2023-2024 Graphical Model Notes

Chapter 3 Exponential Families and Contingency Tables

- Denote $X_V \equiv (X_v : v \in V)$, indexed by $V = \{1, \dots, p\}$. Each X_v takes values in the set \mathscr{X}_v . For a subset $A \subseteq V$, we write X_A to denote $(X_v : v \in A)$.
- Let p(·; θ) be a collection of probability densities over X indexed by θ ∈ Θ. We say that
 p is an exponential family if it can be written as

$$p(x; \theta) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{i} \theta_{i} \phi_{i}(x) - A(\theta) - C(x) \right\}$$
$$= \exp \left\{ \langle \theta, \phi(x) \rangle - A(\theta) - C(x) \right\}$$

- The family is said to be regular if Θ is a non-empty open set.
- The functions ϕ_i are the sufficient statistics.
- The components θ_i are the canonical/natural parameters.
- The function $A(\theta)$ is the cumulant function such that the distribution normalises:

$$A(\theta) = \log \int \exp\{\langle \theta, \phi(x) \rangle - C(x)\} dx$$

- The function $Z(\theta) \equiv e^{A(\theta)}$ is the partition function.
- We have

$$\nabla A(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \phi(X)$$
 $\nabla \nabla^{\mathrm{T}} A(\theta) = \mathrm{Cov}_{\theta} \phi(X)$

 $A(\theta)$ and $-\log p(x;\theta)$ are convex in θ , and the map $\mu(\theta):\theta\mapsto \nabla A(\theta)$ is bijective, named the mean function. We care about convexity because it does not have multiple local minima, which in turn facilitates computation.

• Let $X_V = (X_1, \dots, X_p)^T \in \mathbb{R}^p$ be a random vector. Let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ be a positive definite symmetric matrix. We say that X_V has a multivariate Gaussian distribution with μ and Σ if the joint density is

$$f(x_V) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x_V - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x_V - \mu)\right\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}x_V^T K x_V + \mu^T K x_V - \frac{1}{2}\mu^T K \mu + \frac{1}{2}\log|K|\right\} \qquad x_V \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

Here, $K \equiv \Sigma^{-1}$ is called the concentration matrix. Let

$$\phi(x_V) = \left(x_v, -\frac{1}{2}x_Vx_V^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \qquad \theta = (K\mu, K)$$

we could easily tell that the multivariate Gaussian distribution is an exponential family¹.

¹ For two matrices A and B, we have $\langle A, B \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(A, B^{\mathrm{T}})$.

- Let X_V have a multivariate Gaussian distribution with concentration matrix $K = \Sigma^{-1}$, then $X_i \perp \!\!\! \perp X_j \mid X_{V \setminus \{i,j\}}$ iff $k_{ij} = 0$.
- Let $X_V^{(i)} = (X_1^{(1)}, \cdots, X_p^{(i)})$ be sampled over individuals $i = 1, \cdots, n$ and define

$$n(x_V) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}\{X_1^{(i)} = x_1, \cdots, X_p^{(i)} = x_p\}$$

the number of individuals who have the response pattern x_V . These counts are the sufficient statistics for the multinomial model with log-likelihood

$$l(p;n) = \sum_{x_V} n(x_V) \log p(x_V)$$

$$= \sum_{x_V \neq 0_V} n(x_V) \log \frac{p(x_V)}{p(0_V)} + n \log p(0_V)$$

where 0_V is the vector of zeros, $p(x_V) \ge 0$, and $\sum_{x_V} p(x_V) = 1$. The multinomial distribution is also an exponential family with

- Sufficient statistics given by $n(x_V)$.
- Canonical parameters given by $\log \frac{p(x_V)}{p(0_V)}$.
- Convex cumulant function given by

$$-\log p(0_V) = \log \left(1 + \sum_{x_V \neq 0_V} e^{\theta(x_V)}\right)$$

Each possibility x_V is called a cell of the table. Given $A \subseteq V$,

$$n(x_A) \equiv \sum_{x_B} n(x_A, x_B)$$

where $B = V \setminus A$ is called the marginal table.

