1. 2-Dimensional Pattern Matching

Notations: Let T be the text bit-string and P the pattern bit-string. Size of T is n and P is m.

M(P) is mapping of the pattern P to a 2X2 matrix as given in the problem statement.

 $M(P)^{-1}$ is the inverse matrix to M(P). Let l and r be two indices in T s.t. $1 \le l \le r \le n$.

T[l,r] is the substring starting at index l and ending at r.

Consequently, M(T[l,r]) is mapping of T[l,r] to 2X2 matrix as given in the problem statement.

$$M(\epsilon) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M(\epsilon) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad M(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad M(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad M(0)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad M(1)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M(1)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Algorithm: Basically, we will compare M(P) with $M(T[i, i+m-1]) \forall i \text{ in } [1, n-m+1]$.

We will calculate M(P) by right multiplying corresponding M(P[i]) for i from 1 to m to an identity matrix. Similarly, we will calcuate M(T[1, m]) After that to get, M(T[i, i + m - 1]) for i > 1, we will take M(T[i - 1, i + m - 2]), right multiply M(T[i+m,i+m]) and left multiply $M(T[i-1,i-1])^{-1}$ to it.

The following pseudo code formalize the above algorithm.

```
pattern \leftarrow M(P);
```

```
current \leftarrow M(T[1, m]);
for i = 1 to n - m + 1
       if\ pattern == current
             print "Pattern present at index i";
       if \ i < n - m + 1
             current = multiply(current, M(T[i+m, i+m]));
             current = multiply(M(T[i, i]), current);
```

Order Analysis:

Calculating M(P) takes O(m) time

Calculating M(T[1, m]) takes O(m) time

Loop Runs n-m+1 times and at each iteration we do a O(1) computation. Hence loop takes O(n-m+1) time. Overall Complexity = O(n+m) time.

2.

Notation:

Let M be a matrix then M mod p denotes a matrix in which all entries of M are replaced by their modulo with p. Hence, We perform the above algorithm but at each step, while multiplying two matrices, we take modulo with p. Let M(A) and M(B) be two matrices which are mapping of string A and B of size m. $\pi(t)$ = number of primes less than equal to t.

Now, if M(A) == M(B) then M(A) mod p == M(A) mod p then A == B which is true.

But if A := B and then M(A) := M(B) then it might be possible that $M(A) \mod p == M(B) \mod p$, which will imply that A == B which is not true.

Hence, this algorithm will fail iff $M(A) \stackrel{!}{=} M(B)$ and $M(A) \mod p = M(B) \mod p$.

Let D = M(A) - M(B)

 $M(A) \mod p == M(B) \mod p$

 $\implies M(A) - M(B) \mod p == 0$ (where 0 is 2X2 null matrix)

 $\implies D \mod p == 0$

Hence, every entry of D is muliple of p, or conversely p is factor of every entry of D.

Now, take any entry of D (say x). Now, x is bounded by m^{th} Fibonacci number which is bounded by 2^m ;

Now, any number N has at most log N factors hence x has at most m factors or at most n factors (m \leq n).

Let say we choose p uniformly randomly from range [2, t] then probability that a factor of x was choosen was $\frac{n}{\pi(t)}$

There are four elements of D and event that p is a factor of one of the element is independent of the event that p is a factor of other element of D.

Hence probability that p was a factor of all of the element $\leq \left(\frac{n}{\pi(t)}\right)^4$.

We want this probability to be less than $\frac{1}{n^4}$.

Hence, we want $\frac{n}{\pi(t)}$ to be less that $\frac{1}{n}$.

Putting $t = n^2 log n$ and $\pi(t) = \frac{t}{log t}$. (As done in class) We get this probability to be less $\frac{1}{n^4}$.

3.

Notation:

Here we assume that all operation are accompanied by a modulo operation by p which is chosen in the begining. Let S be 2-D bit-matrix and S(i,j) denotes the element of i^{th} row and j^{th} column.

 $PrefixProduct(i, j) = Product of all the M(S(k, j)) s.t. 1 \le k \le i.$

i.e. PrefixProduct(i, j) = M(S(1, j)) * M(S(2, j)) * ... * M(S(i, j))

PrefixProductInverse(i, j) = Matrix inverse of <math>PrefixProduct(i, j)

i.e. $PrefixProductInverse(i,j) = M(S(i,j))^{-1} * M(S(i-1,j))^{-1} * ... * M(S(1,j))^{-1}$

Now let us assume there is a matrix X of size NXM then we have map this matrix to 2X2 matrix M(X)

We define this map as follows:

If X is column matrix, cut this matrix into two submatrix by cutting at any row. Let U be upper column matrix and L be the lower one.

