

MA3201 Homework 4

Tan Yee Jian (A0190190L)

March 14, 2021

Problem 1

Let R be a ring with $1 \neq 0$. Let M be a R -module. We define

$$\text{Tor}(M) = \{m \in M \mid rm = 0, \text{ for some } 0 \neq r \in R\}.$$

Problem 1.1

Prove that $\text{Tor}(M)$ is a submodule of M if R is an integral domain.

Solution

Proof. We show by the submodule criterion. $\text{Tor}(M) \ni 0 = 1 \cdot 0$ is not empty.

For any $r \in R, m_1, m_2 \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 r_2 (m_1 + r m_2) &= r_2 (r_1 m_1) + r r_1 (r_2 m_2) && R \text{ is commutative, } M \text{ is a } R\text{-module} \\ &= r_2 \cdot 0 + r r_1 \cdot 0 \\ &= 0 + 0 = 0 \\ &\implies (m_1 + r m_2) \in \text{Tor}(M). \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \text{Tor}(M)$ satisfies the submodule criterion and is a submodule of M . □

Problem 1.2

Give a counterexample of the statement above for general R .

Solution

Proof. Consider $R = \mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$ as a R -module by left multiplication. Then $2 \cdot 5 = 5 \cdot 2 = 0$ implies $\{2, 5\} \subseteq \text{Tor}(M)$, but $2 + 5 = 7 \notin \text{Tor}(M)$. □

Problem 2

Let I be a right ideal of R . Let M be a left R -module. We define

$$N = \{m \in M \mid rm = 0 \ \forall r \in I\}.$$

Prove that N is a R -submodule of M .

Solution

Proof. We show by the submodule criterion. Since $\forall r \in I \subset R, r \cdot 0 = 0 \implies 0 \in N \neq \emptyset$.

Also for any $r \in R, i \in I, m, m' \in N$,

$$\begin{aligned} i(m + r m') &= i m + i(r m') \\ &= 0 + i' m' && I \text{ is an ideal } \implies i r = i' \text{ for some } i' \in R \\ &= 0 + 0 = 0 \\ &\implies (m + r m') \in N. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore N satisfies the submodule criterion and hence is a submodule of M . □

Problem 3

Let R be a ring with $1 \neq 0$. Let M, N be (left) R -modules. Consider the abelian group $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, N)$ of \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphisms from M to N .

Problem 3.1

For any $r \in R$, we define

$$(rf)(x) = r(f(x)), \text{ for } f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, N), x \in M,$$

where the right hand side is the action of r on N . Prove that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, N)$ is a left R -module with the action defined above.

Solution

Proof. For any $x \in M, r \in R, f, g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, N)$,

1. Show $r(f + g) = rf + rg. \forall x \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} (r(f + g))x &= r((f + g)x) && \text{definition} \\ &= r(fx + gx) && \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, N) \text{ ring distribution} \\ &= (rf + rg)(x) && \text{definition.} \end{aligned}$$

2. Show $(rs)f = r(sf). \forall x \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} ((rs)f)(x) &= (rs)f(x) && \text{definition} \\ &= r(sf(x)) && N \text{ is a } R\text{-module} \\ &= (r(sf))x && \text{definition.} \end{aligned}$$

3. Show $(r + s)f = rf + sf. \forall x \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} ((r + s)f)(x) &= (r + s)(f(x)) && \text{definition} \\ &= rf(x) + sf(x) && N \text{ is a } R\text{-module} \\ &= (rf + sf)x && \text{definition.} \end{aligned}$$

4. Show $1 \cdot f = f. \forall x \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \cdot f)(x) &= 1(f(x)) && \text{definition} \\ &= f(x) && N \text{ is a } R\text{-module.} \end{aligned}$$

Result follows. □

Problem 3.2

For any $r \in R$, we define

$$(fr)(x) = f(rx), \text{ for } f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, N), x \in M,$$

where the right hand side is the action of r on N . Prove that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, N)$ is a right R -module with the action defined above.

