1 introduction

In science computer simulation has become an important tool. Simulation are becoming more and more advanced which increase the amount of data that are being generated. This data gets stored on harddrive and loaded again when its time to analyze the data. By doing in-situ real-time analysis where the data gets analyzed immediately after being generated. By doing computer simulation this way it may be possible to save time and hardware resources.

2 Hardware

The program have been tested on a server with the following hardware.

Motherboard: Supermicro X11DPU-Z+

CPU: Intel(R) Xeon(R) Gold 6130 CPU @ 2.10GHz, 32 core

DRAM: Samsung RDIMM, 2666 MT/s.

NVDIMM: Micron Technology NV-DIMM, 2933 MT/s

Both CPU have twelve memory slots each. Each CPU have six channels. There are one DRAM and one NVDIMM sharing one channel.

3 Coding with NVDIMM

3.1 Creating pmempool

Before NVDIMM can be used, the user must create whats called a memory pool on the NVDIMM. The NVDIMM has several modes, in order to be able to create a memory pool the mode must be set to fsdax. On a server this must be done by the system administrator. To see what mode the NVDIMM is in can be done by the command ndctl-list. A program called pmempool must also be installed on the server, it is this program that will create the memory pool. The command used for creating the memory pool for this thesis is

```
pmempool create --layout my_layout --size=50G obj pool.obj
```

The layout is a string stored in the memory pool. When a program access a memory pool it need to send a string that match the string in the memory pool in order to use it. The user can specify the size of the memory pool, if size is not specified the pmempool will create a pool

with the lowest size allowed. There are three different types of memory pool to choose from, they are obj, log and blk. Which type of memory pool to use depends on which type of library is used in the program. In this thesis the libpmemobj library was used and that is why obj was used in the creating of memory pool. For log and blk are for the libraries libpmemlog and libpmemblk. The last part of the command line is the name and file address of the memory pool.

3.2 Different types of libraries

The libpmemobj library is the main library that most people will use they are programming with NVDIMM. libpmemlog libpmemblk

3.3 Opening the memory pool

4 Benchmarks

There are four different benchmarks.

4.1 STREAM DRAM

The stream DRAM benchmark measure the memory speed of the DRAM. The benchmark uses the STREAM[1] benchmark without any changes in order to measure how fast the memory speed is. The benchmark run the test 32 times and only on one socket, every times it restart one extra thread is added. The result is as one would expect, adding more threads in beginning will give a big increase in transfer speed. But at thread 5 there gains in transfer speed will start to diminish and at thread 11 there will be very little increase in transfer speed when adding more threads.

4.2 STREAM NVDIMM

The stream NVDIMM benchmark measure the memory speed of the NVDIMM. This benchmark is the same as the STREAM DRAM benchmark mention above. The different is that the memory type have been changed from DRAM to NVDIMM. The original code looks like this.

DRAM Stream



Figure 1: DRAM Stream

Listing 1: Description

```
#ifndef STREAM_TYPE
#define STREAM_TYPE double
#endif

static STREAM_TYPE a[STREAM_ARRAY_SIZE+OFFSET],
b[STREAM_ARRAY_SIZE+OFFSET],
c[STREAM_ARRAY_SIZE+OFFSET];
```

It have been changed into this. In addition the PMEMobjpool must be initiated in main method.

```
PMEMobjpool *pop;
POBJ_LAYOUT_BEGIN(array);
POBJ_LAYOUT_TOID(array, double);
POBJ_LAYOUT_END(array);
TOID(double) a;
TOID(double) b;
TOID(double) c;

int main()

const char path[] = "/mnt/pmem0-xfs/pool.obj";
pop = pmemobj_create(path, LAYOUT_NAME, 10737418240,
```

NVDIMM Stream



4.3 benchmark 3

Graphs and tables below show the speed of a certain amount of NVDIMM threads while the rest of the threads are from DRAM to DRAM. The test have been conducted by transfer data simultaneously from DRAM-DRAM and NVM-NVM. All the threads are transferring the values of one array to another, all the arrays have 100 million elements of type double. This transfer happens 5000 times and the graphs shows the average of the first 200 iterations. This is done to ensure that all the threads can't finish early and make the remaining threads faster. The sum graphs shows the sum bandwidth of DRAM and NVM. Average graphs shows the average bandwidth of DRAM and NVM.

4.3.1 The code

The benchmark have three different program, the code is mostly the same except for the part where NVDIMM threads from NVDIMM-NVDIMM, DRAM-NVDIMM or NVDIMM-DRAM.

The entire code is run in the main function except when it finds the current time, that is done in a different function. The code begins with creating a memory pool and reads the parameters from command line. The parameters are how many threads are using the NVDIMM, the total amount of threads used and how many time the test will repeat itself. The code will then enter parallel area where one thread will create a 2d array where all the threads will save the time it took to copy the array.

Every threads will then create their two arrays. The thread id will determine if both arrays will be DRAM array, or if one or two arrays will be NVDIMM array. Both arrays will be DRAM if the thread id is lower than the total amount of threads minus the number of NVDIMM threads. Thread ids equal or higher than that will either have one or both arrays stored in NVDIMM. All the arrays in all of the threads will be populated by random numbers. When a thread is done it will wait at a barrier until all the other threads are populating their threads.

