# **English phonology**

**English phonology** is the study of the sound system (phonology) of the English language. Like many languages, English has wide variation in pronunciation, both historically and from dialect to dialect. In general, however, the major regional dialects of English are mutually intelligible.

Although there are many dialects of English, the following are usually used as prestige or standard accents: Received Pronunciation for the United Kingdom, General American for the United States, and General Australian for Australia.

#### **Phonemes**

See IPA chart for English dialects for concise charts of the English phonemes.

The number of speech sounds in English varies from dialect to dialect, and any actual tally depends greatly on the interpretation of the researcher doing the counting. The *Longman Pronunciation Dictionary* by John C. Wells, for example, denotes 24 consonants and 23 vowels used in Received Pronunciation, plus two additional consonants and four additional vowels used in foreign words only. For General American, it provides for 25 consonants and 19 vowels, with one additional consonant and three additional vowels for foreign words. The *American Heritage Dictionary*, on the other hand, suggests 25 consonants and 18 vowels (including r-colored vowels) for American English, plus one consonant and five vowels for non-English terms [1].

#### **Consonants**

The following table shows the consonant phonemes found in most dialects of English. When consonants appear in pairs, fortis consonants (i.e., aspirated or voiceless) appear on the left and lenis consonants (i.e., lightly voiced or voiced) appear on the right:

- 1. Nasals and liquids may be syllabic in unstressed syllables, though these may be analysed phonemically as /əC/.
- 2. Postalveolar consonants are usually labialized (e.g.,  $[\int^w]$ ), as is word-initial or pre-tonic /r/ (i.e.,  $[J^w]$ ), though this is rarely transcribed.
- 3. The voiceless velar fricative /x/ is dialectal, occurring largely in Scottish English. In other dialects, words with these sounds are pronounced with /k/. It may appear in recently-domiciled words such as *chutzpah*.
- 4. The sequence /hw/, a voiceless labiovelar approximant [hw], is sometimes considered an additional phoneme. For most speakers, words that historically used to have these sounds are now pronounced with /w/; the phoneme /hw/ is retained, for example, in much of the American South, Scotland, and Ireland.
- 5. Depending on dialect, /r/ may be an alveolar approximant [J], postalveolar approximant, or labiodental approximant.
- 6. Many dialects have two allophones of /l/—the "clear" L and the "dark" or velarized L. In some dialects, /l/ may be always clear (e.g. Wales, Ireland, the Caribbean) or always dark (e.g. Scotland, most of North America, Australia, New Zealand).

/p/	<b>p</b> it	/b/	<b>b</b> it
/t/	tin	/d/	$\mathbf{d}$ in

/k/	<b>c</b> ut	/g/	<b>g</b> ut
/t∫/	<b>ch</b> eap	/dʒ/	${f j}{ m eep}$
/f/	<b>f</b> at	/v/	vat
/θ/	<b>th</b> in	/ð/	<b>th</b> en
/s/	$\mathbf{s}$ ap	/z/	<b>z</b> ap
/ʃ/	${f sh}{f e}$	/3/	mea <b>s</b> ure
/x/	loch		
/w/	we	/m/	<b>m</b> ap
/l/	left	/n/	<b>n</b> ap
/ <b>L</b> /	run (also ‹r›, ‹ፈ›)	/j/	$\mathbf{y}$ es
/h/	<b>h</b> am	/ŋ/	ba <b>ng</b>

# **Allophones**

Although regional variation is very great across English dialects, some generalizations can be made about pronunciation in all (or at least the vast majority) of English accents:

- The voiceless stops /p t k/ are aspirated [p<sup>h</sup> t<sup>h</sup> k<sup>h</sup>] at the beginnings of words (for example *tomato*) and at the beginnings of word-internal stressed syllables (for example *potato*). They are unaspirated [p t k] after /s/ (*stan*, *span*, *scan*) and at the ends of syllables.
- For many people, /r/ is somewhat labialized in some environments, as in *reed* [J<sup>w</sup>iːd] and *tree* [t<sup>h</sup>J<sub>o</sub><sup>w</sup>iː]. In the latter case, the [t] may be slightly labialized as well.<sup>[1]</sup>

### **Vowels**

The vowels of English differ considerably between dialects. Because of this, corresponding vowels may be transcribed with various symbols depending on the dialect under consideration. When considering English as a whole, no specific phonemic symbols are chosen over others; instead, lexical sets are used, each named by a word containing the vowel in question. For example, the vowel of the LOT set ("short o") is transcribed /D/ in Received Pronunciation, /D/ in Australian English, and /D/ in General American. For an overview of these diaphonemic correspondences, see IPA chart for English dialects.