Solution

Proof. For any $x \in M, r \in R, f, g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, N)$,

1. Show $(f + g)r = fr + gr. \forall x \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} ((f + g)r)x &= (f + g)(rx) && \text{definition} \\ &= f(rx) + g(rx) && \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, N) \text{ ring distribution} \\ &= (fr + gr)(x) && \text{definition.} \end{aligned}$$

2. Show $f(rs) = (fr)s. \forall x \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} (f(rs))(x) &= f((rs)x) && \text{definition} \\ &= f(r(sx)) && M \text{ is a } R\text{-module} \\ &= (fr)(sx) && \text{definition.} \end{aligned}$$

3. Show $f(r + s) = fr + fs. \forall x \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} (f(r + s))(x) &= f((r + s)x) && \text{definition} \\ &= f(rx + sx) && M \text{ is a } R\text{-module} \\ &= (fr + fs)x && \text{definition.} \end{aligned}$$

4. Show $f \cdot 1 = f. \forall x \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} (f \cdot 1)(x) &= f(1 \cdot x) && \text{definition} \\ &= f(x) && M \text{ is a } R\text{-module.} \end{aligned}$$

Result follows. □

Problem 3.3

Let $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, N)$. Prove that $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ if and only if $rf = fr$ for any $r \in R$ with the actions defined above.

Solution

(\implies): Let $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ be given. Then we know, in general, for any $r \in R, m, m' \in M$,

$$f(m + rm') = f(m) + rf(m')$$

since f is a R -module homomorphism. In particular, let $m = 0$, then

$$f(rm') = rf(m') \quad \forall m' \in M$$

as desired.

(\impliedby): We show that $\forall r \in R, f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, N), m, m' \in M$ we have the property that $f(m + rm') = f(m) + rf(m')$ which implies f is a R -module map.

$$\begin{aligned} f(m + rm') &= f(m) + f(rm') && f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, N) \\ &= f(m) + rf(m') && \text{assumption.} \end{aligned}$$

Result follows.

Problem 4

Let M be a R -module for a commutative ring R . Prove that the map

$$M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R, M), \quad m \mapsto (f : R \rightarrow M, r \mapsto rm)$$

is an isomorphism of R -modules, where the R -module structure of $\text{Hom}_R(R, M)$ is given by Q3(1).

Solution

Proof. We call the map above ϕ , and show that ϕ is a R -module homomorphism that is both injective and surjective.

R -module Homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(m + rm')(s) &= s(m + rm') && \text{definition} \\ &= sm + s(rm') && s \in R, M \text{ is } R\text{-module} \\ &= sm + r(sm') && M \text{ is } R\text{-module, } R \text{ commutative} \\ &= \phi(m)s + r(\phi(m')s) \\ &= \phi(m)s + (r\phi(m'))s && \text{Q3(1).} \end{aligned}$$

Injectivity:

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(\phi) &= \{m \in M \mid (r \mapsto rm) \text{ is the zero map}\} \\ &= \{m \in M \mid rm = 0_M \ \forall r \in R\} \end{aligned}$$

I claim that $\ker(\phi) = 0$. Otherwise, suppose any $0 \neq x \in M, x \in \ker(\phi) \implies r \cdot x = 0$ for any $r \in R$. However, $1_R \cdot x = x \neq 0_M$, a contradiction. Therefore the kernel is trivial and ϕ is injective.

Surjectivity: For any $f \in \text{Hom}_R(R, M)$, we have

$$f(r) = f(r \cdot 1) = r \cdot f(1).$$

Then let $f(1) = m \in M$, we have $f(r) = rm$, so $f = (r \mapsto rm) = \phi(m)$. Thus ϕ is surjective. \square

Problem 5

Let R be commutative. Prove that $R \cong \text{End}_R(R)$ as rings, where R is a R -module via left multiplication.

Solution

From Q4, we already know that $R \cong \text{Hom}_R(R, R) = \text{End}_R(R)$ as modules, hence they are isomorphic as abelian groups. We just need to show that multiplication is preserved in this map.

Consider the same map $\phi : R \rightarrow \text{End}_R(R), r \mapsto (r_1 \mapsto rr_1)$. We show that $\phi(rs)x = [\phi(r)\phi(s)]x$ for any $r, s, x \in R$.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(rs)(x) &= rsx \\ &= r(sx) \\ &= \phi(r)(\phi(s)(x)) \\ &= [\phi(r)\phi(s)](x) && \text{multiplication is composition in } \text{End}_R(R). \end{aligned}$$

Since this R -module isomorphism preserves multiplication, it is also a ring isomorphism.

Now we can conclude the stronger result that $R^{op} \cong \text{End}_R(R)$, by noticing that if R is commutative, then $R^{op} \cong R$ as rings via the canonical map $\varphi : r^{op} \mapsto r$. We already know this map is bijective (set-theoretically isomorphic), and addition is automatically preserved by definition, now the multiplication is preserved by commutativity of R :

$$a^{op}b^{op} = (ba)^{op} = (ab)^{op} \text{ (commutativity)} \implies \varphi(a^{op}b^{op}) = ab$$

for any $a, b \in R$. Therefore, we have $R^{op} \cong R \cong \text{End}_R(R)$ by the isomorphism $\varphi \circ \phi$.