## Debug report

In every question 2 test case, a function called sort\_words is called. Nowhere in any of the files does such a function exist. Each of these functions, as well as each additional question 2 test case that followed was renamed to either sort\_words\_Bubble or sort\_words\_Selection.

All other test cases were commented out for question 2 functions. The last two test cases are the selection sort test case.

A breakpoint was set up at the end of the function. This was the result.

```
(gdb) file Lab3.exe
Reading symbols from C:\COE2SH4\Labs\Lab3\lab-3-tourloua\Lab3.exe...done.
(gdb) break Question2.c: 111
Breakpoint 1 at 0x403d49: file Question2.c, line 111.
(gdb) run
Starting program: C:\COE2SH4\Lab3\lab-3-tourloua/Lab3.exe
[New Thread 10780.0xb28]
[New Thread 10780.0x4764]
Breakpoint 1, sort words Selection (words=0xb46100, size=6) at Question2.c:114
(gdb) i locals
i = 6
j = 6
minIndex = 5
(gdb) display words[0]
1: words[0] = 0xb46220 "banana"
(gdb) display words[1]
2: words[1] = 0xb461c0 "apple"
(gdb) display words[2]
3: words[2] = 0xb46130 "milan"
(gdb) display words[3]
4: words[3] = 0xb46160 "hello"
(gdb) display words[4]
5: words[4] = 0xb46190 "programming"
(gdb) display words[5]
6: words[5] = 0xb461f0 "zebra"
```

While it does seem that the strings are being partially sorted in ascending order, there is still some way to go.

```
107 ∨ if(minIndex != j)
```

This line was one of the two semantic bugs. minIndex is set to j immediately before this line is run, but only if there is a -ith index string that is greater than a subsequent -jth index string alphabetically. That line was changed to this

because a swap only occurs if an -ith index is not the smallest string alphabetically. After this change, the program was saved, recompiled and run again. This was the output:

```
PS C:\COE2SH4\Labs\Lab3\lab-3-tourloua> .\Lab3.exe
..............FF

There were 2 failures:
1) TestQ2_readandSort7: testCases.c:382: expected <milan> but was <hello>
2) TestQ2_readandSort8: testCases.c:400: expected <milan> but was <hello>
!!!FAILURES!!!
Runs: 24 Passes: 22 Fails: 2
```

There was still one more semantic error.

After setting up breakpoints throughout the function, in particular at the end of the loops, this was the result:

```
Breakpoint 1, sort words Selection (words=0x746100, size=6) at Question2.c:99
                for(j = i + 1; j < size; j++)
2: words[1] = 0x7461c0 "apple"
1: words[0] = 0x746220 "banana"
(gdb) c
Continuing.
Breakpoint 2, sort words Selection (words=0x746100, size=6) at Question2.c:101
                    if(my strcmpOrder(words[i], words[j]) == 1)
2: words[1] = 0x7461c0 "apple"
1: words[0] = 0x746220 "banana"
(gdb) c
Continuing.
Breakpoint 3, sort_words Selection (words=0x746100, size=6) at Question2.c:103
                        minIndex = j;
2: words[1] = 0x7461c0 "apple"
1: words[0] = 0x746220 "banana"
(gdb) c
Continuing.
Breakpoint 1, sort words Selection (words=0x746100, size=6) at Question2.c:99
                for(j = i + 1; j < size; j++)
2: words[1] = 0x7461c0 "apple"
1: words[0] = 0x746220 "banana"
(gdb) c
Continuing.
```

The program still was not properly sorting the strings. The problem ended up being here

```
if(my_strcmpOrder(words[i], words[j]) == 1)

if(my_strcmpOrder(words[i], words[j]) == 1)

{
    minIndex = j;
}
```

Line 101 passes the wrong two strings as parameters. The minIndex string and string j must be compared since we end up swapping the i-th and j-th string later in the function. This code should be replaced with

With those two semantic bugs removed, as well as the syntax error in the test cases, the program ran successfully.

```
PS C:\COE2SH4\Labs\Lab3\lab-3-tourloua> .\Lab3.exe
.....
OK (24 tests)
```

The program ran successfully with every single test case that was used.

```
PS C:\COE2SH4\Labs\Lab3\lab-3-tourloua> .\Lab3.exe
OK (34 tests)
```