Change Logs for Machine Learning Algorithms

Each table provides details on:

- What changes were made
- Why those changes were made
- Time taken (in hours)
- Difficulty rating (out of 10)
- Whether the same notebook was used or a new one was created

Random Forest Log

Change Made	Reason for Change	Time Taken (hrs)	Difficulty (10)	Notebook Status
Improved feature selection	To reduce overfitting	3.5	7	Modified
Tuned hyperparameters	To increase model accuracy	4.5	8	Modified
Added cross- validation	To ensure better generalization	3.0	6	New Notebook
Optimized tree depth	To improve computational efficiency	2.5	7	Modified

Total Hours: 13.5

SVM Log

Change Made	Reason for Change	Time Taken (hrs)	Difficulty (10)	Notebook Status
Implemented GridSearchCV	To find optimal hyperparameters	3.5	7	Modified

Normalized dataset	To improve model performance	2.0	5	Modified
Switched to RBF kernel	To handle non-linearly separable data	3.0	6	New Notebook
Adjusted regularization parameter	To prevent overfitting	2.5	7	Modified

Naïve Bayes Log

Change Made	Reason for Change	Time Taken (hrs)	Difficulty (10)	Notebook Status
Preprocessed text data	To make the model compatible with text	3.0	6	Modified
Added Laplace smoothing	To prevent zero probability issues	2.0	5	Modified
Used TF-IDF vectorization	To improve text classification	3.5	7	New Notebook
Implemented multinomial Naïve Bayes	To enhance classification for text data	2.5	6	Modified

Total Hours: 11.0

Decision Tree Log

Change Made	Reason for Change	Time Taken (hrs)	Difficulty (10)	Notebook Status
Handled missing values	To avoid errors in training	2.5	5	Modified
Pruned decision tree	To prevent overfitting	3.0	7	Modified
Switched to entropy	To improve accuracy	3.5	6	New Notebook

Added max-depth	To optimize tree	2.0	6	Modified
tuning	complexity			

K-Means Log

Change Made	Reason for Change	Time Taken (hrs)	Difficulty (10)	Notebook Status
Found optimal K using Elbow Method	To determine the best number of clusters	2.5	6	Modified
Standardized features	To improve clustering accuracy	1.5	5	Modified
Implemented silhouette analysis	To validate clustering results	3.0	7	New Notebook
Added PCA for dimensionality reduction	To improve clustering efficiency	2.0	6	Modified

Total Hours: 9.0

KNN Log

Change Made	Reason for Change	Time Taken (hrs)	Difficulty (10)	Notebook Status
Tried different K values	To find the optimal neighbors	2.5	6	Modified
Normalized dataset	To improve distance calculations	2.0	5	Modified
Implemented weighted KNN	To improve classification results	3.5	7	New Notebook
Optimized distance metric (Euclidean vs Manhattan)	To enhance accuracy	2.0	6	Modified

Total Hours: 10.0

CNN Log

Change Made	Reason for Change	Time Taken	Difficulty	Notebook
		(hrs)	(10)	Status
Built CNN baseline	To establish a	3.0	6	New
model	performance benchmark			Notebook
Visualized sample training images	To understand dataset distribution	0.5	3	Modified
Normalized pixel	To ensure consistent	0.25	2	Modified
values	scale and faster convergence			
Converted labels to	To prepare data for	0.25	2	Modified
one-hot encoding	classification			
Grayscaled 20% of	To analyze effect of	1.0	5	Modified
training images	reduced color			
	information on			
	performance			
Tuned CNN with	To improve	4.0	8	Modified
dropout and filters	generalization and			
	prevent overfitting			
Evaluated baseline	To compare performance	0.5	4	Modified
and tuned models	and identify			
	improvement areas			
Visualized training	To understand learning	0.5	3	Modified
accuracy	patterns			
Saved tuned model	To enable deployment	0.75	5	Modified
and predictor script	and sample predictions			
Developed Flask	To create an interface for	2.5	7	New
web app	predictions			Notebook

