

Predicting BIP and HP-BIP

Approach: generalization of classic direct forecast

Simple main idea: **use M-SSA filter outputs as regressors on future BIP** (instead of un-filtered indicators).

- **Link to literature:** abstract and introduction to paper.
 - List of alternative predictor designs (in applications)
 - Performance of direct forecast (or alternative designs)
 - Short term: nowcast, 1 quarter ahead
- Paper: more 'sophisticated' regressors (than un-filtered indicators)
- Emphasize **mid-term forecast horizons**: $2 \leq h \leq 4$ quarters
 - Do not need 'noisy' **high-frequency** data
 - Motivation of selected indicators: ip, ESI, ifo, spread
 - Do not need **mixed-frequency** approach
 - Mixed-frequency mostly relevant for short-term (nowcast)
 - Possible extension of paper in future work

Main 'ingredients' of new predictor design(s)

- **Damp** unpredictable high-frequency **noise**: HP(160)
 - Focus attention on components relevant for **mid-term** forecasting.
 - Mitigate overfitting
 - Classic HP(1600) is too smooth (removes information relevant for a 2-4 quarters ahead forecast), see Phillips and Jin (2021).
 - More adaptive designs do not markedly outperform (HP(16) in tutorial 7.4)
- Tracking **two-sided HP: M-SSA**
 - Efficient real-time filtering (predictor) for tracking HP targets: **M-SSA components**
 - New optimization criterion: address MSE and smoothness
 - Outcome: increasing **left-shift** (advancement) of predictor as a function of the forecast horizon (track dips/peaks in a timely fashion).
- Tracking **BIP: WLS regression**
 - One additional optimization step
 - Rely on previous M-SSA components (or M-MSE components) as explanatory variables in regression on future BIP
 - **Left-shift** and **smoothness** of new regressors **facilitate regression**
 - Mitigate overfitting
 - Statistical significance (up to 4 quarters ahead plus publication lag, out-of-sample)
 - Smaller rRMSEs (out-of-sample)

- Efficiency: **WLS** regression (weight inverse proportional to GARCH-vola)
- **Control smoothness**: rate of zero-crossings (above/below average growth `alarms`)

Two different predictor designs

1. Predicting **HP-BIP**

- M-SSA predictor: tutorial 7.3
- Emphasizes turning-points, dynamic shifts in BIP growth-rate
- Not designed to track future BIP explicitly (standardized series: not calibrated to BIP)
- Maybe less relevant in (this) paper?

2. Predicting **BIP**

- M-SSA component predictor (M-SSA-C): tutorial 7.4
- Emphasizes BIP and MSE forecast performances explicitly
- Difference to M-SSA predictor above: **one additional optimization stage**
 - M-SSA-C are regressed on (future) BIP
 - Weights determined by WLS regression
 - Original M-SSA: equal-weighting of M-SSA components
- Difference to direct forecast: regressors
 - Direct forecasts rely on un-filtered data
 - M-SSA relies on outputs of multivariate filter (which controls smoothness)
 - [Link to earlier work](#)
- Motivation: **outperformance at longer forecast horizons $h \geq 2$**
 - [Link to earlier work](#) (short term: horizons $h=0,1$?)
 - Benchmarks (see tutorial 7.4):
 - Mean of BIP (expanding window)
 - Direct forecasts
 - Based on ESI, ifo: best combination out-of-sample ([plausible?](#))
 - Based on expanding window, starting in 2007.
 - rRMSE 86% at $h=0$ (nowcast) and 91% at $h=1$, without Pandemic ([plausible?](#)). [Publication lag=2.](#)
 - Direct HP-C forecast
 - Apply univariate concurrent HP to indicators and regress on BIP
 - Performances remarkably similar to direct forecasts (univariate filtering does not work when predicting BIP at $h \geq 1$)
 - M-SSA predictor (equal weighting, tutorial 7.3)
 - Outperformed by new M-SSA-C specifically at larger forecast horizons ($h \geq 2$)
 - M-MSE component predictor:
 - Does not control smoothness (rate of zero-crossings)

- Has similar rRMSE (as M-SSA-C) but is noisier: roughly double as many zero-crossings
 - Out-of-sample span for evaluation: starts in 2007; expanding window; includes financial crisis as well as Pandemic.
- **Explainability** part 1: why does new M-SSA-C predictor outperform at **longer** forecast horizons ($h \geq 2$)?
 - Outperformance out-of-sample is linked to increasing **left-shift** of predictor (as h increases)
 - MSE is mainly determined by tracking peaks/dips timely: left-shift is crucial.
 - Classic benchmarks (mean, direct forecast) do not generate an explicit left-shift of the corresponding predictors
 - See plots, tutorial 7.4
 - Univariate filters (HP-C) generate a weak unsystematic left-shift (works mainly at zero-crossings but peaks/dips remain more or less unaffected).
- **Explainability** part 2: why does **multivariate** filtering outperform?
 - BIP M-SSA component is the single most important explanatory variable in WLS regression on future BIP (intuitively appealing).
 - Multivariate filter can exploit information of **all indicators** that are leading BIP (BIP is subject to publication lag) when computing BIP M-SSA component.
 - Multivariate filter generates a **larger and more systematic left-shift** (advancement) by exploiting the **leading series** (cross-section)
 - Left-shift is stronger
 - Left-shift operates at **all levels**: not-only at zero-crossings (like univariate filter) but also at peaks and dips
 - The whole series is left-shifted (not only parts of it)
 - In contrast, univariate filtering does not improve performance over direct forecast (additional benchmark in tutorial 7.4)
 - BIP forecast problem is more complex than just 'filtering'
- **Summary explainability:**
 - BIP M-SSA is the single most important explanatory variable (for regression on future BIP).
 - Multivariate filter is particularly efficient when targeting HP-BIP (exploit leading series).
 - Multivariate filter has no advantage when targeting HP-spread, see tutorial 7.4 (in part because all other explanatory variables are lagging).
- **R-package**
 - All the above points are addressed and detailed in tutorial 7.4: [wiaidp/R-package-SSA-Predictor](#)
 - Can generate (and cut/paste) results or plots directly from R-code.