2023-2024 Graphical Model Notes

Chapter 3 Exponential Families and Contingency Tables

- Denote $X_V \equiv (X_v : v \in V)$, indexed by $V = \{1, \cdots, p\}$. Each X_v takes values in the set $\mathscr{X}_v$. For a subset $A \subseteq V$, we write X_A to denote $(X_v : v \in A)$.

- Let $p(\cdot;\theta)$ be a collection of probability densities over $\mathscr{X}$ indexed by $\theta \in \Theta$. We say that p is an exponential family if it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} p(x;\theta) &= \exp\left\{\sum_i \theta_i \phi_i(x) - A(\theta) - C(x)\right\} \\ &= \exp\{< \theta, \phi(x) > -A(\theta) - C(x)\} \end{aligned}$$

  - The family is said to be regular if Θ is a non-empty open set.
  - The functions ϕ_i are the sufficient statistics.
  - The components θ_i are the canonical/natural parameters.
  - The function $A(\theta)$ is the cumulant function such that the distribution normalises:

$$A(\theta) = \log \int \exp\{< \theta, \phi(x) > -C(x)\}\, \mathrm{d}x$$

  - The function $Z(\theta) \equiv e^{A(\theta)}$ is the partition function.

- We have

$$\nabla A(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_\theta \phi(X) \qquad \nabla\nabla^{\mathrm{T}} A(\theta) = \mathrm{Cov}_\theta \phi(X)$$

  $A(\theta)$ and $-\log p(x;\theta)$ are convex in θ, and the map $\mu(\theta) : \theta \mapsto \nabla A(\theta)$ is bijective, named the mean function. We care about convexity because it does not have multiple local minima, which in turn facilitates computation.

- Let $X_V = (X_1, \cdots, X_p)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ be a random vector. Let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{p\times p}$ be a positive definite symmetric matrix. We say that X_V has a multivariate Gaussian distribution with μ and Σ if the joint density is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_V) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x_V - \mu)^{\mathrm{T}} \Sigma^{-1} (x_V - \mu)\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} x_V^{\mathrm{T}} K x_V + \mu^{\mathrm{T}} K x_V - \frac{1}{2}\mu^{\mathrm{T}} K \mu + \frac{1}{2}\log|K|\right\} \qquad x_V \in \mathbb{R}^p \end{aligned}$$

  Here, $K \equiv \Sigma^{-1}$ is called the concentration matrix. Let

$$\phi(x_V) = \left(x_v, -\frac{1}{2} x_V x_V^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \qquad \theta = (K\mu, K)$$

  we could easily tell that the multivariate Gaussian distribution is an exponential family[1].

[1] For two matrices A and B, we have $< A, B >= \mathrm{tr}(A, B^{\mathrm{T}})$.

- Let X_V have a multivariate Gaussian distribution with concentration matrix $K = \Sigma^{-1}$, then $X_i \perp\!\!\!\perp X_j \mid X_{V \setminus \{i,j\}}$ iff $k_{ij} = 0$.

- Let $X_V^{(i)} = (X_1^{(1)}, \cdots, X_p^{(i)})$ be sampled over individuals $i = 1, \cdots, n$ and define

$$n(x_V) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}\{X_1^{(i)} = x_1, \cdots, X_p^{(i)} = x_p\}$$

the number of individuals who have the response pattern x_V. These counts are the sufficient statistics for the multinomial model with log-likelihood

$$\begin{aligned} l(p;n) &= \sum_{x_V} n(x_V) \log p(x_V) \\ &= \sum_{x_V \neq 0_V} n(x_V) \log \frac{p(x_V)}{p(0_V)} + n \log p(0_V) \end{aligned}$$

where 0_V is the vector of zeros, $p(x_V) \geq 0$, and $\sum_{x_V} p(x_V) = 1$. The multinomial distribution is also an exponential family with

  - Sufficient statistics given by $n(x_V)$.
  - Canonical parameters given by $\log \dfrac{p(x_V)}{p(0_V)}$.
  - Convex cumulant function given by

$$-\log p(0_V) = \log \left(1 + \sum_{x_V \neq 0_V} e^{\theta(x_V)} \right)$$

Each possibility x_V is called a cell of the table. Given $A \subseteq V$,

$$n(x_A) \equiv \sum_{x_B} n(x_A, x_B)$$

where $B = V \setminus A$ is called the marginal table.

- The log-linear parameters for $p(x_V) > 0$ are defined by the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \log p(x_V) &= \sum_{A \subseteq V} \lambda_A(x_A) \\ &= \lambda_\varnothing + \lambda_1(x_1) + \cdots + \lambda_V(x_V) \end{aligned}$$

and the identifiability constraint $\lambda_A(x_A) = 0$ whenever $x_a = 1$ for some $a \in A$.

- Consider a 2×2 contingency table with probabilities π_{ij}. The log-linear parametrisation has

$$\begin{aligned} \log \pi_{11} &= \lambda_\varnothing & \log \pi_{21} &= \lambda_\varnothing + \lambda_X \\ \log \pi_{12} &= \lambda_\varnothing + \lambda_Y & \log \pi_{22} &= \lambda_\varnothing + \lambda_X + \lambda_Y + \lambda_{XY} \end{aligned}$$

We can deduce that

$$\lambda_{XY} = \log \frac{\pi_{11}\pi_{22}}{\pi_{21}\pi_{12}}$$

and $e^{\lambda_{XY}}$ is called the odds ratio between X and Y.

