

no question of testing with ex.

Version Space

Version space $VS_{H,D}$ is the subset of the hypothesis from H consistent with training example in D .

In simple terms we can say that version space consists of all those hypothesis that are consistent with training examples.

$$VS_{H,D} \equiv \{ h \in H \mid \text{Consistent}(h, D) \}$$

List-Then Eliminate Algorithm

Used to find set of hypothesis from ^{consistent} with training example that are consistent

1. Version Space \leftarrow A list consisting of every hypothesis in H .
2. For each training example, $\langle x, c(x) \rangle$, remove from version space any hypothesis h for which $h(x) \neq c(x)$

Consistent Hypothesis, Version Space List Then Eliminate Algorithm

A hypothesis h is said to be consistent with the given ^{set of} training example iff $h(x) = c(x)$ for each examples in D .

$$\text{Consistent}(h, D) \equiv (\forall \langle x, c(x) \rangle \in D) h(x) = c(x)$$

Example	Citation	Size	in Library	Price	Edition	Buy
1	Some	Small	No	Average	One	No
2	Many	Big	No	Expensive	Many	Yes

↓
Target value

Let us take one hypothesis

$h_1 = (?, ?, \text{No}, ?, \text{Many})$ — consistent

Test whether h_1 is consistent with all training example.

h_1 classifies ex_1 as negative & ex_2 as positive
So, h_1 is consistent.

$h_2 = (?, ?, \text{No}, ?, ?)$ — Not consistent

Classifies ex_1 as true but we expect -ve, since its inconsistent with first training example

Candidate Elimination Algorithm

sample	Sky	Air temp	Humidity	Wind	Water	Forecast	Enjoy spot
1	Sunny	Warm	Normal	Strong	Warm	Same	Yes
2	Sunny	Warm	High	Strong	Warm	Same	Yes
3	Rainy	Cold	High	Strong	Warm	Change	No
4	Sunny	Warm	High	Strong	Cool	Change	Yes

(6) Attribute

Target variable
↑

S_0 $\langle \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle$

S_1 $\langle \text{Sunny}, \text{warm}, \text{Normal}, \text{Strong}, \text{Warm}, \text{Same} \rangle$

S_2 S_3 $\langle \text{Sunny}, \text{warm}, \text{High}, \text{Strong}, \text{warm}, \text{Same} \rangle$

S_3 $\langle \text{Sunny}, \text{warm}, ?, \text{Strong}, \text{warm}, \text{Same} \rangle$

S_4 $\langle \text{Sunny}, \text{warm}, ? \text{ Strong}, ? , ? \rangle$

G_4 $\langle \text{Sunny}, ? ? ? ? \rangle$ $\langle ? , \text{warm}, ? ? ? ? \rangle$

G_3 $\langle \text{Sunny}, ? ? ? ? ? \rangle$ $\langle ? , \text{warm}, ? ? ? ? \rangle$ $\langle ? ? ? \text{ Normal} ? ? ? \rangle$

$G_0: G_1: G_2$ $\langle ? , ? , ? , ? , ? , ? \rangle$ $\langle ? ? ? ? ? \text{ (but ?)} \rangle$ $\langle ? ? ? ? ? \text{ Same} \rangle$

S <Sunny, Warm, ? Strong, ?, ?>

<Sunny, Warm, ???>

<Sunny, ?, ?, Strong, ??>

<? Warm, ?
Strong ??>

G1 <Sunny, ?, ?, ?, ??>

<?, Warm, ???>

10 First we set most generic boundary
of most specific boundary.

If the example is a true example,
we go to generic boundary & then
check whether hypothesis at generic
boundary is consistent or not, if
not we write most general
hypothesis.

We go to specific boundary to
check if it is consistent, then, we
write more general hypothesis.

Consider the given dataset, Apply Naïve Bayes Algorithm and predict fruit has the following properties which type of fruit it is

Fruit = { Yellow, Sweet, Long }

Frequency Table

<u>Fruit</u>	<u>Yellow</u>	<u>Sweet</u>	<u>Long</u>	<u>Total</u>
Mango	350	450	0	650
Banana	400	300	350	1050
Others	50	100	50	200
Total	800	850	400	1200

Sol:-

Naïve Bayes Formula:

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A) \cdot P(A)}{P(B)}$$

\uparrow Probability of 'A' being true given 'B' is true

 \uparrow Probability of B being true given A is true

 \uparrow Probability of A being true

 \uparrow Probability of B being true

① Assume 'x' is a mango

$$P(x | \text{mango}) = ?$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{yellow} | \text{mango}) &= \frac{P(\text{mango} | \text{yellow}) \cdot P(\text{yellow})}{P(\text{mango})} \\ &= \frac{\frac{350}{800} \times \frac{800}{1200}}{650/1200} \\ &= \underline{\underline{0.53}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{sweet} | \text{mango}) &= \frac{P(\text{mango} | \text{sweet}) \cdot P(\text{sweet})}{P(\text{mango})} \\ &= \frac{450/850 \times 850/1200}{650/1200} \\ &= 0.69 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{long} | \text{mango}) &= \frac{P(\text{mango} | \text{long}) \cdot P(\text{long})}{P(\text{mango})} \\ &= \frac{(0/400) \times (400/1200)}{(650/1200)} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So, } P(x | \text{mango}) = 0.53 \times 0.69 \times 0 = \underline{\underline{0}}$$

② Similarly, Assume 'x' is banana

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{yellow} | \text{banana}) &= \frac{P(\text{banana} | \text{yellow}) \cdot P(\text{yellow})}{P(\text{banana})} \\ &= \frac{400/800 \times 800/1200}{(400/1200)} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

