Numerical Analysis homework # 2

Chen Shuo 12231064 *

(Electronic Science and Technology), Zhejiang University

Submitted time: October 20, 2024

Ι

I-a

According to the linear interpolation, $p_1(f;x) = -\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{3}{2}$. $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ results in $f''(x) = \frac{2}{x^3}$. Therefore, the equation in the problem can be written as:

 $\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2}x - \frac{3}{2} = \frac{1}{\xi^3(x)}(x-1)(x-2)$

In the interval (1, 2), we can get $\xi(x) = \sqrt[3]{2x}$.

I-b

According to the continuity, we can extend $\xi(x)$ to [1,2] by define $\xi(1) = \lim_{x \to 1^+} \xi(x) = \sqrt[3]{2}$ and $\xi(1) = \lim_{x \to 2^-} \xi(x) = \sqrt[3]{4}$. Therefore, $\max_{x \in [1,2]} \xi(x) = \xi(2) = \sqrt[3]{4}$, $\min_{x \in [1,2]} \xi(x) = \xi(1) = \sqrt[3]{2}$. In addition, $f''(\xi(x)) = \frac{1}{x^3}$, then $\max_{x \in [1,2]} f''(\xi(x)) = f''(\xi(1)) = 1$.

\mathbf{II}

Due to $f(x_i) \ge 0$ for each i, given n+1 distinct x_i and their function values $\sqrt{f_i}$, a unique $\tilde{p}(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ can be determined. Then $p(x) = (\tilde{p}(x))^2 \in \mathbb{P}_{2n}^+$ and p(x) satisfies that $p(x_i) = f_i$ with f_i for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

III

III-a

In the case that $n=1, \ f[t,t+1]=f(t+1)-f(t)=e^{t+1}-e^t=(e-1)e^t,$ which satisfies the equation in the problem. We assume that the equation holds when n=m, i.e. $f[t,t+1,\cdots,t+m]=\frac{(e-1)^m}{m!}e^t,$ then $f[t,t+1,\cdots,t+m+1]=\frac{f[t+1,t+2,\cdots,t+m+1]-f[t,t+1,\cdots,t+m]}{m+1}=\frac{1}{m+1}(\frac{(e-1)^m}{m!}e^{t+1}-\frac{(e-1)^m}{m!}e^t)=\frac{(e-1)^{m+1}}{(m+1)!}e^t.$

It means that the equation also holds when n=m+1. By induction, the equation holds for each $n\in\mathbb{Z}^+$.

III-b

According to III-a, $f[0,1,\cdots,n]=\frac{(e-1)^n}{n!}$. From Corollary 2.22 we know $\exists \xi \in (0,n)$, s.t. $f[0,1,\cdots,n]=\frac{1}{n!}f^{(n)}(\xi)$. $f(x)=e^x$, so $f^{(n)}(x)=e^x$, which means $\frac{(e-1)^n}{n!}=\frac{e^\xi}{n!}$. Then $\xi=n\ln(e-1)>\frac{n}{2}$, ξ is located to the right of the midpoint $\frac{n}{2}$.

^{*}Email address: shuo_chen@zju.edu.cn

IV

IV-a

According to the data given by the problem, we can construct the following table of divided difference:

Therefore, $p_3(f;x) = 5 - 2x + x(x-1) + \frac{1}{4}x(x-1)(x-3)$.

IV-b

According to the formula of $p_3(f;x)$ above, we can get $p_3'(f;x) = \frac{3}{4}x^2 - \frac{9}{4}$. Therefore, $p_3'(f;x)$ decreases monotonocally on $(1,\sqrt{3})$ and increases monotonocally on $(\sqrt{3},3)$, which means it has a minimum at $\sqrt{3}$.

\mathbf{V}

V-a

According to the data given by the problem, we can construct the following table of divided difference:

Therefore, f[0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2] = 30.

V-b

According to Corollary 2.22, $f[0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2,] = \frac{1}{5!} f^{(5)}(\xi)$, where $\xi \in (0, 2)$. $f(x) = x^7$, then $\frac{1}{5!} f^{(5)}(\xi) = 21\xi^2$. By V-a, this result is 30. Therefore, $\xi = \sqrt{\frac{10}{7}}$.

VI

VI-a

According to the data given by the problem, we can construct the following table of divided difference:

Therefore, $p_4(x) = 1 + x - 2x(x-1) + \frac{2}{3}x(x-1)^2 - \frac{5}{36}x(x-1)^2(x-3)$. Estimate f(2) with $p_4(2) = \frac{11}{18}$

VI-b

According to Theorem 2.37, the error is $f(x) - p_4(x) = \frac{f^{(5)}(\xi)}{5!}x(x-1)^2(x-3)^2$. Due to $x \in [0,3], |f(x) - p_4(x)| \leq \frac{M}{5!}x(x-1)^2(x-3)^2 \leq \frac{MC}{5!}$, where $C = \max_{x \in [0,3]} |x(x-1)^2(x-3)^2|$. (A continuous function has a maximum in a closed interval)

VII

In the case k = 1, $\Delta f(x) = f(x+h) - f(x) = hf[x, x+h]$.