Listing 2: Creation of DRAM and NVDIMM arrays

```
if (thread id < totalThreads-nvmThreads) {</pre>
     //From DRAM to DRAM
     drm_read_array =
         (double*) malloc (ARRAY_LENGTH*sizeof (double));
     drm_write_array =
        (double*) malloc (ARRAY_LENGTH*sizeof (double));
     #pragma omp critical
        for (i=0; i<ARRAY_LENGTH; i++) {</pre>
          drm_read_array[i] =
              ((double) rand() / (double) (RAND_MAX));
          drm_write_array[i] =
9
              ((double) rand() / (double) (RAND_MAX));
     }
11
12
  else if(thread_id >= totalThreads-nvmThreads) {
     //From NVDIMM to NVDIMM
14
     POBJ_ALLOC(pop, &nvm_read_array, double, sizeof(double)
15
```

```
* ARRAY_LENGTH, NULL, NULL);
     POBJ ALLOC (pop, &nvm write array, double, sizeof (double)
16
         * ARRAY_LENGTH, NULL, NULL);
     #pragma omp critical
17
18
        for(i=0;i<ARRAY LENGTH;i++) {</pre>
19
          D_RW(nvm_read_array)[i] =
20
              ((double) rand() / (double) (RAND_MAX));
21
          D_RW(nvm_write_array)[i] =
              ((double)rand()/(double)(RAND_MAX));
22
     }
23
  }
24
```

Threads with DRAM arrays and threads with one or two NVDIMM array will split into their own part of the code with an if-sentence. All the threads will run the as many times as specified in the parameters and save the time each test takes in the 2d array that was created in the beginning. When they are done they will free up the memory and leave the parallel area.

Listing 3: Threads running their test.

```
if (thread_id < totalThreads-nvmThreads) {</pre>
     //From DRAM to DRAM
     for (i=0; i<total_tests; i++) {</pre>
        //Time start
        test_time[thread_id][i] = mysecond();
        for (j=0; j<ARRAY_LENGTH; j++) {</pre>
          drm_write_array[j] = drm_read_array[j];
        }
        //Time stop.
        test_time[thread_id][i] = mysecond() -
10
           test_time[thread_id][i];
     }
11
  else if(thread_id >= totalThreads-nvmThreads){
13
     //From NVDIMM to NVDIMM
14
     for (i=0; i<total_tests; i++) {</pre>
15
        //Time start
16
        test_time[thread_id][i] = mysecond2();
17
        for (j=0; j<ARRAY_LENGTH; j++)</pre>
          D_RW(nvm_write_array)[j] = D_RO(nvm_read_array)[j];
19
```

```
//Time stop.
test_time[thread_id][i] = mysecond2() -
test_time[thread_id][i];
}
```

The code will then print the entire 2d array where the time measurements are stored to the terminal. Each line represent all the test done by one thread. In the beginning of each line the code will add either DRAM if both arrays are stored on DRAM. Or NVM if one or both arrays are stored on NVDIMM. When the program is done printing it will exit.

4.3.2 **NVM-NVM**

The tables below shows the result of the benchmark where one group of threads transfer from DRAM-DRAM and another group from NVDIMM-NVDIMM. The test result in the tables are the transfer speed in MB/s. The first table shows the combined transfer speed of all threads that are copying from DRAM-DRAM and the second table shows the combined speed of all the threads that are copying from NVDIMM-NVDIMM.

The first line in the first table in figure 2 shows the combined transfer speed of the DRAM-DRAM copying where there are one thread copying from NVDIMM-NVDIMM. That means there are 15 threads that are copying from DRAM-DRAM. The first line in the second table shows the transfer speed of that one thread. The columns shows the the test numbers. The column with name the "1-20" shows the average transfer speed of the first 20 tests. The third table in figure 3 shows the combined transfer speed of all the 16 threads in the first two tables. There is also a graph where all three tables are being represented.

One of the hopes by using NVDIMM and DRAM simultaneously was that there would be an increase in the transfer speed. But by comparing copy on figure 1 with the sum on figure 4 one can see that there has been no increase in transfer speed. Both graphs shows a transfer speed on around 65000 MB/s.