^\* The vowel of strut is closer to a Near-open central vowel ([v]) in RP, though <a> is still used for tradition (it was historically a back vowel) and because it is still back in other varieties. [3]

The monophthong phonemes of General American differ in a number of ways from Received Pronunciation:

- 1. The central vowel of *nurse* is rhotic [3<sup>t</sup>] (also transcribed as a a syllabic [1].
- 2. Speakers make a phonemic distinction between rhotic /ə/ and non-rhotic /ə/.
- 3. No distinction is made between /p/ and /qː/, nor for many speakers between these vowels and /ɔː/.

Reduced vowels occur in some unstressed syllables. (Other unstressed syllables may have full vowels, which some dictionaries mark as secondary stress.) The number of distinctions made among reduced vowels varies by dialect. In some dialects vowels are centralized but otherwise kept mostly distinct, while in Australia, New Zealand and some US dialects [citation needed] all reduced vowels collapse to a schwa [ə]. In Received Pronunciation, there is a distinct high reduced vowel, which the OED writes <‡>.

- [#]: roses (merged with [a] in Australian and New Zealand English)
- [ə]: Rosa's, runner

[l]: bottle[n]: button

• [m]: rhyth**m** 

#### **English diphthongs**

	RP	Australian	American	
			GA	Canadian
l <b>ow</b>	/อบ/	/ə <del>u</del> /	/oʊ/	
l <b>ou</b> d l <b>ou</b> t	/aʊ/	/æ <b>ɔ</b> /	/a <b>ʊ</b> /	/aʊ/ [əʊ] <sup>1</sup>
l <b>ie</b> d l <b>igh</b> t	/aɪ/	/ae/	/aɪ/	/aɪ/ [əɪ] <sup>1</sup>
l <b>a</b> ne	/eɪ/	/æ <b>ɪ</b> /	/eɪ/	
l <b>oi</b> n	/1C/	/oI/	/ <b>J</b> I/	
leer	/ɪə/	\e1\	$\sqrt{19}$	
lair	$/$ ६ə $/^2$	/eː/ <sup>2</sup>	/દરુ $/3$	
lure	/ʊə/²	/ʊə/	/℧ $lpha/3$	

- 1. Canadian English exhibits allophony of /au/ and /ai/ called Canadian raising. This phenomenon is also realized (especially for /ai/) by many US speakers, notably in the Northeast, as well as in South Atlantic English and the Fens of eastern England. In some areas, especially the Northeast US, /ai/) actually shifts to /Ai/.
- 2. In Received Pronunciation, the vowels in *lair* and *lure* may be monophthongized to [εː] and [oː] respectively.<sup>[4]</sup> Australian English speakers more readily monophthongize the former but it is listed here anyway.
- 3. In rhotic dialects, words like *pair*, *poor*, and *peer* can be analyzed as diphthongs, although other descriptions analyze them as vowels with /r/ in the coda.<sup>[5]</sup>

#### **Reduced vowels**

Main article: Vowel reduction in English

Linguists such as Ladefoged<sup>[6]</sup> and Bolinger<sup>[7]</sup> argue that vowel reduction is phonemic in English, and that there are two "tiers" of vowels in English, full and reduced; traditionally many English dictionaries have attempted to mark the distinction by transcribing unstressed full vowels as having "secondary" stress, though this was later abandoned by the *Oxford English Dictionary*. Though full unstressed vowels may derive historically from stressed vowels, either because stress shifted over time (such as stress shifting away from the final syllable of French loan words in British English) or because of loss or shift of stress in compound words or phrases *(óverseas vóyage* from *overséas* or *óverséas* plus *vóyage*), the distinction is not one of stress but of vowel quality (Bolinger 1989:351), and over time, if the word is frequent enough, the vowel tends to reduce.

English has up to five reduced vowels, though this varies with dialect and speaker. Schwa /ə/ is found in all dialects, and a rhotic schwa ("schwer") /ə/ is found in rhotic dialects. Less common is a high reduced vowel ("schwi") / $\mathbf{r}$ "/ (also "/ $\mathbf{t}$ /"); the two are distinguished by many people in Rosa's /'rouzəz/ vs roses / 'rouz $\mathbf{r}$ z/. More unstable is a rounded schwa, /ö/ (also / $\mathbf{\Theta}$ /); this contrasts for some speakers in a mission / $\mathbf{v}$ "m $\mathbf{r}$ ] $\mathbf{v}$ =n/,  $\mathbf{v}$ =n/, and  $\mathbf{v}$ =n/. In words like  $\mathbf{v}$ =1 following, the following vowel is

preceded by a [w] even in dialects that otherwise don't have a rounded schwa: ['fɒlewɪŋ, 'fɒlewɪŋ]. A high rounded schwa / $\sigma$ ' (also "/ $\theta$ /") may be found in words such as *into* /'Int $\sigma$ ', though in many dialects this is not be distinguished from / $\theta$ /.

