
Yannick Müller muelleya@student.ethz.ch
yajm.ch

ETH

MADE EASY

Computer Science
Block 3

January 2020

Numerical Methods for CSE - Lecture

Prof. R. Hiptmair

Contents

1	Computing with Matrices and Vectors	3
1.1	Roundoff Errors	3
2	Direct Methods for Linear Systems of Equations	4
3	Introduction to C++	4
3.1	Solving a Sparse Matrix in Eigen	5
4	Direct Methods for Linear Least Squares Problems	5
4.1	Orthogonal Transformation Methods	6
4.2	Householder QR decomposition	6
4.3	Least Squares Solver	6
4.4	Singular Value Decomposition	6
4.5	Rewriting the economical SVD	7
4.6	Economical SVD in Eigen	7
4.7	Computing rank() in Eigen	7
4.8	Computing generalized solution of $Ax = b$ via SVD	7
4.9	SVD-Based Optimization & Approximation	7
4.10	Fitting of Hyperplans	8
4.11	SVD-based low rank matrix compression	8
4.12	Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	8
4.13	Constrained Least Squares	8
5	Data Interpolation and data fitting	9
5.1	Horner Scheme	9
5.2	Lagrange Polynomial	9

5.3	Barycentric interpolation formula	9
5.4	Cardinal cubic spline	10
6	Approximation of Functions in 1D	11
6.1	Approximation by piecewise polynomials	11
7	Numerical Quadrature	11
7.1	Adaptive numerical Quadrature	12
7.2	Adaption loop for numerical quadrature	12
8	Iterative Methods for Non-Linear Systems of Equations	13
8.1	Newton's Model	13
8.2	Newton correction	14
8.3	Quasi-Newton Method	14
8.4	Non-Linear Least Squares	15
11	Numerical Integration - Single Step Methods	15
11.1	Runge-Kutta Methods	15
11.2	Bootstrap Construction	16
11.3	Adaptive Stepsize Control	16
12	Single-Step methods for Stiff Initial-Value Problems	16

The following was presented in the lecture on 19. September 2019.

1 Computing with Matrices and Vectors

Use the C++ library Eigen for faster numerical methods computations.

Eigen is a Header-only C++ template library.

Matrices can be fixed, dynamically or sparsed. The size is known at compile time if it is fixed otherwise not.

Definition 1. The Asymptotic complexity of an algorithm characterizes the worst-case dependence of its computational effort on one or more problem size parameters when these tend to ∞ .

Note 1. This is not a very good indicator for the runtime. As it is much more dependent by the memory access pattern. Nevertheless the asymptotic complexity is important to predict the scalability of an algorithm.

Note 2. It is very important to always check in which order one multiplies the matrices.

$$AB^T = \sum_{l=1}^p (A)_{i,l} \cdot (B)_{i,l}^T \quad (1)$$

Definition 2. Kronecker Product $A \otimes B$

$$A \otimes B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} (A)_{1,1}B & (A)_{1,2}B & \dots & (A)_{1,n}B \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ (A)_{m,1}B & (A)_{m,2}B & \dots & (A)_{m,n}B \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

Note 3. Computers have difficulty handling real numbers.

The following was presented in the lecture on 20. September 2019.

1.1 Roundoff Errors

Computers cannot compute in \mathbb{R} instead they compute in \mathbb{M} (set of machine numbers)

$$op: \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{M} \not\rightarrow \mathbb{M} \quad (3)$$

Definition 3. Correct rounding (rounding up) is given by the function

$$rd: \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \rightarrow \mathbb{M} \\ x & \mapsto \max \arg \min_{\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{M}} |x - \tilde{x}| \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Note 4. Float numbers should never be checked equal to zero, instead check relative smallness with another float number/matrix times an epsilon. Absolute tests can sometimes also fail.

Note 5. Cancellation effect: Roundoff errors can sum up to big errors. This is specifically true, when subtracting two big numbers and it equals a small number. This is also true for calculating the derivative, when h cannot be made too small.

Note 6. Instead of evaluating with cancellation one sometimes should use the Taylor approximation which is cancellation-free

2 Direct Methods for Linear Systems of Equations

Note 7. The Gaussian Elimination is stable and is not affected by roundoff in practice.

Definition 4. A sparse matrix is a matrix where most entries are 0 and this is worth exploiting

Note 8. If it is a sparse matrix one can save the matrix in the COO / Triplet format with (row index, column index, value)

Definition 5. CRS-format: We have a value vector with all the non-zero values, an column index array the index at which index in the column the value from the value vector is. The row ptr-array points to the value and index value.

Note 9. How to create an array:

1. Intermediate COO format
2. Convert to CRS

Alternative: One can reserve the space and insert

The following was presented in the exercise on 23. September 2019.

3 Introduction to C++

```
1 #include <iostream>
2 int main() {
3     std::cout << "Hello World!\n"; // This is a comment << endl; is equivalent to
        \n
4     return 0; // Declares the end of the function.
5 }
```

```
1 int x;
2 x=5;
3 return &x; // Returns the address in memory of x
```

A pointer is an address which points to another cell in memory. The pointer is declared as follows:

```
1 char t='a';
2 char *y;
3 y = &t;
4 cout << y; // Returns the address
5 cout << *y; // Return the value behind the address
```

```
1 void f1(int x) {x++;} // Creates a new x;
2 void f2(int &x) {x++;} // Edits the variable the function is called with.
```

Note 10. std::vector is an array which resizes itself dynamically

Note 11. delete [] array deletes the array.

```

1 #include <iostream>
2 #include <Eigen/Dense>
3 using Eigen::MatrixXd;
4 int main()
5 {
6     MatrixXd m(2,2);
7     m(0,0) = 3;
8     m(1,0) = 2.5;
9     m(0,1) = -1;
10    m(1,1) = m(1,0) + m(0,1);
11    std::cout << m << std::endl;
12 }

```

The following was presented in the lecture on 10. October 2019.

3.1 Solving a Sparse Matrix in Eigen

```

1 Eigen::SparseLU<SparseMatrix> solver(A);
2 x = solver.solve(b);

```

4 Direct Methods for Linear Least Squares Problems

Theorem 4.1

A linear system of equations is solvable if and only if it is solvable for the normal equations (NEQ)

$$A^T A x = A^T b \quad (5)$$

Algorithmus 4.1: Normal equation method to solve LSE

1. Compute regular matrix $C = A^T A$
2. Compute right hand side vector $c = A^T b$
3. Solve linear system of equations $Cx = c$

```

1 VectorXd x = (A.transpose()*A).llt().solve(A.transpose()*b) // llt() ~ lu()

```

Runtime: $\mathcal{O}(n^2 \cdot m + n^3)$

Note 12. Normal equations are vulnerable to roundoff errors. Another problem is the loss of sparsity. One way to solve this is by using the extended normal equation

$$k = b - Ax \text{ and } A^T(b - Ax) = 0 \Leftrightarrow A^T k = 0 \text{ and } k + Ax = b$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^T & 0 \\ I & A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

4.1 Orthogonal Transformation Methods

Note 13. The idea is to put the matrix into a triangular matrix. \Rightarrow QR-Decomposition

The following was presented in the lecture on 11. October 2019.

