



■■■■■:
A ■■■■■ Case Study

Executive Summary

In this case study, we examine the ramifications of a ■■■■■n cyber-attack directed towards the ■■■■■ and associated businesses – now known as “■■■■■” – for Danish international shipping company ■■■■■. ■■■■■ was one of many high-profile businesses embroiled in the ■■■■■n cyber operation.

This case study focuses on ■■■■■’s response as its computer systems were rapidly compromised. It discusses how aspects of the company’s cybersecurity program affected the propagation of the ■■■■■ malware, as well as its impact on ■■■■■’s operations for days following the attack. In particular, this case study illuminates the importance of network segmentation and a robust data recovery plan as proactive risk mitigation measures against such an attack. ■■■■■’s experience with ■■■■■ also illuminates the growing use of cyberattacks in geopolitical conflicts and the ability of such attacks to disrupt the global economy.

The case includes the following elements:

- a) Video Intro and Discussions – Available Online
- b) Written Case Study (This Document)
- c) Annex A – Original Documents

This case was written by ■■■■■, ■■■■■, and ■■■■■ for ■■■■■ at ■■■■■’s ■■■■■ (■■■■). The faculty sponsors were Dr. ■■■■■ and ■■■■■ of ■■■■■.

Copyright © ■■■■■ The Trustees of ■■■■■ ■■■■■ in ■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■. No part of this publication may be reproduced, revised, translated, stored in a retrieval system, used in a spreadsheet, or transmitted in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise) without the written permission of ■■■■■.

Background

In 1990, **Maersk** – **Maersk**, better known simply as **Maersk**, had been the world's largest shipping carrier for two decades and was one of **Maersk**'s largest companies. A global behemoth, it had over 100,000 employees in 100 countries overseeing logistics, ports, and shipping lines. Like most companies, **Maersk** did not see itself as the potential object of a targeted cyberattack, while its risk managers did not understand just how quickly and widely the computer systems on which the companies' most basic operations relied could be compromised, let alone recovered, in case of disruption.

Yet **Maersk** would find itself caught up in an ongoing conflict on the other side of Europe. Since 1990, **Maersk** had served as **Maersk** of **Maersk**. Despite beginning to negotiate a trade deal with **Maersk**, his administration had been stalling due to **Maersk**'s fear of displeasing **Maersk**, then the country's largest trade partner. While a significant share of **Maersk**ians supported **Maersk** and were pro-**Maersk**, many citizens, particularly around the capital **Maersk**, felt that **Maersk** was allowing **Maersk** undue influence over the former Soviet satellite state. In February 1990, the **Maersk** revolution broke out in **Maersk** as thousands of protesters clashed with police forces. After days of violence, **Maersk** fled, and **Maersk**'s parliament removed **Maersk** from office.

The next government to take power would be decidedly willing to confront **Maersk**, but **Maersk** claimed his ouster was illegitimate. Under this pretense, **Maersk** in **Maersk** sent troops to the **Maersk**ian border and had even annexed the peninsula of **Maersk** from the **Maersk** by force in early March. By 1990, **Maersk**ian and **Maersk** forces were still fighting, but **Maersk** was preparing a different type of attack. In June 1990, they launched an unprecedented cyber attack to retaliate against business operating in the **Maersk**, according to **Maersk** intelligence reports. This attack, now infamously known as "**Maersk**," paralyzed hundreds of private firms globally, from small, **Maersk**ian family businesses to multibillion-dollar international business giants. As computer systems were compromised, data was encrypted and their networks disabled. One of the attack's most high-profile corporate victims was **Maersk**, on whose experience with **Maersk** this case study focuses. In 1990, it managed 100 ports across the globe and 100 sea vessels, representing nearly one-fifth of the entire planet's shipping capacity. Thus, an attack on its operations would affect not only the company's own profits, but a significant share of international trade and the global supply chain.

The Attack: Tools

Maersk combined two powerful and virulent hacking tools: **Maersk**, which was stolen from the **Maersk** (**Maersk**) in 1990, and **Maersk**, which was created by a French researcher in 1990.

Maersk was the product of **Maersk** **Maersk** **Maersk** (**Maersk**), **Maersk** **Maersk** signals and communications intelligence agency, to find a vulnerability in Windows operating systems. The **Maersk**

1 "Maersk," **Maersk**, Feb. 1, 1990.
2 **Maersk** – **Maersk** "Annual Report." **Maersk**.

3 Greenberg, A. "The Told Story of **Maersk**, the Most Devastating Cyberattack in History." *WIRED*. Aug. 1, 1990.

4 Burdova, C. "What Is **Maersk** and Why Is the MS-**Maersk** Exploit Still Relevant?" **Maersk**. Jan. 1, 1990.

Given recent geopolitical animosity with █████, █████ had strong incentive to make an example of the country. By inflicting punishment on Ukrainian businesses, as well as foreign companies willing to do business there, █████ sent a message that there would be blowback for any country who tried to distance itself from its former Soviet master. To do so, the █████ns decided to take advantage of these companies interconnected supply chains to insert their highly effective and disruptive cyber-tools into the global system.