Then M(X) = M(U) * M(L)

If X is not a column matrix, cut this at any column, let L be the submatrix left of this line and R be the one right to it. Then M(X) = M(L) * M(R)

Now we have defined the new mapping M, we can state out algorithm.

Algorithm: Let T be the text nXn 2-D bit-matrix and P be the pattern mXm 2-D bit-matrix. First we show how to calculate PrefixProduct(i,j) and PrefixProductInverse for matrix T in $O(n^2)$ time.

PrefixProduct(1,j) and PrefixProductInverse(1,j) will be simply the M of the corresponding element. To calculate them for other rows just use the PrefixProduct(i,j) and PrefixProductInverse of just upper row.

Following pseudocode describes out algorithm:

```
\begin{split} \text{for j} &= 1 \text{ to n} \\ &\quad PrefixProduct(1,j) = M(T[1,j]) \\ &\quad PrefixProductInverse(1,j) = M(T[1,j])^{-1} \\ \text{for i} &= 2 \text{ to n} \\ &\quad \text{for j} &= 1 \text{ to n} \\ &\quad PrefixProduct(i,j) = PrefixProduct(i-1,j) * M(T[i,j]) \\ &\quad PrefixProductInverse(i,j) = M(T[i,j])^{-1} * PrefixProductInverse(i-1,j) \end{split}
```

Also we calculate M(P) in $O(m^2)$ time.

Initialize $M(P) = I_{2x2}$

for i = 1 to m

for
$$j = 1$$
 to m

$$M(P) = M(P) * M(T(j, i))$$

Now we have to calculate mapping of every submatrix of size m of matrix P. Let M(P(i,j)) is the mapping of submatrix of size m ending at (i,j) in P. $m \le i, j \le n$. We will calculate this mapping in $O(n^2)$ time as follows:

First we calculate $M_c(i,j)$ which is product of all the M(P[k,j]) such that $i-m+1 \le k \le i, m \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le n$

Also we will calculate $M_c(i,j)^{-1}$ with it. We can calculate these both in $O(n^2)$ as follows:

If i = m

$$M_c(m, j) = PrefixProduct(m, j)$$

 $M_c(m, j)^{-1} = PrefixProductInverse(m, j)$

else

$$M_c(i,j) = PrefixProductInverse(i-m,j) * PrefixProduct(i,j)$$

 $M_c(m,j)^{-1} = PrefixProductInverse(i,j) * PrefixProduct(i-m,j)$

Now with help of this we can calculate M(P(i,j)) in $O(n^2)$ as follows:

if j = m

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Initialize } M(P(i,j)) &= I_{2X2} \\ \text{for k} &= 1 \text{ to m} \\ M(P(i,j)) &= M(P(i,j)) * M_c(i,k) \end{aligned}$$

else

$$M(P(i,j)) = M_c(i,j-m)^{-1} * M(P(i,j-1)) * M_c(i,j)$$

For n-m+1 elements we take O(m) time and for rest of the elements we take O(1) time. Hence total time = $O(m*(n-m+1)) + O((n-m+1)*(n-m+1)) = O(n^2)$

Hence we just go at each i,j s.t m \leq i,j \leq n,compare M(T) with M(P(i,j)) in O(1) time which take overall $O(n^2)$

2. How well did you internalize the proof of Chernoff bound?

1. Probability that number of coin tosses required to get n heads is greater than $2n(1+\delta)$ is same as Probability of getting less than or equal n-1 heads out $2n(1+\delta)-1$ coin tosses.

For given geometrically distributed random variable, we know that expected value is $\frac{1}{p}$ which is given 2, hence $p = \frac{1}{2}$.

$$X_{i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if head is obtained at } i_{th} \text{ iteration} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$E[X_i] = \frac{1}{2} * 1 + \frac{1}{2} * 0 = \frac{1}{2}.$$

$$X' = \sum_{i=1}^{2n(1+\delta)-1} X'_i$$

$$E[X'] = n(1+\delta) - \frac{1}{2}$$

Assuming $n \gg \frac{1}{2}$, we get $E[X'] = n(1 + \delta)$

$$P(X' \le (n-1))$$

$$= P(X' \le (n-1)\frac{E[X']}{(1+\delta)n})$$

$$= P(X' \le (1 - \frac{n\delta + 1}{n(1+\delta)}) * E[X'])$$

$$\leq \mathrm{P}(X^{`} \leq (1 - \frac{\delta}{\delta + 1}) * E[X^{`}])$$
 (As $\frac{n\delta + 1}{n(1 + \delta)} > \frac{\delta}{\delta + 1}$)