	NVM-NVI	И				
	DRAM					
Nvm-threads	1	1-20	21-40	41-60	61-80	81-100
1	33979.84	64179.89	64788.61	64951.02	64650.49	64447.29
2	33153.33	60854.29	62114.14	61912.29	61761.77	61872.50
3	32879.35	57643.15	58614.63	58759.60	58625.89	58423.27
4	26839.12	54508.48	55674.22	55682.26	55415.60	55367.41
5	25687.57	51076.28	52241.04	52083.85	51964.28	51955.32
6	24209.41	47721.43	48861.96	48786.82	48552.39	48656.74
7	22425.14	43819.63	45050.64	44975.15	44882.40	44672.94
8	20117.60	40489.02	41752.05	41501.44	41524.94	41286.00
9	17713.80	36687.45	37360.21	37385.79	37156.23	37232.82
10	15212.97	32731.79	33432.15	33379.32	33220.57	33296.06
11	12941.82	28177.13	28767.32	28814.29	28732.10	28833.90
12	9894.49	23814.89	24401.20	24408.00	24416.73	24525.18
13	7341.90	17595.41	18030.92	18359.65	17857.03	18532.65
14	4758.41	12750.63	13284.93	13351.80	13790.00	13569.13
15	2394.31	6834.38	6992.16	7515.33	7445.93	7353.06
	NVM					
Nvm-threads	1	1-20	21-40	41-60	61-80	81-100
1	5114.00	3289.73	3253.33	3250.62	3250.58	3248.86
2	10235.69	6599.16	6520.83	6507.40	6520.58	6500.57
3	15165.52	10119.48	9983.90	9984.04	9966.19	9979.90
4	20114.02	13554.15	13438.86	13435.23	13423.07	13416.59
5	24936.64	17103.23	16991.10	16958.34	16961.03	16933.81
6	29623.07	20662.40	20464.90	20437.07	20468.27	20438.51
7	33972.44	22367.64	22014.43	21953.45	21980.77	22105.95
8	37897.59	27397.05	27264.10	27141.45	27219.06	27083.62
9	41598.76	30795.78	30818.58	30922.06	30851.27	30427.67
10	44808.35	34539.87	34494.76	34500.89	34350.06	34203.29
11	48545.49	37723.50	37394.55	37303.10	37247.85	36857.64
12	51215.90	41988.10	41845.44	41750.50	41731.61	41533.89
13	54109.52	44058.49	43833.39	43794.52	43761.88	42853.98
14	57066.38	48613.84	48218.46	48161.35	48318.21	47953.55
15	50052 11	51816 83	51824.03	51/188 10	51700 02	51100.06

Figure 2: NVM-NVM 1-100 iteration, 16 threads total, 3rd version

	SUM					
Nvm-threads	1	1-20	21-40	41-60	61-80	81-100
1	39093.84	67469.62	68041.93	68201.64	67901.07	67696.15
2	43389.02	67453.45	68634.96	68419.69	68282.35	68373.06
3	48044.87	67762.63	68598.53	68743.64	68592.08	68403.17
4	46953.14	68062.63	69113.08	69117.49	68838.67	68784.00
5	50624.21	68179.51	69232.14	69042.18	68925.31	68889.13
6	53832.48	68383.83	69326.86	69223.88	69020.66	69095.26
7	56397.58	66187.27	67065.07	66928.60	66863.17	66778.89
8	58015.19	67886.07	69016.15	68642.89	68744.00	68369.63
9	59312.56	67483.22	68178.79	68307.84	68007.50	67660.49
10	60021.32	67271.67	67926.91	67880.21	67570.63	67499.35
11	61487.31	65900.63	66161.87	66117.39	65979.96	65691.54
12	61110.39	65802.98	66246.64	66158.50	66148.34	66059.07
13	61451.42	61653.90	61864.31	62154.17	61618.91	61386.63
14	61824.79	61364.48	61503.39	61513.15	62108.21	61522.68
15	61247.42	58651.21	58816.19	59003.42	59235.85	58453.12

Figure 3: NVM-NVM 1-100 iteration, 16 threads total, 3rd version



Figure 4: NVM-NVM graph 1-20, 3rd version

4.3.3 NVM-DRAM

This benchmark is similar to the previous benchmark. The only difference is that some threads will transfer data from NVDIMM-DRAM instead of NVDIMM-NVDIMM.

	NVM-DRA	M				
	DRAM					
Nvm-threads	1	1-20	21-40	41-60	61-80	81-100
1	32437.33	51729.43	53490.40	54419.38	56626.69	57295.70
2	31785.84	58694.61	59123.37	59135.90	58803.95	59160.35
3	27089.46	55323.72	55359.79	55449.94	55124.84	55079.11
4	26523.71	51565.09	51498.67	51032.22	50944.45	51155.26
5	23943.39	47390.53	47067.10	47291.86	46960.13	47119.51
6	21332.19	43205.17	43003.44	43143.48	42653.83	42962.85
7	18079.52	38801.31	38958.62	38995.27	38681.43	39000.71
8	18219.63	34652.20	34829.29	34582.21	34809.29	34763.03
9	15716.34	30244.85	30604.89	30504.82	30347.98	30531.90
10	12959.49	25984.54	26132.58	26116.43	26282.85	25981.46
11	11550.12	21756.54	21772.80	21935.54	21740.99	21776.18
12	8936.14	17175.26	17608.93	17216.47	17843.56	17341.46
13	6236.36	12779.91	13194.20	13003.21	13181.25	13119.76
14	3603.24	8669.61	8644.75	8731.08	8916.27	8621.84
15	1793.63	4250.55	4490.40	4267.18	4502.44	4260.46
	NVM					
Nvm-threads	1	1-20	21-40	41-60	61-80	81-100
1	2170.83	3635.35	3940.80	4127.15	4313.01	4234.35
2	4446.39	7927.66	7856.40	7977.52	7974.72	7800.26
3	6524.15	12018.48	11973.65	11978.96	11956.69	11868.26
4	8968.17	16245.16	16145.53	16224.22	16169.83	15979.78
5	11227.93	20004.18	20569.08	20226.56	20499.64	20114.15
6	13267.48	24362.23	24984.69	24557.47	24613.37	24477.62
7	15471.30	28739.42	29026.57	28754.67	28980.22	28589.24
8	18094.36	32833.01	33428.60	33380.75	33069.79	33033.76
9	20805.37	37368.77	37715.52	37628.86	37511.17	37290.54
10	22740.89	41319.93	41966.16	42139.10	42023.48	41966.67
11	25533.28	45907.61	46625.38	46449.12	46306.21	46181.20
12	28124.41	50396.18	50722.28	51065.63	50556.47	50735.26
13	30225.94	54592.24	55465.44	55422.83	55294.43	55153.29
14	32659.06	58440.82	59868.63	59725.88	59542.50	59570.12
15	35484.88	63168.26	64013.14	64235.39	63940.74	64110.34

