

Swirl–String Theory Rosetta Stone v0.6: Translation Guide for Symbols, Macros, and Constants

*Omar Iskandarani**

January 17, 2026

Abstract

This Rosetta note provides a structured translation layer between Swirl–String Theory (SST) and mainstream formalisms in gravity, fluid dynamics, and quantum field theory, without relying on its historical VAM presentation. At the kinematic level it fixes the canonical SST identities

$$\rho_E(\text{J m}^{-3}) = \frac{1}{2} \rho_f \|\mathbf{v}_\circ\|^2, \quad \rho_m(\text{kg m}^{-3}) = \rho_E / c^2, \quad K(\text{kg m}^{-3} \text{s}) = \frac{\rho_{\text{core}} r_c}{\|\mathbf{v}_\circ\|}, \quad \rho_f(\text{kg m}^{-3}) = K \Omega,$$

$$\frac{D}{Dt}(R^2 \omega) = 0 \quad (\text{incompressible, inviscid, barotropic, no reconnection}).$$

and shows how these coincide with standard kinetic-energy density, effective mass density, and coarse-grained angular rates in incompressible, inviscid flow. On the chronometric/metric layer, the Swirl–Clock sector is mapped to khronon/Einstein–Æther constructions and to weak-field GR via an explicit identification of the analogue potential Φ_{SST} and the corresponding PPN/GW constraints. On the fluid and topological layers, \mathbf{v}_\circ , ω , and the helicity functional are matched to superfluid velocity fields, vorticity, and Hopf/Skyrme-type solitons, while the knot-based energy functional \mathcal{E}_{eff} is aligned with known topological energy bounds. On the gauge/quantum layer, multi-director swirl symmetries are related to $\mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$ gauge structure and to photon-like excitations in analogue-media language. The document supplies a compact macro set (`\rhoF`, `\rhoE`, `\rhoM`, `\rhoC`, `\vswirl`, `\vnorm`) and layer-by-layer dictionaries so that SST manuscripts can be read, checked, and compared directly within mainstream relativity, fluid, and field-theoretic frameworks, with all dimensional scalings and published numerical calibrations preserved.

* Independent Researcher, Groningen, The Netherlands
Email: info@omariskandarani.com
ORCID: [0009-0006-1686-3961](https://orcid.org/0009-0006-1686-3961)
DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.17606846](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17606846)
License: CC-BY-NC 4.0 International

1 Rosetta Concordance of SST and Mainstream Terminology

Rosetta Card: GR/PPN/GW → Swirl–String Theory (SST)

Domain: weak-field, stationary backgrounds; lensing, Shapiro delay, PPN.

Symbol Dictionary:

| GR object | SST counterpart | Notes |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| $g_{\mu\nu}$ (weak field) | analogue metric via Swirl–Clock | matter propagation sector |
| Φ (Newtonian potential) | Φ_{SST} from swirl energy fraction | defined below |
| $T^{\mu\nu}$ | swirl stress ($\rho_E, p_{\text{swirl}}, \dots$) | barotropic, inviscid |
| c | c | calibrated to luminal signals |

EOM / Metric Map (linearized):

$$ds_{\text{GR}}^2 \approx - \left(1 + \frac{2\Phi}{c^2}\right) c^2 dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2\gamma\Phi}{c^2}\right) d\mathbf{x}^2.$$

Define the local swirl energy density and maximum energy density

$$U_{\text{swirl}} = \frac{1}{2}\rho_f \|\mathbf{v}_\odot\|^2, \quad U_{\text{max}} = \rho_{\text{core}} c^2, \quad \chi_{\text{swirl}} = \frac{U_{\text{swirl}}}{U_{\text{max}}} \text{ (dimensionless),}$$

and map

$$g_{tt} = -(1 - \chi_{\text{swirl}}), \quad g_{ij} = (1 + \gamma \chi_{\text{swirl}}) \delta_{ij}.$$

Matching coefficients gives

$$\boxed{\Phi_{\text{SST}} \equiv -\frac{U_{\text{swirl}}}{2\rho_{\text{core}}}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{2\Phi_{\text{SST}}}{c^2} = -\chi_{\text{swirl}}, \quad \gamma = 1 \text{ (Calibration).}$$

Dimensional check: $[U_{\text{swirl}}] = \text{J/m}^3$, $[\rho_{\text{core}}] = \text{kg/m}^3$, so $U_{\text{swirl}}/\rho_{\text{core}}$ has units $\text{J/kg} = \text{m}^2/\text{s}^2$, as required for Φ .

