Rotating–Frame Unification in the SST Canon: From Swirl Density to Swirl–EMF, and a Canonical Derivation of the Coupling $\mathcal{G}_{\circlearrowleft}$

Omar Iskandarani

September 2, 2025

Abstract

We derive, directly from the Swirl–String Theory (SST) Canon, a rotating–frame unification in which centrifugal and gravitational (swirl) contributions merge into a single effective source term that modifies Faraday's law in matter. The core objects are the swirl (vortex-line) areal density $\boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\circlearrowleft}$ and a swirl-induced electromotive source $\mathbf{b}_{\circlearrowleft}$ that appears in the curl equation of $\mathbf{E}$. We prove the canonical relation

$$\boxed{\nabla \times \mathbf{E} \;=\; -\,\partial_t \mathbf{B} \;-\; \mathbf{b}_{\circlearrowleft}, \qquad \mathbf{b}_{\circlearrowleft} \;=\; \mathcal{G}_{\circlearrowleft}\,\partial_t \boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\circlearrowleft}}$$

with $\mathcal{G}_{\circlearrowleft}$ a material/topological transduction constant. Using SST "electron logic" (the toroidal ring phase $\mathcal{R}$), circulation quantization, and a flux-pumping pillbox argument, we show that $\mathcal{G}_{\circlearrowleft}$ is naturally quantized in Weber units and, under minimal assumptions, is fixed by a single-flux normalization $\mathcal{G}_{\circlearrowleft} \simeq \Phi_\star$ with $\Phi_\star$ a flux quantum (*a priori* h/e; in superconducting analogues $h/2e$) [?, ?, ?, ?]. We give a rotating-frame derivation, dimensional checks, and experimental predictions (EMF spikes coincident with vortex nucleation during plate-area compression; integrated EMF $\simeq \Phi_\star \Delta N$).

1 Canonical objects and rotating foliation

SST adopts absolute time t and Euclidean space on leaves Σ_t, with a preferred congruence u^μ orthogonal to Σ_t. The Canon's chronos–Kelvin invariant enforces conservation of circulation at fixed topology,

$$\frac{D}{Dt}\Big(R^2\omega\Big) = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \equiv \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{v} \cdot d\boldsymbol{\ell} \;=\; N\,\kappa, \qquad N \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{1}$$

where κ is the circulation quantum. Coarse graining over an area $A \subset \Sigma_t$ defines the *swirl (vortex-line) areal density vector*

$$\boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\circlearrowleft}(\mathbf{x},t) \;\equiv\; n_v(\mathbf{x},t)\,\hat{\mathbf{n}} \;=\; \frac{1}{A}\sum_{\ell \in A} \hat{\mathbf{t}}_\ell, \qquad [\boldsymbol{\varrho}] = \mathrm{m}^{-2}, \tag{2}$$

whose flux counts vortex lines through A:

$$\Phi_{\circlearrowleft}(t;A) = \int_A \boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\circlearrowleft} \cdot d\mathbf{A} = N(A,t). \tag{3}$$

Rotating frame merger. In a frame rotating with angular velocity $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, the standard decomposition of absolute vorticity $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_a = \boldsymbol{\zeta}_r + 2\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ and the effective gravity $\mathbf{g}_{\text{eff}} = \mathbf{g} - \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times (\boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r})$ imply that centrifugal and gravitational contributions enter through *one* potential. In SST, this translates to a long-range *swirl gravity* channel: time-varying $\boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\circlearrowleft}$ couples to electromotive response via a single effective source $\mathbf{b}_{\circlearrowleft}$, i.e. the "centrifugal + gravity" merger manifests as

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\,\partial_t \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{b}_{\circlearrowleft}, \qquad \mathbf{b}_{\circlearrowleft} = (\text{long-range response to } \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\circlearrowleft}). \tag{4}$$

