

COL761 A1 — Question 3 Report

Date: 2026-02-03

This report describes the exact approach implemented in the submitted code for Q3 (graph indexing / candidate generation). The goal is to generate a *safe* candidate set C_q for every query q such that it contains all true matches (0 false negatives), while keeping C_q as small as possible for a higher score.

Files submitted

identify.sh, identify.py: builds a feature-definition file from the database graphs.

convert.sh, convert.py, graph_utils.py: converts graphs into a binary feature matrix saved as .npy.

generate_candidates.sh, match.py: produces candidates.dat using feature containment check.

env.sh: creates a venv and installs dependencies.

Dependencies / libraries

Python 3

NumPy (vectorized feature matrix operations)

networkx (parsing and basic graph utilities such as cycle detection)

No GPU / ML frameworks are used; features are sparse and binary as required.

Input / output interfaces (matches the pdf)

identify.sh <path_graph_dataset> <path_discriminative_subgraphs> → writes a feature-definition file at the given output path.

convert.sh <path_graph_dataset> <path_discriminative_subgraphs> <path_features> → writes a NumPy array (N×F) to path_features.

generate_candidates.sh <path_database_features> <path_query_features> <path_candidates> → writes candidates.dat to path_candidates.

All scripts accept absolute/relative paths and do not assume any fixed filenames.

High-level idea

If q is a subgraph of g , then many *monotone* properties of q must also hold for g . We encode each graph as a binary vector $v(G)$ of such monotone properties (features). During candidate generation, we keep g as a candidate for query q iff:

$v(g) \geq v(q)$ **componentwise** (i.e., every 1-bit in $v(q)$ is also 1 in $v(g)$).

This guarantees **0 false negatives** as long as every feature is monotone under subgraph embedding. It may keep extra graphs (false positives), which is acceptable — score improves as candidates shrink.

Feature design

All features are **binary**. Conceptually, each feature corresponds to the presence of a small fragment or a safe lower-bound constraint. The implemented feature set is the union of the following groups:

1) Size lower-bounds

$\mathbb{N}V \geq k$: graph has at least k vertices (k in a small set of thresholds).

$\mathbb{N}E \geq k$: graph has at least k edges.

Monotonicity: if $q \subseteq g$, then $|V(q)| \leq |V(g)|$ and $|E(q)| \leq |E(g)|$, so any satisfied threshold in q is also satisfied in g .

2) Node-label multiplicity lower-bounds

$\mathbb{A}:L \geq k$: number of nodes with label L is at least k .

Monotonicity: injective node mapping preserves labels, so g must contain at least as many nodes of each label as q .

3) Edge-type multiplicity lower-bounds

$\mathbb{E}:(uLabel-edgeLabel-vLabel) \geq k$: number of edges of a given typed form is at least k (endpoint labels are sorted for undirected edges).

Monotonicity: each edge in q maps to a distinct edge in g with the same labels, so counts cannot decrease.

4) Labeled degree lower-bounds

$\mathbb{D}:L \geq k$: there exists a node with label L whose (graph) degree is at least k .

Monotonicity: in a subgraph embedding, a node's degree in g is at least its degree inside the embedded subgraph, so if q has a label- L node with degree $\geq k$, the image in g also has degree $\geq k$.

5) Cycle presence

$\mathbb{C}Y$:any: graph contains at least one cycle (detected via NetworkX cycle_basis).

Monotonicity: if q contains a cycle, that cycle's edges must appear in g , so g also contains a cycle.

6) Hashed structural fragments with count thresholds (strong pruning)

To get stronger pruning than global counts alone, we also include features derived from small local fragments. These are still **safe** because they represent lower bounds on the number of fragment occurrences.

H2: edge-aware wedges (length-2, center node with two incident edges).

H3: edge-labeled simple paths of length 3 (4 nodes, 3 edges).

HS: edge-aware stars (neighbor-type multiplicities around a center label).

Because the number of distinct fragment signatures can be large, we map each signature (plus its threshold) into a fixed bucket via a stable MD5 hash. This introduces collisions, which can only create *more* candidates (false positives), never false negatives.

Why the hashed fragment features are monotone

Each fragment instance in q maps to a corresponding fragment instance in g under an injective node mapping. Therefore, for any fixed signature S , $\text{count}_g(S) \geq \text{count}_q(S)$. We then set a binary feature ' $S \geq t$ ' to 1 iff $\text{count}(S) \geq t$. Since $\text{count}_g(S) \geq \text{count}_q(S)$, if q satisfies ' $S \geq t$ ' then g also satisfies it. Hashing does not change this implication: if q triggers a bucket b (because some S hashed to b), then g also triggers the same bucket because it contains the same S .

Candidate generation rule

Given database feature matrix M_{db} ($N \times F$) and query feature matrix M_q ($Q \times F$), for each query q we select candidates:

$$C_q = \{ i \mid M_{db}[i, j] \geq M_q[q, j] \text{ for all features } j \}$$

This is implemented in **match.py** using a vectorized NumPy check (`np.all(db_matrix >= q_vec, axis=1)`). The output is written in the exact required format:

```
q # <query_serial>
c # <space-separated 1-based db serials>
```

Correctness / 0 false negatives guarantee

Let R_q be the true result set for query q (graphs in DB that contain q as a subgraph). We must ensure $R_q \subseteq C_q$.

For any $g \in R_q$, q is a subgraph of g . By construction, every individual feature is monotone, so $v(q) \leq v(g)$ componentwise. Therefore g passes the containment test and is included in C_q . Hence, there are **zero false negatives**.

Why this helps pruning (smaller candidates.dat)

The stronger the feature set, the more likely a random database graph violates at least one query feature bit, and gets filtered out. In practice, the hashed structural fragments (H2/H3/HS) add many independent constraints beyond simple label counts, which substantially reduces candidate sizes while staying safe.

Notes on ordering and duplicates

Candidates are output in increasing order of database index (1-based), which matches 'original ordering' requirement.

identify.py also deduplicates the database internally while preserving first occurrence order. This does not affect correctness; it only affects which label universe is used to enumerate features.

How to run

```
bash env.sh
```

```
bash identify.sh data/muta_graphs.txt features.txt
```

```
bash convert.sh data/muta_graphs.txt features.txt db_vectors.npy
```

```
bash convert.sh data/query_graphs.txt features.txt q_vectors.npy
```

```
bash generate_candidates.sh db_vectors.npy q_vectors.npy candidates.dat
```