First Name:	Last Name:	_ Last Name:	
Student ID #:			
PSC 041	Research Methods in Psychology	WQ 2024	

Unit 5 Exam Version B Research Summary

For multiple-choice questions, fill in the box to indicate your selection. Do not make stray marks in other boxes. For short answer questions, try to write on the lines and stay in the space provided.

Adapted from: Scullin, M., Krueger, M., Ballard, H, & Pruett, N. (2018). The effects of bedtime writing on difficulty falling asleep: A polysomnographic study comparing to-do lists and completed activity lists. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General.*


A new bedtime to-do list, courtesy of sleep researchers at Baylor University:

- 1. Write a to-do list before bed.
- 2. Go to sleep.
- 3. Sleep better than all the non-list-writing people you meet tomorrow.

It sounds simple, but there's evidence that it just might work. According to a recent study, participants who took 5 minutes to write a to-do list before bed fell asleep more quickly than participants who wrote about tasks they had already completed. The key, according to researchers, is in mentally "offloading" responsibilities before bedtime, theoretically freeing the mind for sound sleeping.

"We live in a 24/7 culture in which our to-do lists seem to be constantly growing and causing us to worry about unfinished tasks at bedtime," lead author Michael Scullin said. "Most people just cycle through their to-do lists in their heads, and so we wanted to explore whether the act of writing them down could counteract nighttime difficulties with falling asleep."

To test this hypothesis, researchers invited 57 men and women between ages 18 and 30 to spend one weeknight in a sleep lab. The rules were simple: lights out at 10:30 p.m., and no technology, homework or other distractions allowed. Five minutes before bedtime, each participant was instructed to complete a short writing exercise. Half of the participants were randomly assigned to write about anything they needed to remember to do in the upcoming days. The other half were instructed to write about tasks they had completed during the previous days. When the writing was done, participants tucked in for bed.



Researchers measured each participant's brain activity overnight using a technique called polysomnography, which records eye movement, muscle activity and other biological changes. The researchers found that the participants who wrote to-do lists fell asleep an average of 9 minutes faster (M=15.82, SD=14.07) than those who wrote about already-accomplished tasks (M=25.09, SD=15.94), t(55)=2.32, p=.02. While 9 minutes may not seem like a lot of extra shut-eye, it's comparable to the improvement seen in clinical trials for some sleep medications.

Predictor Variable

Thinking about the predictor / independent variable: Writing Condition

Partial operational definition: All participants wrote for 5 minutes before bed. Half were instructed to write a to-do list; the other half wrote about tasks they had already accomplished.

2 pts	1. The predictor / independent variab	ole is (fill in the box) □ Continuous	
2 pts	2. How was the predictor / independenceObservationSelf-Report	ent variable measured? (fill in the box) Physiological It was manipulated	
5 pts	3. Is this a causal or associative claim? □ Causal	(fill in the box) Associative	
5 pts	4. This variable is (fill in the box)□ between groups	□ within group	
		finding using a different operational definition articipants to choose what to write. The writ	
2 pts	5. How was this new predictor / indepObservationSelf-Report	endent variable measured? (fill in the b Physiological It was manipulated	ox)
5 pts	6. Is this now a causal or associative o	laim? (fill in the box) Associative	
5 pts	7. This variable is (fill in the box) Detween groups	□ within group	

Outcome Variable

	Thinking about the outcome / dependent variable: Sleep Onset Latency
10 pts	8. How did the researchers operationally define the outcome / dependent variable? Describe it using your own words. Be sure to include the levels or values and indicate how the codes will be interpreted.
2 pts	9. The outcome / dependent variable is (fill in the box) Categorical Continuous
2 pts	10. How was the outcome / dependent variable measured? (fill in the box) ☐ Observation ☐ Physiological ☐ Self-Report ☐ It was manipulated
10 pts	11. Evaluate the construct validity of the outcome / dependent variable. ProTips: Give an overall evaluation. Think about the face validity, the procedure, and the method-match to inform your decision. Use specific vocabulary. Be sure to only discuss this one variable.
	Page 3 of 8