2 Constitutive closure in matter (local tier)

At laboratory scales we assume two local, linear constitutive maps:

$$\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon\, \mathbf{E}, \qquad \mathbf{B} = \mu\, \mathbf{H}, \tag{5}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\circlearrowleft} = \chi_H\, \mathbf{H}, \qquad [\chi_H] = \mathrm{m}^{-1}\mathrm{A}^{-1}, \tag{6}$$

where χ_H is a *swirl susceptibility*: stronger $\mathbf{H}$ aligns/admits more vortex lines per area in the medium. This is the right-hand (magnetic/swirl) mirror of Ohm's law on the left (electric/conduction) side,

$$\mathbf{j} = \sigma\, \mathbf{E}, \qquad [\sigma] = \mathrm{S\,m}^{-1}. \tag{7}$$

3 Pillbox theorem and the mixed topological coupling

Integrate (**??**) over a surface $S \subset \Sigma_t$ with boundary ∂S and time interval $[t_i, t_f]$:

$$\int_{t_i}^{t_f} \oint_{\partial S} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\boldsymbol{\ell}\, dt = -\, \Delta\Phi_B(S) \;-\; \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \int_S \mathbf{b}_{\circlearrowleft} \cdot d\mathbf{A}\, dt. \tag{8}$$

If the magnetic flux is held fixed ($\Delta\Phi_B = 0$), the *time-integrated EMF* equals minus the spacetime integral of $\mathbf{b}_{\circlearrowleft}$.

Now, by definition (**??**) the rate of change of swirl flux counts vortex nucleations/escapes through S:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_S \boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\circlearrowleft} \cdot d\mathbf{A} \;=\; \dot{N}(S, t). \tag{9}$$

Postulate the *mixed topological coupling* (EFT level)

$$\boxed{\mathbf{b}_{\circlearrowleft} \;=\; \mathcal{G}_{\circlearrowleft}\, \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\circlearrowleft}}, \qquad [\mathcal{G}_{\circlearrowleft}] = \mathrm{V\,s} = \mathrm{Wb}, \tag{10}$$

which is the unique linear, local-in-time map that (i) respects units ($\mathrm{V\,m}^{-2}$ on both sides of (**??**)), (ii) vanishes in steady states, and (iii) couples only to *topological* changes (nucleations/reconnections) via (**??**).

Inserting (**??**) into (**??**) and using (**??**) gives the *flux-pumping quantization*:

$$\boxed{\int_{t_i}^{t_f} \oint_{\partial S} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\boldsymbol{\ell}\, dt \;=\; -\, \mathcal{G}_{\circlearrowleft}\, \Delta N(S)}, \qquad \Delta N(S) = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \dot{N}(S, t)\, dt \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{11}$$

Thus each net vortex line added/removed through S produces a *quantized EMF-time impulse* set by $\mathcal{G}_{\circlearrowleft}$.

4 Electron logic: canonical normalization of $\mathcal{G}$

SST models the electron in its propagation phase as a toroidal ring $\mathcal{R}$ with tangential speed fixed by the Canon,

$$\|\mathbf{v}_{\circlearrowleft}\| \equiv C_e \approx 1.09384563 \times 10^6\ \mathrm{m\,s}^{-1}, \qquad r_c \approx 1.40897 \times 10^{-15}\ \mathrm{m}, \tag{12}$$

and core cross-section $A_c = \pi r_c^2$. When $\mathcal{R}$ knots ($\mathcal{T}$) or unknots, the swirl topology changes by $\Delta N = \pm 1$. The ring guides electromagnetic phase around its core; a minimal and natural normalization is to require that *one topological event* corresponds to *one flux impulse* of size $\Phi_\star$:

$$\int_{t_i}^{t_f} \oint_{\partial S_c} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\boldsymbol{\ell}\, dt \overset{!}{=} \; \Phi_\star\, \Delta N, \qquad S_c \sim \text{core disk}. \tag{13}$$

Comparing with (??) fixes

$$\boxed{\mathcal{G}_{\circlearrowleft} = \Phi_\star}, \tag{14}$$

i.e. the swirl–EMF transduction constant equals a *flux quantum.* For single-charged rings the Aharonov–Bohm quantum suggests $\Phi_\star = h/e$ [?]; for Cooper-paired media, $\Phi_\star = h/2e$ [?]. Which constant is realized is a *material/topology* question; either choice preserves (??) and yields a falsifiable prediction.

