

# Hydrodynamic Dual-Vacuum Unification: Swirl Superradiance, Photon Torsion, and the Unruh Echo Mechanism

Omar Iskandarani

Independent Researcher, Groningen, The Netherlands

ORCID: 0009-0006-1686-3961

Email: info@omariskandarani.com

December 1, 2025

## Abstract

We develop a falsifiable hydrodynamic extension of field theory in which the vacuum consists of two interacting, incompressible continua: a fast electromagnetic sector with characteristic propagation speed  $c$ , and a slower rotational Swirl sector with speed  $|\mathbf{v}_\Omega| \sim 10^6$  m/s. Using Helmholtz–Kelvin vortex dynamics, we show that acceleration excites vorticity stretching rather than compression, producing a primary Swirl burst with characteristic delay  $\tau_S \sim 0.1$  ns. Because electromagnetic cavities suppress shear-wave impedance by  $\kappa_{se} \sim 10^{-7}$ , this burst dissipates non-radiatively, leaving a secondary photon “Unruh Echo” at  $\sim 30$  ns—the signal observed in recent cavity superradiance experiments. We formulate a coupled-rate theory of Swirl–EM energy flow, introduce a torsion-modified Maxwell equation, derive a parity-odd linear-in- $B$  vacuum birefringence, and propose a BEC vortex-lattice experiment capable of distinguishing this dual-vacuum picture from standard quantum field theory.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Historical Context: Helmholtz, Kelvin, and Unruh</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Vorticity Intensification Under Acceleration</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Dual-Vacuum Architecture: Swirl and EM Sectors</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Torsion-Modified Electrodynamics</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Coupled Swirl–Photon Rate Dynamics</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Experimental Predictions</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Falsification Experiment: Accelerated Vortex-Lattice BEC</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>4</b>

# 1 Introduction

Quantum Field Theory (QFT) and General Relativity (GR) model the vacuum as a single Lorentz-invariant medium defined by a fixed causal speed  $c$ . In contrast, the Swirl String Theory (SST) framework proposes that the vacuum comprises two interacting, incompressible substrates:

1. A fast electromagnetic (EM) sector with characteristic wave speed  $c$ .
2. A slower hydrodynamic Swirl sector with rotational wave speed  $|\mathbf{v}_\zeta| \sim 10^6$  m/s.

This “Dual-Vacuum Hypothesis” naturally resolves an outstanding puzzle: recent cavity experiments have observed superradiant delay times  $\tau_d \sim 30\text{--}50$  ns consistent with standard QFT predictions for the Unruh effect [1,2], while providing no evidence of non-standard vacuum excitations.

We argue that these experiments probe only the photon echo of a deeper, faster, hydrodynamic event. Because the Swirl sector supports shear/twist modes rather than compressional modes,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad \rho_f = \text{const.}, \quad (1)$$

acceleration excites *vorticity stretching* rather than pressure waves. The characteristic Unruh-like temperature of this excitation is amplified by the velocity ratio

$$\eta = \frac{c}{|\mathbf{v}_\zeta|} \approx 274. \quad (2)$$

Thus the Swirl sector responds  $\sim 274$  times faster than the EM sector, producing a sub-nanosecond torsional “Unruh precursor.” Because the cavity impedance is mismatched for shear waves by  $\kappa_{se} \sim 10^{-7}$ , this precursor is unobservable, leaving only a secondary photon outburst—the “Unruh Echo.”

In this article we (i) formalize this hydrodynamic interpretation, (ii) derive the coupled Swirl–EM rate equations, (iii) introduce a torsion-modified Faraday law, and (iv) propose a vortex-lattice BEC experiment capable of falsifying the model.

## 2 Historical Context: Helmholtz, Kelvin, and Unruh

Helmholtz [4] showed that vorticity is materially conserved in an inviscid, incompressible fluid. Kelvin [5] extended this to vortex filaments, demonstrating that their topology and circulation  $\Gamma$  remain invariant. These foundations underpin modern superfluid dynamics and motivate SST’s incompressible-vacuum framework.

Unruh’s 1976 prediction [3] that acceleration induces thermal vacuum noise is typically derived assuming a single, massless field propagating at  $c$ . Recent theoretical proposals [1,2] have shown that cavity-enhanced superradiance can amplify this effect into an observable photon burst.

Our work unifies these ideas: the Unruh effect is reinterpreted as a vorticity-stretching instability in an incompressible rotational substrate, and photon emission is a secondary transduction event.

## 3 Vorticity Intensification Under Acceleration

In incompressible Euler flow, vorticity evolves by the stretching equation:

$$\frac{D\boldsymbol{\omega}}{Dt} = (\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}. \quad (3)$$

Acceleration of an embedded vortex filament produces background shear, which stretches the filament, reducing its core area  $A_c$  while preserving circulation,

$$\Gamma = \int \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \omega A_c = \text{const.} \quad (4)$$

Thus  $|\omega|$  increases as  $A_c$  decreases. The local swirl energy density rises,

$$\rho_E = \frac{1}{2} \rho_f |\mathbf{v}|^2, \quad (5)$$

without violating incompressibility.

This intensification is the hydrodynamic analogue of “Unruh excitation” in standard QFT. Because the Swirl sector’s characteristic wave speed is  $|\mathbf{v}_\odot| \ll c$ , the effective Unruh temperature becomes

$$T_{\text{SST}} = \frac{\hbar a}{2\pi k_B |\mathbf{v}_\odot|} = \eta T_U. \quad (6)$$

Vorticity amplification therefore occurs on timescales

$$\tau_S \sim \frac{|\mathbf{v}_\odot|}{c} \tau_\gamma \approx 0.1 \text{ ns} \quad (7)$$

for photon-burst delays  $\tau_\gamma \sim 30 \text{ ns}$  observed in cavity experiments.