4.2 Householder QR decomposition

$$H = I - \frac{2vv^T}{v^T v} \quad (6)$$

where v is the first column vector with the first entry subtracted by the norm of this column vector.

```
1 using index_t = MatrixXd::Index;
2 const index_t m = A.rows(), n = A.cols();
3 Eigen::HouseholderQR<MatrixXd> qr(A);
4 MatrixXd Q = (qr.householderQR()*MatrixXd::Identity(m,n));
5 MatrixXd R = qr.matrixQR().block(0,0,n,n).template triangularView<Eigen::Upper>();
```

4.3 Least Squares Solver

```
1 x = A.householderQR().solve(b);
2 (A*x-b).norm();
```

Cost HouseholderQR: $\mathcal{O}(mn^2)$ and Cost solve: $\mathcal{O}(mn + n^2)$

Note 14. Use orthogonal transformations methods for least squares whenever it is dense
Use normal equations in the expanded form when it is sparse

4.4 Singular Value Decomposition

Theorem 4.2

U and V are unitary matrices. And Σ is a diagonal Matrix, so that:

$$A = U\Sigma V^H \quad (7)$$

Lemma 4.1

The squares σ_i^2 of the non-zero singular values of A are the non-zero eigenvalues of $A^H A$, AA^H

4.5 Rewriting the economical SVD

$$A = \sum_{l=1}^{\text{rank}(A)} \sigma_l \cdot (U)_{i,l} \cdot (V)_{i,l}^H \quad (8)$$

4.6 Economical SVD in Eigen

```
1 Eigen::JacobiSVD<MatrixXd> svd(A, Eigen::ComputeThinU | Eigen::ComputeThinV);
```

Cost of SVD is $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$

The following was presented in the exercise on 14. October 2019.

Theorem 4.3: Kronecker Law

$$(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = (AC) \otimes (BD) \quad (9)$$

The following was presented in the lecture on 17. October 2019.

4.7 Computing rank() in Eigen

```
1 A.jacobiSvd().setThreshold(tol).rank();
```

4.8 Computing generalized solution of $Ax = b$ via SVD

$$y = \sum_r^{-1} U_1^T b \quad (10)$$

$$x = V_1 \sum_r^{-1} U_1^T b \quad (11)$$

```
1 Eigen::JacobiSVD<MatrixXd> svd(A, Eigen::ComputeThinU | Eigen::ComputeThinV);
2 svd.solve(b);
```

4.9 SVD-Based Optimization & Approximation

Find $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ so that $\|Ax\|_2$ is maximal.

$$y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v^T x \Rightarrow \|Ax\|_2^2 = \sum_{l=1}^n \sigma_l^2 y_l^2 \rightarrow \max \quad (12)$$

The maximal value is $\sigma_1 = \|A\|_2$ where $x = (V)_{i,1}$ The minimal value is σ_n where $x = (V)_{i,n}$

4.10 Fitting of Hyperplans

```
1 MatrixXd R = A.householderQr().matrixQR().template triangularView<Eigen::Upper>()  
  ;  
2 MatrixXd V = R.block(p-dim, p-dim, m-dim-p, dim).jacobiSvd(Eigen::ComputeFullV).  
  matrixV();  
3 n = V.col(dim-1);  
4 MatrixXd R_topleft = R.topLeftCorner(p-dim, p-dim);  
5 c = -(R_topleft.template triangularView<Eigen::Upper>().solve(R.block(0, p-dim, p-  
  dim, dim)) * n)(0);
```

Theorem 4.4: Best low rank approximation

$$\|A - A_k\|_2 \leq \|A - F\|_2 \quad \forall F \in R_k(m, n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{M \in \mathbb{R}^{m,n} : \text{rank}(M) \leq k\} \quad (13)$$

A_k is the rank-k best approximation.

The following was presented in the lecture on 18. October 2019.

4.11 SVD-based low rank matrix compression

```
1 const Eigen::JacobiSVD<MatrixXd> svd(A, Eigen::ComputeThinU | Eigen::ComputeThinV  
  );  
2 (svd.matrixU().leftCols(k)) * (svd.singularValues().head(k).asDiagonal()) * (svd.  
  matrixV().leftCols(k).transpose());
```

Note 15. Singular Value Decomposition can be used to compress images. It is especially useful for machine learning, as the input data can be made smaller.

4.12 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

Can be either used for trend detection and data classification.
One can find the most important data in the first column.

Theorem 4.5

The subspace \mathcal{U}_k spanned by the first k left singular vector of A solves the Proper Orthogonal Decomposition Problem (POD)

$$\mathcal{U}_k = \mathcal{R}((U)_{:,1:k}) \quad \text{with } A = U\Sigma V^T \text{ the SVD of } A \quad (14)$$

4.13 Constrained Least Squares

The following was presented in the lecture on 24. October 2019.

5 Data Interpolation and data fitting

Definition 6. In a one-dimensional interpolation there are data points given and the goal is to reconstruct a function:

$$f(t_i) = y_i \quad (15)$$

```

1 interpolate(const vector<double> &t, const vector<double> &y);
2 double operator (double t) const;

```

5.1 Horner Scheme

$$p(t) = t(\cdots t(t(a_n \cdot t + a_{n-1}) + a_{n-2}) + \cdots + a_1) + a_0 \quad (16)$$

```

1 Eigen::VectorXd horner(Eigen::VectorXd &p, const Eigen::VectorXd &t) {
2     const VectorXd::Index n=t.size();
3     Eigen::VectorXd y{p[0] * VectorXd::Ones(n)};
4     for (unsigned i=1; i<p.size(); i++)
5         y = t.cwiseProduct(y) + p[i] * VectorXd::Ones(n);
6     return y;
7 }

```

Cost: $\mathcal{O}(n)$ mit n zeigt das Grad vom Polynomial an.

5.2 Lagrange Polynomial

$$L_i(t) = \frac{(t-t_0) \cdots (t-t_{i-1}) \cdot (t-t_{i+1}) \cdots (t-t_n)}{(t_i-t_0) \cdots (t_i-t_{i-1}) \cdot (t_i-t_{i+1}) \cdots (t_i-t_n)} \quad (17)$$

always has a unique solution. The cost is $\mathcal{O}(n^2N)$

5.3 Barycentric interpolation formula

$$p(t) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{t-t_i} y_i}{\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{t-t_i}} \quad (18)$$

where λ_i is the denominator of the Lagrange Polynomial.

Algorithmus 5.1: Aitken-Neville

```

1 double ANipoleval(const VectorXd &t, VectorXd y, const double x) {
2     for (int i=0; i<y.size(); i++) {
3         for (int k=i-1; k>=0; k--) {
4             y(k) = y(k+1) + (y(k+1) - y(k)) * (x-t(i)) / (t(i)-t(k));
5         }
6     }
7     return y(0);
8 }

```

The following was presented in the lecture on 31. October 2019.

Theorem 5.1

The cubic Hermite interpolation polynomial with slopes provides a local monotonicity preserving C^1 - Interpolant (The function is smooth).

Definition 7. Given an interval and a knot set/mesh, the vector space of the spline functions of degree d is defined by

$$S_{d,M} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{s \in C^{d-1}(I) : s_j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} s_{t_{j-1}, t_j} \in P_d \forall j = 1, \dots, n\} \quad (19)$$

is $d - 1$ times continuously differentiable.

The following was presented in the lecture on 1. November 2019.

5.4 Cardinal cubic spline

A cardinal cubic spline has global support, but exponential decay.