~~Apply Naive~~

$$P(\text{sweet} | \text{banana}) = 0.75$$

$$P(\text{long} | \text{banana}) = 0.875$$

$$P(x | \text{banana}) = 1 \times 0.75 \times 0.875 \\ = \underline{\underline{0.65}}$$

(3) Assume 'x' is others

$$P(\text{yellow} | \text{others}) = 0.33$$

$$P(\text{sweet} | \text{others}) = 0.66$$

$$P(\text{long} | \text{others}) = 0.33$$

$$P(x | \text{others}) = 0.33 \times 0.66 \times 0.33 = \underline{\underline{0.072}}$$

Among, all the three cases,
 $P(x | \text{banana}) = 0.65$ is large, so we
can conclude that, x belongs to.

Banana

Find-S Algorithm

1. Initialize H to more specific Hypothesis in H .
2. For each true instance in x .
For each attribute Constraint a_i .
If Constraint is a_i in L is satisfied by x do nothing.
else replace a_i by L by next more general constraint satisfied by x .
3. Output Hypothesis L .

Example	Color	Toughness	Fungus	Appearance	Peel
1.	Green	Hard	No	Wrinkled	Yes
2.	Green	Hard	Yes	Smooth	No
3.	Brown	Soft	No	Wrinkled	No
4.	Orange	Hard	No	Wrinkled	Yes
5.	Green	Soft	Yes	Smooth	Yes
6.	Green	Hard	Yes	Wrinkled	Yes
7.	Orange	Hard	No	Wrinkled	Yes

$$L = \{ \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \}$$

$$L_1 = \{ \text{Green, Hard, No, Wrinkled} \}$$

$$L_2 = \{ \text{Green, Hard, No, Wrinkled} \} \quad L_2 = L_1$$

$$L_3 = \{ \text{Green, Hard, No, Wrinkled} \} \quad L_3 = L_2$$

$h_4 = \{ \text{?}, \text{Hard}, \text{No}, \text{Wrinkled} \}$

$h_5 = \{ \text{?}, \text{?}, \text{?}, \text{?} \}$

$h_6 = h_7 = h_5$

Final Hypothesis : $h = \{ \text{?}, \text{?}, \text{?}, \text{?} \}$

Decision Tree

<u>Patient ID#</u>	<u>Sore Throat</u>	<u>Fever</u>	<u>Swollen Glands</u>	<u>Congestion</u>	<u>Head Ache</u>	<u>Diagnosis</u>
1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Strep throat
2	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Allergy
3	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Cold
4	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Strep throat
5	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Cold
6	No	No	No	Yes	No	Allergy
7	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Strep throat
8	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Allergy
9	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Cold
10	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Cold

$$\text{Entropy}(S) = -P_{+} \log_2 P_{+} - P_{-} \log_2 P_{-}$$

$$\text{Gain}(S, A) = \text{Entropy}(S) - \sum_{v \in \text{values}(A)} \frac{|S_v|}{|S|} \text{Entropy}(S_v)$$

$$\text{Gain}(S) = \text{Sore Throat}_{(3)} + \text{Allergy}_{(3)} + \text{Cold}_{(4)}$$

$$= - \left[\frac{3}{10} \log_2 \frac{3}{10} + \frac{3}{10} \log_2 \frac{3}{10} + \frac{4}{10} \log_2 \frac{4}{10} \right]$$

$$\text{Gain}(S) = 0.6(1.73) + 0.4(1.318) = \underline{\underline{1.562}}$$

Finding the best splitting attribute

Sorethroat \rightarrow Yes (5), No (5)

$$\text{Gain}(\text{Yes}) = - \left[\frac{2}{5} \log_2 \frac{2}{5} + \frac{1}{5} \log_2 \frac{1}{5} + \frac{2}{5} \log_2 \frac{2}{5} \right]$$

$$= \underline{\underline{1.52}}$$

$$\text{Gain}(\text{No}) = - \left[\frac{1}{5} \log_2 \frac{1}{5} + \frac{2}{5} \log_2 \frac{2}{5} + \frac{2}{5} \log_2 \frac{2}{5} \right]$$

$$= \underline{\underline{1.52}}$$

$$\text{Entropy}(\text{Sorethroat}) = P(\text{Yes}) * 1.52 + P(\text{No}) * 1.52$$

$$= \frac{5}{10} * 1.52 + \frac{5}{10} * 1.52$$

$$= \underline{\underline{1.52}}$$

$L_3 = \{ \text{Green, Hard, No, Wink} \}$

$$\text{Gain}(\text{Sore throat}) = \text{Info}(S) - \text{Entropy}(\text{Sore throat})$$

$$= 1.562 - 1.52 = \underline{\underline{0.05}}$$

$\text{Gain}(\text{Fever}) \rightarrow \text{Yes, No}$

$$\text{Info}(\text{Yes}) \rightarrow - \left[\frac{1}{5} \log_2 \frac{1}{5} + \frac{4}{5} \log_2 \frac{4}{5} \right] = ?$$

$$\text{Info}(\text{No}) \rightarrow - \left[\frac{2}{5} \log_2 \frac{1}{5} + \frac{3}{5} \log_2 \frac{3}{5} \right] = ?$$

$$= \underline{\underline{0.72}}$$

Similarly $\text{Gain}(\text{Swollen glands}) = \underline{\underline{0.88}}$

$\text{Gain}(\text{Congestion}) = 0.45$

$\text{Gain}(\text{Headache}) = 0.05$

