# Assignment 2: Susmita Mondal (20310071)

Client-server programming (TCP and UDP Sockets)

Prerequisite 1 and 2 (a &b ["truncate" is used and the size is verified]) is done and a test file named Test.txt (10 KB) has been created.

3) To evaluate the following aspects, the Table has been created

3) To evaluate the following aspects, the <u>Table</u> has been created									
File	Origina	TCP	UDP	TCP	UDP	Rema	TCP	UDP	
Name	l File	Connect	Connect	Connectio	Connecti	rks	Connecti	Connecti	
	Size	ion Time	ion	n	on		on	on	
		Taken	Time	Throughp	Through		Receive	Received	
		(Second	Taken	ut (KB/s)	put		d File	File Size	
		` s)	(Second	, , ,	(KB/s)		Size		
			s)		( )-,				
Anthem.	127.8 K	0.002	0.002	49847.9	47.55		127.8	93.0 Ki	
txt	iB	5	5	92	6		KiB	В В	
	(130,8	0.000	0.002	216055.	47.55		No	<b>27%</b>	
	55	5	7	15	1		Chang	Less	
	bytes)	0.003	0.162	38882.6	44.04		e	Size	
	Dytesy	2	2	71	3		<u> </u>	Size	
		l			l <del></del>				
		Avg. = 0.001	Avg. = 0.055	Avg. = 86640.9	Avg. = 46.38				
		0.001 1	8		40.56				
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<mark>4</mark>					
Candida	22441/	0.004	0.040	47455.0	102.0		224.4	205.4	
Candide.	224.4 K	0.004	0.040	47155.8	103.0		224.4	205.4	
txt	iB	8	8	02	34		KiB	KiB)	
	(229,7	0.000	0.002	229611.	114.7		No	224.4	
	36	9	8	617	04		Chang	KiB	
	bytes)	0.001	0.002	218107.	114.7		<u>e</u>	Avg. =	
		0	5	611	19			214.9	
		Avg. =	Avg. =	Avg. =	Avg. =			KiB	
		0.002	0.015	164958.	110.8			[4% to	
		<mark>2</mark>	<mark>4</mark>	<mark>34</mark>	<mark>2</mark>			8%	
								Less	
								Size]	
Carmilla.	176.7 K	0.004	0.002	40362.7	47.55		176.7	93.0 Ki	
txt	iB	4	6	85	3		KiB	В	
	(180,9	0.001	0.005	165118.	47.49		No_	<mark>47%</mark>	
	08	0	1	883	4		<u>Chang</u>	Less	
	bytes)	0.001	0.006	125567.	47.46		e	Size	
		4	4	15	2				
		Avg. =	Avg. =	Avg. =	Avg. =				
		<mark>0.002</mark>	0.004	<mark>110349.</mark>	<b>47.50</b>				
		<mark>3</mark>	<mark>7</mark>	<mark>61</mark>					
Dracula.t	860.8 K	0.009	0.009	95974.4	297.4		860.8	583.8	
xt	iB	1	9	29	28		KiB	KiB	
	(881,4	0.003	0.005	234802.	156.1		No	305.8	
	73	7	0	103	85		Chang	KiB	
	bytes)						e		
						1			

		0.006 4 Avg. = 0.006 4	0.005 3 Avg. = 0.006 7	135716. 927 Avg. = 155497. 82	224.5 8 Avg. = 220.0 6			439.8 KiB Avg. = 443.13 KiB [32% to 64% Less Size]
Leviatha n.txt	1.2 Mi B (1,254, 930 bytes)	0.009 5 0.005 1 0.005 6 Avg. = 0.006 7	0.022 5 0.018 1 0.015 3 Avg. = 0.018 6	130853. 515 243640. 913 221666. 384 Avg. = 198720. 27	467.0 74 396.5 4 475.8 34 Avg. = 446.4 8	Large st of the 5 Files	1.2 Mi B No Chang e	922.5 KiB 781.5 KiB 936.5 KiB Avg. = 880.17 KiB [23% to 36% Less Size]
Test.txt	10.0 Ki B (10,24 0 bytes)	0.000 9 0.000 3 0.000 7 Avg. = 0.000 6	0.006 1 0.002 6 0.013 7 Avg. = 0.007 5	8417.37 8 22590.2 29 10690.6 47 Avg. = 13899.4 2	5.104 5.113 5.085 Avg. = 5.101	Small est of the 5 Files	10.0 K iB No Chang e	10.0 Ki B No Chang e

### 3)1. Time comparison

File Name	TCP	UDP	Remarks
Leviathan.txt -	0.0067 Seconds	0.0186 Seconds	TCP is faster in
Largest of the 5			both the cases
Files			compared to UDP
Test.txt (10 KB)	0.0006 Seconds	0.0075 Seconds	connection.

# 3)2. Achieved throughput comparison:

File Name	TCP	UDP	Remarks	
Anthem.txt	86640.94 KB/s	46.38 KB/s	TCP connection has	
Candide.txt	164958.34 KB/s	110.82 KB/s	better throughput in all the cases	
Carmilla.txt	110349.61 KB/s	47.50 KB/s		

Dracula.txt	155497.82 KB/s	220.06 KB/s	compared to UDP
Leviathan.txt	198720.27 KB/s	446.48 KB/s	connection.
Test.txt	13899.42 KB/s	5.101 KB/s	

#### 3)3. File size comparison:

File Name	Original Size	TCP	UDP	Remarks
Anthem.txt	127.8 KiB	127.8 KiB	93.0 KiB [27%	TCP connection has
			Less Size]	no change in the
Candide.txt	224.4 KiB	224.4 KiB	214.9 KiB [4-8%	file sizes for all the
			Less Size]	cases. But UDP
Carmilla.txt	176.7 KiB	176.7 KiB	93.0 KiB [47%	connection file
			Less Size]	transfer has some
Dracula.txt	860.8 KiB	860.8 KiB	443.13 KiB [32-	amount of
			64% Less Size]	difference in the
Leviathan.txt	1.2 MiB	1.2 MiB	881.17 KiB [23-	sizes for all the files.
			36% Less Size]	
Test.txt	10 KiB	10 KiB	10 KiB [No	
			Change]	

In case of small sized files, in both the cases no data is lost. Less data size can be termed as data loss. In comparison to the original file, there are significant number of differences. The next segment highlights some high-level differences. It is true for every file generated by TCP and UDP connections.