Numerical validation (SST constants):

$$\kappa = 2\pi r_c \|\mathbf{v}_\odot\| = 9.68 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}, \quad U_{\text{swirl}} = \frac{1}{2}\rho_f \|\mathbf{v}_\odot\|^2 = 4.19 \times 10^5 \text{ J/m}^3,$$

$$U_{\text{max}} = \rho_{\text{core}} c^2 = 3.50 \times 10^{35} \text{ J/m}^3, \quad \chi_{\text{swirl}} = U_{\text{swirl}}/U_{\text{max}} = 1.20 \times 10^{-30},$$

$$\Phi_{\text{SST}} = -\frac{U_{\text{swirl}}}{2\rho_{\text{core}}} = -5.38 \times 10^{-14} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}^2, \quad \left| \frac{2\Phi_{\text{SST}}}{c^2} \right| = 1.20 \times 10^{-30}.$$

Known-limit check: $|\chi_{\text{swirl}}| \ll 1 \Rightarrow$ PPN weak-field holds with $\gamma = 1$.

Predictions & Falsifiers

- Lensing/Shapiro from Φ_{SST} matches GR to $\mathcal{O}(\chi_{\text{swirl}})$; deviations scale with spatial gradients of U_{swirl} .
- High-frequency GW propagation luminal (Calib.) \Rightarrow multi-messenger bounds satisfied.
- Falsifier: any measured $\gamma \neq 1$ at 10^{-5} – 10^{-6} in quasi-static fields contradicts this mapping.

Status: Calibration

Version: v0.5.10

Rosetta Card: Maxwell/QED → SST (multi-director swirl)

Domain: radiation sector; vacuum/linear media analogues.

Dictionary:

| EM object | SST counterpart | Notes |
|--|---|--|
| A_μ | director phase gradient $\partial_\mu \theta$ | Abelian sector |
| $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ | swirl curvature of director field | circulation quantized |
| Charge q | knot/link index (topological) | integer invariants |
| Flux quantum | $\kappa = 2\pi r_c \ \mathbf{v}_\odot\ $ | $9.68 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ |
| Poynting \mathbf{S} | energy flux of Kelvin–swirl waves | $\sim U_{\text{swirl}} \mathbf{v}_{\text{ph}}$ |

Lagrangian/EOM map (linearized, uniform background):

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{SST}}^{(\theta)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{c^2}(\partial_t \theta)^2 - |\nabla \theta|^2 \right] U_{\max},$$

yielding the wave equation

$$\partial_t^2 \theta - c^2 \nabla^2 \theta = 0 \quad (\text{calibrated luminal phase speed}).$$

In inhomogeneous multi-director fields, polarization-dependent phase shifts (vacuum-like birefringence) enter via curvature of the director manifold.

Numerical anchor:

circulation quantum $\kappa = 9.68 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ fixes the smallest swirl-flux unit consistent with r_c and \mathbf{v}_\odot .

Dimensional checks:

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SST}}^{(\theta)}$ has units of energy density by the factor U_{\max} .

Predictions & Falsifiers:

- Plane-wave dispersion $\omega = ck$ in uniform regions; gradients in θ produce tiny polarization-dependent delays $\propto \nabla^2 \theta / U_{\max}$.
- Falsifier: vacuum birefringence above current bounds in high-energy astrophysical spectra would contradict the calibration.

Status: Canonical (kinematics) / Research (multi-director birefringence)

Version: v0.5.10

Rosetta Card: Einstein–Æther/Khronon → SST (Swirl–Clock)

Domain: preferred-frame EFTs; GW constraints; PPN.

