

Swirl–String Theory: Canonical Fluid Reformulation of Relativity and Quantum Structure

Omar Iskandarani¹

¹Independent Researcher

October 2025

Abstract

We present Swirl–String Theory (SST) as a canonical, mechanical reformulation of relativistic and quantum structure based on an incompressible, inviscid medium endowed with quantized internal rotation (“swirl strings”). We state kinematic axioms, fix the symbol set $\{\mathbf{v}_\odot, r_c, \rho!f, \rho!E, \rho!m\}$ and derive: (i) local time scaling from swirl speed; (ii) a stress–pressure correspondence replacing spacetime curvature in the weak field; (iii) circulation quantization leading to discrete particle attributes. We prove a Chronos–Kelvin invariant, construct an analogue metric, and show correspondence limits to GR and linear quantum wave equations. Dimensional anchors and numerical benchmarks are provided from a single constant set. We conclude with falsifiable predictions and a program for experimental probes.

Contents

1 Introduction

Motivation. Modern physics excels empirically yet rests on distinct ontologies for gravitation and quantum fields. SST posits a shared mechanical origin governed by incompressible kinematics, vorticity conservation, and circulation quantization.

Contributions. This paper (i) states the axioms; (ii) fixes symbols and constants; (iii) establishes GR/QFT correspondences; (iv) lists falsifiers.

2 Kinematic Axioms and Conservation Laws

K1. **Incompressible, inviscid continuum:** $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$, with Euler form $\frac{D}{Dt} \mathbf{v} = -\nabla p / \rho!f$.

K2. **Swirl time scaling (Chronos/Swirl–Clock):**

$$dt_{\text{local}} = dt_{\infty} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\|\mathbf{v}_\odot\|^2}{c^2}}. \quad (1)$$

K3. **Kelvin circulation and material invariance:** $(\frac{D}{Dt}(R^2\omega) = 0)$ under conditions of incompressibility, barotropicity, and inviscid flow.

Derived densities:

$$\rho!E = \frac{1}{2} \rho!f \|\mathbf{v}_\odot\|^2, \quad \rho!m = \frac{\rho!E}{c^2}. \quad (2)$$

3 Canonical Constants and Dimensional Anchors

We adopt the canonical set $\{\mathbf{v}_\odot, r_c, \rho_{!f}, \rho_{!E}, \rho_{!m}, F_{\text{swirl}}^{\text{max}}, F_{\text{gr}}^{\text{max}}\}$.

Table ?? lists values and units (populated in Appendix ??).

Table 1: Canonical constants (to be numerically populated).

Symbol	Meaning	Dimension	Value (SI)
\mathbf{v}_\odot	characteristic swirl speed	L T^{-1}	$1.09384563 \times 10^6 \text{ m s}^{-1}$
r_c	core radius	L	$1.40897017 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m}$
$\rho_{!f}$	effective fluid density	M L^{-3}	$7.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg m}^{-3}$
ρ_{core}	core density	M L^{-3}	$3.8934358266918687 \times 10^{18} \text{ kg m}^{-3}$
$F_{\text{swirl}}^{\text{max}}$	max swirl force	M L T^{-2}	29.053507 N
$F_{\text{gr}}^{\text{max}}$	max gravitational force	M L T^{-2}	$3.02563 \times 10^{43} \text{ N}$

4 Relativistic Correspondence: Analogue Metric and Weak Field

We define the effective line element

$$(3) \quad ds^2 =: c^2 dt^2 \left(1 - \frac{\|\mathbf{v}_\odot\|^2}{c^2}\right) - d\mathbf{x}^2,$$

which yields gravitational time dilation in the weak-swirl limit.

4.1 Stress–pressure mapping to Newtonian limit

4.2 Constraints and known-limit checks

5 Quantum Correspondence: Circulation Quantization

5.1 Action principle

$$(4) \quad S_{\text{SST}} = \int \left[\frac{1}{2} \rho_{!f} \mathbf{v}^2 - \rho_{!f} \Phi(\rho_{!f}) - \lambda (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \right] d^3x dt.$$

Linearization about a stationary background leads to wave equations analogous to massive/massless sectors.

