

STP 530: Applied Regression Analysis
Name : Sai Swaroop Reddy Vennapusa
Homework 8
Instructor : Yi Zheng
Due Date : 31st Oct 2023, 10:30AM

Question 8.19 a. Fit regression model (8.49) and state the estimated regression function.

Answer:

R Code:

```
# Set working directory to the location of the datasets
setwd("/home/swaroop/Downloads/Assignments/STP530/HW8")

# Read the data files
data1.20 <- read.table("CH01PR20.txt", header=FALSE) # Assuming there's a header
data8.15 <- read.table("CH08PR15.txt", header=FALSE) # Assuming there's a header

combined_data <- cbind(data1.20, data8.15)
colnames(combined_data) <- c("X_i1", "Y", "X_i2")

model <- lm(Y ~ X_i1 + X_i2 + X_i1:X_i2, data=combined_data)
summary(model) # This will provide a summary of the regression including coefficients.
```

R Output:

```
> summary(model) # This will provide a summary of the regression including coefficients.
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = Y ~ X_i1 + X_i2 + X_i1:X_i2, data = combined_data)
```

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-0.88212	-0.43809	0.02041	0.33100	1.49878

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	0.062586	0.242216	0.258	0.797
X_i1	0.066446	0.002752	24.149	<2e-16 ***
X_i2	0.402667	0.355971	1.131	0.265
X_i1:X_i2	-0.006259	0.004101	-1.526	0.135

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.5769 on 41 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.9599, Adjusted R-squared: 0.957

F-statistic: 327.3 on 3 and 41 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

The regression model in general form can be represented as:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \beta_3 X_{i1}X_{i2} + \epsilon$$

From the summary provided, the estimated regression coefficients (β) are:

- β_0 (Intercept) = 0.062586
- β_1 for (X_{i1}) = 0.066446
- β_2 for (X_{i2}) = 0.402667
- β_3 for ($X_{i1}X_{i2}$) = -0.006259

Therefore, the estimated regression function based on the provided dataset is:

$$\hat{Y} = 0.062586 + 0.066446X_{i1} + 0.402667X_{i2} - 0.006259X_{i1}X_{i2}$$

This equation represents the relationship between the number of copies made (Y), the size of the firm (X_{i1}), and whether or not the firm is a stock company (X_{i2}), taking into account the interaction between X_{i1} and X_{i2} .

b. Test whether the interaction term can be dropped from the model; control the (α) risk at .10. State the alternatives, decision rule, and conclusion. What is the P-value of the test? If the interaction term cannot be dropped from the model. describe the nature of the interaction effect.

Answer:

Hypothesis testing of the interaction term

1. Assumptions:

- Residuals are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.)
- Residuals follow a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance σ^2 .

2. Hypotheses:

$H_0 : \beta_{\text{interaction}} = 0$ (The interaction term is not significant.)

$H_1 : \beta_{\text{interaction}} \neq 0$ (The interaction term is significant.)

3. Test-statistic:

Given the regression output, we already have the t-value for the interaction term:

$$t_{\text{obs}} = \{\beta_{\text{interaction}} - 0\} / \{s\{\beta_{\text{interaction}}\}\}$$

Where:

- $\beta_{\text{interaction}}$ is the estimated coefficient for the interaction term from the regression output.
- $s\{\beta_{\text{interaction}}\}$ is the standard error of the estimated coefficient for the interaction term from the regression output.

Using the summary output:

$$t_{\text{obs}} = \{-0.006259 - 0\} / \{0.004101\} = -1.526$$

4. P-value:

From the regression output summary, the p-value for the interaction term is already provided as 0.135.

5. Conclusion:

Given the significance level (α) of 0.10, and since the p-value (0.135) $>$ α , we fail to reject the null hypothesis H_0 . There is not enough evidence to suggest that the interaction term is significant at the 0.10 level of significance. This suggests that the interaction term can potentially be dropped from the model.

Nature of the Interaction Effect:

Though statistically insignificant at the 0.10 level, the interaction's negative coefficient (-0.006259) suggests that as X_{i1} increases, the relationship between X_{i2} and Y becomes less positive or more negative (and vice versa). This indicates a diminishing positive effect (or increasing negative effect) of one predictor as the other predictor increases. In practical terms, the impact of one variable on the dependent variable Y depends on the level of the other variable.