The entry point into the system for █████ would be █████, a local Ukrainian software firm. Their product, M.E.Doc, was used to pay taxes by about 1 million businesses operating in the █████, or 10% of Ukrainian businesses.¹ The attackers reportedly stole an employee's password and took advantage of a server that had not been updated in four years. Once in █████ systems, they elevated the user's privileges to administrator and then wrote several backdoors into company software updates. After successfully directing customers to the modified updates, the attackers used the backdoors to propagate their malware to organizations that had installed M.E.Doc on their own machines. █████ worked with what journalist █████ described as "terrifying speed," bringing down the networks of Ukrainian banks and transit hubs in a matter of seconds.²

Vulnerabilities

█████'s exposure to █████ could be traced back to the installation of M.E.Doc on a █████ computer in █████, █████, as a part of their obligations to use the software in filing tax returns in █████. Prior to █████, some of █████'s servers ran Windows 7, an operating system so old that █████ no longer supported it. Company IT executives had flagged issues with the company's software patching and "outdated" operating systems, as well as "insufficient network segmentation."³

Interestingly, IT staffers planned and budgeted a security redesign of the company's global network, but the plan was never executed. But since the improvements were not "key performance indicators" in calculating IT executives' compensation, the plans never made it off the ground.⁴ Ultimately, the lack of proper segmentation allowed █████ to spread beyond the network of the company's Ukrainian operation and reach throughout █████'s global operations. In this respect, █████'s experience with █████ exemplifies the need for corporate IT policy to be up to speed with ever-evolving cyberthreats.

█████ in Crisis:

Within █████, █████ was crippling █████'s systems in offices and ports across the world. Before IT staff could coordinate a defense, computers were shut down in near simultaneity. A message issued by █████ demanding payment in exchange for the removal of the encryption of infected files suggested it was a criminal ransomware attack. However, the attack was in actuality destructive in intent. The data could never be retrieved once affected.

¹ Stubbs, █████ █████ scrambles to contain new cyber threat after '█████' attack." █████. Jul. 1, 2017.

² Greenberg, "The █████told Story of █████."

³ Ibid.

⁴ Ibid.

█████ will tell the degree to which █████ succeeded in its goal of deterring companies from doing business in a more Europe-aligned █████, but the attackers certainly inflicted massive financial damage. █████ was so infectious that it even attacked two of █████'s large state-owned enterprises: oil company █████ and gas giant Gazprom. ■

Corporate and Political Consequences

■ Greenberg, “The [REDACTED] told Story of [REDACTED].”
 ■ Polity [REDACTED], E. “Global cyber attack likely cover for malware installation in [REDACTED]: police official.”
 [REDACTED], J [REDACTED], [REDACTED], S., [REDACTED], A. “One Year After [REDACTED], Firms Wrestle With Recovery Costs.” [REDACTED], J [REDACTED], [REDACTED].
 ■ “[REDACTED]: A War-Like Exclusion?” [REDACTED], May [REDACTED], [REDACTED].

However, the impact of ██████ goes far beyond the financial losses of any one company. ██████ exemplifies the fact that an attack on one company can have broad economic effects. Not only were ██████'s customers adversely affected, but other logistic companies dependent on ██████'s mari███ operations saw their businesses compromised. In all, an important conduit in international trade and the global supply chain was disrupted.

First, since some attacks are inevitable, network segmentation is key in mitigating cyber risk. What made ██████ so devastating for ██████ and other global companies was its ability to take down machines in difference offices and even different countries in ██████, severely restricting IT staff's ability to coordinate a response. If ██████'s machines were not all on a single network, ██████'s damage would have been significantly contained.

██████ also serves as a painful lesson on how cyber conflicts increasingly blur the traditional boundaries of geopolitical conflicts. Clearly, the impact of cyberattacks can rapidly spread far beyond the narrower geographic scope of these conflicts, sweeping up private actors into the crossfire. Given the lower costs of a wide-ranging attack using cyber tools, companies can no longer expect to avoid being impacted simply because they are not states' top targets. Given this new reality, firms must commit to constantly improving cybersecurity, as threats evolve and the risk of attack persists.

ANNEX A: Original Documents

Annex A-1: Ransom message shown by [REDACTED]

Annex A-2: Graph of number of [REDACTED] attacks by country

Annex A-3: [REDACTED]'s website during the [REDACTED] attack

Annex A-4: Chart of operating systems targeted by [REDACTED]

Annex A-

The ransom message shown on computers infected by [REDACTED]. Even though [REDACTED] directs victims to pay a ransom in exchange for decrypting their files, data on affected machines was actually [REDACTED] recoverable. Available from [REDACTED] [here](#).

Oops, your important files are encrypted.

If you see this text, then your files are no longer accessible, because they have been encrypted. Perhaps you are busy looking for a way to recover your files, but don't waste your time. Nobody can recover your files without our decryption service.

We guarantee that you can recover all your files safely and easily. All you need to do is submit the payment and purchase the decryption key.

Please follow the instructions:

1. Send \$300 worth of Bitcoin to following address:

1Mz7153HMuxXTuR2R1t78mGSdzaAtNbBWx

2. Send your Bitcoin wallet ID and personal installation key to e-mail wowsmith123456@posteo.net. Your personal installation key:


74f296-2Nx1Gm-yHQRWr-S8gaN6-8Bs1td-U2DKui-ZZpKJE-kE6sSN-o8tizV-gUeUMa

If you already purchased your key, please enter it below.

Key: _


Annex A-

attacks by country. While the attackers succeeded in mainly targeting Ukrainian businesses, the malware was not restrained by borders, and many attacks even occurred in . Available from Malwares [here](#).



Annex A-

Screenshot of ██████'s website during the ██████ attack. It would be days before ██████ was able to resume taking orders through its website, frustrating clients and cutting off company revenue. Available from Gigazine [here](#).



Annex A-

Chart of operating systems targeted by [REDACTED]. While newer operating systems like Windows [REDACTED] were patched against the zero-day vulnerability exploited by [REDACTED], patched machines on the same network as [REDACTED] patched ones were vulnerable because [REDACTED] allowed leapfrogging between machines. Available from Gigazine [here](#).