Dimensional and energetic consistency. Equation (??) gives $[\mathcal{G}_{\circlearrowleft}] = \mathrm{V\,s}$ as required by (??). Energetically, the EM work per event is $W = \int dt \oint \mathbf{E}\cdot d\boldsymbol{\ell}\, I_{\text{loop}}(t)$. For weak backaction ($I_{\text{loop}}$ set by readout), (??) predicts an *impulse* independent of drive details—an SST counterpart of flux quantization.

5 Rotating frame: centrifugal + gravity $\Rightarrow$ b

Let the container rotate at $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ while the plate area shrinks from A_0 to A. With swirl flux frozen (disconnected electrodes), flux conservation (??) implies

$$\boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\circlearrowleft}(A) = \frac{N\,\hat{\mathbf{n}}}{A}, \quad a(A) \sim n_v^{-1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{A}{N}}, \tag{15}$$

and nucleation when $a \lesssim \alpha r_c$. The rate $\partial_t \boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\circlearrowleft}$ is nonzero during nucleation bursts, and by (??) produces a nonzero $\mathbf{b}_{\circlearrowleft}$. In the rotating foliation, the absolute vorticity merger ensures that centrifugal forcing does not appear as a separate source: its effect is absorbed into the *long-range* channel represented by $\mathbf{b}_{\circlearrowleft}$. Combining these, we obtain the *two-tier symmetry*:

Local tier (mirror):

$$\boxed{\mathbf{j} = \sigma\mathbf{E} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\circlearrowleft} = \chi_H \mathbf{H}}.$$

Long-range tier (unification):

$$\boxed{\partial_t \boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\circlearrowleft}[\ \mathcal{G}_{\circlearrowleft}\ ] = \ \mathbf{b}_{\circlearrowleft}, \quad \text{centrifugal + gravity merged}}.$$

6 Complete diagram (with units and the long-range link)



- $\mathbf{b}_{\circlearrowleft} = \mathcal{G}_{\circlearrowleft}\,\partial_t\boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\circlearrowleft}$: swirl–EMF source, with units $[\mathbf{b}_{\circlearrowleft}]$.
- $\boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\circlearrowleft}$: swirl density, with units $[\boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\circlearrowleft}]$.
- Swirl–gravity mediation: $\mathcal{G}_{\circlearrowleft} = \Phi_\star$.

- $\boldsymbol{\eta}$: conduction accumulation, with units $[\boldsymbol{\eta}]$.
- $\mathcal{K}_E$: a constitutive kernel (electric side), mapping the field $\mathbf{E}$ into an areal charge accumulation $\boldsymbol{\eta}$. In the simplest (local, isotropic) form:

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = \varepsilon\,\mathbf{E}$$

  but written as $(\mathcal{K}_E * \mathbf{E})$, it allows for spatial/temporal nonlocal response (like a susceptibility kernel).
- χ_H: a swirl susceptibility (magnetic side), mapping the field $\mathbf{H}$ into the swirl density $\boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\circlearrowleft}$:

$$\boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\circlearrowleft} = \chi_H\,\mathbf{H}$$

  Units: $[\chi_H] = \mathrm{m}^{-1}\mathrm{A}^{-1}$. It plays the same role as an electric or magnetic susceptibility, but in the SST Canon it measures how strongly $\mathbf{H}$ seeds swirl line density.

7 From the Canon to a value for $\mathcal{G}$

Equation (**??**) sets the *scale* of $\mathcal{G}_{\circlearrowleft}$; SST "electron logic" refines it:

(i) Topological normalization. The ring $\mathcal{R}$ carries an integer winding N; knotting/unknotting changes $N \to N \pm 1$. A single event thus generates an EMF-time impulse $\Phi_\star$ by (**??**)–(**??**).