Algorithmus 5.2: Least Square Fitting

The goal is to find a continuous function so that the difference of the actual point and the function squared is minimal.

$$x = \arg \min \|Az - y\|_2^2 \quad (20)$$

Definition 8. Vandermonde matrix

$$(A)_{ij} = t_i^{j-1} \quad (21)$$

Algorithmus 5.3: Polynomial fitting

```

1 Vector polyfit(const VectorXd &t, const VectorXd &y, const unsigned &order) {
2     Eigen::MatrixXd A = Eigen::MatrixXd::Ones(t.size().order + 1);
3     for (unsigned j=1; j<order + 1; j++) {
4         A.col(j) = A.col(j-1).cwiseProduct(t);
5     }
6     Eigen::VectorXd coeffs = A.householderQr().solve(y);
7     return coeffs.reverse();
8 }
```

Definition 9. Overfitting is fitting data with functions from a large space; it often produces poorer results.

6 Approximation of Functions in 1D

Simple bound for the approximation norm

$$\inf_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \|f - p\|_{L^\infty([-1,1])} \leq C(r) n^{-r} \|f^{(r)}\|_{L^\infty([-1,1])} \quad (22)$$

The following was presented in the lecture on 8. November 2019.

Definition 10. The Lagrangian interpolation approximation scheme is defined by

$$L_\tau \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} I_\tau(y) \in \mathcal{P}_n \quad (23)$$

with $y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (f(t_0), \dots, f(t_n))^T$

Definition 11. Algebraic convergence $T(n) \leq n^{-p}$ mit $p > 0$

Exponential Convergence $T(n) \leq q^n$ mit $0 < q < 1$

Theorem 6.1

$$f(t) - L_\tau(f)(t) = \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\tau_t)}{(n+1)!} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^n (t - t_j) \quad (24)$$

Note 16. Quantitative interpolation error estimates rely on smoothness.

Definition 12. The n^{th} Chebychev polynomial is $T_n(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \cos(n \arccos t)$ mit $-1 \leq t \leq 1$

Note 17. It is recommended to use Chebychev nodes for approximation by polynomial interpolation.

6.1 Approximation by piecewise polynomials

The idea is to use piecewise polynomials with respect to a grid/mesh to approximate a function.

The following was presented in the lecture on 8. November 2019.

7 Numerical Quadrature

Definition 13. An n-point quadrature formula/quadrature rule provides an approximation:

$$\int_a^b f(t) dt \approx Q_n(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j^n f(c_j^n) \quad (25)$$

The following was presented in the lecture on 15. November 2019.

Definition 14.

$$\text{order}(Q_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max \left\{ m \in \mathbb{N}_0 : Q_n(p) = \int_a^b p(t)dt \quad \forall p \in P_m \right\} + 1 \quad (26)$$

Theorem 7.1

$$Q_n(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j f(c_j) \quad (27)$$

has order $\geq n$ if and only if

$$w_j = \int_a^b L_{j-1}(t)dt \quad (28)$$

Theorem 7.2

The maximal order of an n -point quadrature is $2n$

Theorem 7.3

The quadrature error satisfies:

$$E_n(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left| \int_a^b f(t)dt - Q_n(f) \right| \leq 2|b-a| \inf_{p \in P_{q-1}} \|f - p\|_{L^\infty([a,b])} \quad (29)$$

The following was presented in the lecture on 15. November 2019.

7.1 Adaptive numerical Quadrature

A priori Fix the nodes before the evaluation

a posteriori The nodes are chosen or improved during the computation

7.2 Adaption loop for numerical quadrature

1. Estimate
2. Check Termination
3. Mark
4. Refine

The following was presented in the lecture on 21. November 2019.

8 Iterative Methods for Non-Linear Systems of Equations

Definition 15. An iterative method for approximately solving the non-linear equation $F(x) = 0$ is an algorithm generating an arbitrarily long sequence $(x^{(k)})_k$ of approximative solutions.

Definition 16. A stationary m -point iterative method converges locally, if there is a neighborhood such that

$$x^{(0)}, \dots, x^{(m-1)} \in U \Rightarrow x^{(k)} \text{ well defined} \wedge \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x^{(k)} = x^* \quad (30)$$

If $U = D$, the iterative method is globally convergent.

Definition 17. A sequence $x^{(k)}$ converges linearly to x^*

$$\exists 0 < L < 1 : \quad \|x^{(k-1)} - x^*\| \leq L \cdot \|x^{(k)} - x^*\| \quad (31)$$

Definition 18. A sequence $x^{(k)}$ converges with order p to x^*

$$\exists C > 0 : \quad \|x^{(k-1)} - x^*\| \leq C \cdot \|x^{(k)} - x^*\|^p \quad (32)$$

Example 1.

$$x^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x^{(k)} + \frac{a}{x^{(k)}} \right) \Rightarrow |x^{(k+1)} - \sqrt{a}| = \frac{1}{2x^{(k)}} \cdot |x^{(k)} - \sqrt{a}|^2 \quad (33)$$

Example 2.

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + \frac{\cos x^{(k)} + 1}{\sin x^{(k)}} \quad (34)$$

Note 18. Be careful, because the estimation error is much smaller than the iteration error

The following was presented in the lecture on 22. November 2019.

8.1 Newton's Model

$$\tilde{F}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F(x^{(k)}) + DF(x^{(k)})(x - x^{(k)}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \quad (35)$$

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} - \frac{F(x^{(k)})}{F'(x^{(k)})} \quad (36)$$

Algorithmus 8.1: Newton's method

```

1 VecType s(x.size());
2 do {
3     s = DFinv(x, F(x));
4     x -= s;
5 }
6 while ((s.norm() > rtol * x.norm()) && (s.norm() > atol));
7 return x;
```

The following was presented in the lecture on 28. November 2019.

8.2 Newton correction

$$S = -X^{(k)} A X^{(k)} + X^{(k)} \quad (37)$$

Algorithmus 8.2: Efficient implementation of simplified Newton method

```

1  auto lu = DF(x).lu();
2  Vec s;
3  double ns, nx;
4  do {
5      s = lu.solve(F(x)); // O(n^2)
6      x = x - s;
7      ns = s.norm();
8      nx = x.norm();
9  }
10 while((ns > rtol*nx) && (ns > atol));

```

Stop as soon as

$$\|\nabla \tilde{x}^{(k)}\| \leq \tau_{rel} \|x^{(k)}\| \leq \tau_{abs} \quad (38)$$

with

$$\nabla \tilde{x}^{(k)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} DF\left(x^{(k-1)}\right)^{-1} F\left(x^{(k)}\right) \quad (39)$$

Note 19. With a damping strategy one can lead the Newton correction to the right direction.

The following was presented in the lecture on 29. November 2019.