Though speakers vary, full and reduced unstressed vowels may contrast in pairs of words like *Shogun* / 'fougan/ and *slogan* /'slougan/, *chickaree* /'tʃɪkəriː/ and chicory /'tʃɪkəriː/, *Pharaoh* /'fɛəroʊ/ and *farrow* /'færoʊ/ (Bolinger 1989:348), *Bantu* /'bæntuː/ and *into* /'ɪntʊ'/ (OED).

## **Allophones**

- A distinction is made between tense and lax vowels in pairs like *beet/bit* and *bait/bet*, although the exact phonetic implementation of the distinction varies from accent to accent. However, this distinction collapses before [η].
- Wherever /r/ originally followed a tense vowel or diphthong (in Early Modern English) a schwa offglide was inserted, resulting in centering diphthongs like [iə] in beer [biəɹ], [uə] in poor [puəɹ], [aɪə] in fire [faɪəɹ], [aʊə] in sour [saʊəɹ], and so forth. This phenomenon is known as breaking. The subsequent history depends on whether the accent in question is rhotic or not: In non-rhotic accents like RP the postvocalic [ɹ] was dropped, leaving [biə, puə, faɪə, saʊə] and the like (now usually transcribed [bɪə, puə] and so forth). In rhotic accents like General American, on the other hand, the [əɹ] sequence was coalesced into a single sound, a non-syllabic [ə-], giving [biə, puə, faɪə, saʊə] and the like (now usually transcribed [bɪɹ, puə, faɪə, saʊə] and so forth). As a result, originally monosyllabic words like those just mentioned came to rhyme with originally disyllabic words like seer, doer, higher, power.
- In many (but not all) accents of English, a similar breaking happens to tense vowels before /l/, resulting in pronunciations like [piət] for *peel*, [puət] for *pool*, [peət] for *pail*, and [poət] for *pole*.
- /h/ becomes [ç<sup>+</sup>] before [j] and [i], as in human ['ç<sup>+</sup>juːmən] or ['ç<sup>+</sup>uːmən] where it is not dropped.

# **Transcription variants**

The choice of which symbols to use for phonemic transcriptions may reveal theoretical assumptions or claims on the part of the transcriber. English "lax" and "tense" vowels are distinguished by a synergy of features, such as height, length, and contour (monophthong vs. diphthong); different traditions in the linguistic literature emphasize different features. For example, if the primary feature is thought to be vowel height, then the non-reduced vowels of General American English may be represented according to the table to the left and below. If, on the other hand, vowel length is considered to be the deciding factor, the symbols in the table to the below and center may be chosen (this convention has sometimes been used because the publisher did not have IPA fonts available, though that is seldom an issue any longer.) The rightmost table lists the corresponding lexical sets.

General American full vowels, General American full vowels, Lexical sets representing								
vowel height distinctive		vowel length distinctive		<b>General American full vowels</b>				
i		u	ix		ux	FLEECE		GOOSE
I		υ	i		u	KIT		FOOT
e	અ	0	er	Ίľ	Οĭ	FACE	NURSE	GOAT
3	٨	Э	e	٨	0	DRESS	STRUT	THOUGHT
æ		а	a		ar	TRAP		LOT

If vowel transition is taken to be paramount, then the chart may look like one of these:

General	American full	vowels,		General American full vowels,		
vowel contour distinctive				vowel contour distinctive		
ij		uw		цi		Ωŭ
i		u	or	I		υ
ej	ər	ow		ξĬ	આ	วดั
e	Э	O		3	٨	Э
æ		а		æ		а

(The transcriber at left assumes that there is no phonemic distinction between semivowels and approximants, so that /ej/ is equivalent to /eɪ̯/.)

Many linguists combine more than one of these features in their transcriptions, suggesting they consider the phonemic differences to be more complex than a single feature.

General American full vowels, height & length distinctive				General American full vowels, height & contour distinctive		
ix		uĭ		ij		uw
I		υ	or	I		υ
eĭ	3,1	Οĭ		ej	3r	ow
ε	٨	Э		3	٨	Э
æ		ar		æ		aı

#### **Stress**

Stress is phonemic in English. For example, the words *desert* and *desert* are distinguished by stress, as are the noun *a record* and the verb *to record*. Stressed syllables in English are louder than non-stressed syllables, as well as being longer and having a higher pitch. They also tend to have a fuller realization than unstressed syllables.

Examples of stress in English words, using boldface to represent stressed syllables, are *holiday*, *alone*, *admiration*, *confidential*, *degree*, and *weaker*. Ordinarily, grammatical words (auxiliary verbs, prepositions, pronouns, and the like) do not receive stress, whereas lexical words (nouns, verbs, adjectives, *etc.*) must have at least one stressed syllable.

English is a *stress-timed* language. That is, stressed syllables appear at a roughly steady tempo, and non-stressed syllables are shortened to accommodate this.