Evaluate Internal Validity

10 pts	12. For the original research summary, 'being hooked up to a polysomnography machine' is unlikely to be a confound because
10 pts	13. For the original research summary, there is not a testing effect because
70 (213	Tel me enginerresearer servicinary, mere is not a recinity encer see easse

Summarize the findings

5 pts	 14. How did the researchers summarize the findings? (fill in the box) □ compare group means □ compare group frequency □ indicate strength and direction of the overall relationship 			
5 pts	15. The error bars overlap. The between the variables? (fill in the b□ do not; is□ do not; is not	erefore, there likely a real relationship oox) do; is do; is not		
5 pts	16. The p value is Therefore, between the variables. (fill in the bo greater than 0.5; is ☐ greater than 0.5; is not ☐ less than 0.5; is ☐ less than 0.5; is not	there a statistically significant relationship ox) greater than 0.05; is greater than 0.05; is not less than 0.05; is less than 0.05; is not		
10 pts	17. Does this interpretation follow from causes participants to fall asleep for Why or why not?	om this study: "We found that writing a to-do list aster."		

Evaluate External Validity

10 pts	18. For this research, the participants were sleeping in a sleep lab. Evaluate this aspect of external validity .
	Another researcher attempted to replicate this study. They recruited another set of participants from the same population and in the same way. They carefully replicated every step of the procedure. They did not find the same results; there was no difference between the writing conditions in how long it took these participants to fall asleep.
5 pts	19. This is a failure to ☐ replicate ☐ generalize
5 pts	20. This new finding brings into doubt the ☐ external validity ☐ internal validity
5 pts	 21. This new finding □ can be explained in a way that coexists with the original finding. □ indicates that one of the findings is likely to be invalid.

Multiple Choice

Select the <u>single best answer to the multiple-choice questions</u>. Indicate your choice by filling in the box to the left of your selection. Do not make stray marks in the other boxes. 2.5 points each

 22. According to this graph, what type of relationship do age and distraction share on driving safety? Additive because the lines are parallel Additive because the lines are not parallel Interaction because the lines are parallel Interaction because the lines are not parallel 	Driving Safety by Safety b	oy Age and Distractio	On Phone No Phone
□ null	Tourise	- Craci	
23. Which of these two statements describes the The effect of one predictor variable depending on the level of the other The effect of each predictor variable same regardless of the level of the other	on the outcome vari predictor variable. e on the outcome vo	ariable is the	
24. This is a design			
□ 2x2	□ 2x2x2		
□ 2x3	□ 2x2x3		
□ 3x3	□ 4x4		
25. How many possible main effects could there	oe in this study?		
_ 1	□ 3		
□ 2	□ 4		
26. The mean is the most widely used statistic for However, the mean is heavily influenced by _	•	·	
27. A Cohen's d value of 0.21 can be interpreted small effect weak positive correlation strong positive correlation large effect	as indicating a		

shows a small effect size? □ A □ B □ C	A	В	
□ D	С	D	
☐ Jesse is about avera	tion of responses from h	nis class, his z-sco pest describes to on extroversion. oversion.	ore on his result?
30. Dr. Smith concludes that his portype of error has he committed p-hacking HARKing File drawer Type I – false positive	s qs	ovid-19 but he is	s wrong. What
31. Juan wonders if college studer He wants to test the hypothesis vary between Fall, Winter, and would be the correlation coefficie z-score.	s that the mean numbe Spring quarters. The ap nt r.	er of units taken	per quarter will
 32. Simran wonders if having a visi hired or not. The appropriate in correlation coefficient t-test. 33. Zhara wants to test the hypoth lecture predicts the score earn inferential statistic would be the 	ble tattoo during a job nferential statistic would nt r. esis that the number of ned on the exams in the e	interview is related be the ANOVA. Chi-square. days an underectors.	grad attends
correlation coefficiet-test.	TII T.	□ ANOVA.□ chi-square	