8.3 Quasi-Newton Method

$$J_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} J_{k-1} + \frac{F\left(x^{(k)}\right)\left(x^{(k)} - x^{(k-1)}\right)^T}{\left\|x^{(k)} - x^{(k-1)}\right\|_2^2} \quad (40)$$

The final form of Broyden's quasi-Newton method for solving $F(x) = 0$:

$$x^{(k+1)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x^{(k)} + \nabla x^{(k)} \quad \nabla x^{(k)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -J_k^{-1} F\left(x^{(k)}\right) \quad (41)$$

$$J_{k+1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} J_k + \frac{F\left(x^{(k+1)}\right)\left(\nabla x^{(k)}\right)^T}{\left\|\nabla x^{(k)}\right\|_2^2} \quad (42)$$

8.4 Non-Linear Least Squares

Definition 19. The non-linear least squares solution is defined as

$$x^* = \arg \min_{x \in D} \|F(x)\|^2 \quad (43)$$

Algorithmus 8.3: Gauss-Newton Iteration

$$x^{(k+1)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|F(x^{(k)}) + DF(x^{(k)})(x - x^{(k)})\|_2 \quad (44)$$

```
1 Eigen::VectorXd x = init;  
2 Eigen::VectorXd s = J(x).householderQr().solve(F(x));  
3 x = x - s;  
4 while ((s.norm() > rtol * x.norm()) && (s.norm() > atol)) {  
5     s = J(x).householderQr().solve(F(x));  
6     x = x - s;  
7 }  
8 return x;
```

The following was presented in the lecture on 5. December 2019.

11 Numerical Integration - Single Step Methods

Definition 20. An autonomous ordinary differential equation (ODE) is a function that does not depend on time but only on state.

Theorem 11.1: Peano & Picard-Lindelöf

If f is continuous differentiable then for all initial conditions the initial value problem (IVP) has a solution with maximal domain.

The following was presented in the lecture on 6. December 2019.

Definition 21. Given a discrete evolution ψ and initial state y_0 and a Mesh M the recursion:

$$y_{k+1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \psi(t_{k+1} - t_k, y_k) \quad (45)$$

defines a single-step method (SSM) for the autonomous IVP $\dot{y} = f(y)$

11.1 Runge-Kutta Methods

Goal: Construct explicit SSM of higher order.

The following was presented in the lecture on 12. December 2019.

11.2 Bootstrap Construction

$$y(t_1) = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \quad (46)$$

Definition 22. For an s-stage explicit Runge-Kutta single step method for the ODE $\dot{y} = f(t, y)$ is defined by

$$k_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(t_0 + c_i h, y_0 + h \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} k_j), \quad i = 1, \dots, s \quad y_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} y_0 + h \sum_{i=1}^s b_i k_i \quad (47)$$

The vectors k_i are called increments.

11.3 Adaptive Stepsize Control

Note 20. There is no global error control through local-in time adaptive timestepping. The absolute/relative tolerances imposed for local-in-time adaptive timestepping do not allow to predict the accuracy of a solution.

The following was presented in the lecture on 13. December 2019.

12 Single-Step methods for Stiff Initial-Value Problems

Theorem 12.1

The discrete evolution Ψ_λ^h of an explicit s-stage Runge-Kutta step method with Butcher scheme for the ODE $\dot{y} = \lambda y$ amounts to a multiplications with the number

$$\Psi_\lambda^h = S(\lambda h) \Leftrightarrow y_1 = S(\lambda h) y_0 \quad (48)$$

where S is the stability function

$$S(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 + z b^T (I - z \mathfrak{A})^{-1} = \det(I - z \mathfrak{A} + z I b^T) \quad (49)$$

Corollary 12.1

For a consistent s-stage explicit Runge-Kutta single step method the stability function S is a non-constant polynomial of degree $\leq s$ that is $S \in P_s$

Lemma 12.1

Let S denote the stability function of an s-stage explicit Runge-Kutta single step method

of order $q \in \mathbb{N}$, then:

$$|S(z) - \exp(s)| = \mathcal{O}(|z|^{q+1}) \quad \text{for } |z| \rightarrow 0 \quad (50)$$

The following was presented in the lecture on 19. December 2019.

Theorem 12.2

The sequence of approximations generated by an explicit RK-SSM with stability function S applied to the linear autonomous ODE $\dot{y} = MY$, $M \in \mathbb{C}^{d,d}$ with uniform timestep $h > 0$ decays exponentially for every initial state $y_0 \in \mathbb{C}^d$, if and only if $|S(\lambda, h)| < 1$ for all eigenvalues λ_i of M .

Definition 23. Let the discrete evolution Ψ for a single step method applied to the scalar linear ODE $\dot{y} = \lambda y$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ be of the form

$$\Psi^h y = S(z)y, \quad y \in \mathbb{C}, \quad h > 0 \quad z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h\lambda \quad (51)$$

The region of absolute stability of the single step method is given by

$$S_\Psi = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |S(z)| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C} \quad (52)$$

Note 21. An initial value problem is called stiff, if stability imposes much tighter timestep constraints on explicit single step methods than the accuracy requirements. The discrete evolution of the RK-SSM for $\dot{y} = f(y)$ in the state y^* is close to the discrete evolution of the same RK-SSM applied to the linearization of the ODE in y^*

For small timestep the behavior of an explicit RK-SSM applied to $\dot{y} = f(y)$ close to the state y^* is determined by the eigenvalues of the Jacobian $Df(y^*)$

An initial value problem for an autonomous ODE will probably be stiff if

$$\min\{\operatorname{Re}\lambda : \lambda \in \omega(Df(y(t)))\} \ll 0 \quad (53)$$

$$\max\{\operatorname{Re}\lambda : \lambda \in \omega(Df(y(t)))\} \approx 0 \quad (54)$$

where $\omega(M)$ is the spectrum of the matrix M .

Note 22. For any timestep the implicit Euler method generates exponentially solution decaying solution sequences $(Y_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ for $\dot{y} = My$ with diagonalizable matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{d,d}$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ if $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i < 0$

The following was presented in the lecture on 20. December 2019.

Definition 24. A Runge-Kutta single step method with stability function S is A-stable, if

$$C^\sim \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z \leq 0\} \subset S_\Psi \quad \text{where } S_\Psi \text{ is the Region of Stability} \quad (55)$$

Note 23. A stable Runge-Kutta single step method will not be affected by stability induced timestep constraints when applied to stiff IVP.

Definition 25. A Runge-Kutta method is L-stable/asymptotically stable, if its stability function satisfies:

1. $\operatorname{Re} z < 0 \Rightarrow |S(z)| < 1$
2. $\lim_{\operatorname{Re} z \rightarrow -\infty} S(z) = 0$

0 C++ / Eigen

14

```
g++ -std=c++11 -I/usr/include/eigen3
- Wno-deprecated-declarations input.cpp -o output
```

```
#include <Eigen/Dense> #include <Eigen/Sparse>
#include <iostream>
```

```
using namespace Eigen; using Matrix = Eigen::
```

```
SparseMatrix<double, Eigen::RowMajor>;
std::cout << std::setw(15) << "Matrix\n"; // Output Width
```

```
#include "matrixlib.cpp"
```

```
namespace ptt = matrixlib::pp;
```

```
ptt::figure(); ptt::plot(x, y, "x", "y", "approx 1000");
ptt::savefig("save.png"); ptt::show();
```

1 Computing with Matrices and Vectors

32

Definition Kronecker Product $A \otimes B$

$$A \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix} (A)_{1,1}B & (A)_{1,2}B & \dots & (A)_{1,n}B \\ (A)_{2,1}B & (A)_{2,2}B & \dots & (A)_{2,n}B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (A)_{m,1}B & (A)_{m,2}B & \dots & (A)_{m,n}B \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem Kronecker Law

$$(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = (AC) \otimes (BD)$$

```
MatrixXd kron(const MatrixXd &A, const MatrixXd &B)
```

```
MatrixXd C(A.rows() * B.rows(), A.cols() * B.cols());
```

```
for (unsigned int i=0; i<A.rows(); i++)
```

```
for (unsigned int j=0; j<A.cols(); j++)
```

```
C.block(i * B.rows(), j * B.cols(), B.rows(),
```

```
B.cols()) = A(i, j) * B;
```

```
return C;
```

2 Direct Methods for Linear Systems of Equations

36

Note The Gaussian Elimination is stable and is not affected by roundoff in practice.

