

Physical Properties :-

Tube side fluid : Water

API : 10°

Inlet temperature = 33°C

Outlet temperature = 45°C

Mean temperature = 39°C

Density = 993 kg/m³

Absolute viscosity = 0.7 cp

Specific heat capacity = 4179 J/kg°C

Thermal conductivity = 0.64 W/m°C

Fouling resistance =

0.00024 m²°C/W

Mass flow rate = \dot{m}_w kg/h

$\therefore \dot{m}_w = 46625.49$ kg/hr

Shell side fluid : ~~Kerosene~~ ^{Kerosene}

API : 42°

Inlet temperature = 110°C

Outlet temperature = 40°C

Mean temperature = 75°C

Density = 775 kg/m³

Absolute viscosity = 10 cp

Specific heat capacity = 2155 J/kg°C

Thermal conductivity = 0.138 W/m°C

Fouling resistance =

0.0002 m²°C/W

Mass flow rate = 75000 +

$\frac{Z}{6} (500 \times Z)$

$\therefore Z = 6, \frac{75000}{6} + (500 \times 6)$

$= 15500$ kg/h

Velocity

Heat balance :-

$$\dot{m}_w \times 4179 \times (45 - 33) = 15500 \times 2155 \times (110 - 40)$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{m}_w = 46625.49 \text{ kg/hr}$$

$$\therefore \boxed{\dot{m}_w = 46625.49 \text{ kg/hr}}$$

$$\text{Heat duty :- } \dot{Q} = 15500 \times 2155 \times (110 - 40) / 3600$$
$$= 649.49 \text{ kW}$$

Specifications of Exchanger :-

Type : Shell and Tube

No. of passes : 2

Material : Brass [Thermal conductivity (k_w) = $109 \text{ W/m}^\circ\text{C}$]

Allowable pressure drop : 0.7 kg/cm^2 (in both shell and tube).

Tube OD = 1 inch

Pitch = 1.25 inch \triangle (triangular pitch)

Tube length = 16 ft, Thickness = 15 BWG.

Heat duty = 649.49 kW .

$$\text{LMTD} = \frac{(110-33) - (45-40)}{\ln \frac{110-33}{45-40}} = 26.33^\circ\text{C}.$$

For given pair of liquids,

Overall heat transfer coefficient = $U_{o, \text{assm}} = 550 \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}$

$$R = \frac{110-40}{45-33} = \frac{70}{12} = 5.83$$

$$S = \frac{45-33}{110-33} = \frac{12}{77} = 0.156$$

$$F_t = 0.75.$$

Heat Transfer area :-

$$A_o = \frac{649.49 \times 10^3}{550 \times 0.75 \times 26.33} = 59.8 \text{ m}^2.$$

No. of tubes :-

$$\text{Area of 1 tube} = \pi \times (1 \times 25.4 \times 10^{-3})^2 \times (16 \times 12 \times 2.54 \times 10^{-3})$$
$$= 0.3892 \text{ m}^2$$

$$\text{No. of tubes} = \frac{59.8}{0.3892} \approx 154$$

Standard no. of tubes for 1" OD, 1.25" pitch
tube = 152 = N_t

$$\text{Tube per pass} = \frac{152}{2} = 76, \text{ Tube ID} = 0.856 \text{ inch}$$

$$\text{Tube cross-sectional area} = \frac{\pi}{4} \times 0.856^2$$
$$= 0.576 \text{ inch}^2 = 3.72 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2$$

$$\text{Total area per pass} = 76 \times 3.72 \times 10^{-4}$$
$$= 0.028 \text{ m}^2$$

$$\text{Tube side velocity} = \frac{46625.49}{3600} \times \frac{1}{0.028 \times 993}$$
$$= 0.466 \text{ m/s. (u}_t\text{)}$$

Bundle and Shell diameter :-

$$K_1 = 0.249, n_1 = 2.207$$

$$\text{shell ID standard value} = 19\frac{1}{4} \text{ inch}$$
$$= 0.49 \text{ m}$$

$$\text{Bundle diameter} = (25.4 \times 1) \left(\frac{152}{0.249} \right)^{1/2.207}$$
$$= 0.464 \text{ m}$$

$$\text{Clearance} = 0.056 \text{ m}$$

$$\text{shell ID calculated} = 0.464 + 0.056 = 0.52 \text{ m.}$$

Tube-side heat transfer coefficient :-

$$Re = \frac{0.466 \times 993 \times 0.856 \times 0.0254}{7 \times 10^{-4}} = 14367.$$

$$k_{\text{tube}} = 0.37 \text{ Btu/hr ft}^\circ\text{F} = 0.64 \text{ W/m}^\circ\text{C}$$

$$L = \frac{16 \times 12}{1} = 224.3$$

$$d = 0.856$$

$$Pr = \frac{2.155 \times 10^3 \times 7 \times 10^{-4}}{0.64} = 4.57, \quad j_H = 0.004$$

$$Nu = 0.004 \times 14367 \times (4.57)^{1/3} = 95.37$$

$$h_i = \frac{95.37 \times 0.64}{0.856 \times 0.0254} = 2807.2 \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}^\circ\text{C}$$