3)4. For the comparison of "wc" and "diff", I used <u>one TCP</u> and <u>one UDP</u> text file with <u>one</u> <u>original</u> text file for simplicity and the table has been created according to that. But this comparison is possible for every file generated by the TCP and UDP connection.

File Name =	File Name = Anthem.txt		TCP (Process ID:			UDP	(Process	TCP	UDP (Process ID:)
			1	.2944)		ID:	15348)	(Process	"diff" with the original file
			"wc"			"wc"		ID:	
								12944)	
								"diff"	
								with the	
								original	
								file	
"w	c"		-с	130855		-с	95232	No	• Context (-c):
-с	130855		-1	2535		-l	1861	Change	1859,2535
Byte			-	130179		-	94638		<ul> <li>Unified (-u): -</li> </ul>
count			m			m			1859,677 +1859,4
-l	2535			22249			16372		<ul> <li>Case insensitive (-</li> </ul>
Line				22249		w	10372		i):
count			No Change						1862,2535c1862
-m	130179						erence is		• Diff:
						there			1862,2535c1862

Character count				Difference is there
-w Word count	22249			

TCP file transfer is more reliable than UDP file transfer. No significant difference is there between the original file and the file downloaded by TCP connection. But there is significant number of differences between original file and the file downloaded using a UDP connection.

### Experimentation with socket configurations.

- File size is 10KB for every experiment = Test.txt
- File size is 10KB for every experiment = Leviathan.txt
- Packet tracing is done by the loop back address in the Wireshark
  - To know the difference better, table has been created

A.

Clien	Serve	TCP	UDP	Origina	TCP	UDP	"diff"	"diff" output
t	r	Packet	Packet	l File	File	File	output for	for UDP and
Buffe	Buffe	Exchang	Exchang	Size	Size	Size	TCP and	original file
r Size	r Size	е	е				original file	
2048	1024	18	6	10 KiB	No	No	No	No Difference
Bytes	Bytes				Chang	Chang	Difference	
					e in	e in		
					File	File		
					Size	Size		
		102	480	1.2	No	645.0	No	11044,20693c1
				MiB	Chang	KiB	Difference	1044 – <mark>Signifies</mark>
					е	[Loss]		<mark>Difference</mark>

В.

Clien	Serve	TCP	UDP	Origina	TCP	UDP	"diff"	"diff" output
t	r	Packet	Packet	l File	File	File	output for	for UDP and
Buffe	Buffe	Exchang	Exchang	Size	Size	Size	TCP and	original file
r Size	r Size	е	е				original file	
512	1024	41	20	10 KiB	No	No	No	No Difference
Bytes	Bytes				Chang	Chang	Difference	
					e in	e in		
					File	File		
					Size	Size		
		95	2452	1.2	No	572.0	No	1725,4374c172
				MiB	Chang	KiB	Difference	5– <mark>Signifies</mark>
					е	[Loss]		<b>Difference</b>

#### C.

Clien	Serve	TCP	UDP	Origina	TCP	UDP	"diff"	"diff" output
t	r	Packet	Packet	l File	File	File	output for	for UDP and
Buffe	Buffe	Exchang	Exchang	Size	Size	Size	TCP and	original file
r Size	r Size	е	е				original file	
2048	512	30	21	10 KiB	No	No	No	No Difference
Bytes	Bytes				Chang	Chang	Difference	
					e in	e in		
					File	File		
					Size	Size		
		88	2453	1.2	No	808.0	No	22002,23001c1
				MiB	Chang	KiB	Difference	4828– <mark>Signifies</mark>
					е	[Loss]		<mark>Difference</mark>

#### D.

Clien	Serve	TCP	UDP	Origina	TCP	UDP	"diff"	"diff" output
t	r	Packet	Packet	l File	File	File	output for	for UDP and
Buffe	Buffe	Exchang	Exchang	Size	Size	Size	TCP and	original file
r Size	r Size	е	e				original file	
1024	1024	28	11	10 KiB	No	No	No	No Difference
Bytes	Bytes				Chang	Chang	Difference	
					e in	e in		
					File	File		
					Size	Size		
		930	1227	1.2	No	No	No	No Significant
				MiB	Chang	Chang	Difference	<b>Difference</b>
					е	е		

- In case of UDP "diff" with original file, it shows more than 1 differences in various places of the files. I have highlighted only 1 of the differences.
- Though TCP takes more packets in case of smaller data, but it provides reliable data transmission without any loss of data.
- E. There are 2 possible cases I noticed.
- 1) If I try to run all the server and client programs concurrently it might run but shows "port unreachable" and received data is of 0 Byte in one of the processes.
- 2) If I try to run TCP server-client in one window and UDP server-client in another window of the IDLE, it shows "address in use" in one of the processes.

I think it is not possible because it uses loop back address and only 1 process and only one thread which is running occupying the port and address. So, 2 simultaneous operations cannot run together.