ANN Log

(4.0)	
(10)	Status

Loaded and verified data	To ensure correctness and consistency of input	0.5	3	New Notebook
Encoded categorical variables	To prepare categorical data for model input	0.5	4	Modified
Split data into training, validation, and test sets	To create separate sets for model training and evaluation	0.25	3	Modified
Standardized features using StandardScaler	To ensure consistent scale for optimal training	0.25	3	Modified
Defined model creation function	To create reusable and consistent ANN models	0.5	5	Modified
Trained models with different regularization techniques	To evaluate the impact of regularization methods	1.0	7	Modified
Displayed test accuracy and ROC-AUC for different regularization techniques	To compare model performance	0.5	5	Modified
Trained multi-branch model	To experiment with a more complex architecture	0.75	6	Modified
Evaluated multi-branch model on test set	To assess generalization capability	0.25	5	Modified
Trained model with Adam_Decay optimizer	To test optimizer impact on performance	0.5	5	Modified
Displayed optimizer comparison results	To visualize differences in optimizer performance	0.25	4	Modified
Displayed optimizer comparison visualizations	To enhance understanding through visual analysis	0.25	4	Modified

Displayed test accuracy and ROC-AUC for different optimizers	To identify best optimizer	0.25	5	Modified
Saved training history plot for Baseline model	To document baseline model training	0.25	3	Modified
Saved model, scaler, and label encoders for deployment	To prepare models for production	0.5	4	Modified

LSTM Log

Change Made	Reason for Change	Time Taken (hrs)	Difficulty (10)	Notebook Status
Business Understanding defined	To establish project objectives and relevance	0.25	2	New Notebook
Loaded and verified data	To ensure correctness and integrity of the data	0.25	3	Modified
Checked for missing values	To handle missing data appropriately	0.25	3	Modified
Completed feature engineering	To enhance model performance	0.5	5	Modified
Encoded categorical variables	To prepare categorical data for model input	0.25	4	Modified
Visualized data and target variable	To understand data distribution and trends	0.25	3	Modified
Selected features and target	To identify relevant data for model training	0.25	3	Modified
Normalized numerical features	To ensure consistent scale for optimal training	0.25	3	Modified
Created sequences for time-series data	To prepare input format required for LSTM	0.5	6	Modified

Split data into training and testing sets	To evaluate model performance effectively	0.25	3	Modified
Trained baseline LSTM with 64 units	To establish a baseline for performance comparison	0.75	6	Modified
Evaluated baseline LSTM performance	To measure initial model accuracy	0.25	4	Modified
Trained tuned LSTM with 128/64 units, dropout	To optimize model performance	1.0	7	Modified
Evaluated tuned LSTM performance	To compare performance improvements	0.25	4	Modified
Visualized training history	To analyze training behavior and convergence	0.25	3	Modified
Analyzed model results	To compare and interpret baseline and tuned model results	0.5	5	Modified
Saved tuned LSTM model	To prepare for deployment	0.25	3	Modified
Saved scaler for deployment	To ensure consistent data transformation in production	0.25	3	Modified
Tested sample prediction	To verify model performance with real data	0.25	4	Modified
Included submission files	To ensure all required files for submission	0.25	2	Completed

Transformer Log

Change Made	Reason for Change	Time Taken (hrs)	Difficulty (10)	Notebook Status
Defined business understanding	To define the objective of predicting stock prices	0.25	3	New Notebook

Loaded and verified data	To ensure data quality and readiness for processing	0.5	3	New Notebook
Checked dataset summary and missing values	To identify potential issues or gaps in the data	0.5	3	Modified
Completed feature engineering and encoding	To prepare features for Transformer model input	0.75	5	Modified
Generated time-series plot of closing prices	To visualize historical trends	0.25	3	Modified
Generated feature correlation heatmap	To identify relationships between features	0.25	3	Modified
Generated distribution plot of closing prices	To understand price distribution	0.25	3	Modified
Generated scatter plot of volume vs. closing price	To analyze volume-price relationship	0.25	3	Modified
Created 990 sequences of length 10 with selected features	To prepare data for Transformer input	0.5	5	Modified
Split data into training and testing samples	To create separate sets for training and evaluation	0.25	3	Modified
Built Transformer model with 2 layers, 4 heads	To build a model capable of learning time-series patterns	0.75	7	Modified
Evaluated Transformer model performance	To assess accuracy using metrics like MSE, MAE, RMSE, R2	0.5	6	Modified
Generated training and validation loss plot	To visualize model performance over epochs	0.25	3	Modified
Generated MAE plot	To analyze mean absolute error trends	0.25	3	Modified
Generated predictions for visualization	To compare actual vs predicted values	0.25	4	Modified

Generated actual vs	To evaluate prediction	0.25	3	Modified
predicted prices plot	performance visually			
Generated prediction	To assess error	0.25	3	Modified
error distribution plot	distribution of predictions			
Saved Transformer model	To prepare models for	0.25	4	Modified
and scaler	deployment			
Generated sample	To validate the	0.25	3	Modified
prediction	Transformer model with			
	real data			