Shell-side heat transfer coefficient :-

$$D_s = 0.52 \text{ m}, \quad \text{Baffle spacing } (l_b) = 0.5 D_s = 0.26 \text{ m}.$$

Baffle cut = 25% ($\because 0.3-0.5$ is an optimum factor).

$$A_g = \left(\frac{1.25 - 1}{1.25} \right) \times 0.52 \times 0.26 = 0.02704 \text{ m}^2$$

$$d_e = \frac{1.1}{1 \times 25.4} (1.25^2 - 0.917 \times 1^2) 25.4^2 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$= 0.01804 \text{ m}.$$

$$U_g = \frac{15500}{3600} \times \frac{1}{0.02704} \times \frac{1}{775}$$

$$= 0.205 \text{ m/s}.$$

$$Re = \frac{0.205 \times 775 \times 0.01804}{10^{-3}} = 2872.51$$

$$k_{shell} = 0.138 \text{ W/m}^\circ\text{C}$$

$$Pe = \frac{2155 \times 10^{-3}}{0.138} = 15.62$$

$$j_H = 1.1 \times 10^{-2} = 0.011$$

$$h_o = \frac{0.138}{0.01804} \times 0.011 \times 2872.51 \times (15.62)^{1/3}$$

$$= 604.21 \text{ W/m}^2\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$$

Overall co-efficient :-

$$\frac{1}{U_o} = \frac{1}{h_o} + \frac{1}{h_{od}} + \frac{d_o}{d_i} \left(\frac{1}{h_i} + \frac{1}{h_{id}} \right) + d_o \ln \left(\frac{d_o}{d_i} \right)$$

$$h_o = 604.21 \text{ W/m}^2\text{ }^\circ\text{C}, h_i = 2807.2 \text{ W/m}^2\text{ }^\circ\text{C}, k_w$$

$$k_w = 109 \text{ W/m}^\circ\text{C}, h_{id} = (0.00024)^{-1} \text{ W/m}^2\text{ }^\circ\text{C},$$

$$h_{od} = (0.0002)^{-1} \text{ W/m}^2\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$$

$$\Rightarrow U_o = 389.16 \text{ W/m}^2\text{ }^\circ\text{C} (U_{o,calc})$$

$$\text{error check :- } \left| \frac{U_{o,calc} - U_{o,assm}}{U_{o,assm}} \right| \times 100 < 30\%$$

$$= \left(\frac{550 - 389.16}{550} \right) \times 100 = 29\% < 30\%$$

$\therefore U_o$ value is within the limit but not very optimum.

Pressure drop :-

For tube-side, $Re = 14367$, $u_t = 0.466 \text{ m/s}$.

$f_f = 4.5 \times 10^{-3}$ (friction factor).

$$\therefore \Delta P_t = 2 \left(8 \times 4.5 \times 10^{-3} \times \left(\frac{16 \times 12}{0.856} \right) \times 1 + 0.25 \right) \frac{1000 \times 0.466^2}{2}$$

$$= 2237.62 \text{ Pa} = 0.023 \text{ kg/cm}^2$$

$0.023 < 0.7$, \therefore Pressure drop within the limit.

For shell-side, $Re = 2872.51$, $u_s = 0.205 \text{ m/s}$.

$f_f = 6 \times 10^{-2}$ (friction factor).

$$\therefore \Delta P_s = 8 \times 0.06 \times \left(\frac{0.52}{0.01804} \right) \times \left(\frac{16 \times 12 \times 0.0254}{0.26} \right) \times 1 \times \frac{775 \times 0.205^2}{2}$$
$$= 4226.2 \text{ Pa} = 0.043 \text{ kg/cm}^2$$

$0.043 < 0.7$, \therefore Pressure drop within the limit.

Conclusion : Although pressure drops and overall heat transfer coefficient are within the limit, we need to go for a 4-pass exchanger. This is to be done for just improving the error (minimizing) and for getting higher values of velocity for better fluid flow. This will also cause a slight pressure increase but we are already well within the 0.7 kg/cm^2 limit, so it won't be a problem.