**unstressed**. However, if stress is defined as relative respiratory force (that is, it involves greater pressure from the lungs than unstressed syllables), as most phoneticians argue, and is inherent in the word rather than the sentence (that is, it is lexical rather than prosodic), then these traditional approaches conflate two distinct processes: stress, and vowel reduction. In this case, primary stress is actually prosodic stress, whereas secondary stress is simple stress in some positions, and an unstressed but not reduced vowel in others. Either way, there is a three-way phonemic distinction: Either three degrees of stress, or else **stressed**, **unstressed**, and **reduced**. The two approaches are sometimes conflated into a four-way 'stress' classification: *primary* (tonic stress), *secondary* (lexical stress), *tertiary* (unstressed full vowel), and *quaternary* (reduced vowel). See secondary stress for details.

Initial-stress-derived nouns are nouns that are derived from verbs by changing the position of their stress. For example, a *rebel* ['Jɛb.†] (stress on the first syllable) is inclined to *rebel* [Jɨ.'bɛt] (stress on the second syllable) against the powers that be. The number of words using this pattern as opposed to only stressing the second syllable in all circumstances doubles every century or so, and includes words such as *object*, *convict*, and *addict*.

#### **Intonation**

**Prosodic stress** is extra stress given to words when they appear in certain positions in an utterance, or when they receive special emphasis. It normally appears on the final stressed syllable in an intonation unit. So, for example, when the word *admiration* is said in isolation, or at the end of a sentence, the syllable *ra* is pronounced with greater force than the syllable *ad*. (This is traditionally transcribed as / <code>admiration</code>). This is the origin of the primary stress-secondary stress distinction. However, the difference disappears when the word is not pronounced with this final intonation.

Prosodic stress can shift for various pragmatic functions, such as focus or contrast. For instance, consider the dialogue

```
"Is it brunch tomorrow?"
"No, it's dinner tomorrow."
```

In this case, the extra stress shifts from the last stressed syllable of the sentence, *tomorrow*, to the last stressed syllable of the emphasized word, *dinner*. Compare

```
"I'm going tomorrow." /aɪm 'goʊɪŋ tə"mɒroʊ/
with
```

```
"It's dinner tomorrow." /Its "dIn> təˈmɒroʊ/
```

Although grammatical words generally do not have lexical stress, they do acquire prosodic stress when emphasized. Compare ordinary

```
"Come in"! /"kʌm ɪn/
with more emphatic

"Oh, do come in!" /oʊ "duː kʌm 'ɪn/
```

#### **Phonotactics**

Most languages of the world syllabify CVCV and CVCCV sequences as /CV.CV/ and /CVC.CV/ or /CV.CCV/, with consonants preferentially acting as the onset of a syllable containing the following vowel. According to one view, English is unusual in this regard, in that stressed syllables attract following consonants, so that 'CVCV and 'CVCCV syllabify as /'CVC.V/ and /'CVCC.V/, as long as the consonant cluster CC is a possible syllable coda.<sup>[8]</sup> In addition, according to this view, /r/ preferentially syllabifies with the preceding vowel even when both syllables are unstressed, so that CVrV occurs as /CVr.V/.<sup>[8]</sup> However, many scholars do not agree with this view.<sup>[8]</sup>

# Syllable structure

The syllable structure in English is  $(C)^3V(C)^5$ , with a near maximal example being *strengths* (/'strɛŋkθs/,

although it can be pronounced /'str $\epsilon\eta\theta$ s/).<sup>[9]</sup> Because of an extensive pattern of articulatory overlap, English speakers rarely produce an audible release in consonant clusters.<sup>[10]</sup> This can lead to cross-articulations that seem very much like deletions or complete assimilations.

For example,  $hundred\ pounds$  may sound like [handleb phaundz] but X-ray<sup>[11]</sup> and electropalatographic<sup>[12][13]</sup> studies demonstrate that inaudible and possibly weakened contacts may still be made so that the second /d/ in  $hundred\ pounds$  does not entirely assimilate a labial place of articulation, rather the labial co-occurs with the alveolar one.

When a stressed syllable contains a pure vowel (rather than a diphthong), followed by a single consonant and then another vowel, as in *holiday*, many native speakers feel that the consonant belongs to the preceding stressed syllable, /'hɒl.i.deɪ/. However, when the stressed vowel is a long vowel or diphthong, as in *admiration* or *pekoe*, speakers agree that the consonant belongs to the following syllable: /'æd.mi. 'reɪ.ʃən/, /'piː.koʊ/. Wells (1990)<sup>[8]</sup> notes that consonants syllabify with the preceding rather than following vowel when the preceding vowel is the nucleus of a more salient syllable, with stressed syllables being the most salient, reduced syllables the least, and secondary stress / full unstressed vowels intermediate. But there are lexical differences as well, frequently with compound words but not exclusively.

