

```
Assumptions:

1) No suffur taking place in either column.

1) Fully conservative uplan with we made loves.

For column 1, 70 = D + B ... (1)

For column 2, D = D + B I ... (1)

For column 3, B = D 2 + B 2 ... (11)

Bengene balance for everall yetem:

70 x 0.2 = 0.7 D 1 + 0.15 B 1 + 0.03 D 2 + 0.02 B 2 ... (1)

Takene balance for everall yetem:

70 x 0.4 = 0.1 D 1 + 0.65 B 1 + 0.06 D 2 + 0.04 B 2 ... (2)

Styrane balance for everall yetem:

70 x 0.25 = 0.14 D 1 + 0.15 B 1 + 0.77 D 2 + 0.03 B 2 ... (3)

**Your balance for everall yetem:

70 x 0.25 = 0.14 D 1 + 0.15 B 1 + 0.77 D 2 + 0.03 B 2 ... (3)
```

MATLAB Code

Gauss Elimination Method

```
B=zeros(4,1);

B(1)=14;
B(2)=28;
B(3)=17.5;
B(4)=10.5;

C=[0.7 0.15 0.03 0.02;
    0.1 0.65 0.06 0.04;
    0.14 0.15 0.77 0.09;
    0.06 0.05 0.14 0.85];
A = [C B];

[r,c]=size(A);
```

```
% sin -> whether the matrix is singular(sin=1) or non-
singular(sin=0)
singular=false;
for i=1:r
    % finding the i-th pivot:
    % partial pivoting:
    if(i<r)% do partial pivoting only if there are any</pre>
row below the current row
        imax=i;
                    %index of the element with maximum
value
        max=A(i,i); %value of that element
        for k=i+1:r
            % finding the max
            if abs (A(k,i)) > abs (max)
                max=A(k,i);
                imax=k;
            end
        end
        %swap the rows
        A([i,imax],:)=A([imax,i],:);
    end
    if A(i,i) == 0
        % matrix is singular
        singular=true;
    end
    % do for all remaining elements in current row
    for j=i+1:r
        A(j,:) = A(j,:) - A(i,:) *A(j,i) / A(i,i);
        A(j,i)=0; % fill lower triangular matrix with
zeros
    end
end
% if matrix is non-singular
if singular==false
    sol=zeros(r,1);% solution array
    % backward susbstitution
    for i=r:-1:1
        s=A(i,c);% s-> it will become the value of x(i)
        for j=r:-1:i+1
            s=s-A(i,j)*sol(j,1);% this value needs to be
removed from s
        end
        sol(i,1)=s/A(i,i);% divide by coeff of x(i)
    end
else
    disp('Matrix is Singular.');
end
```

Gauss-Seidel Method

```
B=zeros(4,1);
B(1) = 14;
B(2) = 28;
B(3) = 17.5;
B(4) = 10.5;
A=[0.7 \ 0.15 \ 0.03 \ 0.02;
   0.1 0.65 0.06 0.04;
   0.14 0.15 0.77 0.09;
   0.06 0.05 0.14 0.85];
X=zeros(4,1);
tol=0.0001; % assumed tolerance since nothing is given
iterations=0;
while true
    temp=X;
    X(1) = (B(1) - A(1, 2) * X(2) - A(1, 3) * X(3) -
A(1,4)*X(4))/A(1,1);
    X(2) = (B(2) - A(2, 1) * X(1) - A(2, 3) * X(3) -
A(2,4)*X(4))/A(2,2);
    X(3) = (B(3) - A(3, 1) * X(1) - A(3, 2) * X(2) -
A(3,4)*X(4))/A(3,3);
    X(4) = (B(4) - A(4, 1) *X(1) - A(4, 2) *X(2) -
A(4,3)*X(3))/A(4,4);
    iterations=iterations+1;
    fprintf('The iteration vector is: [');
    fprintf('%g',X);
    fprintf(']\n');
    ctr false=0;ctr true=0;
    for i=1:4
         if(abs(X(i)-temp(i))>tol)
             ctr false=ctr false+1; % to count number of
times tolerance is not met
         else
             ctr true=ctr true+1;
         end
    end
    if(ctr false>0)
         continue; % continuing iterations when tolerance
is not met
    else
```

break; % stopping iterations when tolerance met
for all variables
 end
end

_				1 74 7.	Tall I GR	T.
				et forting he		
			en il	normal S - ma	ne whell a	6432
DI	10.7308		1 3	other fortage	we kends	Delite I
BI	39.8571		in the witten the three fire or			
D2	12-1648			at acids	Wall Bur	Sept.
82	7.5	2473		on promote the		
	4	Ball		Similaris.		
Grows	7/11/20	and the second second		Dog Intone		
- quits	Seu	XL o	101	The second section of		In the second
Gleration		DI		BI	D2	82
0		0		0	0	0
1		20		40	11.2987	6-7272
2		10.7521		39.9658	12-2005	7-2335
3		10-7063		39-8585	12-1706	7.2480
ч		10.7302		39-8567	12.1649	7.2473
5		10.7309		39-8571	12-1647	7.247
6		10.7308		39-8571	12.1648	7.2473

Conclusion:
The Graux-Seidel method took slightly less computational time than Graus-Elinination. However, this can't be sometimed in general about the method because depending on the system, the iterations might increase by a suge amount. According to the set tolerance, Graus-Seidel give slightly better accuracy for 82 value than Graus-Elimination. Sometimes the initial guest taken could also influence the computational time for the better or for the worse.