Figure 5: NVM-DRAM 1-100 iteration, 3rd version

	SUM					
Nvm-threads	1	1-20	21-40	41-60	61-80	81-100
1	34608.16	55364.78	57431.19	58546.53	60939.70	61530.05
2	36232.23	66622.28	66979.77	67113.41	66778.68	66960.61
3	33613.61	67342.20	67333.44	67428.90	67081.53	66947.36
4	35491.88	67810.25	67644.20	67256.43	67114.28	67135.04
5	35171.32	67394.71	67636.19	67518.42	67459.77	67233.66
6	34599.67	67567.40	67988.12	67700.95	67267.20	67440.47
7	33550.82	67540.73	67985.19	67749.94	67661.65	67589.95
8	36313.99	67485.21	68257.89	67962.96	67879.08	67796.79
9	36521.71	67613.62	68320.41	68133.69	67859.15	67822.43
10	35700.38	67304.47	68098.74	68255.52	68306.33	67948.13
11	37083.40	67664.15	68398.18	68384.66	68047.19	67957.39
12	37060.55	67571.44	68331.21	68282.10	68400.02	68076.72
13	36462.30	67372.15	68659.64	68426.04	68475.68	68273.05
14	36262.30	67110.42	68513.38	68456.96	68458.77	68191.96
15	37278.51	67418.81	68503.54	68502.57	68443.18	68370.81

Figure 6: NVM-DRAM 1-100 iteration, 3rd version



Figure 7: NVM-DRAM graph 1-20, 3rd version

4.3.4 DRAM-NVM

This benchmark is also similar to the other two benchmarks. This time some of the threads will transfer from DRAM-NVDIMM.

	DRAM-N\	/h.4				
	DRAM DRAM	/ IVI		l I		
		4.00	04.40	44.00	04.00	04.400
Nvm-threads						81-100
	18762.63					
	27382.09					
	25055.31					
	24531.82					
	23933.44					
	18038.64					
	16733.09					
8	14948.52	35285.18	35922.06	35737.11	35492.87	35796.48
9	12648.41	31228.15	31784.53	31492.60	31380.92	31454.26
10	11685.27	26830.40	27658.00	27610.40	27050.44	27021.04
11	9125.40	22445.38	23500.71	22790.09	22663.17	22910.98
12	6988.07	18442.56	18641.75	18849.18	18429.07	18354.52
13	5241.80	13668.18	14290.47	14034.79	14232.71	13744.87
14	3489.73	9087.65	9672.94	9461.29	9476.61	9276.00
15	1706.71	4273.06	4665.14	4342.46	4592.38	4610.87
	NVM					
Nvm-threads	1	1-20	21-40	41-60	61-80	81-100
1	3375.57	4094.13	3968.66	4013.27	4024.96	3937.43
2	5969.30	8738.95	8141.12	7939.10	8120.81	7879.02
3	14655.50	12710.47	12200.25	12147.26	12139.38	12124.44
4	12183.05	16846.06	16447.48	16153.87	16528.34	16083.88
5	15414.28	21516.95	20524.82	20689.27	20457.96	20526.30
6	23350.53	25835.47	24888.70	24740.28	25046.00	24538.40
7	24810.32	30042.63	29430.07	29410.65	29124.31	29382.89
8	25246.16	34903.09	33344.27	33607.80	33385.67	33247.98
9	29498.49	38826.97	37916.57	38188.18	37813.69	37219.56
10	36784.96	43563.46	42352.29	42525.81	42036.90	42070.58
11	39799.65	47967.83	46875.74	47224.03	46257.64	46424.18
	38783.93					
	43481.73					
	49369.10					
	49133.33					
					- 300	