For example, in *dolphin* and *selfish*, he argues that the stressed syllable ends in /lf/, but in *shellfish*, the /f/ belongs with the following syllable: /dolf.in/,  $/\text{self.if/} \rightarrow [\text{dolf+in}]$ , [self+f] vs  $/\text{fel.fif/} \rightarrow [\text{felvfif}]$ , where the /l/ is a little longer and the /I/ not reduced.

Similarly, in *toe-strap* the /t/ is a full plosive, as usual in syllable onset, whereas in *toast-rack* the /t/ is in many dialects reduced to the unreleased allophone it takes in syllable codas, or even elided: /'tou.stræp/, /'toust.ræk/  $\rightarrow$  ['thoust.ræk/  $\rightarrow$  ['thoust.ræk/], ['tous(f), wæk]; likewise *nitrate* /'nai.treit/  $\rightarrow$  ['nait, weit] with a voiceless /r/, vs *night-rate* /'nait.reit/  $\rightarrow$  ['nait, weit] with a voiced /r/. Cues of syllable boundaries include aspiration of syllable onsets and (in the US) flapping of coda /t, d/ (a tease /ə.'tizz/  $\rightarrow$  [ə'thiz] vs. at ease /æt.'izz/  $\rightarrow$  [ær'izz]), epenthetic plosives like [t] in syllable codas (fence /'fɛns/  $\rightarrow$  ['fɛnts] but inside /In. 'said/  $\rightarrow$  [In'said]), and r-colored vowels when the /r/ is in the coda vs. labialization when it is in the onset (key-ring /'kiz.rin/  $\rightarrow$  ['khiz, win] but fearing /'fizr.in/  $\rightarrow$  ['fiəJin]).

### **Onset**

There is an on-going sound change (yod-dropping) by which /j/ as the final consonant in a cluster is being lost. In RP, words with /sj/ and /lj/ can usually be pronounced with or without this sound, e.g., [suːt] or [sjuːt]. For some speakers of English, including some British speakers, the sound change is more advanced and so, for example, in General American /j/ is also not present after /n/, /l/, /s/, /z/, / $\theta$ /, /t/ and /d/. In Welsh English it can occur in more combinations, for example in /tʃj/.

The following can occur as the onset:

All single consonant phonemes except  $/\eta/$ Plosive plus approximant other than /j/:

play, blood, clean, glove, prize, bring, tree, [1] dream, [1] crowd,

```
/pr/, /br/, /tr/,<sup>[1]</sup>/dr/,<sup>[1]</sup>/kr/, /gr/,
                                          green, twin, dwarf, language, quick
/tw/, /dw/, /gw/, /kw/
Voiceless fricative plus approximant
other than /j/:<sup>[2]</sup>
/fl/, /sl/,
                                           floor, sleep, friend, three, shrimp, swing, thwart
/fr/, /\theta r/, /\int r/,
/sw/, /\theta w/
Consonant plus /j/ (before /uː/):
/pj/, /bj/, /tj/, /dj/, /kj/, /gj/,
                                           pure, beautiful, tube, during, cute, argue, music, new, few, view,
                                           thew, suit, Zeus, huge, lurid
/mj/, /nj/, /fj/, /vj/, /\theta j/,
/sj/, /zj/, /hj/, /lj/
/s/ plus voiceless plosive:[3]
                                           speak, stop, skill
/sp/, /st/, /sk/
/s/ plus nasal other than /\eta/:[3]
                                           smile, snow
/sm/, /sn/
/s/ plus voiceless plosive plus
approximant:[3][4]
                                           split, sclera, spring, street, scream, square, smew, spew, student,
/spl/, /skl/,
                                           skewer
/spr/, /str/, /skr/,
/skw/, /smj/, /spj/, /stj/, /skj/
```

#### **Notes:**

- 1. In some American dialects, /tr/ and /dr/ tend to affricate, so that *tree* resembles "chree", and *dream* resembles "jream". [14][15][16] This is sometimes transcribed as [tʃr] and [dʒr] respectively, but the pronunciation varies and may, for example, be closer to [tş] and [dʒ][17] or with a fricative release similar in quality to the rhotic, ie. [tɪ°ɹ], [dɹɹ], or [tṣɹ], [dʒɹ].
- 2. In some dialects, /wr/ (rather than /r/) occurs in words beginning in wr- (*write, wrong, wren,* etc.). Likewise, /hw/ (rather than /w/ or /h/) may occur in some dialects in words beginning in wh- ("which", "what", "who" etc.). [citation needed]
- 3. Many clusters beginning with  $/\int/$  and paralleling native clusters beginning with /s/ are found initially in German and Yiddish loanwords, such as  $/\int I/$ ,  $/\int p/$ ,  $/\int t/$ ,  $/\int m/$ ,  $/\int p/$ ,  $/\int t/$  (in words such as *schlep*, *spiel*, *shtick*, *schmuck*, *schnapps*, *Shprintzen's*, *strudel*).  $/\int w/$  is found initially in the Hebrew loanword schwa. Before /r/ however, the native cluster is  $/\int r/$ . The opposite cluster /sr/ is found in loanwords such as  $Sri\ Lanka$ , but this can be nativized by changing it to  $/\int r/$ .
- 4. /skl/ occurs in the Greek loanword *sclerosis*; there is also /sf/ (*sphere*), /sfr/ (*sphragistics*), /s $\theta$ / (*sthenics*), and / $\theta$ l/ (*thlipsis*).