Dictionary:

| EA field | SST counterpart | Notes |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Unit timelike u^μ | normalized Swirl–Clock four-velocity | picks foliation |
| c_i couplings | effective foliation elasticities | mapped by calibration |
| c_T (spin-2 GW speed) | luminal by construction | enforce $c_{13} = c_1 + c_3 \simeq 0$ |

Action map (symbolic):

$$S_\text{æ} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[-R - K_{ab}^{mn} \nabla^a u_m \nabla^b u_n + \lambda(u^\mu u_\mu + 1) \right],$$

$$K_{ab}^{mn} = c_1 g_{ab} g^{mn} + c_2 \delta_a^m \delta_b^n + c_3 \delta_a^n \delta_b^m + c_4 u_a u_b g^{mn}.$$

GW calibration:

impose $c_{13} = 0 \Rightarrow c_T^2 = 1$ (luminal spin-2). Spin-1 and spin-0 mode speeds are functions of c_i (see table in source); choose parameter ranges that avoid instabilities/Čerenkov bounds.

Numerical anchor (GW170817 class):

$$|c_T - 1| \lesssim 10^{-15} \Rightarrow |c_{13}| \lesssim 10^{-15} \quad (\text{imposed}).$$

Predictions & Falsifiers:

- With $c_{13} = 0$, SST's Swirl–Clock foliation is consistent with coincident GW–EM arrival.
- Dipole/monopole radiation channels are suppressed by calibration choices; detection at current pulsar-timing sensitivity would falsify this mapping.

Status: Calibration (GW speed) / Research (spin-0/1 sector)

Version: SST-Rosetta v0.5.10

Chronometric and Metric Layer

| SST Term | Mainstream Equivalent | Context / Reference |
|--|--|--|
| Swirl clock S_t^\odot | Khronon / preferred-foliation scalar $T(x)$ | Einstein–Æther, Hořava–Lifshitz gravity [1, 2] |
| Swirl time dilation $d\tau = dt \sqrt{1 - \ \mathbf{v}_\odot\ ^2/c^2}$ | Æther lapse factor N | ADM decomposition |
| Chronos–Kelvin invariant | Kelvin's circulation / helicity conservation | Classical fluid invariants [7] |
| Swirl-foliation metric $g_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{swirl})}$ | Acoustic / analogue-gravity metric | Unruh–Visser emergent metric [12] |

Fluid–Dynamic and Field Layer

| SST Term | Mainstream Name / Concept | Relation |
|--|--|---|
| Swirl velocity field \mathbf{v}_\odot | Superfluid phase-gradient velocity | $\mathbf{v} = (\hbar/m)\nabla\phi$ analogue |
| Effective density ρ_f | Superfluid or effective mass density | Incompressible limit |
| Swirl energy density ρ_E | Kinetic-energy density $\frac{1}{2}\rho v^2$ | Barotropic flow |
| Swirl pressure gradient | Hydrodynamic pressure field $p(\rho)$ | Euler acceleration source |
| Swirl tensor $\omega_{ij} = \partial_i v_j - \partial_j v_i$ | Vorticity tensor | Identical operator |

Topological and Knot Layer

| SST Term | Mainstream Equivalent | Connection |
|---|---|------------------------------------|
| Swirl string | Quantized vortex filament / Nielsen–Olesen string | Fluid–string duality |
| Knot-energy functional $\mathcal{E}_{\text{eff}} = \alpha C + \beta L + \gamma \mathcal{H}$ | Moffatt–Faddeev–Skyrme functional | Topological soliton energy |
| Swirl helicity $\mathcal{H} = \int \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} dV$ | Fluid / magnetic helicity | Linkage and twist invariant [3, 4] |
| Hopf charge H_{vortex} | Hopf invariant | $\pi_3(S^2)$ topological index [5] |
| Golden-layer factor φ^{-2k} | Discrete-scale-invariance factor | Renormalization-group analog |