Figure 8: DRAM-NVM 1-100 iteration, 3rd version

	SUM			l I		
Nvm-threads	1	1-20	21-40	41-60	61-80	81-100
1	22138.20	66772.43	67573.40	67209.85	67161.31	67537.04
2	33351.39	67060.81	67964.86	67789.29	67913.17	67596.89
3	39710.81	68361.39	67934.50	68274.44	67770.28	68059.98
4	36714.87	68125.85	68453.24	68436.74	68310.35	68261.24
5	39347.72	68072.20	68916.50	68630.84	68551.33	68365.32
6	41389.17	69267.61	69005.34	68966.18	68731.08	68633.10
7	41543.41	68870.19	69360.99	68858.72	69030.31	68866.28
8	40194.68	70188.28	69266.33	69344.90	68878.54	69044.46
9	42146.90	70055.12	69701.09	69680.78	69194.61	68673.83
10	48470.23	70393.86	70010.29	70136.21	69087.34	69091.62
11	48925.05	70413.21	70376.45	70014.13	68920.81	69335.17
12	45772.00	71195.25	70550.60	70257.38	69768.20	68693.34
13	48723.53	70551.74	70915.22	69490.69	70112.04	69803.78
14	52858.83	70690.44	70580.83	70140.07	69542.59	69447.88
15	50840.04	71426.60	71637.93	67899.84	68466.41	68132.72

Figure 9: DRAM-NVM 1-100 iteration, 3rd version



Figure 10: DRAM-NVM graph 1-20, 3rd version

5 Simulation

5.1 DRAM only

Threads	Total time	Iteration tim#	Calculation time
1	1217.624747	747.345183	470.279564
2	641.937113	399.266812	242.670301
3	442.936486	275.083333	167.853153
4	339.788006	211.632944	128.155062
5	276.30993	171.953573	104.356358
6	233.895282	146.63456	87.260722
7	204.532469	129.058858	75.473611
8	186.792502	118.626586	68.165916
9	174.884702	111.713336	63.171366
10	164.146195	107.15154	56.994655
11	156.103916	103.946944	52.156971
12	151.490592	102.12569	49.364902
13	147.958949	100.005358	47.953591
14	143.513119	98.887134	44.625985
15	140.027579	98.30932	41.718259
16	137.833572	98.451276	39.382296

Table 1: Simulation with only DRAM, n=16M

The generation of data happens inside a while-loop that will repeat the generation and analyzing of data 5000 times. The total time is measured by taking the time before and after the while-loop. The analyzing time is measured the same way by taking the time before and after the analyzing part of the program. Data generation time is measured by subtracting the analyze time from the total time. All the time measurements are made inside a pragma omp singel so there are no reason to be worried if the mysecond-method is thead-safe. The mysecond-method have been copied from the original stream benchmark. All the arrays used in the code have been subjected to first touch before the time is measured.

Listing 4: while-loop

```
{
       n++;
       diff=0.0;
       average = 0;
        //completes the first part of the formula.
12
       Wk_1_product = (omd + (d*Wk_1))*iN;
13
14
15
     Data generation, see chapter 5.1.1
16
17
     #pragma omp barrier
18
     #pragma omp single
19
20
       temp_x = xk_1;
21
       xk_1 = x;
       x = temp_x;
23
       //starting time measurement of calculation.
24
       temp_calc=mysecond();
25
26
     Analyzing the data, see chapter 5.1.2
28
29
     #pragma omp barrier
30
     #pragma omp single
31
32
       average *= iN;
       analyze_time+=mysecond()-temp_calc;
35
36
   #pragma omp single
37
38
     data_generation_time, = mysecond() -
        data_generation_time;
  }
40
```

Listing 5: while-loop

```
double mysecond(){
struct timeval tp;
struct timezone tzp;
int i;
i = gettimeofday(&tp,&tzp);
return ( (double) tp.tv_sec + (double) tp.tv_usec *
```

```
1.e-6 );
```

5.1.1 Data generation

The arrays x and xk_1 are double arrays that have the length of 4'000'000 elements. CRS_row_ptr and CRS_col_idx are int arrray that have the length of 31'976'004 elements, CRS_'values have the same length and a double array. The code run through the x-array one time and xk_1 twice. It also runs through CRS_row_ptr, CRS_col_idx and CRS_values once. The formula for calculating memory traffic is 3*4'000'000+2*31'976'004 this would amount to 607 MB per iteration of the while-loop.

Listing 6: Generation of data.

```
#pragma omp for reduction(max:diff)
for( i=0; i < nodes; i++) {
    x[i] = 0;
    for( j=CRS_row_ptr[i]; j<CRS_row_ptr[i+1]; j++)
        x[i] += CRS_values[j] * xk_1[CRS_col_idx[j]];
    x[i] *= d;
    x[i] += Wk_1_product;
    //Comuting the difference between x^k and x^k-1
    //and adds the biggest diff to diffX[thread_id]
    if( x[i]-xk_1[i] > diff ) {
        diff = x[i] - xk_1[i];
    }
}
```

Calculation					
		Factor	repeated	total	total MB
n	4,000,000.00	2.5	5000	50,000,000,000	400,000.00
edges	31,976,004.00	1.5	5000	239,820,030,000	1,918,560.24
	Benchmark	Predicted	Data generate	d	
Threads	DRAM speed	time	time measure	ment	
1		183.50	188.50		
2	24532.7	94.51	98.05		
	35456.3	65.39	67.33		
	45777.2	50.65	51.60		
	52013.9	44.58	42.24		
	55300.1	41.93	35.68		
	58233	39.82	31.17		
8	60336.7	38.43	28.48		
	62152.8	37.30	26.68		
10	63905.9	36.28	25.69		
11	L 65085.4	35.62	25.02		
12	65622.5	35.33	24.79		
13	66159.5	35.05	24.47		
14	66489.2	34.87	24.33		
15	66434.6	34.90	24.30		
16	66434.6	34.90	24.31		