#### Other onsets

Certain English onsets appear only in contractions: e.g., /zbl/('sblood), /zd/(sdein), and /zw/or /dzw/('swounds). Some, such as /p//(pshaw) or /fw/(fwoosh), can occur in interjections. An archaic voiceless fricative plus nasal exists, /fn/(fnese).

A few other onsets occur in further (anglicized) loan words, including /bw/ (bwana), /mw/ (moiré), /nw/ (noire), /pw/ (pueblo), /zw/ (zwieback), /vw/ (voilà), /kv/ (kvetch), /ʃv/ (schvartze), /tv/ (Tver), /vl/ (Vladimir), and /zl/ (zloty).

Some clusters of this type can be converted to regular English phonotactics by simplifying the cluster: e.g. /(d)z/(dziggetai), /(h)r/(Hrolf), /kr(w)/(croissant), /(p)f/(pfennig),  $/(f)\theta/(phthalic)$ , and /(t)s/(tsunami).

Others can be substituted by native clusters differing only in voice:  $/zb \sim sp/(sbirro)$ , and  $/zgr \sim skr/(sgraffito)$ .

### **Nucleus**

The following can occur as the nucleus:

#### Coda

Most (in theory, all) the following except those that end with /s/, /z/, /f/, /z/, /tf/ or /dz/ can be extended with /s/ or /z/ representing the morpheme -s/z-. Similarly, most (in theory, all) the following except those that end with /t/ or /d/ can be extended with /t/ or /d/ representing the morpheme -t/d-.

Wells (1990) argues that a variety of syllable codas are possible in English, even /ntr, ndr/ in words like entry /'Entr.I/ and sundry /'sAndr.I/, with /tr, dr/ being treated as affricates along the lines of /t $\int$ , d $\Im$ /. He argues that the traditional assumption that pre-vocalic consonants form a syllable with the following vowel is due to the influence of languages like French and Latin, where syllable structure is CVC.CVC regardless of stress placement. Disregarding such contentious cases, which do not occur at the ends of words, the following sequences can occur as the coda:

The single consonant phonemes except /h/, /w/, /j/ and, in non-rhotic varieties, /r/

varieties, /r/ Lateral approximant + plosive or affricate: /lp/, /lb/, /lt/, /ld/, /ltʃ/, help, bulb, belt, hold, belch, /ld3/, /lk/ indulge, milk In rhotic varieties, /r/ + plosive or affricate: /rp/, /rb/, /rt/, /rd/, harp, orb, fort, beard, arch, large, /rt∫/, /rdʒ/, /rk/, /rg/ mark, morgue Lateral approximant + fricative: /lf/, /lv/,  $/l\theta/$ , /ls/, /lf/golf, solve, wealth, else, Welsh In rhotic varieties,  $/r/ + fricative: /rf/, /rv/, /r\theta/, /rs/, /rf/$ dwarf, carve, north, force, marsh Lateral approximant + nasal: /lm/, /ln/ film, kiln In rhotic varieties, /r/ + nasal or lateral: /rm/, /rn/, /rl/arm, born, snarl Nasal + homorganic plosive or affricate: /mp/, /nt/, /nd/, /nt∫/, /ndʒ/,jump, tent, end, lunch, lounge, Nasal + fricative: /mf/,  $/m\theta/$  in non-rhotic varieties,  $/n\theta/$ , /ns/, /nz/, / triumph, warmth, month, prince,  $\eta\theta$ / in some varieties bronze, length

 $\eta\theta$ / in some varieties bronze, length Voiceless fricative + voiceless plosive: /ft/, /sp/, /st/, /sk/ left, crisp, lost, ask Two voiceless fricatives: /f $\theta$ / fifth

Two voiceless fricatives:  $/f\theta/$  fifth Two voiceless plosives: /pt/, /kt/ opt, act

Plosive + voiceless fricative:  $/p\theta/$ , /ps/,  $/t\theta/$ , /ts/,  $/d\theta/$ , /dz/, /ks/ depth, lapse, eighth, klutz, width, adze, box

 $Lateral\ approximant + two\ consonants: /lpt/, /lf\theta/, /lts/, /lst/, /lkt/, \quad sculpt, twelfth, waltz, whilst, \\$ 

```
/lks/ In rhotic varieties, /r/ + two consonants: /rm\theta/, /rpt/, /rps/, /rts/, mulct, calx warmth, excerpt, corpse, quartz, /rst/, /rkt/ horst, infarct
Nasal + homorganic plosive + plosive or fricative: /mpt/, /mps/, /nd\theta/, prompt, glimpse, thousandth, /ŋkt/, /ŋks/, /ŋk\theta/ in some varieties distinct, jinx, length
Three obstruents: /ks\theta/, /kst/ sixth, next
```

Note: For some speakers, a fricative before  $/\theta$ / is elided so that these never appear phonetically:  $/'frf\theta$ / becomes  $['frt\theta]$ ,  $/'siks\theta$ / becomes  $['sik\theta]$ ,  $/'twelf\theta$ / becomes  $['twelt\theta]$ .