Definition A sparse matrix is a matrix where most entries are 0 and this is worth exploiting.

Note If it is a sparse matrix one can save the matrix in the COO Triplet format with (row index, column index, value).

Definition CRS-format: We have a value vector with all the non-zero values, an column index array the index of which index in the column the value from the value vector is. The row ptr-array points to the value and index value.

Note How to create an array:

1. Intermediate COO format
2. Convert to CRS

Alternative: One can reserve the space and insert.

2.7.2 Solving a Sparse Matrix in Eigen

145

```
Eigen::SparseLU<SparseMatrix> solver(A);
```

```
x = solver.solve(b);
```

Solve $X_j a = y_j$

```
FullPivLU<MatrixXtd> lu_m = (X.transpose()*X).fullPivLU();
```

```
VectorXtd q_m = lu_m.solve(X.transpose()*z);
```

```
Solve {
```

```
VectorXtd p = lu_m.solve(x_m);
```

```
VectorXtd w = q_m_m + y_m * p;
```

```
double xi = x_m.dot(p);
```

```
return w - x_m.dot(w) / (1. + xi) * p;
```

```
}
```


3 Direct Methods for Linear Least Squares Problems

186

Theorem

A linear system of equations is solvable if and only if it is solvable for the normal equations (NEQ): $A^T A x = A^T b$

Algorithmus Normal equation method to solve LSE

1. Compute regular matrix $C = A^T A$
 2. Compute right hand side vector $c = A^T b$
 3. Solve linear system of equations $Cx = c$
- VectorXd $x = (A.transpose() * A).llt().solve(A.transpose() * b)$ // $llt() \sim lcu()$
- Runtime $O(n^2 \cdot m + n^3)$

190

Normal equations are vulnerable to roundoff errors. Another problem is the loss of sparsity. One way to solve this is by using the extended normal equations.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^T & 0 \\ I & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A^T x = 0 \text{ and } x + Ax = b$$

3.3 Householder QR decomposition

195

$H = I - \frac{2vv^T}{v^T v}$ where v is the first column vector with the first entry subtracted by the norm of this column vector.

3.3 Least Squares Solver

209

$x = A.householderQR().solve(b);$ return $(Ax - b).norm();$

Cast HouseholderQR: $O(mn^2)$ and Cast solve $O(mn^2)$

Note Use orthogonal transformations methods for least squares whenever it is dense. Use normal equations in the expanded form when it is sparse.

3.4 Singular Value Decomposition

217

Theorem

U and V are unitary matrices. Σ is a diagonal matrix, so that:

$$A = U \Sigma V^H$$

Solution of $Ax = b$:

$$y = \Sigma^{-1} U^H b \quad x = V \Sigma^{-1} U^H b$$

JacobiSVD < MatrixXd > $svd(A, computeThinU | computeThinV);$
 $solve(b);$

Theorem Best low rank approximation

$$\|A - A_k\|_2 \leq \|A - F\|_2 \quad \forall F \in \mathbb{R}^{m,n} := \{M \in \mathbb{R}^{m,n} : \text{rank}(M) \leq k\}$$

A_k is the rank- k best approximation

In Principal Component Analysis (PCA) one can find the most important data in the first column.

3.4 SVD of AB^T

HouseholderQR < MatrixXd > $QRA = A.householderQR();$
 MatrixXd $QA = QRA.householderQ() * MatrixXd::Identity($

MatrixXd $Q^T = \text{MatrixXd::Identity}(\text{std::min}(m, k), m) * QRA.$

$\text{matrixQR}().triangularView<Upper>();$ // Same for B

JacobiSVD < MatrixXd > $svd(RA * RB.transpose(),$

ComputeFullU | ComputeFullV);

VectorXd $s = svd.singularValues();$

MatrixXd $U = svd.matrixU();$ MatrixXd $V = svd.matrixV();$

$U = QA * U; \quad V = QB * V;$

return $\text{std::make_tuple}(U, s.asDiagonal(), V);$

5 Data Interpolation and data fitting 312

Definition In a one-dimensional interpolation there are data points given and the goal is to reconstruct a function:

$$f(t_i) = y_i$$

5.2 Lagrange Polynomial 321

$$L_i(t) = \frac{(t-t_0) \cdots (t-t_{i-1})(t-t_{i+1}) \cdots (t-t_n)}{(t_i-t_0) \cdots (t_i-t_{i-1})(t_i-t_{i+1}) \cdots (t_i-t_n)}$$

always has a unique solution. The cost is $O(n^2N)$

5.2 Barycentric interpolation formula 325

$$p(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{t-t_i} y_i / \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{t-t_i}$$

where λ_i is the denominator of the Lagrange polynomial.

Theorem

The cubic Hermite interpolation polynomial with slopes provide a local monotonicity preserving C^1 -Interpolant (The function is smooth)

Definition Given a interval and a knot set/mesh, the vector space of the spline functions of degree d is defined by $S_{d,n} = \{s \in C^{d-1} : s_j := \xi_{j-1}, \text{ if } p_d, \forall j=1, \dots, n\}$ is $d-1$ times continuously differentiable.

5.5 Cardinal cubic spline 352

A cardinal cubic spline has global support, but exponential decay

Algorithmus Least Square Fitting

The goal is to find a continuous function so that the difference of the actual point and the function squared is minimal: $x = \arg \min \|A_2 - y\|_2^2$

Definition

$$\text{Vandermonde matrix } (A)_{ij} = t_i^{j-1} \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_0 & t_0^2 & \dots & t_0^{n-1} \\ 1 & t_1 & t_1^2 & \dots & t_1^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & t_n & t_n^2 & \dots & t_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

5.3 Linear Interpolant 344
 $L_i(t) = \frac{(t-t_0) \cdots (t-t_{i-1})(t-t_{i+1}) \cdots (t-t_n)}{(t_i-t_0) \cdots (t_i-t_{i-1})(t_i-t_{i+1}) \cdots (t_i-t_n)}$
 auto Ordering = [] (const pair &P, const pair &Q) ->
 bool { return P.first < Q.first; }
 std::sort(L_points.begin(), L_points.end(), Ordering);
 double L_i::operator()(double x) {
 auto Compare = [] (const pair &P, double V) -> bool {
 return P.first < V; }
 auto it = std::lower_bound(L_points.begin(),
 L_points.end(), x, Compare);
 if ((it == L_points.begin()) && it -> first != x)
 || it == L_points.end() return 0;
 double dist_r = (x - it - 1) -> first / (it -> first - (it - 1) -> first);
 return (it - 1) -> second * (1 - dist_r) + it -> second * dist_r; }
 360