Table 2: Prediction of time taken for data generation

Calculation					
		Factor	repeated	total	total MB
n	16,000,000	2.5	5000	200,000,000,000	1,600,000.00
edges	127,952,004	1.5	5000	959,640,030,000	7,677,120.24
	Benchmark	Predicted	Data generate	d	
Threads	DRAM speed	time	time measure	ment	
1	13265.2	699.36	747.35		
2	26431.7	350.98	399.27		
3	38557.2	240.61	275.08		
4	49447.8	187.61	211.63		
5	58565.4	158.41	171.95		
6	62627.3	148.13	146.63		
7	66290.8	139.95	129.06		
8	69130.7	134.20	118.63		
9	71409.6	129.91	111.71		
10	73271.5	126.61	107.15		
11	74191.2	125.04	103.95		
12	74211.4	125.01	102.13		
13	74659.4	124.26	100.01		
14	74715.4	124.17	98.89		
15	74486	124.55	98.31		
16	74328.7	124.81	98.45		

Table 3: Prediction of time taken for data generation, n=16M

5.1.2 Analyze

The analyze part run through the nodes array five times and add all the elements to the average variable. The average variable is divided by the number of elements in array, this is shown in the code in chapter 5.1. The memory traffic will amount of 160MB per while-loop iteration.

Listing 7: Analyzing the data.

```
//Analyse part
   #pragma omp for reduction(+ : average)
     for (i=0; i < nodes; i++) {</pre>
     average += xk_1[i];
   #pragma omp for reduction(+ : average)
     for (i=0; i < nodes; i++) {</pre>
     average += xk_1[i];
  #pragma omp for reduction(+ : average)
10
     for (i=0; i < nodes; i++) {</pre>
11
     average += xk_1[i];
12
13
  #pragma omp for reduction(+ : average)
  for (i=0; i < nodes; i++) {</pre>
     average += xk_1[i];
16
17
  #pragma omp for reduction(+ : average)
18
  for (i=0; i < nodes; i++) {</pre>
     average += xk_1[i];
  }
21
```

Calculation Fo	or analyze				
		Factor	МВ	repeated	total MB
n	4,000,000.00	5	160	5000	800,000
	Benchmark		Analyze		Analyze time
Threads	DRAM speed		Prediction		Measurement
1	6665.4		120.02		120.127632
2	13183.1		60.68		62.438277
3	18704.7		42.77		42.18208
4	24971.9		32.04		32.053807
5	31169.6		25.67		26.00489
6	37259.5		21.47		21.662597
7	42771.7		18.70		18.700849
8	47211.9		16.94		16.838177
9	50706.4		15.78		15.725436
10	56235.2		14.23		14.142094
11	61401		13.03		12.937287
12	65062		12.30		12.236569
13	66645		12.00		11.919458
14	71518.7		11.19		10.986103
15	76423.3		10.47		10.298745
16	81259.4		9.85		9.608579

Table 4: Prediction of time taken for analyzing the data

Calculation Fo	or analyze				
	_	Factor	МВ	repeated	total MB
n	16,000,000.00	5	640	5000	3,200,000
	Benchmark		Analyze		Analyze time
Threads	DRAM speed		Prediction		Measurement
1	6665.4		480.09		470.279564
2	13183.1		242.74		242.670301
3	18704.7		171.08		167.853153
4	24971.9		128.14		128.155062
5	31169.6		102.66		104.356358
6	37259.5		85.88		87.260722
7	42771.7		74.82		75.473611
8	47211.9		67.78		68.165916
9	50706.4		63.11		63.171366
10	56235.2		56.90		56.994655
11	61401		52.12		52.156971
12	65062		49.18		49.364902
13	66645		48.02		47.953591
14	71518.7		44.74		44.625985
15	76423.3		41.87		41.718259
16	81259.4		39.38		39.382296

Table 5: Prediction of time taken for analyzing the data, n=16M

5.1.3 Stream benchmark, sum

This benchmark is the STREAM benchmark with an added benchmark. The sum is found by adding all the elements into a single variable. The STREAM benchmark was changed by increasing several array from four to five and added the sum benchmark after the four other benchmark. The STREAM benchmark for NVDIMM is the same as the one described above, but the code has been changed so the benchmark will read and write to the NVDIMM.

