

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8

# Local to global N input modelling

Tormey Reimer<sup>12</sup>, Richard S. Cottrell<sup>1</sup>, Alexandra John<sup>3</sup>, Sowdamini  
Sesha Prasad<sup>1</sup>, Marceau Cormery<sup>1</sup>, Gage Clawson<sup>1</sup>, Scott Hadley<sup>1</sup>,  
Helen Hamilton<sup>1</sup>, Benjamin S. Halpern<sup>1</sup>, Catriona Macleod<sup>1</sup>, Camille  
White<sup>1</sup>, Julia L. Blanchard<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies  
<sup>2</sup>Centre for Marine Socioecology  
<sup>1</sup>Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies

## 9 Introduction

10 Aquaculture is now the dominant form of aquatic animal food (herein ‘seafood’) pro-  
11 duction and is expected to be the primary way we meet future seafood demand. Fresh-  
12 water systems will likely continue to provide the majority of farmed seafood but marine  
13 aquaculture is also poised to expand substantially in numerous areas. Farmed marine  
14 fish and invertebrates are produced near exclusively in coastal waters, and nearly three  
15 quarters of this production is dependent on human-made feeds. Nearshore locations  
16 and feed inputs are necessary to maintain profitable and productive farming operations  
17 but coastal aquaculture generates a number of challenges. In the crowded coastal zone,  
18 aquaculture operations can conflict with other stakeholder uses such as recreation, fish-  
19 ing, renewable energy, transport, and tourism. And while farming marine fish typically  
20 generates a far smaller nutrient footprint than livestock farming, the overt nature of  
21 aquaculture in nearshore regions and evidence of localised nutrient impacts around  
22 fish farms remains a primary public and scientific concern. Identifying strategies that  
23 reduce ecosystem impacts from fish farm waste therefore represents an important goal  
24 for improving marine aquaculture sustainability and maintaining the sector’s social  
25 licence to operate.

26 Aquaculture feeds represent an important lever for reducing nutrient waste impacts  
27 around fish farms. Like all farmed animals, fish and invertebrates must digest the  
28 nutrients contained in feeds before they can be used for growth. Any nutrients left  
29 undigested are egested as solid waste, and dissolved wastes are excreted as metabolic  
30 waste products. Further, some feed inevitably remains uneaten and is lost to the  
31 surrounding ecosystem. Particulate organic matter (both feed and faeces) that settles

can simplify benthic communities as the oxygen demand from its decomposition drives the production of sulphides that kill less mobile faunal, encouraging a lower diversity of opportunistic scavengers and the growth of bacterial mats (e.g., *Beggiatoa* spp). Thus, the chemical composition of the ingredients used in aquaculture feeds and their digestibility for the farmed species has significant implications for the nature and reactivity of the waste generate by marine aquaculture.

Firstly the overall volume of nutrient waste is dictated by the nature and intensity of production, that is the farm size, the density of farmed animals and the feed requirements and efficiency of the species grown. SecondlDeposition of waste is heavily influenced by water depth and current speed at the farming site. Once

As farmed fish and invertebrates are fed, whatever

Nutand its impact on marine ecosystems is influenced by many factors. \* Farm size \* Depth \* Current speed \* Benthic impact - sediment type/faunal assemblages/wider marine community \* High turnover environments - nitrogen enriched areas \* Feed influences all of these things

The primary source of organic waste from fed aquaculture production comes from the excretion and faeces of the farmed animals and through uneaten feed that dissolves in the water column or settles on the benthos. The nature and impact of this waste are influenced heavily by the composition of the feeds fed to farmed animals.

## P2

- Waste from aquaculture farms and it's impact is influenced by many things but the composition of feeds plays a central role.
- Waste from aquaculture farms has multiple sources.
- The primary source of organic waste comes from the faeces and excretion of the fish or invertebrates.
- Uneaten feed produced another key source.
- The nature and impact of this waste are influenced heavily by the composition of the feeds fed to farmed animals
- Many marine fish are naturally carnivorous so diets used to be high in fishmeal and oil but increasing fishmeal and oil prices along with concerns over the sustainability of marine ingredients have led to a reduction in their use across multiple farmed taxa
- In lieu of fishmeal and oil, many plant-based ingredients such as soy protein concentrate, canola oil, and wheat gluten have replaced them.
- Changes in feed composition influences the digestibility of the nutrients held in

each feed and can alter the composition of waste.

- Of particular concern are changes (increases) to the presence of reactive nitrogen and phosphorus in coastal waters that could have an effect on eutrophication.

## P3

- Whether or not nutrients lead to eutrophication depends on the sensitivity of the receiving environment
- Ecosystems that are already enriched through natural processes and whose biota is well adapted to substantial fluxes in available nutrients (e.g. upwelling zones, dynamic coastal communities) may be less sensitive while oligotrophic ecosystem are likely to see considerable changes under nutrient enrichment scenarios.
- To understand the impact of aquaculture waste under present day or future scenarios we need to quantify the volume, nature, and location of mariculture waste and determine the sensitivity of the receiving environments to that waste. Yet only recent estimates even give us the estimated location of marine farms let alone the volume of nature of the waste produced. To address this gap, we use existing maps of mariculture location with a bioenergetic model

## Statistical analysis

All analysis was conducted in R version 4.2 “Pile of Leaves” (R Core Team 2019).

## Code availability

This manuscript was written in Quarto (Allaire et al. 2024) using TinyTex (?) and the acronyms extension (Chaput 2024). For a full list of R packages used see the [lockfile](#).

Allaire, J. J., Charles Teague, Carlos Scheidegger, Yihui Xie, and Christophe Dervieux. 2024. “Quarto.” <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5960048>.

Chaput, Remy. 2024. “acronyms.” <https://github.com/rchaput/acronyms>.

R Core Team. 2019. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <https://www.R-project.org>.