• The log-linear parameters for $p(x_V) > 0$ are defined by the relation

$$\log p(x_V) = \sum_{A \subseteq V} \lambda_A(x_A)$$
$$= \lambda_{\varnothing} + \lambda_1(x_1) + \dots + \lambda_V(x_V)$$

and the identifiability constraint $\lambda_A(x_A) = 0$ whenever $x_a = 1$ for some $a \in A$.

• Consider a 2×2 contingency table with probabilities π_{ij} . The log-linear parametrisation has

$$\log \pi_{11} = \lambda_{\varnothing}$$
 $\log \pi_{21} = \lambda_{\varnothing} + \lambda_{X}$ $\log \pi_{12} = \lambda_{\varnothing} + \lambda_{Y}$ $\log \pi_{22} = \lambda_{\varnothing} + \lambda_{X} + \lambda_{Y} + \lambda_{XY}$

We can deduce that

$$\lambda_{XY} = \log \frac{\pi_{11}\pi_{22}}{\pi_{21}\pi_{12}}$$

and $e^{\lambda_{XY}}$ is called the odds ratio between X and Y.

• Let $X_i \sim P(\mu_i)$ independently, and let $N = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i$. Then,

$$N \sim P(\sum_{i} \mu_{i})$$
$$(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{k})^{T} \mid N = n \sim \text{Multinom}(n, (\pi_{1}, \cdots, \pi_{k})^{T})$$

where
$$\pi_i = \frac{\mu_i}{\sum_i \mu_i}$$
.

• Let p > 0 be a discrete distribution on X_V with associated log-linear parameters $\lambda_C, C \subseteq V$. The conditional independence $X_a \perp \!\!\!\perp X_b \mid X_{V \setminus \{a,b\}}$ holds if and only if $\lambda_C = 0$ for all $\{a,b\} \subseteq C \subseteq V$.

Chapter 4 Undirected Graphical Models

- Let V be a finite set. An undirected graph \mathcal{G} is a pair (V, E) where
 - V are the vertices/nodes.
 - $E \subseteq \{\{i, j\} : i, j \in V, i \neq j\}$ is a set of unordered distinct pairs of V called edges.

We represent graphs by drawing the vertices and then joining pairs of vertices by a line if there is an edge between them.

- We write $i \sim j$ if $\{i, j\} \in E$, and say they are adjacent in the graph. The vertices adjacent to i are called the neighbours of i, and the set of neighbours is often called the boundary of i and denoted by $bd_{\mathscr{G}}(i)$.
- A path in a graph is a sequence of adjacent vertices without repetition. The length of a path is the number of edges in it.
- Given a subset of vertices $W \subseteq V$, we define the induced subgraph \mathcal{G}_W of \mathcal{G} to be the graph with vertices W, and all edges from \mathcal{G} whose endpoints are contained in W.
- We say $C \subseteq V$ is complete if $i \sim j$ for every $i, j \in C$. A maximal² complete set is called a clique. The set of cliques in a graph is denoted by $\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{G})$.
- Let $A, B, S \subseteq V$. We say that A and B are separated by S in \mathscr{G} $(A \perp_s B \mid S[\mathscr{G}])$ if every path from any $a \in A$ to any $b \in B$ contains at least one vertex in S. A and B are separated by S (where $S \cap A = S \cap B = \varnothing$) iff A and B are separated by \varnothing in $\mathscr{G}_{V \setminus S}$.

²Maximal means if one is to add another vertex into the graph, the graph wil no longer be complete. However, graphs in the cliques do not necessarily need to have the same number of vertices.