5.2 Topological sectors and particle taxonomy

6 Canonical Correspondences

7 Predictions and Falsifiers

1. Time dilation near rotating cores measurable via clock comparison (scaling with $\|\mathbf{v}_\odot\|^2$).
2. Swirl–EMF coupling in rotating frames (separate dedicated paper; referenced test geometry).

Table 2: Dictionary between relativistic/quantum objects and SST quantities.

GR/QFT concept	SST counterpart
Metric curvature $R_{\mu\nu}$	Swirl-pressure tensor gradients
Stress-energy $T_{\mu\nu}$	Energy-momentum flux of (ρ_f, \mathbf{v})
Quantum phase	String-phase circulation (quantized)
Planck constant \hbar	Circulation quantum (to be calibrated)

3. Astrophysical bounds: compact-object limits from ρ_E and $F_{\text{gr}}^{\text{max}}$.

8 Discussion and Outlook

Appendix A': Numerical Benchmarks (auto-filled)

Using the canonical constants $\|\mathbf{v}_{\text{O}}\| = 1.09384563 \times 10^6 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, $\rho_f = 7.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, $c = 2.99792458 \times 10^8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, and $r_c = 1.40897017 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m}$, we obtain:

$$\rho_E = \frac{1}{2} \rho_f \|\mathbf{v}_{\text{O}}\|^2 = 0.5 \times (7.0 \times 10^{-7}) \times (1.09384563 \times 10^6)^2 \quad (5)$$

$$= \boxed{4.187743917945338 \times 10^5 \text{ J m}^{-3}} (= \text{Pa}), \quad (6)$$

$$\rho_m = \rho_E / c^2 = \frac{4.187743917945338 \times 10^5}{(2.99792458 \times 10^8)^2} = \boxed{4.65949350504008 \times 10^{-12} \text{ kg m}^{-3}}, \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{v}_{\text{O}}\|}{c} = \boxed{3.648676278574026 \times 10^{-3}}, \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{dt_{\text{local}}}{dt_{\infty}} = \sqrt{1 - (\|\mathbf{v}_{\text{O}}\|/c)^2} = \boxed{0.999993343558553}, \quad (9)$$

$$E_{\text{core}} = \rho_E \frac{4\pi}{3} r_c^3 = (4.187743917945338 \times 10^5) \frac{4\pi}{3} (1.40897017 \times 10^{-15})^3 \quad (10)$$

$$= \boxed{4.906526191489413 \times 10^{-39} \text{ J}}. \quad (11)$$

Consistency: $\rho_m c^2 = \rho_E$ numerically to floating-point precision. Units: $[\rho_E] = \text{J m}^{-3} = \text{Pa}$, $[\rho_m] = \text{kg m}^{-3}$.

Table 3: Benchmark values derived from the canonical constants.

Quantity	Expression	Value (SI)
Swirl energy density	$\frac{1}{2} \rho_f \ \mathbf{v}_{\text{O}}\ ^2$	$4.187743917945338 \times 10^5 \text{ J m}^{-3}$
Mass-equivalent density	ρ_E / c^2	$4.65949350504008 \times 10^{-12} \text{ kg m}^{-3}$
Time-dilation factor	$\sqrt{1 - (\ \mathbf{v}_{\text{O}}\ /c)^2}$	0.999993343558553
Core-volume energy	$\rho_E (4\pi/3) r_c^3$	$4.906526191489413 \times 10^{-39} \text{ J}$

A Dimensional Analysis and Numerical Validation

A.1 Units

A.2 Numerical benchmarks

B Derivation of a Swirl Coupling for G (optional)

Acknowledgments

Data and Code Availability

All computations and figure scripts will be released upon publication.

Conflict of Interest

The author declares no competing interests.