# **Project Analysis: Handwriting Writer Identification**

# 1. Project Objective

This project aims to identify the writer of handwritten text using deep learning techniques. Specifically, it appears to be using two different approaches:

- A ResNet-based classification model to directly identify writers
- A Siamese network to determine if two handwriting samples are from the same writer

# 2. Datasets Used

The project uses the <u>IAM Handwriting Dataset</u>, specifically a subset containing the top 50 writers. This dataset includes:

- Handwriting samples from various writers
- A mapping of form IDs to writer IDs
- The data is preprocessed to focus on the top 10 writers with the most samples

# 3. Models Used

#### **ResNet Classification Model**

- Base architecture: ResNet50 with pre-trained ImageNet weights
- Transfer learning approach with the base model frozen
- Additional layers:
  - Global Average Pooling
  - Dropout (0.5)
  - Dense layer (128 units)
  - Output layer with softmax activation

### **Siamese Network**

- Custom CNN architecture with:
  - Multiple Conv2D layers (32, 64, 128 filters)
  - o BatchNormalization layers
  - MaxPooling layers
  - Dense layer (128 units)
- Uses contrastive loss function to compare image pairs
- Binary output indicating whether two samples are from the same writer

# 4. Results

#### **ResNet Classification Model**

- Training accuracy: ~94.7%
- Validation accuracy: ~95.8%
- Loss decreased steadily from ~1.45 to ~0.18
- Confusion matrix shows strong diagonal values, indicating good classification
- Best results seen after 15 epochs

```
45/45
                           362s 8s/step - accuracy: 0.3952 - loss: 2.1408 - val_accuracy: 0.7688 - val_loss: 0.8142 - learning_rate: 0.0010
Epoch 2/15
45/45
                           392s 8s/step - accuracy: 0.7314 - loss: 0.8220 - val_accuracy: 0.8552 - val_loss: 0.4476 - learning_rate: 0.0010
                           334s 7s/step - accuracy: 0.7987 - loss: 0.6200 - val_accuracy: 0.8774 - val_loss: 0.3402 - learning_rate: 0.0010
45/45
Epoch 4/15
45/45
                           391s 8s/step - accuracy: 0.8594 - loss: 0.4267 - val_accuracy: 0.9053 - val_loss: 0.2802 - learning_rate: 0.0010
Epoch 5/15
                           346s 8s/step - accuracy: 0.9003 - loss: 0.3098 - val_accuracy: 0.9109 - val_loss: 0.2670 - learning_rate: 0.0010
45/45 -
Epoch 6/15
                           379s 8s/step - accuracy: 0.9279 - loss: 0.2294 - val_accuracy: 0.9276 - val_loss: 0.2358 - learning_rate: 0.0010
45/45
                           409s 8s/step - accuracy: 0.9351 - loss: 0.2161 - val_accuracy: 0.9331 - val_loss: 0.2318 - learning_rate: 0.0010
45/45
Epoch 8/15
45/45
                           360s 8s/step - accuracy: 0.9202 - loss: 0.2454 - val_accuracy: 0.9164 - val_loss: 0.2411 - learning_rate: 0.0010
                           342s 8s/step - accuracy: 0.9369 - loss: 0.1737 - val_accuracy: 0.9471 - val_loss: 0.2041 - learning_rate: 0.0010
45/45 -
Epoch 10/15
                            383s 8s/step - accuracy: 0.9463 - loss: 0.1589 - val_accuracy: 0.9359 - val_loss: 0.2051 - learning_rate: 0.0010
45/45
                           385s 8s/step - accuracy: 0.9591 - loss: 0.1341 - val_accuracy: 0.9387 - val_loss: 0.2019 - learning_rate: 0.0010
Epoch 12/15
45/45
                           381s 8s/step - accuracy: 0.9666 - loss: 0.1110 - val_accuracy: 0.9415 - val_loss: 0.2250 - learning_rate: 0.0010
Epoch 13/15
                           363s 7s/step - accuracy: 0.9573 - loss: 0.1346 - val_accuracy: 0.9387 - val_loss: 0.2113 - learning_rate: 0.0010
45/45 -
Epoch 14/15
45/45
                           381s 7s/step - accuracy: 0.9602 - loss: 0.1168 - val_accuracy: 0.9415 - val_loss: 0.1998 - learning_rate: 5.0000e-04
Epoch 15/15
45/45 398s 8s/step - accuracy: 0.9578 - loss: 0.1440 - val_accuracy: 0.9582 - val_loss: 0.1863 - learning_rate: 5.0000e-04
(keras.src.callbacks.history.History at 0x78ef69248c10)
45/45
```

#### **Siamese Network**

- Training accuracy: ~75.7%
- Validation accuracy: ~73.2%
- Loss decreased steadily from ~1.44 to ~0.15
- Best results seen after 5 epochs

#### 5. Discussion on Results

The ResNet classification model performs very well:

- High accuracy (over 95%) on validation data
- The training curves show a healthy learning pattern with no obvious overfitting
- The confusion matrix shows strong performance across all writer classes
- The model demonstrates ability to correctly identify writers from their handwriting

The training implementation includes several best practices:

- Early stopping and learning rate reduction callbacks
- Data preprocessing including normalization
- Class balancing considerations

Visualization of training progress

The Siamese network provides a complementary approach that focuses on similarity between handwriting samples rather than direct classification. This is particularly useful when:

- New writers are introduced to the system
- Limited examples per writer are available
- A verification system is needed

The confusion matrix shows exceptionally strong performance on writer identification, with most classes achieving perfect or near-perfect classification. The model appears particularly effective at distinguishing between different handwriting styles.

The example predictions show successful classification of test samples where the predicted label matches the true label.