• Let \mathscr{G} be a graph with vertices V, and let p be a probability distribution over the random variables X_V . We say that p satisfies the pairwise Markov property for \mathscr{G} if

$$i \nsim j \in \mathscr{G} \implies X_i \perp \!\!\!\perp X_j \mid X_{V \setminus \{i,j\}}[p]$$

We say that p satisfies the global Markov property for \mathscr{G} if for any disjoint sets A, B, S

$$A \perp_{S} B \mid S \subseteq \mathscr{G} \implies X_A \perp \!\!\!\perp X_B \mid X_S[p]$$

• A distribution p is said to factorises according to graph \mathcal{G} if

$$p(x_V) = \prod_{C \in \mathscr{C}(\mathscr{G})} \psi_C(x_C)$$

The functions $\psi_C : \mathbb{R}^{|c|} \to \mathbb{R}$ are called potentials.

- If $p(x_V)$ factorises according to \mathcal{G} , then p is globally Markov with respect to \mathcal{G} .
- (Hammersley-Clifford Theorem). If $p(x_V) > 0$ obeys the pariwise Markove property with respect to \mathcal{G} , then p factorises according to \mathcal{G} .
 - The followings always hold:

factorisation \Rightarrow global Markov \Rightarrow pairwise Markov

The following holds if p is strictly positive:

pariwise Markov \Rightarrow factorisation.

- Given a graph $\mathscr G$ with vertices $V = A \cup B \cup S$ where A, B, S are disjoint sets. We say that (A, S, B) constitutes a decomposition of $\mathscr G$ if:
 - \mathcal{G}_S is complete;
 - A and B are separated by S in \mathscr{G}

If A and B are both non-empty, we say the decomposition is proper. If not, we say the decomposition is a prime.

- A graph is decomposable if either it is complete or there is a proper decomposition (A, S, B) and $\mathcal{G}_{A \cup S}$, $\mathcal{G}_{B \cup S}$ are decomposable.
- Let C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k be a collection of subsets. We say that the sequence satisfies the running intersection property (RIP) if $\forall j \geq 2$,

$$C_j \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} C_i = C_j \cap C_{\sigma(j)}$$
 $\sigma(j) < j$

- If C_1, \dots, C_k satisfy the running intersection property, then there is a graph whose cliques are $\mathscr{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_k\}$.
- Let \mathscr{G} be an undirected graph. A cycle is a sequence of vertices $\langle v_1, \dots, v_k \rangle$ for $k \geq 3$ such that there is a path $v_1 \dots v_k$ and an edge $v_k v_1$. A chord on a cycle is any edge between two vertices not adjacent on the cycle. A graph is chordal or triangulated if whenever there is a cycle of length greater or equal to 4, it contains a chord.
- Let \mathscr{G} be an undirected graph. The followings are equivalent:
 - \mathscr{G} is decomposable;
 - \mathscr{G} is triangulated;
 - Every minimal separator of $a \nsim b$ is complete;
 - The cliques of \mathscr{G} satisfy the running intersection property, starting with C.
- A forest is a graph that contains no cycles. If a forest is connected we call it a tree.
- Let \mathscr{G} be a decomposable graph, and let C_1, \dots, C_k be an ordering of the cliques which satisfies RIP. Define the j-th separator set for $j \geq 2$ as

$$S_j \equiv C_j \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} C_i = C_j \cap C_{\sigma(j)}$$

by convention $S_1 = \emptyset$.

• Let \mathscr{G} be a graph with decomposition (A, S, B), and let p be a distribution, then p factorises with respect to \mathscr{G} iff its marginals $p(x_{A \cup S})$ and $p(x_{B \cup S})$ factorise according to $\mathscr{G}_{A \cup S}$ and $\mathscr{G}_{B \cup S}$, and

$$p(x_V) \cdot p_{x_S} = p(x_{A \cup S}) \cdot p(x_{B \cup S})$$

• Let \mathscr{G} be a decomposable graph with cliques C_1, \dots, C_k , then p factorises with respect to \mathscr{G} iff

$$p(x_V) = \prod_{i=1}^k p(x_{C_i \setminus S_i} \mid x_{S_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{p(x_{C_i})}{p(x_{S_i})}$$

• Let \mathscr{G} be an undirected graph, and suppose we have counts $n(x_V)$. Then the MLE \hat{p} under the set of distributions that are Markov to \mathscr{G} is the unique element in which

$$n \cdot \hat{p}(x_C) = n(x_C)$$

for each clique $C \in \mathscr{C}(\mathscr{G})$.