=
$$P(X' \le (1 - \delta') * E[X'])$$
 By letting $\delta' = \frac{\delta}{\delta + 1}$

$$\leq \left(\frac{e^{-\delta'}}{(1-\delta')^{1-\delta'}}\right)^{E[X']}$$

Putting value of δ , we get

$$\leq ((1+\delta)e^{-\delta})^n$$

2. According to Markov's Inequality

$$P(X \ge a) \le \frac{E[X]}{a}$$
 if $X(\omega) \ge 0 \ \forall \ \omega \in \Omega$

$$X(\omega) \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$P(X \ge (1 + \delta)\mu)$$

$$= P(e^{tx} \ge e^{(1+\delta)t\mu}) \le \frac{E[e^{tx}]}{e^{(1+\delta)t\mu}}$$

$$E[e^{tX}]$$

$$= \mathbf{E}[e^{tX_1 + tX_2 + tX_3 \dots + tX_n}]$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n E[e^{tX_i}]$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}[e^{tX_i}] &= \frac{e^t}{2} + \frac{e^{2t}}{4} + \frac{e^{3t}}{8} \, \dots = \frac{e^t}{2(1 - \frac{e^t}{2})} = \frac{e^t}{2 - e^t} \\ \mathbf{E}[e^{tX}] &= \prod_{i=1}^n E[e^{tX_i}] = (\frac{e^t}{2 - e^t})^n \end{split}$$

$$E[e^{tX}] = \prod_{i=1}^{n} E[e^{tX_i}] = (\frac{e^t}{2-e^t})^n$$

Now
$$\mu = 2n$$

$$P(X \ge 2n(1+\delta)) \le (\frac{e^t}{(2-e^t)e^{2t(1+\delta)}})^n$$

Now differentiating w.r.t t to get the minima we get

$$P(X \ge 2n(1+\delta)) \le (\frac{(1+\delta)^{2(1+\delta)}}{(1+2\delta)^{1+2\delta}})^n$$

Claim: Bound obtained from 2nd part is better

Proof: Bound obtained in 1st part $(say \ b1) = (\frac{1+\delta}{e^{\delta}})^n$

Bound obtained in 2nd part (say b2) = $(\frac{(1+\delta)^{2(1+\delta)}}{(1+2\delta)^{1+2\delta}})^n$

To prove
$$\frac{b1}{b2} \ge 1$$
 $\frac{b1}{b2} = (\frac{(1+\delta)(1+2\delta)^{1+2\delta}}{((1+\delta)^{2(1+\delta)})e^{\delta}})^n$

Taking ln on both sides, we get

$$n(ln(1+\delta) - \delta - 2(1+\delta)ln(1+\delta) + (2\delta+1) * ln(1+2\delta)) \ge 0$$

$$(2\delta+1)(ln(\frac{1+2\delta}{1+\delta}))>\delta$$

$$ln(\frac{1+2\delta}{1+\delta}) = ln(1+\frac{\delta}{1+\delta}) > \frac{\delta}{\delta+1} - \frac{\delta^2}{2(\delta+1)^2}$$

LHS
$$> (2\delta + 1)(\frac{\delta}{\delta + 1} - \frac{\delta^2}{2(\delta + 1)^2}) = \frac{\delta(2\delta + 1)(\delta + 2)}{2(\delta + 1)^2} = \frac{\delta(2\delta^2 + 5\delta + 2)}{(2\delta^2 + 4\delta + 2)} > \delta$$

Hence proved.

3. Estimating biasness of a coin

Strategy: We toss the coin N times, Let H be the random variable denoting the number of heads in N coin tosses. We report

Now, given ϵ , δ and a, we will estimate the value of N so that the following probability hold.

$$\Pr[|p - \widetilde{p}| > p\epsilon] < \delta$$

$$\implies \Pr[\widetilde{p} < p(1 - \epsilon)] + \Pr[\widetilde{p} > p(1 + \epsilon)] < \delta$$

$$\implies \Pr[N\widetilde{p} < Np(1-\epsilon)] + \Pr[N\widetilde{p} > Np(1+\epsilon)] < \delta$$

$$\implies \Pr[H < E[H](1 - \epsilon)] + \Pr[H > E[H](1 + \epsilon)] < \delta$$

Now
$$\Pr[H < E[H](1 - \epsilon)] + \Pr[H > E[H](1 + \epsilon)]$$

$$< e^{\frac{-N\epsilon^2p}{2}} + e^{\frac{-N\epsilon^2p}{4}}$$

$$<2e^{\frac{-N\epsilon^2p}{4}}$$

$$< 2e^{\frac{-N\epsilon^2a}{4}}$$

If we have this term to be less than δ then our Probability condition will hold.

$$2e^{\frac{-N\epsilon^2a}{4}} < \delta$$

$$\implies \frac{-N\epsilon^2 a}{4} < ln(\frac{\delta}{2})$$

$$N > 4 \frac{\ln(\frac{2}{\delta})}{a\epsilon^2}$$