	сору	scale	add	triad	Average
1	13072.2	14053.7	13810.8	13821.3	6652.3
2	24586.2	25448.2	26622	25639.9	12920.8
3	35144.7	36424.1	38554.9	38323.4	18936.7
4	45261.6	46928	49559	49473.3	25020.5
5	52061.5	52960.9	58313.3	58783.2	31049.9
6	55459.1	55960	62524.6	62896.5	37123.1
7	57928.9	58281.5	65642	66144.9	42689.6
8	59714.4	60302.5	68166.4	68608.6	47295.1
9	61802.5	62368.3	70859.2	71188.9	50991.5
10	63482.1	63966.8	72520.9	72851	56010.9
11	64636.5	65069.6	73669.4	73741.7	61519.2
12	65450.4	65659.8	74202.1	74163.3	64427.4
13	66145.8	66209.1	74432.5	74503.6	66549.8
14	66337.4	66343.3	74314.9	74386.3	71372.7
15	66439.8	66274.5	74195	74232.2	76140.7
16	66417.5	66115.8	74067.2	74154.5	80702.4

Table 6: New Stream benchmark, DRAM

	NVM Sum					
	сору	scale	add	triad	Sum	
1	13003.9	13490.6	14179.6	14128.5	6892.7	
2	24611.3	25495.2	26970.2	26769.9	13354.5	
3	35601.5	36761.3	38916.8	38671.0	19289.3	
4	45363.1	46540.0	49529.5	49413.3	25422.5	
5	52645.1	53006.5	58562.3	58823.7	31413.0	
6	56022.6	56280.5	63075.0	63400.0	37517.8	
7	58884.0	59025.3	66748.0	67039.1	43644.1	
8	60992.0	61138.7	69406.2	69545.3	48265.2	
9	63171.4	63373.6	72145.6	72178.2	51769.5	
10	64764.4	64914.1	74001.3	73993.7	57245.5	
11	65922.3	66080.0	75117.4	75007.1	62759.6	
12	66354.4	66439.8	75159.4	75131.4	66706.0	
13	67080.0	67074.7	75740.8	75662.2	67784.0	
14	67255.5	67131.0	75650.3	75664.5	72721.5	
15	67356.7	67088.7	75495.4	75490.9	77571.7	
16	67325.7	66968.2	75365.4	75324.8	82619.9	

Table 7: New Stream benchmark, NVM

	сору	scale	add	triad	Average
16	66666.2	66353.8	74338.0	74414.4	80628.7
15	66660.9	66491.8	74481.0	74467.2	75901.3
14	66694.0	66559.1	74629.0	74584.7	70941.1
13	66340.6	66368.2	74727.0	74650.0	66280.4
12	65713.8	65751.7	74096.7	74255.2	64289.1
11	65022.3	65194.7	73898.1	74032.9	61050.2
10	63757.8	63951.0	72837.8	72917.5	55831.0
9	62273.9	62480.3	71330.7	71492.3	50349.5
8	60062.3	60373.0	68207.0	68511.1	46814.0
7	58049.1	58420.0	66026.9	66531.4	42330.4
6	55561.5	55895.2	62483.8	62977.5	36584.7
5	52385.0	52877.0	58354.5	58669.5	30581.0
4	45432.5	46682.5	49615.4	49386.9	24665.5
3	35123.2	36222.2	38081.9	37902.2	18702.4
2	24126.9	24996.5	26259.4	25715.4	12920.5
1	12447.7	12887.6	13596.3	13613.0	6611.8

Table 8: Stream benchmark after modification, 16-1 threads

	сору	scale	add	triad	Sum
1	13021.6	13532.6	14001.8	13991.6	6731.5
2	24085.9	24823.1	19936.5	25957.2	13147.9
3	36075	37398.8	39204.1	38876.5	19664.2
4	47011.8	48483.5	51069.3	50900.2	26133.5
5	59224.3	60908.4	64109.4	63758.6	32006.3
6	69203.7	70944.1	74955.7	74624.6	37921.9
7	76772.2	75230.8	83174.2	84665	43658.3
8	81300.7	75625	87073.7	90791.5	49261.4
9	95164.2	95396.9	105922.3	106671	56007.1
10	104220.9	105346.5	115573.4	115734.2	61482
11	103344.6	103386	115959.5	117068	67312.1
12	97829.2	99495.7	108548.2	109628.7	71915.7
13	106114.4	104193.4	119064.8	120931.4	78546.9
14	116085.2	116397.3	130797.8	131355.9	85159.2
15	115058.2	114784.7	130925.4	131448.5	89595.6
16	118203.5	118985.2	134047.9	134664.8	95317
17	112596.9	109798.5	125937.7	126342.4	96969.7
18	111740.1	113130.2	125574.8	126275.8	99294
19	123839.9	123323.3	140912	141244.2	106242.1
20	127551.9	127237.5	144979	145014.5	111591.4
21	123963.5	122305.2	139446	139542.7	116500.4
22	130620.4	129859.6	147756.1	147548.2	122305.2
23	125402	123693.9	140565.7	140342.3	125804
24	132385.5	131588.6	148967.5	148771.6	131467.4
25	129942.6	129000.9	145578.7	145614.5	129304.2
26	133200.1	131591.2	148800.1	148765	131215.5
27	130653.5	129334.1	145473.5	145570.3	137619.7
28	127488.9	124000.1	139975.4	140162.5	138603.1
29	130944.1	129219.5	145050.1	145542.9	147142.7
30	134003.3	132649.8	148210.8	148560.8	152291.7
31	130933.9	129147.4	144928.9	145402.1	157480.8
32	133960.5	132406.4	147838.6	148267.6	161521.3

Table 9: Stream benchmark, dual sockets, 1-32 threads

5.1.4 Analyze only

Here I have separated the code to see if the code will run faster.