• The iterative proportional fitting (IPF)/iterative proportional scaling (IPS) algorithm starts with a discrete distribution that satisfies the Markov property for the graph \mathscr{G} (usually pick

uniform distribution), and then iteratively fixes each margin $p(x_C)$ to match the required distribution using the update step:

$$p^{(t+1)}(x_V) = p^{(t)}(x_V) \cdot \frac{\hat{p}(x_C)}{p^{(t)}(x_C)}$$
$$= p^{(t)}(x_{V \setminus C} \mid x_C) \cdot \hat{p}(x_C)$$

The algorithm is: The sequence of distributions in IPF converges to MLE $\hat{p}(x_V)$.

```
Algorithm 1 IPF algorithm
```

```
function IPF(collection of consistent margins q(x_{C_i}) for sets C_1, \dots, C_k)

set p(x_V) to uniform distribution;

while \max_i \max_{x_{C_i}} |p(x_{C_i}) - q(x_{C_i})| > \text{tol } \mathbf{do}

for i in 1, \dots, k do

update p(x_V) to p(x_{V\setminus C_i} \mid x_{C_i}) \cdot q(x_{C_i});

end for

end while

return distribution p with margins p(x_{C_i}) = q(x_{C_i})

end function
```

Chapter 5 Gaussian Graphical Models

• Throughout this course, we assume $\mu = 0$. Let $X_V \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$, and A be a $q \times p$ matrix of full rank q. Then,

$$AX_V \sim N_q(A\mu, A\Sigma A^{\mathrm{T}})$$

In particular, for any $U \subseteq V$, we have $X_U \sim N_q(\mu_U, \Sigma_{UU})$.

The MLEs for multivariate Gaussian distribution are

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}_{v} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{V}^{(i)}$$
 $\hat{\Sigma} = W = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{V}^{(i)} - \bar{X}_{V})^{2}$

- $X_A \perp \!\!\!\perp X_B$ iff $\Sigma_{AB} = 0$. $X \perp \!\!\!\perp Y$ and $X \perp \!\!\!\perp Z$ implies $X \perp \!\!\!\perp Y, Z$ for jointly Gaussian random variables.
- Let \mathscr{G} be a graph with a decomposition (A, S, B), and $X_V \sim N_m(0, \Sigma)$ where m = |V|. Then, X_V satisfies the global Markov property with respect to \mathscr{G} only if

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \{(\Sigma_{A \cup S, A \cup S})^{-1}\}_{A \cup S, A \cup S} + \{(\Sigma_{B \cup S, B \cup S})^{-1}\}_{B \cup S, B \cup S} - \{(\Sigma_{S, S})^{-1}\}_{S, S}$$

Applying this result to a decomposable graph repeatedly, we see that X_V is Markov with respect to \mathscr{G} iff

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \{ (\Sigma_{C_i, C_i})^{-1} \} - \sum_{i=2}^{k} \{ (\Sigma_{S_i, S_i})^{-1} \}_{S_i, S_i}$$

Note this notation: If M is a matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by $A \subseteq V$, we write $\{M\}_{A,A}$ to indicate the matrix indexed by V (i.e. it has |V| rows and columns) whose A,A—entries are M and with zeros elsewhere. For example, if |V|=3, then

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \qquad \{M\}_{12,12} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ b & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where 12 is used as an abbreviation for $\{1,2\}$ in the subscript.

• MLE for a decomposable Gaussian graphical model is the unique Σ such that $k_{ij} = 0$ if $i \not\sim j$ and $\sigma_{ij} = w_{ij}$ if $i \sim j$.