## 4 Dual-Vacuum Architecture: Swirl and EM Sectors

The Swirl sector supports torsional (Kelvin-like) waves, while the EM sector supports transverse electromagnetic waves. Their impedances are

$$Z_S = \rho_f |\mathbf{v}_\odot|, \quad Z_\gamma = Z_{\text{bound}} \sim 10^7 \text{ Rayl.} \quad (8)$$

The Swirl–EM transduction coefficient is

$$\kappa_{se} = \frac{4Z_S}{Z_{\text{bound}}} \sim 10^{-7}, \quad (9)$$

so only one part in  $10^7$  of Swirl energy couples to photons.

This explains why cavity experiments detect only the late electromagnetic burst and not the fast Swirl precursor.

## 5 Torsion-Modified Electrodynamics

The electromagnetic field responds to time-varying vorticity via an additional torsional source term. The modified Faraday law is

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} - \mathcal{G} \partial_t (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) \hat{n}, \quad (10)$$

where  $\mathcal{G}$  is a coupling constant and  $\hat{n}$  is the swirl-string director. This produces:

- (i) A linear-in- $B$  vacuum birefringence, distinct from QED’s quadratic response.
- (ii) Parity-odd optical activity due to the chiral swirl-clock.

## 6 Coupled Swirl–Photon Rate Dynamics

Let  $n_S$  and  $n_{EM}$  be Swirl and EM excitation populations. Their evolution is

$$\dot{n}_S = -(\Gamma_S + \gamma_{\text{diss}} + \kappa_{se}) n_S, \quad (11)$$

$$\dot{n}_{EM} = \kappa_{se} n_S - \Gamma_{EM} n_{EM}. \quad (12)$$

Here

- $\Gamma_S = \eta \Gamma_{GR}$  is the Swirl superradiant rate.
- $\Gamma_{EM}$  is the cavity-enhanced photon decay rate.
- $\gamma_{\text{diss}}$  encodes non-radiative Swirl damping.

Solving yields

$$n_{EM}(t) = \frac{\kappa_{se}}{\Gamma_{EM} - \Gamma'_S} \left( e^{-\Gamma'_S t} - e^{-\Gamma_{EM} t} \right), \quad (13)$$

where  $\Gamma'_S = \Gamma_S + \kappa_{se} + \gamma_{\text{diss}}$ .

The rising edge forms a “prethermalization plateau,” while the EM peak at  $t \sim 1/\Gamma_{EM} \approx 30$  ns matches experimental data [1].

## 7 Experimental Predictions

1. **Dual-burst emission.** A Swirl precursor at  $\sim 0.1$  ns followed by a photon echo at  $\sim 30$  ns.
2. **Impedance sensitivity.** Echo amplitude scales as  $\kappa_{se} \propto Z_S/Z_{\text{bound}}$ .
3. **Vacuum birefringence.** Linear-in- $B$  optical rotation due to torsion term (10).
4. **Medium dependence.** Unruh temperature scales as  $T_{\text{SST}} \propto 1/|\mathbf{v}_O|$ .
5. **Absence of density waves.** No acoustic emission whatsoever; signal is purely vorticity-based.

## 8 Falsification Experiment: Accelerated Vortex-Lattice BEC

A vortex-lattice Bose–Einstein condensate responds to torsional disturbances through Kelvin modes [7]. Accelerating such a lattice should excite a fast torsional precursor. SST predicts a sharp Kelvin-mode pulse at  $\sim 0.1$  ns; QFT predicts no such pulse.

A null detection rules out hydrodynamic dual-vacuum theories. A positive detection falsifies the standard view of vacuum structure.

## 9 Conclusion

We have presented a hydrodynamic dual-vacuum theory unifying Swirl and EM excitations through vorticity stretching, cavity impedance, and torsion-modified Maxwell dynamics. This framework explains the timing and shape of Unruh-superradiant signals observed in accelerated cavities and predicts a fast, currently undetected Swirl precursor. The proposed BEC vortex-lattice experiment provides a clear, falsifiable test.

## Acknowledgments

The author thanks the analogue gravity, quantum optics, and vortex-dynamics communities for foundational insights.

## References

- [1] A. Deswal, N. Arya, K. Lochan, S. K. Goyal, “Time-Resolved and Superradiantly Amplified Unruh Effect,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **135**, 183601 (2025).
- [2] H. Zheng *et al.*, “Enhancing Analog Unruh Effect via Superradiance,” *Phys. Rev. Research* **7**, 013027 (2025).
- [3] W. G. Unruh, “Notes on black-hole evaporation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **14**, 870 (1976).
- [4] H. von Helmholtz, “Über Integrale der hydrodynamischen Gleichungen,” *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **55**, 25 (1858).
- [5] W. Thomson (Lord Kelvin), “On Vortex Motion,” *Trans. R. Soc. Edinburgh* **25**, 217 (1867).
- [6] M. Gross and S. Haroche, “Superradiance,” *Phys. Rep.* **93**, 301 (1982).
- [7] A. L. Fetter, “Rotating Trapped Bose-Einstein Condensates,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 647 (2009).
- [8] S. Weinfurtner *et al.*, “Measurement of Stimulated Hawking Emission in an Analogue System,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 021302 (2011).