### Syllable-level rules

- Both the onset and the coda are optional
- /j/ at the end of an onset cluster (/pj/, /bj/, /tj/, /dj/, /kj/, /fj/, /vj/, /θj/, /sj/, /zj/, /hj/, /mj/, /nj/, /lj/, /spj/, /stj/, /skj/) must be followed by /uː/ or /υə/
- Long vowels and diphthongs are not found before /ŋ/ except for the mimetic word boing!<sup>[18]</sup>
- /ʊ/ is rare in syllable-initial position<sup>[19]</sup>
- Stop + /w/ before /u<sup>x</sup>, υ, Λ, aυ/ (all presently or historically /u(x)/) are excluded<sup>[20]</sup>
- Sequences of  $/s/ + C_1 + V^{\sim} + C_1$ , where  $C_1$  is a consonant other that /t/ and  $V^{\sim}$  is a short vowel, are virtually nonexistent<sup>[20]</sup>

## **Word-level rules**

- /ə/ does not occur in stressed syllables
- /ʒ/ does not occur in word-initial position in native English words although it can occur syllable-initial, e.g., *luxurious* /lʌgˈʒʊəriəs/
- /m/, /n/, /l/ and, in rhotic varieties, /r/ can be the syllable nucleus (ie a syllabic consonant) in an unstressed syllable following another consonant, especially /t/, /d/, /s/ or /z/
- Certain short vowel sounds, called checked vowels, cannot occur without a coda in a single syllable word. In RP, the following short vowel sounds are checked: /I/, /E/, /E/,

# **History of English pronunciation**

Main article: Phonological history of English

English consonants have been remarkably stable over time, and have undergone few changes in the last 1500 years. On the other hand, English vowels have been quite unstable. Not surprisingly, then, the main differences between modern dialects almost always involve vowels.

Around the late 14th century, English began to undergo the Great Vowel Shift, in which

- The high long vowels [iɪ] and [uɪ] in words like *price* and *mouth* became diphthongized, first to [əɪ] and [əʊ] (where they remain today in some environments in some accents such as Canadian English) and later to their modern values [aɪ] and [aʊ]. This is not unique to English, as this also happened in Dutch (first shift only) and German (both shifts).
- The other long vowels became higher:
  - [ex] became [ix] (for example *meet*).
  - [aː] became [eː] (later diphthongized to [eɪ], for example *name*).
  - [oɪ] became [uɪ] (for example *goose*).
  - [21] become [o1] (later diphthongized to [ou], for example bone).

Later developments complicate the picture: whereas in Geoffrey Chaucer's time *food*, *good*, and *blood* all had the vowel [oː] and in William Shakespeare's time they all had the vowel [uː], in modern pronunciation *good* has shortened its vowel to [o] and *blood* has shortened and lowered its vowel to [o] in most accents. In Shakespeare's day (late 16th-early 17th century), [21] many rhymes were possible that no longer hold today. [22] For example, in his play *The Taming of the Shrew*, *shrew* rhymed with *woe*. [23]

### æ-tensing

**æ-tensing** is a phenomenon found in many varieties of American English by which the vowel /æ/ has a longer, higher, and usually diphthongal pronunciation in some environments, usually to something like [eə]. Some American accents, for example those of New York City, Philadelphia, and Baltimore, make a marginal phonemic distinction between /æ/ and /eə/ although the two occur largely in mutually exclusive environments.

# **Bad-lad split**

The **bad-lad split** refers to the situation in some varieties of southern British English and Australian English, where a long phoneme /æ!/ in words like *bad* contrasts with a short /æ/ in words like *lad*.

## **Cot-caught merger**

The **cot-caught** merger is a sound change by which the vowel of words like *caught*, *talk*, and *tall* (/ɔ/), is pronounced the same as the vowel of words like *cot*, *rock*, and *doll* (/ɒ/ in New England /ɑː/ elsewhere). This merger is widespread in North American English, being found in approximately 40% of American speakers and virtually all Canadian speakers.

# Father-bother merger

The **father-bother merger** is the pronunciation of the short O/p/ in words such as "bother" identically to the broad  $A/\alpha z/$  of words such as "father", nearly universal in all of the United States and Canada save New England and the Maritime provinces; many American dictionaries use the same symbol for these vowels in pronunciation guides.