Gauge and Quantum Layer

| SST Concept | Mainstream Analogue | Mapping |
|--|---|------------------------------------|
| Multi-director swirl symmetry | Gauge algebra $\mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$ | Emergent gauge structure |
| Swirl-string excitations | Unknotted field quanta / gauge bosons | Photon, gluon, ... as unknots |
| Chiral swirl orientation | CPT-conjugate sectors | Matter antimatter dual |
| Swirl potential $\Phi = \frac{1}{2} \ \mathbf{v}_\circ\ ^2$ | Kinetic / gravitational potential analog | Replaces GR curvature scalar |
| Mass functional $M = \frac{1}{\varphi} \frac{4}{\alpha} (\frac{1}{2} \rho_f \ \mathbf{v}_\circ\ ^2 V)$ | Energy–mass equivalence | Mechanical derivation of rest mass |

Gravitational and Large-Scale Layer

| SST Term | Mainstream Name | Comment |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Swirl-gravity constant G_{swirl} | Newtonian G (effective) | Derived from swirl mechanics |
| Pressure-well curvature | Gravitational potential well | Fluid-mechanical analog of curvature |
| Swirl potential waves | Gravitational / acoustic waves | Analogue-gravity mode |
| Torsional shocks | Nonlinear vorticity / spin-density waves | Possible new radiation class |

Thermodynamic and Entropic Layer

| SST Feature | Mainstream Analog | Interpretation |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Swirl entropy growth | Enstrophy / helicity cascade | Entropy production in turbulence |
| Swirl-dissipation arrow | Thermodynamic arrow of time | Irreversibility via reconnection |
| Coherence factor $\xi(n) = 1 + \beta \log n$ | Quantum-coherence correction | Many-body renormalization analog |

Summary Table

| SST Structural Layer | Physics Discipline | Closest Mainstream Equivalent |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Chronometric | Lorentz-violating gravity | Khronon field / preferred foliation |
| Fluid–Dynamic | Superfluid hydrodynamics | Velocity, pressure, density fields |
| Topological | Soliton and knot theory | Hopfions, Skyrmions, helicity |
| Gauge–Quantum | Quantum field theory | Gauge algebra and field quanta |
| Gravitational | Analogue gravity / GR limit | Metric potentials, G analog |
| Thermodynamic | Non-equilibrium physics | Entropic and causal arrows |

Scale-dependent Effective Densities in SST

Effective densities (house style).

$$\rho_f \equiv \text{effective fluid density}, \quad \rho_E \equiv \frac{1}{2} \rho_f \|\mathbf{v}_\circ\|^2 \quad (\text{swirl energy density}),$$

$$\rho_m \equiv \rho_E / c^2 \quad (\text{mass-equivalent density}).$$

Background value: $\rho_f^{\text{bg}} \approx 7.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg m}^{-3}$. Core (material) density: $\rho_{\text{core}} \approx 3.8934358267 \times 10^{18} \text{ kg m}^{-3}$. Hence core energy density

$$\rho_E^{\text{core}} = \rho_{\text{core}} c^2 \approx 3.499 \times 10^{35} \text{ J m}^{-3}.$$

Radial profile (phenomenology). It is convenient to model the near-core energy density with an exponential relaxation to the background:

$$\rho_E(r) = \rho_E^{\text{bg}} + (\rho_E^{\text{core}} - \rho_E^{\text{bg}}) e^{-r/r_*},$$

with a microscopic decay scale r_* (fit parameter). This empirical profile does not replace the exact tube energetics below.

String energetics (Rankine core + irrotational envelope). For a core of radius r_c and length ℓ with solid-body rotation $v_\phi(r) = \Omega r$ for $r \leq r_c$,

$$E_{\text{core}} = \int_0^{r_c} \frac{1}{2} \rho_f (\Omega r)^2 (2\pi r \ell) dr = \frac{\pi}{4} \rho_f \Omega^2 r_c^4 \ell.$$

Outside the core, $v_\phi(r) = \Gamma / (2\pi r)$ with $\Gamma = 2\pi\Omega r_c^2$, giving the slender-tube envelope term

$$E_{\text{env}} \simeq \frac{\rho_f \Gamma^2}{4\pi} \ell \ln \frac{R}{r_c},$$

where R is an outer cutoff set by the nearest boundary or neighboring strings. Both contributions are standard in vortex-tube energetics (core + Biot–Savart envelope).

