

## Observations & Analysis

$$\Rightarrow y_k = h_k x + n$$

where  $n$ : AWGN  $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, N_0)$

$N_0$  is decided by SNR.

\*  $h_k$ : Random process which models the fading environment.

For Rayleigh:  $h: X \sim \text{Rayleigh}(\sigma_X)$

For Rician  $\Rightarrow h: Y \sim \text{Rician}(\nu_Y, \sigma_Y)$

For Nakagami:  $h: Z \sim \text{Nakagami}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{\Omega}{2})$

For comparing BER for same SNR between different fading environments

Power should be constant

$$\Rightarrow E(X^2) = E(Y^2) = E(Z^2) = 1 \text{ (constant)}$$

$$E(X^2) = 2\sigma_X^2 \Rightarrow \boxed{\sigma_X = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}$$

$$E(Y^2) = 2\sigma_y^2 + v_y^2 = 1$$

$$\text{let } v_y = 0.75$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma_y = \sqrt{\frac{1 - (0.75)^2}{2}}$$

$$E(Z^2) := \frac{\Omega}{Z} = 1$$

Here  $m$  is considered as 5

\* For just AWGN:

$$y_k = x_k + n_k \Rightarrow |h_k| = 1$$

The parameters are set to constant while simulating and comparing BER.

a) Analysis for BPSK:

$$\text{SNR} \in (-5\text{dB}, 10\text{dB})$$

$\Rightarrow$  BER gradually decreases  $\Rightarrow$  as SNR  $\uparrow$

This is evident since as SNR  $\uparrow$

Signal strength is relatively high  
compared to noise

$\rightarrow$  Hence, we can decode bits more  
reliably!

b) Analysis for 16 QAM:

The same holds true.

For a given SNR, for any fading

$$(\text{BER})_{16\text{QAM}} > (\text{BER})_{\text{BPSK}}$$

$\approx$

\* c) Analysing different variants of the same fading channel.

⇒ It is evident that a <sup>single</sup> AWGN channel has better BER, when compared to other fading channels.

→ Fading also induces randomness, which makes it even more harder to decode when applying thresholding rule.

\* For Rician fading.

It has two dependent parameters

$$\sigma^2 + \nu^2 = 1$$

As  $\nu: 0 \rightarrow 1$

The BER for Rician moves from

Rayleigh curve → Only AWGN curve

Reason:-

A Rician R.V. with  $V=0 \Rightarrow$  resembles a Rayleigh distribution, since

$$R_1 \sim \text{Rayleigh}(\sigma)$$

$$R_1 = \sqrt{X_1^2 + X_2^2} ; X_1, X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$R_2 \sim \text{Rician}(V, \sigma)$$

$$R_2 = \sqrt{X_1^2 + X_2^2} ; \begin{aligned} X_1 &\sim \mathcal{N}(V \cos \theta, \sigma^2) \\ X_2 &\sim \mathcal{N}(V \sin \theta, \sigma^2) \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$  for  $V=0$

$\boxed{R_1 \simeq R_2} \Rightarrow$  Hence will be similar to Rayleigh curve

---

& for Nakagami  $(m, \Omega)$

we need to have  $\boxed{\Omega=1}$

As  $m: 1 \rightarrow \infty$

(BER) curve reaches AWGN curve  
Nakagami  
at very high 'm'

with  $m=1$

Nakagami  $(m=1, \Omega) \simeq \text{Rayleigh}(\sigma)$

where  $\boxed{\Omega = 2\sigma^2}$

$\therefore$  For  $m=1$  BER curve is similar to that  
of Rayleigh

as  $m \uparrow \Rightarrow$  BER curve reaches 'only AWGN'

---