

## 2023-2024 Graphical Model Notes

### Chapter 3 Exponential Families and Contingency Tables

- Denote  $X_V \equiv (X_v : v \in V)$ , indexed by  $V = \{1, \dots, p\}$ . Each  $X_v$  takes values in the set  $\mathcal{X}_v$ . For a subset  $A \subseteq V$ , we write  $X_A$  to denote  $(X_v : v \in A)$ .
- Let  $p(\cdot; \theta)$  be a collection of probability densities over  $\mathcal{X}$  indexed by  $\theta \in \Theta$ . We say that  $p$  is an **exponential family** if it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} p(x; \theta) &= \exp \left\{ \sum_i \theta_i \phi_i(x) - A(\theta) - C(x) \right\} \\ &= \exp \{ \langle \theta, \phi(x) \rangle - A(\theta) - C(x) \} \end{aligned}$$

- The family is said to be **regular** if  $\Theta$  is a non-empty open set.
- The functions  $\phi_i$  are the **sufficient statistics**.
- The components  $\theta_i$  are the **canonical/natural parameters**.
- The function  $A(\theta)$  is the **cumulant function** such that the distribution normalises:

$$A(\theta) = \log \int \exp \{ \langle \theta, \phi(x) \rangle - C(x) \} dx$$

- The function  $Z(\theta) \equiv e^{A(\theta)}$  is the **partition function**.
- We have

$$\nabla A(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_\theta \phi(X) \quad \nabla \nabla^T A(\theta) = \text{Cov}_\theta \phi(X)$$

$A(\theta)$  and  $-\log p(x; \theta)$  are convex in  $\theta$ , and the map  $\mu(\theta) : \theta \mapsto \nabla A(\theta)$  is bijective, named the **mean function**. We care about convexity because it does not have multiple local minima, which in turn facilitates computation.

- Let  $X_V = (X_1, \dots, X_p)^T \in \mathbb{R}^p$  be a random vector. Let  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$  be a positive definite symmetric matrix. We say that  $X_V$  has a **multivariate Gaussian distribution** with  $\mu$  and  $\Sigma$  if the joint density is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_V) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (x_V - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x_V - \mu) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} x_V^T K x_V + \mu^T K x_V - \frac{1}{2} \mu^T K \mu + \frac{1}{2} \log |K| \right\} \quad x_V \in \mathbb{R}^p \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $K \equiv \Sigma^{-1}$  is called the **concentration matrix**. Let

$$\phi(x_V) = \left( x_v, -\frac{1}{2} x_V x_V^T \right) \quad \theta = (K\mu, K)$$

we could easily tell that the multivariate Gaussian distribution is an exponential family<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>For two matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , we have  $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr}(A, B^T)$ .

- Let  $X_V$  have a multivariate Gaussian distribution with concentration matrix  $K = \Sigma^{-1}$ , then  $X_i \perp\!\!\!\perp X_j \mid X_{V \setminus \{i,j\}}$  iff  $k_{ij} = 0$ .
- Let  $X_V^{(i)} = (X_1^{(i)}, \dots, X_p^{(i)})$  be sampled over individuals  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and define

$$n(x_V) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}\{X_1^{(i)} = x_1, \dots, X_p^{(i)} = x_p\}$$

the number of individuals who have the response pattern  $x_V$ . These counts are the sufficient statistics for the multinomial model with log-likelihood

$$\begin{aligned} l(p; n) &= \sum_{x_V} n(x_V) \log p(x_V) \\ &= \sum_{x_V \neq 0_V} n(x_V) \log \frac{p(x_V)}{p(0_V)} + n \log p(0_V) \end{aligned}$$

where  $0_V$  is the vector of zeros,  $p(x_V) \geq 0$ , and  $\sum_{x_V} p(x_V) = 1$ . The multinomial distribution is also an exponential family with

- Sufficient statistics given by  $n(x_V)$ .
- Canonical parameters given by  $\log \frac{p(x_V)}{p(0_V)}$ .
- Convex cumulant function given by

$$-\log p(0_V) = \log \left( 1 + \sum_{x_V \neq 0_V} e^{\theta(x_V)} \right)$$

Each possibility  $x_V$  is called a **cell** of the table. Given  $A \subseteq V$ ,

$$n(x_A) \equiv \sum_{x_B} n(x_A, x_B)$$

where  $B = V \setminus A$  is called the **marginal table**.