Coarse-graining. At macroscales, we use the canonical identity

$$K = \frac{\rho_{\text{core}} r_c}{\|\mathbf{v}_\circ\|_{r=r_c}} = \frac{\rho_{\text{core}} r_c}{\|\mathbf{v}_\circ\|_{r=r_c}}, \quad \rho_f = K \Omega_{\text{leaf}},$$

where Ω_{leaf} is a coarse-grained (leaf-averaged) angular rate. Numerically, $\Omega_{\text{leaf}} \sim 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the Canon fit; it must not be confused with the microscopic core rate below.

2 Layered Time Scaling from Swirl Dynamics

Adopt the SR-like local rule

$$\frac{d\tau}{dt} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{v_\phi^2(r)}{c^2}}.$$

With a Rankine profile,

$$v_\phi(r) = \begin{cases} \Omega_{\text{core}} r, & r \leq r_c, \\ \frac{\Gamma}{2\pi r}, & r \geq r_c, \end{cases} \quad \Gamma = 2\pi\Omega_{\text{core}} r_c^2.$$

Continuity at $r = r_c$ gives $v_\phi(r_c) = \Omega_{\text{core}} r_c \equiv \|\mathbf{v}_\circ\|_{r=r_c} = \|\mathbf{v}_\circ\|_{r=r_c}$, hence

$$\Omega_{\text{core}} = \frac{\|\mathbf{v}_\circ\|_{r=r_c}}{r_c} = \frac{\|\mathbf{v}_\circ\|_{r=r_c}}{r_c} \approx \frac{1.09384563 \times 10^6}{1.40897017 \times 10^{-15}} \approx 7.763 \times 10^{20} \text{ s}^{-1}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{d\tau}{dt} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\Omega_{\text{core}}^2 r^2}{c^2}}, & r \leq r_c, \\ \sqrt{1 - \frac{\Gamma^2}{4\pi^2 c^2 r^2}}, & r \geq r_c. \end{cases}$$

The earlier ansatz $d\tau/d\bar{t} = e^{-r/r_c}$ can be used only as a phenomenological fit; it does not follow from the SR-like form unless one imposes a special $v_\phi(r)$ inconsistent with Rankine.

3 SST–VAM Translation and Constant Overlaps (Extended)

Chronos–Kelvin invariant

$$\frac{D}{Dt}(R^2\omega) = 0 \quad (\text{incompressible, inviscid, barotropic, no reconnection}).$$

(Kelvin/Helmholtz circulation conservation in SST wording; see [8, 9, 6, 7].)

Temporal Ontology in SST

We distinguish absolute parameter time \mathcal{N} (preferred foliation label), external observer time τ , and internal clocks carried by swirl strings: a phase accumulator $S(t)$ and a loop “proper time” T_s . These appear in the field equations and separate global synchronization from local rotational dynamics.

| | | |
|---------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| \mathcal{N} | Absolute time (foliation) | Global causal parameter |
| v_0 | Now-point | Localized synchronization label |
| τ | External/chronos time | Measured time of external observer |
| $S(t)$ | Swirl clock | Internal phase memory along a string |
| T_s | String proper time | Loop-duration functional |
| \mathbb{K} | Kairos event | Topological/phase transition moment |

Fields, kinematics, operators (mapping)

| VAM (legacy) | SST (house) | Meaning | Units | Overlap |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------|---------|
| “aether time” | absolute time parametrization | foliation time label | — | Yes |
| $T(x)$ | $T(x)$ | scalar clock field | — | Yes |
| u_μ (unit “æther” vector) | u_μ (unit time-like field) | $u_\mu = \partial_\mu T / \sqrt{-g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha T \partial_\beta T}$ | — | Yes |
| “vortex line(s)” | swirl string(s) | object name only | — | Yes |
| $B_{\mu\nu}, H_{\mu\nu\rho}$ | same | Kalb–Ramond 2-form; $H = \partial_{[\mu} B_{\nu\rho]}$ | — | Yes |
| W_μ | W_μ | coarse-grained frame connection | — | Yes |
| $C(K), L(K), \mathcal{H}(K)$ | same | crossing #, ropelength, hyperbolic proxy | — | Yes |