- Let $X_i \sim \mathrm{P}(\mu_i)$ independently, and let $N = \sum_{i=1}^{k} X_i$. Then,

$$N \sim \mathrm{P}(\sum_i \mu_i)$$

$$(X_1, \cdots, X_k)^{\mathrm{T}} \mid N = n \sim \mathrm{Multinom}(n, (\pi_1, \cdots, \pi_k)^{\mathrm{T}})$$

where $\pi_i = \dfrac{\mu_i}{\sum_j \mu_j}$.

- Let $p > 0$ be a discrete distribution on X_V with associated log-linear parameters $\lambda_C, C \subseteq V$. The conditional independence $X_a \perp\!\!\!\perp X_b \mid X_{V \setminus \{a,b\}}$ holds if and only if $\lambda_C = 0$ for all $\{a,b\} \subseteq C \subseteq V$.

Chapter 4 Undirected Graphical Models

- Let V be a finite set. An undirected graph $\mathcal{G}$ is a pair (V, E) where
  - V are the vertices/nodes.
  - $E \subseteq \{\{i, j\} : i, j \in V, i \neq j\}$ is a set of unordered distinct pairs of V called edges.

  We represent graphs by drawing the vertices and then joining pairs of vertices by a line if there is an edge between them.

  - We write $i \sim j$ if $\{i, j\} \in E$, and say they are adjacent in the graph. The vertices adjacent to i are called the neighbours of i, and the set of neighbours is often called the boundary of i and denoted by $\mathrm{bd}_{\mathcal{G}}(i)$.
  - A path in a graph is a sequence of adjacent vertices without repetition. The length of a path is the number of edges in it.
  - Given a subset of vertices $W \subseteq V$, we define the induced subgraph $\mathcal{G}_W$ of $\mathcal{G}$ to be the graph with vertices W, and all edges from $\mathcal{G}$ whose endpoints are contained in W.
  - We say $C \subseteq V$ is complete if $i \sim j$ for every $i, j \in C$. A maximal[2] complete set is called a clique. The set of cliques in a graph is denoted by $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G})$.

- Let $A, B, S \subseteq V$. We say that A and B are separated by S in $\mathcal{G}$ ($A \perp_s B \mid S[\mathcal{G}]$) if every path from any $a \in A$ to any $b \in B$ contains at least one vertex in S. A and B are separated by S (where $S \cap A = S \cap B = \varnothing$) iff A and B are separated by $\varnothing$ in $\mathcal{G}_{V \setminus S}$.

[2]Maximal means if one is to add another vertex into the graph, the graph wil no longer be complete. However, graphs in the cliques do not necessarily need to have the same number of vertices.

- Let $\mathscr{G}$ be a graph with vertices V, and let p be a probability distribution over the random variables X_V. We say that p satisfies the pairwise Markov property for $\mathscr{G}$ if

$$i \not\sim j \in \mathscr{G} \implies X_i \perp\!\!\!\perp X_j \mid X_{V\setminus\{i,j\}}[p]$$

  We say that p satisfies the global Markov property for $\mathscr{G}$ if for any disjoint sets A, B, S

$$A \perp_s B \mid S \subseteq \mathscr{G} \implies X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B \mid X_S[p]$$

- A distribution p is said to factorises according to graph $\mathscr{G}$ if

$$p(x_V) = \prod_{C\in\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{G})} \psi_C(x_C)$$

  The functions $\psi_C : \mathbb{R}^{|c|} \to \mathbb{R}$ are called potentials.

- If $p(x_V)$ factorises according to $\mathscr{G}$, then p is globally Markov with respect to $\mathscr{G}$.

- (Hammersley-Clifford Theorem). If $p(x_V) > 0$ obeys the pariwise Markove property with respect to $\mathscr{G}$, then p factorises according to $\mathscr{G}$.

  - The followings always hold:

$$\text{factorisation} \Rightarrow \text{global Markov} \Rightarrow \text{pairwise Markov}$$

    The following holds if p is strictly positive:

$$\text{pariwise Markov} \Rightarrow \text{factorisation}$$

- Given a graph $\mathscr{G}$ with vertices $V = A \cup B \cup S$ where A, B, S are disjoint sets. We say that (A, S, B) consititutes a decomposition of $\mathscr{G}$ if:

  - $\mathscr{G}_S$ is complete;
  - A and B are separated by S in $\mathscr{G}$

  If A and B are both non-empty, we say the decomposition is proper. If not, we say the decomposition is a prime.

- A graph is decomposable if either it is complete or there is a proper decomposition (A, S, B) and $\mathscr{G}_{A\cup S}$, $\mathscr{G}_{B\cup S}$ are decomposable.

- Let $C_1, C_2, \cdots, C_k$ be a collection of subsets. We say that the sequence satisfies the running intersection property if $\forall j \geq 2$,

$$C_j \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} C_i = C_j \cap C_{\sigma(j)} \qquad \sigma(j) < j$$

- If $C_1, \cdots, C_k$ satisfy the running intersection property, then there is a graph whose cliques are $\mathscr{C} = \{C_1, \cdots, C_k\}$.
- Let $\mathscr{G}$ be an undirected graph. A cycle is a sequence of vertices $< v_1, \cdots, v_k >$ for $k \geq 3$ such that there is a path $v_1 - \cdots - v_k$ and an edge $v_k - v_1$. A chord on a cycle is any edge between two vertices not adjacent on the cycle. A graph is chordal or triangulated if whenever there is a cycle of length greater or equal to 4, it contains a chord.
- Let $\mathscr{G}$ be an undirected graph. The followings are equivalent:
  - $\mathscr{G}$ is decomposable;
  - $\mathscr{G}$ is triangulated;
  - Every minimal separator of $a \not\sim b$ is complete;
  - The cliques of $\mathscr{G}$ satisfy the running intersection property, starting with C.