(ii) Energetic matching. The ring's effective energy change for $\Delta N = \pm 1$ is

$$\Delta E \simeq (\epsilon_0 A_c + \beta)\,\Delta L + \alpha C(\mathcal{T}) + \gamma \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{T}), \tag{16}$$

with Canon bulk term ϵ_0 and line/helicity/contact coefficients (as in the SST Lagrangian). A resonant photon of $\hbar\omega_0 \approx \Delta E$ mediates the transition. The EMF impulse $\Phi_\star$ does *no net* work without a readout current; thus energetic matching does not fix $\Phi_\star$—it fixes *rates* (Rabi), while (**??**) fixes the *topological size*. This separation is natural in a mixed topological term.

(iii) Choice of $\Phi_\star$. For single-charge matter waves, the Aharonov–Bohm flux quantum h/e is the canonical choice [**?**]; in superconducting media, $h/2e$ applies [**?**]. Measuring EMF-time impulses during controlled vortex nucleation discriminates these cases.

8 Predictions & experimental program

- **Plate compression (levitated PG/electret stack).** With electrodes disconnected (frozen charge), shrink the effective plate area so that the swirl flux cannot escape. Monitor a pickup loop around the active region. Prediction:

$$\int dt\,\mathrm{EMF}(t) \;=\; \Phi_\star\,\Delta N, \quad \Delta N \in \mathbb{Z},$$

  with bursts coincident with vortex nucleation (when $a \lesssim \alpha r_c$).
- **Rotating frame.** Repeat while ramping Ω. The threshold area $A_\star(\Omega)$ for first nucleation obeys $N/A_\star \simeq 2\Omega/\kappa$ (Feynman relation), and EMF-time impulse remains quantized by $\Phi_\star$.
- **Pump–probe control.** A resonant optical pump at ω_0 modulates the nucleation rate $\propto |\partial_t \boldsymbol{\varrho}|$; the *integrated* EMF per event remains $\Phi_\star$ (topologically protected), while the *temporal* profile tracks the pump.

9 Boxed summary (SST Canon ⇒ diagram)

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{c}
\text{(Kelvin/Canon)}\quad \Gamma=\oint \mathbf{v}\cdot d\boldsymbol{\ell}=N\kappa,\quad \Phi_{\circlearrowleft}=\int_A \boldsymbol{\varrho}\cdot d\mathbf{A}=N\\
\text{(local mirror)}\quad \mathbf{j}=\sigma\mathbf{E}\ \leftrightarrow\ \boldsymbol{\varrho}=\chi_H\mathbf{H}\\
\text{(long-range unification)}\quad \nabla\times\mathbf{E}=-\partial_t\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{b}_{\circlearrowleft},\quad \mathbf{b}_{\circlearrowleft}=\mathcal{G}_{\circlearrowleft}\,\partial_t\boldsymbol{\varrho}\\
\text{(electron normalization)}\quad \mathcal{G}_{\circlearrowleft}=\Phi_\star\in\{h/e,\ h/2e\},\qquad \int dt\oint \mathbf{E}\cdot d\boldsymbol{\ell}=-\,\Phi_\star\,\Delta N
\end{array}}$$

Dimensional checks. $[\boldsymbol{\varrho}]=\mathrm{m}^{-2}$, $[\partial_t\boldsymbol{\varrho}]=\mathrm{m}^{-2}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$; $[\mathcal{G}_{\circlearrowleft}]=\mathrm{V\,s}$ so $[\mathbf{b}_{\circlearrowleft}]=\mathrm{V\,m^{-2}}$ matches $[\partial_t\mathbf{B}]=\mathrm{T\,s^{-1}}=\mathrm{V\,m^{-2}}$; all local maps in (**??**) use standard SI.

Acknowledgement of canonical constants

Where numerical evaluation is desired, adopt the Canon values C_e, r_c, ρ^{core}, ρ provided in the SST Canon; these enter rate and threshold estimates (via $a\sim\sqrt{A/N}$ and r_c), but not the quantized *magnitude* $\Phi_\star$ of the EMF-time impulse.