### See also

#### References

- 1. ^ Ladefoged (2001:55)
- 2. ^ Roach (2004:242)
- 3. ^ Roca & Johnson (1999:135)
- 4. ^ Roach (2004:240)
- 5. ^ Wells, Accents of English, Cambridge University Press
- 6. ^ Peter Ladefoged (1975 etc.) A course in phonetics
- 7. ^ Dwight Bolinger (1989) Intonation and its uses
- 8. ^ a b c d Syllabification and allophony
- 9. ^ Five-consonant codas are rare, but one occurs in *angsts* /ˈæŋksts/. See list of the longest English words with one syllable for further long syllables in English.
- 10. ^ Zsiga (2003:404)
- 11. ^ Browman & Goldstein (1990)

- 12. ^ Barry (1985)
- 13. ^ Nolan (1992)
- 14. ^ Wells (1990:?)
- 15. ^ Read (1986:?)
- 16. ^ Bradley, Travis (2006), "Prescription jugs", *Phonoloblog*, http://camba.ucsd.edu/phonoloblog/index.php/2006/05/06/prescription-jugs/, retrieved 2008-06-13
- 17. ^ Bakovic, Eric (2006), "The jug trade", *Phonoloblog*, http://camba.ucsd.edu/phonoloblog/index.php/2006/01/31/the-jug-trade/, retrieved 2008-06-13
- 18. ^ The OED also lists a few unassimilated foreign words such as Burmese aung
- 19. ^ The OED does not list any native words that begin with  $/\mathbf{U}$ , apart from mimetic *oof!*, *ugh! oops!* ook(y)
- 20. ^ *a b* Clements & Keyser (1983:?)
- 21. ^ Cercignani (1981:passim)
- 22. ^ Cercignani (1975:513-518)
- 23. ^ Bartleby.com

## **Bibliography**

- Browman, Catherine P.; Goldstein, Louis (1990), "Tiers in articulatory phonology, with some implications for casual speech", in Kingston, John C.; Beckman, Mary E., *Papers in laboratory phonology I: Between the grammar and physics of speech*, New York: Cambridge University Press, pp. 341–376
- Cercignani, Fausto (1975), "English Rhymes and Pronunciation in the Mid-Seventeenth Century", *English Studies* **56**: 513–518, doi:10.1080/00138387508597728
- Cercignani, Fausto (1981), Shakespeare's Works and Elizabethan Pronunciation, Oxford: Clarendon Press
- Chomsky, Noam; Halle, Morris (1968), The sound pattern of English, New York: Harper & Row
- Clements, G.N.; Keyser, S. (1983), CV phonology: A generative theory of the syllable, Cambridge, MA: MIT press
- Crystal, David (1969), *Prosodic systems and intonation in English*, Cambridge University Press
- Fudge, Erik C. (1984), English word-stress, London: Allen and Unwin
- Gimson, A. C. (1962), An introduction to the pronunciation of English, London: Edward Arnold
- Halliday, M. A. K. (1970), A course in spoken English: Intonation, London: Oxford University Press
- Kingdon, Roger (1958), The groundwork of English intonation, London: Longman
- Ladefoged, Peter (2001), *A Course in Phonetics* (4th ed.), Fort Worth: Harcourt College Publishers, ISBN 0-15-507319-2
- Nolan, Francis (1992), "The descriptive role of segments: Evidence from assimilation.", in Docherty, Gerard J.; Ladd, D. Robert, *Papers in laboratory phonology II: Gesture, segment, prosody*, New York: Cambridge University Press, pp. 261–280
- O'Connor, J. D.; Arnold, Gordon Frederick (1961), *Intonation of colloquial English*, London: Longman
- Pike, Kenneth Lee (1945), *The intonation of American English*, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press
- Read, Charles (1986), Children's Creative Spelling, Routledge, ISBN 0710098022
- Roach, Peter (2000), English Phonetics and Phonology: a Practical Course, Cambridge: Cambridge

- University Press, ISBN 0521786134
- Roach, Peter (2004), "British English: Received Pronunciation", *Journal of the International Phonetic Association* **34** (2): 239–245, doi:10.1017/S0025100304001768
- Roca, Iggy; Johnson, Wyn (1999), A Course in Phonology, Blackwell Publishing
- Trager, George L.; Smith, Henry Lee (1951), *An outline of English structure*, Norman, OK: Battenburg Press
- Wells, John C. (1990), "Syllabification and allophony", in Ramsaran, Susan, *Studies in the Pronunciation of English: A Commemorative Volume in Honour of A. C. Gimson*, London: Routledge, pp. 76–86, http://www.phon.ucl.ac.uk/home/wells/syllabif.htm
- Zsiga, Elizabeth (2003), "Articulatory Timing in a Second Language: Evidence from Russian and English", *Studies in Second Language Acquisition* **25**: 399–432

### **External links**