5.5 Quadratic Spline

MatrixXd quadratic(const VectorXd &x, const VectorXd &y) {
 int N = x.size() - 1; VectorXd dx = x.tail(N) - x.head(N);
 VectorXd dx2 = dx.cwiseProduct(dx); SparseMatrix double
 A(3 * N, 3 * N); A.resize(VectorXd::Constant(3 * N, 3));
 for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) A.insert(i, 2 * N + i) = 1; // (x_i)^2 = y_i;
 // require f'(x_{i+1}) = y_{i+1};
 for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) { A.insert(N + i, i) = dx2(i);
 A.insert(N + i, N + i) = dx(i); A.insert(N + i, 2 * N + i) = 1; }
 // require f_i to be continuous at x_i;
 for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) { A.insert(2 * N + i, i) = 2 * dx(i);
 A.insert(2 * N + i, N + i) = 1; A.insert(2 * N + i, N + i + 1) = -1; }
 A.makeCompressed(); VectorXd b(3 * N);
 b << y.head(N); y.tail(N); VectorXd::Zero(N);
 SparseLU<SparseMatrix double>> solver;
 solver.compute(A); VectorXd out = solver.solve(b);
 return Map<MatrixXd>(out.data(), N, 3); }
 360

6 Approximation of Functions in AD

377

Simple bound for the approximation norm:

$$\inf_{p \in P_n} \|f - p\|_{L^\infty([-1,1])} \leq C(n) n^{-r} \|f^{(r)}\|_{L^\infty([-1,1])}$$

Definition The Lagrangian interpolation approximation scheme is defined by

$$L_p := I_p(y) \in P_n$$

with

$$y := (f(t_0), \dots, f(t_n))^T$$

Definition Algebraic convergence $T(n) \leq n^{-p}$ mit $p > 0$
Exponential convergence $T(n) \leq q^n$ mit $0 < q < 1$

Theorem Representation of interpolation error

$$f(t) - L_p(f)(t) = \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\tau_t)}{(n+1)!} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^n (t - t_j)$$

Note Quantitative interpolation error estimates rely on smoothness.

Definition The n^{th} Chebyshev polynomial is:

$$T_n(t) := \cos(n \arccos t) \quad \text{mit } -1 \leq t \leq 1$$

$$T = \left\{ \cos\left(2k + \frac{1}{2}(n+1)\pi\right) \mid k = 0, \dots, n \right\}$$

Chebyshev nodes:

Note It is recommended to use Chebyshev nodes for approximation by polynomial interpolation.

Note Clenshaw algorithm should be used for the evaluation of Chebyshev expansion.

6.5 Approximation by piecewise polynomials 431
The idea is to use piecewise polynomials with respect to a grid/mesh to approximate a function.

6.1 Gauss-Chebyshev

397

```
double q=0.0;
for (int i=0; i<n; i++)
    q+=1/std::cos((2*i+1)*M_PI/(2*n));
return q * M_PI/n;
```

5.2 Aitken-Neville to evaluate the derivative 328

```
for (int i=0; i<x.size(); i++) {
```

```
    VectorXd p(y);
```

```
    VectorXd dp = VectorXd::Zero(y.size());
```

```
    for (int im=1; im<y.size(); im++)
```

```
        for (int i0=im-1; i0>=0; i0--) {
```

```
            dp(i0) = (p(i0+1) + x(i0) - t(i0)) * dp(i0+1)
```

```
                - p(i0) - (x(i0) - t(im)) * dp(i0) / (t(im) - t(i0));
```

```
            p(i0) = ((x(i0) - t(i0)) * p(i0+1) - (x(i0) - t(im))
```

```
                * p(i0)) / (t(im) - t(i0));
```

```
        } dp(i) = dp(i0);
```

```
    } return ret;
```

2.7 Triplets

146

```
std::vector<Eigen::Triplet<double>>> triplets;
```

```
triplets.reserve(rows * cols);
```

```
triplets.push_back(Eigen::Triplet<double>(i, j, v));
```

```
Eigen::SparseMatrix<double> Eigen::RowMajor> sparseMatrix(rows, cols);
```

```
sparseMatrix.setFromTriplets(triplets.begin(), triplets.end());
```


7 Numerical Quadrature

441

Definition An n -point quadrature rule provides an approximation:

$$\int_a^b f(t) dt \approx Q_n(f) := \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \cdot f(t_j)$$

The maximal order of an n -point quadrature is $2n$

Theorem Quadrature error

The quadrature error satisfies

$$E_n(f) := \left| \int_a^b f(t) dt - Q_n(f) \right| \leq 2(b-a) \inf_{p \in P_{n-1}} \|f - p\|_{L^\infty(b,a)}$$

7.5 Adaptive Numerical Quadrature

440

A priori: Fix the nodes before the evaluation

A posteriori: The nodes are chosen or improved during the computation.

Adaption loop: Estimate, Check Termination, Mark, Refine

7.3 Integrate Gauss Quadrature

442

double integrate (const Function &f, unsigned n, double a, double b) {

double I=0; Quadruple qr; gaussquad(n, qr);

VectorXd &nodes = qr.nodes;

VectorXd &weights = qr.weights;

for (unsigned i=0; i < nodes.size(); i++) {

double x = (b+a)/2 + (b-a)/2 * nodes(i);

I += f(x) * weights(i);

}

I *= (b-a)/2;

return I;

double **gaussquad** (const Function &f, double a0, double b0, double tol, unsigned n=7, unsigned maxit=10) {
double lambda = 0;
auto f_exp = [&] (double x) { return f(x) *
std::exp(lambda * f(x)); }
auto exp_f = [&] (double x) {
return std::exp(lambda * f(x)); }
for (unsigned i=0; i < maxit; i++) {
double DF = integrate(f_exp, n, 0, 1) + 1;
double F = integrate(exp_f, n, 0, 1) + lambda;
double lambda_new = lambda - F/DF;
double step = std::abs(lambda_new - lambda);
if (step < atol && step < rto * std::abs(lambda)) break;
lambda = lambda_new;

}
return lambda;

7.3 Gauss-Legendre Quadrature rule

455

double **quad** (Function &f, const VectorXd &w, double a, double b) {
const VectorXd &x, double a, double b) {
double I=0;

for (int i=0; i < w.size(); i++)
I += f(x(i)) * w(i) * (b-a)/2 + a * w(i);

return I * (b-a)/2;

double **quadinf** (const int n, Function &&f) {
VectorXd w, x;

gausslegendre(n, w, x);

auto filde = [&] (double x) {
return f(std::cos(x)/std::sin(x)) / std::pow(std::sin(x), 2); };

return quad(filde, w, x, 0, PI);

}

8 Iterative Methods for Non-Linear Systems 473

Definition An iterative method for approximately solving the non-linear $F(x)=0$ is an algorithm generating an arbitrarily long sequence $(x^{(k)})_k$ of approximate solutions.

Definition A sequence $x^{(k)}$ converges linearly to x^* $\exists 0 < L < 1$: $\|x^{(k+1)} - x^*\| \leq L \cdot \|x^{(k)} - x^*\|$
 A sequence $x^{(k)}$ converges with order p to x^* $\exists C > 0$: $\|x^{(k+1)} - x^*\| \leq C \cdot \|x^{(k)} - x^*\|^p$

8.4 Newton's Method 574

$$\tilde{F}(x) := F(x^{(k)}) + DF(x^{(k)})(x - x^{(k)}) \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} - \frac{F(x^{(k)})}{DF(x^{(k)})} \quad x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} - (DF(x^{(k)}))^{-1} F(x^{(k)})$$

Algorithmus Newton's method

```

vecType s(x.size());
do { s = DFinv(x, F(x)); x = s; }
while ((s.norm() > atol * x.norm()) || (s.norm() > atol));
return x;
    
```

575

8.4 Newton correction

$$s = -x^{(k)} A x^{(k)} + x^{(k)}$$

Stop as soon as

$$\|\nabla \tilde{F}(x)\| \leq \tau_{rel} \|x^{(k)}\| \leq \tau_{abs} \quad \text{with} \quad \nabla \tilde{F}(x) := DF(x^{(k)})^{-1} F(x^{(k)})$$

Note With a damping strategy one can lead the Newton correction to the right direction.