We assume that $\Delta^k f(x) = k! h^k f[x_0, \dots, x_k]$ holds for k = m. Then $\Delta^{m+1} f(x) = \Delta^m f(x+h) - \Delta^m f(x) = m! h^m (f[x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}] - f[x_0, \dots, x_m]) = m! h^m (m+1) h f[x_0, \dots, x_{m+1}] = (m+1)! h^{m+1} f[x_0, \dots, x_{m+1}]$, which implies that $\Delta^k f(x) = k! h^k f[x_0, \dots, x_k]$ holds for k = m+1. By induction, the proof is done.

In the case of $\nabla f(x)$, it is similar and can be proved in the same way.

VIII

In the case n=1, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}f[x_0,x_1]=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}\frac{f(x_1)-f(x_0)}{x_1-x_0}=\frac{-f'(x_0)(x_1-x_0)+(f(x_1)-f(x_0))}{(x_1-x_0)^2}=f[x_0,x_0,x_1]$. Assume that it holds for n=m. Then:

$$f[x_0,x_0,\cdots,x_{m+1}] = \frac{f[x_0,\cdots,x_{m+1}] - f[x_0,x_0,x_1,\cdots,x_m]}{x_{m+1}-x_0} = \frac{f[x_0,\cdots,x_{m+1}] - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}f[x_0,x_1,\cdots,x_m]}{x_{m+1}-x_0}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} f[x_0, \dots, x_{m+1}] = \frac{-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f[x_0, \dots, x_m](x_{m+1} - x_0) + f[x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}] - f[x_0, \dots, x_m]}{(x_{m+1} - x_0)^2}
= \frac{f[x_0, \dots, x_{m+1}] - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m]}{x_{m+1} - x_0}$$

Hence $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} f[x_0, \dots, x_n] = f[x_0, x_0, \dots, x_n]$ holds for n = m + 1. By induction, the proof is done.

IX

By Corollary 2.48, we have

$$\max_{x \in [-1,1]} |x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + a_n| \ge \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$$

Let $\tilde{x} = \frac{b-a}{2}x + \frac{a+b}{2}$, then it follows that

$$\max_{\tilde{x} \in [a,b]} |a_0 \tilde{x}^n + a_1 \tilde{x}^{n-1} + \dots + a_n| = \max_{x \in [-1,1]} |(\frac{b-a}{2})^n x^n + (\text{terms with degrees} < n)|$$

$$\geq \frac{(b-a)^n}{2^{2n-1}}$$

The inequality becomes equation when it is Chebyshev polynomial, therefore $\min \max x \in [a,b] |a_0x^n + a_1\tilde{x}^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n| = \frac{(b-a)^n}{2^{2n-1}}$

\mathbf{X}

Suppose the equation in the problem does not hold, i.e. $\exists p \in \mathbb{P}_n^a$ s.t. $\|p\|_{\infty} < \|\hat{p}_n\|_{\infty} = \frac{1}{|T_n(a)|}$. Let $Q(x) = \hat{p}(x) - p(x)$, then $Q(x_k') = \frac{(-1)^k}{T_n(a)} - p(x_k')$ for $x_k' = \cos\frac{k}{n}\pi$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Q(x) has alternating signs at these n + 1 points in [-1,1]. Hence Q(x) must have n zeros in [-1,1].

On the other hand, Q(x) is a polynomial with degree n so it has at most n real zeros. But $Q(a) = \hat{p}(a) - p(a) = 0$, which means a > 1 is also a zero not in n zeros above. Therefore it is a contradiction, so the equation in the problem holds.

XI

By definition,

$$\frac{n-k}{n}b_{n,k}(t) + \frac{k+1}{n}b_{n,k+1}(t) = \frac{n-k}{n} \binom{n}{k} t^k (1-t)^{n-k} + \frac{k+1}{n} t^{k+1} (1-t)^{n-k-1}
= \binom{n-1}{k} t^k (1-t)^{n-k} + \binom{n-1}{k} t^{k+1} (1-t)^{n-k-1}
= \binom{n-1}{k} t^k (1-t)^{n-k-1} (1-t+t)
= \binom{n-1}{k} t^k (1-t)^{n-k-1}
= b_{n-1,k}(t)$$

XII

By definition,

$$\begin{split} \int_0^1 b_{n,k}(t)dt &= \int_0^1 \binom{n}{k} t^k (1-t)^{n-k} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{n-k} dt^{k+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{n}{k} [((1-t)t^{k+1})]_0^1 - \int_0^1 t^{k+1} d(1-t)^{n-k}] \\ &= \frac{n-k}{k+1} \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 t^{k+1} (1-t)^{n-k-1} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 b_{n,k+1}(t) dt \end{split}$$

Therefore, $\int_0^1 b_{n,k}(t)dt$ is independent of k. Hence,

$$\int_0^1 b_{n,k}(t)dt = \int_0^1 b_{n,n}(t)dt$$
$$= \int_0^1 \binom{n}{n} t^n dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{n+1}$$