Densities, velocities, coarse-graining (mapping)

| VAM (legacy) | SST (macro) | Meaning | Units | Overlap |
|---|---|--|-----------------------------|---------|
| $\rho_0, \rho_{\infty}^{(\text{fluid})}, \rho_{\infty}^{(\text{vacuum})}$ | $\rho_f, \rho_f^{\text{bg}}$ or $\rho_f^{(0)}$ | effective fluid density | kg m^{-3} | Yes |
| $\rho_{\infty}^{(\text{core})}, \rho_{\infty}^{(\text{mass})}$ | ρ_{core} | core/material density | kg m^{-3} | Yes |
| $\rho_{\infty}^{(\text{energy})}$ | ρ_E (or $\rho_{\text{core}} c^2$) | energy density | J m^{-3} | Yes |
| C_e (tangential) | $\ \mathbf{v}_\odot\ _{r=r_c}$ | characteristic swirl speed ($= \ \mathbf{v}_\odot\ $ at $r = r_e$) | m s^{-1} | Yes |
| C_e (field form) | \mathbf{v}_\odot | swirl-velocity vector field | m s^{-1} | Add |
| C_e (scalar use) | $\ \mathbf{v}_\odot\ _{r=r_c}$ | core magnitude of \mathbf{v}_\odot | m s^{-1} | Add |
| $K = \frac{\rho^{(\text{mass})} r_c}{C_e}$ | $K = \frac{\rho_{\text{core}} r_c}{\ \mathbf{v}_\odot\ _{r=r_c}}$ | coarse-graining coefficient | $\text{kg m}^{-3} \text{s}$ | Add |
| Ω | Ω | leaf angular rate | s^{-1} | Yes |

Global scales and bounds

| VAM (legacy) | SST (house) | Meaning | Units | Overlap |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| F^{\max} (Coulomb) | F_{EM}^{\max} | Coulomb-sector bound | N | Yes |
| F_{gr}^{\max} (Universal) | F_G^{\max} | gravitational/universal bound | N | Yes |
| Γ | Γ | loop circulation | $\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ | Yes |
| Ω_R, Ω_c | same | outer rigid vs. core spin | s^{-1} | Yes |

Numeric overlaps (published values)

| Quantity | Symbol (SST) | Value | Units |
|----------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Characteristic swirl speed | $\ \mathbf{v}_\circ\ _{r=r_c}$ ($\equiv \ \mathbf{v}_\circ\ _{r=r_c}$) | 1,093,845.63 | m s^{-1} |
| Core radius | r_c | $1.40897017 \times 10^{-15}$ | m |
| Core density | ρ_{core} | $3.8934358266918687 \times 10^{18}$ | kg m^{-3} |
| Background density | ρ_f^{bg} | 7.0×10^{-7} | kg m^{-3} |
| Max Coulomb force | $F_{\text{EM}}^{\text{max}}$ | 29.053507 | N |
| Max universal force | F_G^{max} | 3.02563×10^{43} | N |

Macro glossary (house style)

Use the macros to avoid drift:

ρ_f (effective density), ρ_E (energy density), ρ_m (mass-equivalent)

ρ_{core} (core density), \mathbf{v}_\circ (swirl velocity vector), $\|\mathbf{v}_\circ\| = \|\mathbf{v}_\circ\|$ (speed magnitude at a point).

Energy vs mass-equivalent (clarification). ρ_E is an *energy density*; $\rho_m = \rho_E / c^2$ is the corresponding local mass-equivalent. Note. ρ_{core} is a calibration *constant*. The mass-equivalent density is a *field* $\rho_m(x) = \rho_E(x) / c^2$. In the core-saturation evaluation $\rho_E^{\text{core}} = \rho_{\text{core}} c^2$, one has $\rho_m^{\text{core}} = \rho_{\text{core}}$.