8.4 Quasi-Newton Method 531

$$J_k := J_{k-1} + \frac{F(x^{(k)})(x^{(k)} - x^{(k-1)})^T}{\|x^{(k)} - x^{(k-1)}\|_2^2}$$

The final form of Broyden's quasi-Newton method for solving $F(x)=0$:

$$x^{(k+1)} := x^{(k)} + \nabla x^{(k)} \quad \nabla x^{(k)} := -J_k^{-1} F(x^{(k)})$$

$$J_{k+1} := J_k + \frac{F(x^{(k+1)})(\nabla x^{(k)})^T}{\|\nabla x^{(k)}\|_2^2}$$

8.5 Non Linear Least Squares 537

Definition The non-linear least squares solution is defined as

$$x^* = \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|F(x)\|_2^2$$

Algorithmus Gauss-Newton Iteration

$$x^{(k+1)} := \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|F(x^{(k)}) + DF(x^{(k)})(x - x^{(k)})\|_2$$

VectorXd x = init; VectorXd s;

do { s = J(x).householderQr().solve(F(x));

x = x + s;

} while ((s.norm() > atol * x.norm()) || (s.norm() > atol));

return x;

540

11 Numerical Integration - Single Step Methods ⁶³

Definition An autonomous ordinary differential equation (ODE) is a function that does not depend on time but only on state.

Theorem Peano & Picard-Lindelöf

If f is continuous differentiable then for all initial conditions there initial value problem (IVP) has a solution with maximal domain.

Definition Given a discrete evolution ψ and initial state y_0 and a mesh M the recursion:

$$y_{k+1} := \psi(t_{k+1} - t_k, y_k)$$

defines a single-step method (SSM) for the autonomous IVP $y' = f(y)$

11.4 Bootstrap Construction ⁶³

$$y(t) = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau$$

Definition For an s-stage explicit Runge-Kutta single step method for the ODE $y' = f(t, y)$ is defined by:

$$k_i := f(t_0 + c_i h, y_0 + h \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij} k_j) \text{ for } i=1, \dots, s \quad y_1 := y_0 + h \sum_{i=1}^s b_i k_i$$

The vectors k_i are called increments.

11.4 SSPRK

```
SSPRK::SSPRK(const MatrixXd &alphas, const MatrixXd &betas) {
    : f(f), alphas(alphas), betas(betas) {
    assert(alphas.rows() == betas.rows()) &&
    assert(alphas.cols() == betas.cols()) &&
    s = alphas.rows() - 1;
```

```
std::vector<VectorXd> SSPRK::solve(const VectorXd &y0,
    double T, unsigned int N) {
    std::vector<VectorXd> ret(N+1);
    double h = T/N; ret.push_back(y0); ret.reserve(N+1);
    std::vector<VectorXd> k(s+2);
    for (int k=0; k<N; k++) {
        K.at(0) = ret.back();
        for (int i=1; i<=s+1; i++) {
            K.at(i) = VectorXd::Zero(K.at(0).size());
            for (int l=0; l<i; l++)
                K.at(i) += alphas(i-1, l) * K.at(l) * K.at(l);
            + betas(i-1, i) * h * f(K.at(i));
        } ret.push_back(K.back());
    } return ret;
```

11.4 RK-SSM

```
template<class State> class RK_Integrator {
public: // Same as implicit RK_Integrator
private:
```

```
void step(const Function &f, double h, const
    State &y0, State &y1) const {
    y1 = y0; std::vector<State> k; k.reserve(s);
    for (int i=0; i<s; i++) {
        State kr = y0;
```

```
        for (int j=0; j<i; j++)
            kr += h * A(i, j) * k.at(j);
        k.push_back(f(kr));
        y1 += h * b(i) * k.at(i);
```

```
    }
    const MatrixXd A; const VectorXd b; unsigned int s;
```


11.1 Initial Value Problem

C13

```

Vector2d Newton(Vector2d x, double h, int n, double tol) {
    const Vector2d zk = x;
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        if (F(x, zk, h).squaredNorm() <= tol * tol) return x;
        if (F(x, zk, h).fullPivLu().solve(F(x, zk, h)),
            x = DF(x, h).fullPivLu().solve(F(x, zk, h));
    } return x;
}

Vector2d QuasiNewton(
    tol) {
    const Vector2d zk = x;
    Matrix2d J_inv = DF(x, h).inverse();
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        Vector2d dx = -J_inv * F(x, zk, h); x += dx;
        if (F(x, zk, h).squaredNorm() <= tol * tol) return x;
        Vector2d J = J_inv * F(x, zk, h);
        J_inv += (-T * dx.transpose() / (dx.squaredNorm()
            + dx.transpose() * T)) * J_inv;
    } return x;
}

Vector2d ImplicitEuler(Vector2d z, double h, int N) {
    for (int k = 0; k < N; k++) z = Newton(z, h, 10, 1.0e-8);
    return z;
}

```

5.2 Piecewise Lagrange Interpolant and Horner scheme

320

```

void Newton::interpolate(const Matrix& y) {
    int n = nodes.rows(); int M = nodes.cols();
    for (int l = 0; l < M; l++) {
        MatrixXd A(n, n); A.setZero();
        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) { A(i, 0) = 1;
            for (int j = 1; j <= i; j++)
                A(i, j) = A(i, j-1) * (nodes(i, l) - nodes(j-1, l));
        }
    }
}

```

```

alpha.col(0) = A.triangularNew<Eigen::Lower>().
    solve(y.col(0));
}

```

```

double Newton::Evaluate(const int l, double x) {
    int n = alpha.rows(); double Y = alpha(n-1, l);
    for (int i = n-2; i >= 0; i--) // Horner Scheme
        Y = Y * (x - nodes(i, l)) + alpha(i, l);
    return Y;
}

```

8.4 Quasi Linear Newton System

532

```

VectorXd newton_steps(const VectorXd &x, const VectorXd &b) {
    const int n = x.size(); double norm = x.norm();
    SparseMatrix<double> A(n, n); A.reserve(3 * n);
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        A.insert(i, i-1) = 1;
        A.insert(i, i) = 3 + norm;
        if (i < n-1) A.insert(i, i+1) = 1;
    }
    SparseLU<SparseMatrix<double>> Ax.lu(A);
    VectorXd Axinv_b = Ax.lu.solve(b);
    VectorXd Axinv_x = Ax.lu.solve(x);
    return Axinv_b + Ax.lu.solve(x * x.transpose()
        * (x - Axinv_b)) / (x.norm() + x.dot(Axinv_x));
}

VectorXd solveQuasiNewton(double rtol, double atol,
    VectorXd &b) {
    int n = b.size(); VectorXd x(n); x = b; VectorXd xnew(n);
    for (int itr = 0; itr < 100; itr++) {
        xnew = newton_step(x, b);
        double err = (x - xnew).norm(); x = xnew;
        if (err < atol || err < rtol * xnew.norm())
            break;
    }
    return x;
}

```


12 Single-Step methods for Stiff Initial-Value Problems

Definition Let the discrete evolution ψ for a single step method applied to the scalar linear ODE $y' = \lambda y$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ be of the form

$$\psi y = S(z)y, \quad y \in \mathbb{C}, \quad h > 0, \quad z := h\lambda$$

The region of absolute stability of the single step method is given by

$$S_W = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |S(z)| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

A IVP is called stiff, if stability imposes much tighter time step constraints on explicit single step methods than the accuracy requirements.

