinions.
 - a. 7 stipulations each side
 - b. India then Pakistan
 - c. Each will be debated and voted upon.
 - d. A stipulation requires a simple majority to pass.

5. Presentation of Evidence: Each Side will present 3-4 pieces of evidence

- a. India begins first
 - i. Evidence presented to Judges, President, and Opposing Side
 - ii. 3-5 Minutes Pleading of the evidence: talk about the credibility, importance, relevance to the case
 - iii. Floor open to POIs by the opposing side then judges.
 - iv. Mods and un mods on the evidence (if required)
 - v. President rules on admission of the evidence
 - vi. Process repeated for every piece of evidence in alternating order (prosecution, defence, prosecution, defence....)
- b. Judges weigh the importance of the evidence. (closed room)

5. Mods and Unmods

a. Motion raised to move to unmod or challenges

6. Judges Questions

a. Floor is open for judges to ask questions to any of the advocates regarding anything presented in the court

DAY2-

7. Presentation of Witnesses

- a. Time given to each Advocate team to prepare their witnesses based on witness sheets / position papers made at the start of the session
 - i. Allocated witnesses must act as their selected personality
 - ii. Witnesses must be minimum of 2 and no more than 3 from each side and the defence must have the accused as one of their witnesses
- b. India presents its first witness
 - i. Witness Oath
 - ii. Direct examination by presenting party for 15 minutes
 - iii. Cross examination by opposing party for 15 minutes
 - iv. Judges can ask questions ONLY BASED ON TESTIMONY AND EXAMINATION- 5 minutes
 - v. Judges discuss reliability, credibility and weigh the witness and evidence they present in private.
- c. Process repeated in alternating order until all witnesses have been examined

8. Rebuttal

- a. Each side given 10 minutes to refute positions of opposing side
- b. Can yield floor to colleagues
- c. In order of India then Pakistan

9. Judges Questions

a. Judges can now ask any questions to either side (to the advocates)

10. Closing Arguments

- a. 20 minutes
- b. Both sides must include their legal prayer (how much punishment/ damages they believe the accused should receive) in this argument
- c. Can yield floor to colleagues

11. Deliberation by Judges

a. Judges must vote on the verdict and decide the appropriate punishment/ damages: the majority opinion is the one that will be the verdict

- b. Each judge must then write their own opinion
 - i. Does not matter if their opinion is the majority or dissenting opinion
 - ii. Each judge must write why they believe what they believe based on the evidence presented
- c. Each opinion must be a minimum 2 minute (this will be the closing arguments for the judges)

12. Verdict

- a. Judges give their collective Verdict to President to read out
- b. Judges then present their opinions one by one

-CASE DISMISSED-

What is expected to be done beforehand in preparation for ICJ?

- EVERYONE:
 - Read extensively about the case, and do research
 - Research a lot
 - Fully understand the committee's procedure, terminology etc
- ADVOCATES:
 - Prepare all the evidence you wish to present
 - Meet with your co-advocate and prepare arguments, visual aids etc
 - Opening statements
 - a. India begins first
 - i. Evidence presented to Judges, President, and Opposing Side
 - ii. 3-5 Minutes Pleading of the evidence: talk about the credibility, importance, relevance to the case
 - iii. Floor open to POIs by the opposing side then judges.
 - iv. Mods and un mods on the evidence (if required)
 - v. President rules on admission of the evidence
 - vi. Process repeated for every piece of evidence in alternating order (prosecution, defence, prosecution, defence....)
 - b. Judges weigh the importance of the evidence. (closed room)

13. Mods and Unmods

a. Motion raised to move to unmod or challenges

14. Judges Questions

a. Floor is open for judges to ask questions to any of the advocates regarding anything presented in the court

DAY2-

15. Presentation of Witnesses

- a. Time given to each Advocate team to prepare their witnesses based on witness sheets / position papers made at the start of the session
 - i. Allocated witnesses must act as their selected personality
 - ii. Witnesses must be minimum of 2 and no more than 3 from each side and the defence must have the accused as one of their witnesses
- b. India presents its first witness
 - i. Witness Oath

- ii. Direct examination by presenting party for 15 minutes
- iii. Cross examination by opposing party for 15 minutes
- iv. Judges can ask questions ONLY BASED ON TESTIMONY AND EXAMINATION- 5 minutes
- v. Judges discuss reliability, credibility and weigh the witness and evidence they present in private.
- c. Process repeated in alternating order until all witnesses have been examined

16. Rebuttal

- a. Each side given 10 minutes to refute positions of opposing side
- b. Can yield floor to colleagues
- c. In order of India then Pakistan

17. Judges Questions

a. Judges can now ask any questions to either side (to the advocates)

18. Closing Arguments

- a. 20 minutes
- b. Both sides must include their legal prayer (how much punishment/ damages they believe the accused should receive) in this argument
- c. Can yield floor to colleagues

19. Deliberation by Judges

- a. Judges must vote on the verdict and decide the appropriate punishment/ damages: the majority opinion is the one that will be the verdict.
- b. Each judge must then write their own opinion
 - i. Does not matter if their opinion is the majority or dissenting opinion
 - ii. Each judge must write why they believe what they believe based on the evidence presented
- c. Each opinion must be a minimum 2 minute (this will be the closing arguments for the judges)

20. Verdict

- a. Judges give their collective Verdict to President to read out
- b. Judges then present their opinions one by one

-CASE DISMISSED-

What is expected to be done beforehand in preparation for ICJ?

- EVERYONE:
 - Read extensively about the case, and do research
 - Research a lot
 - Fully understand the committee's procedure, terminology etc
- ADVOCATES:
 - Prepare all the evidence you wish to present
 - Meet with your co-advocate and prepare arguments, visual aids etc
 - Opening statements