ANN Log

Change Made	Reason for Change	Time Taken (hrs)	Difficulty (10)	Notebook Status
Loaded and verified data	To ensure correctness and consistency of input	0.5	3	New Notebook
Encoded categorical variables	To prepare categorical data for model input	0.5	4	Modified
Split data into training, validation, and test sets	To create separate sets for model training and evaluation	0.25	3	Modified
Standardized features using StandardScaler	To ensure consistent scale for optimal training	0.25	3	Modified
Defined model creation function	To create reusable and consistent ANN models	0.5	5	Modified
Trained models with different regularization techniques	To evaluate the impact of regularization methods	1.0	7	Modified
Displayed test accuracy and ROC-AUC for different regularization techniques	To compare model performance	0.5	5	Modified

Trained multi-branch model	To experiment with a more complex architecture	0.75	6	Modified
Evaluated multi-branch model on test set	To assess generalization capability	0.25	5	Modified
Trained model with Adam_Decay optimizer	To test optimizer impact on performance	0.5	5	Modified
Displayed optimizer comparison results	To visualize differences in optimizer performance	0.25	4	Modified
Displayed optimizer comparison visualizations	To enhance understanding through visual analysis	0.25	4	Modified
Displayed test accuracy and ROC-AUC for different optimizers	To identify best optimizer	0.25	5	Modified
Saved training history plot for Baseline model	To document baseline model training	0.25	3	Modified
Saved model, scaler, and label encoders for deployment	To prepare models for production	0.5	4	Modified

RNN Log

Change Made	Reason for Change	Time Taken (hrs)	Difficulty (10)	Notebook Status
Loaded and verified data	To ensure correctness and consistency of input	0.25	3	New Notebook
Removed missing values from the dataset	To clean data and prepare it for modeling	0.5	4	Modified
Visualized distribution of IMDb ratings	To understand target variable distribution	0.25	3	Modified

Visualized overview length and correlation with IMDb ratings	To identify potential features	0.5	4	Modified
Performed text preprocessing and tokenization	To prepare text for RNN modeling	0.5	5	Modified
Normalized IMDb ratings and split data	To prepare for training and testing	0.25	3	Modified
Trained baseline RNN with SimpleRNN	To establish baseline performance	1.0	6	Modified
Evaluated baseline RNN performance	To measure model accuracy and errors	0.5	5	Modified
Trained tuned RNN with LSTM and dropout	To improve performance and prevent overfitting	1.0	7	Modified
Evaluated tuned RNN performance	To compare improvement with baseline model	0.5	5	Modified
Visualized training MAE and loss curves	To analyze model performance visually	0.25	4	Modified
Analyzed model improvements	To assess effectiveness of tuning	0.25	4	Modified
Saved tuned model and tokenizer for deployment	To prepare for real- world predictions	0.25	3	Modified
Displayed sample prediction	To demonstrate deployment and prediction capability	0.25	4	Modified
Included submission files	To package all necessary files for submission	0.25	3	Modified

Linear Regression Model logs

Change Made	Reason for Change	Time Taken (hrs)	Difficulty (10)	Notebook Status
Loaded and verified data	To ensure correctness and consistency of input	0.25	3	New Notebook
Checked for missing and duplicate values	To clean and prepare data	0.25	3	Modified
Visualized data distribution	To understand feature- target relationships	0.3	4	Modified
Encoded categorical variables	To prepare non- numeric data for modeling	0.5	5	Modified
Split data into training and test sets	To train and validate the model	0.25	3	Modified
Scaled and normalized features	To ensure uniform data range	0.25	4	Modified
Trained Linear Regression model	To fit the model to the training data	0.5	5	Modified
Evaluated model on test data	To assess model performance	0.3	4	Modified
Visualized residuals and errors	To analyze prediction errors visually	0.25	4	Modified
Tuned hyperparameters (if applicable)	To optimize model performance	0.5	6	Modified
Analyzed model performance (R², MAE, MSE)	To compare predictions with actual values	0.25	4	Modified
Saved final model	To preserve the trained model for future use	0.1	2	Modified

Generated final report and	To document findings	0.25	3	Modified
summary	and results			

Final Summary

	T.
Algorithm	Total Hours Spent
Random Forest	13.5 hrs
SVM	11.0 hrs
Naïve Bayes	11.0 hrs
Decision Tree	11.0 hrs
K-Means	9.0 hrs
KNN	10.0 hrs
ANN	6.25
CNN	13.25
RNN	6.0
LSTM	7.5
Transformer	6.25
Linear regression	3.9
Total	108.65 hrs