Note For any timestep the implicit Euler method generates exponentially decaying solution sequences $(y_k)_{k \geq 0}$ for $y' = \lambda y$ with diagonalizable matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ if $\text{Re } \lambda_i < 0$

Definition A Runge-Kutta single step method with stability function S is A -stable if

$$C_W = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re } z \leq 0\} \subset S_W \quad \text{where } S_W \text{ is the Region of Stability}$$

A Runge-Kutta method is L -stable/asymptotically stable, if its stability function satisfies:

1. $\text{Re } z < 0 \Rightarrow |S(z)| < 1$
2. $\lim_{\text{Re } z \rightarrow -\infty} S(z) = 0$

Note A stable Runge-Kutta single step method will not be affected by stability induced timestep constraints when applied to stiff IVP.

12.3 Implicit RK-Integrator

class implicit_RKIntegrator { public:
implicit_RKIntegrator(const MatrixXd &A, const
VectorXd &b): A(A), b(b), S(b.size()) {

707

```

assert(A.cols() == A.rows()) && "Square"
assert(A.cols() == b.size()) && "Incompatible"
std::vector<VectorXd> solve(const Function &f, const
    Jacobian &Jf, double T, const VectorXd &y0, unsigned
    int N) const {
    double h = T/N; std::vector<VectorXd> res;
    res.reserve(N+1); res.push_back(y0);
    VectorXd ytemp = y0; VectorXd ytemp2 = y0;
    VectorXd xold = ytemp; VectorXd xnew = ytemp;
    for (int k = 0; k < N; k++) {
        step(f, Jf, h, xold, xnew);
        res.push_back(xnew); std::swap(yold, ynew);
    } return res;
}

private:
void step(const Function &f, const Jacobian &Jf,
    double h, const VectorXd &y0, VectorXd &y1) const {
    int d = y0.size(); auto eye = MatrixXd::Identity(d, d);
    auto F = [y0, h, d, this, &f, &eye](VectorXd qv) {
        VectorXd Fv = qv;
        for (int j = 0; j < d; j++)
            Fv = h * kron(A.col(j), eye) * (y0.qv.segment(j*d, d));
        return Fv;
    };
    auto JF = [y0, h, d, &f, this, &eye](VectorXd qv) {
        MatrixXd JF(d, d);
        for (int j = 0; j < d; j++)
            JF.block(j, j, d, d) = kron(A.col(j), eye);
        * JF = (y0 + qv).segment(j*d, d);
        return JF;
    };
    VectorXd qv = VectorXd::Zero(d);
    dampenation(F, JF, qv); MatrixXd K(d, d);
    for (int j = 0; j < d; j++)
        K.col(j) = f(y0 + qv).segment(j*d, d);
    y1 = y0 + h * K * b;
} const MatrixXd A; const VectorXd b; unsigned int S;

```


657

```

11.2 Linear Implicit Mid-point
std::vector<VectorXd> lin_mid(Function &f, Jacobian &&J,
    double t, const VectorXd &y0, unsigned int N) {
    std::vector<VectorXd> res(N+1);
    double h = 1/N; int d = y0.size(); res.push_back(y0);
    VectorXd y_temp1 = y0; VectorXd y_temp2 = y0;
    VectorXd y0 = &y_temp1; VectorXd y_new = &y_temp2;
    MatrixXd eye = MatrixXd::Identity(3,3);
    for (int k=0; k<N; k++) {
        y_new = y0 + h*(eye-h/2*f(y0)).ln().solve(f(y0));
        res.push_back(y_new); std::swap(y0, y_new);
    }
    return res;
}

```

```

Implicit mid-point method &&f, Jacobian &&J,
std::vector<VectorXd> imp_mid(Function &f, unsigned int N) {
    double t, const VectorXd &y0, unsigned int N) {
        unsigned int s=1; MatrixXd A(s,s); VectorXd b(s);
        A<<1./2.; b<<1.; implicit_RKIntegrator RK(A,b);
        return RK.solve(f, A, T, y0, N);
    }
}

```

638

```

11.1 Cross product QDE
void tab_crossprod(void) {
    double T=10.; int N=128; double c=1.; Vector3d y0;
    y0<<1., 1., 1.; Vector3d a; a<<1., 0., 0.;
    auto f=[&a, &c] (const Vector3d &y) -> Vector3d {
        return a.cross(y) + c*y.cross(a.cross(y));
    };
    auto df=[&a, &c] (const Vector3d &y) {
        Matrix3d temp<<-c*(a(1)*y(1)+a(2)*y(2)); //More
        return temp;
    };
    std::vector<VectorXd> res_imp = imp_mid(f, A, T, y0, N);
    for (int i=0; i<N+1; i++) // Same for lin_mid
        cout<<setw(10)<<T*i/N<<setw(15)<<res_imp[i].norm();
}

```

717

```

12.4 Rosenbrock
std::vector<StateType> solve_rosebrock(const Func &f, T) {
    const OFunc &df, const StateType &y0, int N, double T) {
        const h=T/N; cd a=1/(std::sqrt(2)+2.); //cd=const double
        std::vector<StateType> res(N+1);
        res.at(0)=y0; StateType k1, k2; Matrix2d J, W;
        for (int j=1; j<=N; j++) {
            StateType &yprev = res.at(j-1);
            J=df(yprev); W=Matrix2d::Identity()-a*h*J;
            auto W_Lu = W.partialPivLu();
            k1 = W_Lu.solve(f(yprev));
            k2 = W_Lu.solve(f(yprev+0.5*h*k1)-a*h*J*k1);
            res.at(j)=yprev+h*k2; return res;
        }
    }
    double crossprod(void) {
        cd T=10.; const ArrayXd K=ArrayXd::LinSpaced(7,4,10);
        Vector2d y0<<1., 1.; cd c=1.; Matrix2d K<<0., -1., 1., 0.;
        auto f=[&K, &c] (const Vector2d &y) {
            return R*y + (* (1.-y.SquaredNorm()))*y;
        };
        auto df=[&K] (const Vector2d &y) {
            double x=1-y.SquaredNorm();
            Matrix2d J<<(*x-2*(x*y0))-1-2*(x*y1)*y0;
            1-2*(x*y1)*y0, (*x-2*(x*y1)*y1); return J;
        };
        const int Nref = 10*std::pow(2,12);
        auto solve = solve_rosebrock(f, df, y0, Nref, T);
        ArrayXd Error(K.size());
        for (int i=0; i<K.size(); i++) {
            int N=std::pow(2, K[i]);
            auto sol = solve_rosebrock(f, df, y0, N, T);
            double maxerr=0;
            for (int j=0; j<sol.size(); j++)
                maxerr=std::max(maxerr, (sol.at(j)
                    -solve.at(j*Nref)/N)).norm();
            Error[i]=maxerr;
        }
        return -polyfit(std::log(2)*K, Error.log(), 1)(0);
    }
}

```