- The **log-linear** parameters for  $p(x_V) > 0$  are defined by the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \log p(x_V) &= \sum_{A \subseteq V} \lambda_A(x_A) \\ &= \lambda_\emptyset + \lambda_1(x_1) + \dots + \lambda_V(x_V) \end{aligned}$$

and the identifiability constraint  $\lambda_A(x_A) = 0$  whenever  $x_a = 1$  for some  $a \in A$ .

- Consider a  $2 \times 2$  contingency table with probabilities  $\pi_{ij}$ . The log-linear parametrisation has

$$\begin{aligned} \log \pi_{11} &= \lambda_\emptyset & \log \pi_{21} &= \lambda_\emptyset + \lambda_X \\ \log \pi_{12} &= \lambda_\emptyset + \lambda_Y & \log \pi_{22} &= \lambda_\emptyset + \lambda_X + \lambda_Y + \lambda_{XY} \end{aligned}$$

We can deduce that

$$\lambda_{XY} = \log \frac{\pi_{11}\pi_{22}}{\pi_{21}\pi_{12}}$$

and  $e^{\lambda_{XY}}$  is called the **odds ratio** between  $X$  and  $Y$ .

- Let  $X_i \sim P(\mu_i)$  independently, and let  $N = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i$ . Then,

$$N \sim P\left(\sum_i \mu_i\right)$$

$$(X_1, \dots, X_k)^T \mid N = n \sim \text{Multinom}(n, (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k)^T)$$

where  $\pi_i = \frac{\mu_i}{\sum_j \mu_j}$ .

- Let  $p > 0$  be a discrete distribution on  $X_V$  with associated log-linear parameters  $\lambda_C, C \subseteq V$ . The conditional independence  $X_a \perp\!\!\!\perp X_b \mid X_{V \setminus \{a,b\}}$  holds if and only if  $\lambda_C = 0$  for all  $\{a,b\} \subseteq C \subseteq V$ .

## Chapter 4 Undirected Graphical Models

- Let  $V$  be a finite set. An **undirected graph**  $\mathcal{G}$  is a pair  $(V, E)$  where
  - $V$  are the **vertices/nodes**.
  - $E \subseteq \{\{i, j\} : i, j \in V, i \neq j\}$  is a set of unordered distinct pairs of  $V$  called **edges**.

We represent graphs by drawing the vertices and then joining pairs of vertices by a line if there is an edge between them.

- We write  $i \sim j$  if  $\{i, j\} \in E$ , and say they are **adjacent** in the graph. The vertices adjacent to  $i$  are called the **neighbours** of  $i$ , and the set of neighbours is often called the **boundary** of  $i$  and denoted by  $\text{bd}_{\mathcal{G}}(i)$ .
- A **path** in a graph is a sequence of adjacent vertices without repetition. The **length** of a path is the number of edges in it.
- Given a subset of vertices  $W \subseteq V$ , we define the **induced subgraph**  $\mathcal{G}_W$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  to be the graph with vertices  $W$ , and all edges from  $\mathcal{G}$  whose endpoints are contained in  $W$ .
- We say  $C \subseteq V$  is **complete** if  $i \sim j$  for every  $i, j \in C$ . A maximal complete set is called a **clique**. The set of cliques in a graph is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G})$ .
- Let  $A, B, S \subseteq V$ . We say that  $A$  and  $B$  are **separated** by  $S$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  ( $A \perp_s B \mid S[\mathcal{G}]$ ) if every path from any  $a \in A$  to any  $b \in B$  contains at least one vertex in  $S$ .  $A$  and  $B$  are separated by  $S$  (where  $S \cap A = S \cap B = \emptyset$ ) iff  $A$  and  $B$  are separated by  $\emptyset$  in  $\mathcal{G}_{V \setminus S}$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a graph with vertices  $V$ , and let  $p$  be a probability distribution over the random variables  $X_V$ . We say that  $p$  satisfies the **pairwise Markov property** for  $\mathcal{G}$  if

$$i \not\sim j \text{ in } \mathcal{G} \implies X_i \perp\!\!\!\perp X_j \mid X_{V \setminus \{i,j\}}[p]$$

We say that  $p$  satisfies the **global Markov property** for  $\mathcal{G}$  if for any disjoint sets  $A, B, S$

$$A \perp\!\!\!\perp_S B \mid \text{Sin } \mathcal{G} \implies X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B \mid X_S[p]$$