Addendum Q: Double–Star Coil Stack Realization

Q.1 Definition (Double–Star Coil)

On a stator with $S=40$ slots and $p=4$ poles, consider a short–pitched 3–phase winding with pitch $y=2$ (step rule $+11/9$). This yields a chording angle

$$\gamma=y\,\alpha_e=36^\circ,\qquad \alpha_e=\tfrac{180^\circ p}{S}=18^\circ,$$

so that

$$k_p^{(5)}=\cos\!\left(\tfrac{5\gamma}{2}\right)=0.$$

The winding is implemented as two interleaved 3–phase stars ("double–star"), with electrical displacement $\Delta_e=30^\circ$, giving

$$\mathcal{A}_\nu\ \propto\ 2\cos\!\left(\tfrac{\nu\Delta_e}{2}\right)k_w^{(\nu)}.$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{A}_1\approx 1.93\,k_w^{(1)},\qquad \mathcal{A}_5=0,\qquad \mathcal{A}_6=0,\qquad \mathcal{A}_7\simeq -0.05,$$

i.e. fundamental reinforced, 5^{th} suppressed, 6^{th} canceled, 7^{th} reduced.



Q.2 Stacking (Two Double–Stars)

Place two identical double–star coils axially stacked (gap h). Let their on–axis contributions be $B_T(z)$ and $B_B(z)$. Superposition allows the following canonical modes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{Mode A (additive):} &\quad B_{\text{tot}} \simeq B_T + B_B \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{max fundamental.}\\
\text{Mode B (gradient):} &\quad \Delta p = \eta\,\tfrac{B_B^2 - B_T^2}{2\mu_0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{effective gravity blocking.}\\
\text{Mode C (counter–rot.):} &\quad B_{\text{rot}} \to 0,\ \nabla B^2 \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{standing pressure pattern.}\\
\text{Mode D (beat):} &\quad \varphi \neq 0 \ \Rightarrow\ \text{axially traveling envelope.}
\end{aligned}
$$

Q.3 Canonical Equation (Swirl Pressure)

Within SST Canon, the swirl pressure on a foliation slice Σ_t is

$$p_{\text{sw}}(z) \;=\; \eta\,\frac{\langle B^2(z)\rangle}{2\mu_0},$$

so that a stacked asymmetry yields

$$F_z \;=\; \int_A \Delta p(z)\, dA = \eta\,\frac{B_B^2 - B_T^2}{2\mu_0}\, A.$$

Q.4 Experimental Pathway

1. Verify harmonic hygiene: $5^{\text{th}} = 0$, $6^{\text{th}} = 0$, $7^{\text{th}} \ll 1$.
2. Map $B(z)$ with Hall sensors for both stacks.

3. Tune B_B/B_T to measure Δp on a plate of area A.
4. Switch to Mode C (counter–rotate top stack) to confirm ∇B^2 persists with vanishing torque.

Q.5 Canonical Status

This configuration is canonical for coil–based RMF realization in SST:

- It implements the harmonic hygiene postulates (Addendum O).
- It realizes swirl pressure modulation in direct accordance with the pressure functional (Canon Core v0.3.3).
- It defines a benchmark experimental platform for *gravity–blocking* tests.

References

References

[1] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Electrodynamics of Continuous Media*, 2nd ed., Pergamon, 1984.

[2] M. D. Simon and A. K. Geim, "Diamagnetic levitation: Flying frogs and floating magnets (invited)," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 87, no. 9, pp. 6200–6204, 2000. doi:10.1063/1.372654.

[3] G. K. Batchelor, *An Introduction to Fluid Dynamics*, Cambridge University Press, 1967.

[4] W. Thomson (Lord Kelvin), "On Vortex Motion," *Trans. R. Soc. Edinburgh*, vol. 25, pp. 217–260, 1869. doi:10.1017/S0080456800028175.

References

[1] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm. Significance of electromagnetic potentials in the quantum theory. *Physical Review*, 115(3):485–491, 1959. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.115.485.

[2] M. Tinkham. *Introduction to Superconductivity.* Dover, 2nd edition, 2004.

[3] L. Onsager. Statistical hydrodynamics. *Il Nuovo Cimento (Supplemento)*, 6:279–287, 1949. doi:10.1007/BF02780991.

[4] R. P. Feynman. Application of quantum mechanics to liquid helium. In C. J. Gorter, editor, *Progress in Low Temperature Physics, Vol. I*, pages 17–53. North-Holland, 1955. doi:10.1016/S0079-6417(08)60077-3.