Calculation Fo	r analyze				
		Factor	МВ	repeated	total MB
n	4,000,000.00	5	160	5000	800,000
	Benchmark		Analyze		Analyze time
DRAM-thread	DRAM speed		Prediction		Measurement
1	12255.3		65.28		121.664498
2	23792.2		33.62		62.471152
3	34637.4		23.10		42.580493
4	45202.7		17.70		32.5764
5	52089.8		15.36		26.249728
6	55372.6		14.45		22.270504
7	56527		14.15		19.418105
8	59893.5		13.36		17.636347
9	62237.5		12.85		15.957231
10	63772.9		12.54		14.607838
11	64766.9		12.35		13.442421
12	65396.8		12.23		12.530874
13	66093.7		12.10		11.989188
14	66283		12.07		11.171952
15			12.06		10.272031
16	66332.1		12.06		9.678643

Table 10: Prediction of time taken for analyzing the data, with a code that only analyze data

5.2 With NVM

Total	DataGen	Analyze	DataGen	DataGen	Transfer	Transfer	DRAM-NVM	Analyze	Test
Threads	Threads	Threads	Time	Idle Time	Time	Idle Time	Time	Time	Time
16	15	1	910.32	887.78	910.48	0.02	150.44	760.00	910.48
16	14	2	477.15	453.40	477.23	0.01	77.57	399.63	477.24
16	13	3	328.52	303.17	328.58	0.01	52.52	276.02	328.58
16	12	4	253.10	226.74	253.15	0.03	39.81	213.30	253.15
16	11	5	214.20	190.43	214.24	0.01	32.70	181.50	214.24
16	10	6	181.70	160.80	181.74	0.01	27.44	154.25	181.74
16	9	7	158.49	137.11	158.52	0.02	25.22	133.25	158.52
16	8	8	140.36	117.39	140.39	0.01	23.61	116.73	140.39
16	7	9	125.38	101.30	125.42	0.02	21.22	104.15	125.42
16	6	10	118.81	93.44	118.84	0.02	23.41	95.38	118.84
16	5	11	106.77	77.01	106.80	0.02	19.49	87.27	106.80
16	4	12	98.51	61.76	98.54	0.03	18.44	80.06	98.54
16	3	13	93.02	44.40	93.05	0.05	18.01	74.96	93.05
16	2	14	88.97	16.00	89.01	0.05	18.39	70.55	89.01
16	1	15	141.69	0.17	141.74	59.46	19.17	63.10	141.74

Table 11: Simulation with both NVDIMM and DRAM

Calculation					
		Factor	repeated	total	total MB
n	16,000,000	2.5	5000	200,000,000,000.00	1,600,000.00
edges	127,952,004	1.5	5000	959,640,030,000.00	7,677,120.24
	Benchmark	Predicted	Data generate	d	
Nvm-threads	DRAM speed	time	time measure	ment	
1	74486.0	124.55	22.54		
2	74715.4	124.17	23.75		
3	74659.4	124.26	25.35		
4	74211.4	125.01	26.37		
5	74191.2	125.04	23.77		
6	73271.5	126.61	20.90		
7	71409.6	129.91	21.38		
8	69130.7	134.20	22.96		
9	66290.8	139.95	24.08		
10	62627.3	148.13	25.37		
11	58565.4	158.41	29.76		
12	49447.8	187.61	36.75		
13	38557.2	240.61	48.61		
14	26431.7	350.98	72.97		
15	13265.2	699.36	141.52		

Table 12: Time prediction, data generation

Calculation Fo	r analyze					
		Factor	repeated	total	total MB	
n	16,000,000	5	5000	400,000,000,000.00	3,200,000.00	
DRAM-NVM	16,000,000	1	5000	80,000,000,000.00	640,000.00	
	Benchmark	DRAM-NVM	DRAM-NVM	Benchmark	Analyze	Analyze time
Nvm-threads	NVM speed	Predicted		NVM sum	Prediction	Measurement
1	3937.43			0000		760.00
2	7879.02			13354.50		
3	12124.44					
4	16083.88	39.79	39.81	25422.50	198.96	213.30
5	20526.30	31.18	32.70	31413.00	155.90	181.50
6	24538.40	26.08	27.44	37517.80	130.41	154.25
7	29382.89	21.78	25.22	43644.10	108.91	133.25
8	33247.98	19.25	23.61	48265.20	96.25	116.73
9	37219.56	17.20	21.22	51769.50	85.98	104.15
10	42070.58	15.21	23.41	57245.50	76.06	95.38
11	46424.18	13.79	19.49	62759.60	68.93	87.27
12	50338.82	12.71	18.44	66706.00	63.57	80.06
13	56058.91	11.42	18.01	67784.00	57.08	74.96
14	60171.88	10.64	18.39	72721.50	53.18	70.55
15	63521.86	10.08	19.17	77571.70	50.38	63.10

Table 13: Time prediction, transfer and analyze

References

[1] John D. McCalpin. STREAM source code. URL: https://www.cs.virginia.edu/stream/FTP/Code/stream.c (visited on 12/20/2020).