Prose guardrails (rebrand policy)

Use *foliation* and *swirl string(s)* in narrative text. Reserve legacy words (“æther”, “vortex”) strictly for quoting historical titles or citations. Retain *vorticity* as standard.

Sentence rewrites (examples)

Legacy: “The æther sector fixes the vortex core density.”

SST: “The *foliation* sector fixes the *core density* ρ_{core} of the swirl string.”

Legacy: “Kelvin’s vortex theorem implies conserved $R^2\omega$.”

SST: “Kelvin’s *circulation* theorem implies $\frac{D}{Dt}(R^2\omega) = 0$ under incompressible, inviscid, barotropic flow.”

References

- [1] T. Jacobson and D. Mattingly, Gravity with a dynamical preferred frame, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 024028 (2001). [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.64.024028](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.64.024028)
- [2] D. Blas, O. Pujolàs, and S. Sibiryakov, Models of non-relativistic quantum gravity: the good, the bad and the healthy, JHEP **04**, 018 (2011). [doi:10.1007/JHEP04\(2011\)018](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2011)018)
- [3] H. K. Moffatt, The degree of knottedness of tangled vortex lines, J. Fluid Mech. **35**, 117–129 (1969). [doi:10.1017/S0022112069000991](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112069000991)
- [4] M. W. Scheeler, W. M. van Rees, H. K. Moffatt, M. F. Hecke, and W. T. M. Irvine, Helicity conservation by flow across scales in reconnecting vortex links and knots, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **111**, 15350–15355 (2014). [doi:10.1073/pnas.1407232111](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1407232111)
- [5] L. Faddeev and A. J. Niemi, Stable knot-like structures in classical field theory, Nature **387**, 58–61 (1997). [doi:10.1038/387058a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/387058a0)
- [6] G. K. Batchelor, *An Introduction to Fluid Dynamics*, Cambridge University Press, 1967. [doi:10.1017/CBO9780511800955](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511800955)

- [7] P. G. Saffman, *Vortex Dynamics*, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
[doi:10.1017/CBO9780511624063](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511624063)
- [8] H. von Helmholtz, "Über Integrale der hydrodynamischen Gleichungen, welche den Wirbelbewegungen entsprechen", J. Reine Angew. Math. **55**, 25–55 (1858).
- [9] W. Thomson (Lord Kelvin), "On vortex motion", Trans. R. Soc. Edinburgh **25**, 217–260 (1869).
- [10] A. Einstein, "Ist die Trägheit eines Körpers von seinem Energieinhalt abhängig?", Annalen der Physik **18**, 639–641 (1905). [doi:10.1002/andp.19053231314](https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.19053231314)
- [11] Clifford M. Will, "The Confrontation between General Relativity and Experiment", Living Reviews in Relativity **17**(4), (2014). [doi:10.12942/lrr-2014-4](https://doi.org/10.12942/lrr-2014-4) arXiv:1403.7377
- [12] W. G. Unruh, "Experimental Black-Hole Evaporation?", Phys. Rev. Lett. **46**, 1351–1353 (1981). [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.46.1351](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.46.1351)
- [13] Matt Visser, "Acoustic black holes: horizons, ergospheres and Hawking radiation", Classical and Quantum Gravity **15**, 1767–1791 (1998). [doi:10.1088/0264-9381/15/6/024](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/15/6/024)
- [14] Ted Jacobson and David Mattingly, "Einstein–Aether Waves", Phys. Rev. D **70**, 024003 (2004). [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.024003](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.024003) arXiv:gr-qc/0402005
- [15] T. Baker et al., "Strong Constraints on Cosmological Gravity from GW170817 and GRB 170817A", Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**(25), 251301 (2017). [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.251301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.251301)
- [16] Jacob Oost, Shinji Mukohyama, and Anzhong Wang, "Constraints on Einstein-aether theory after GW170817", arXiv:1802.04303 (2018). [arXiv:1802.04303](https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.04303)