

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Bilateral C1 laminar hooks combined with C2 pedicle screw fixation in the treatment of atlantoaxial subluxation after Grisel's syndrome

Author: Luis C. Morales, Fernando Alvarado, José A. Corredor, Andrés Rodríguez



PII: S1529-9430(16)30867-1

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.spinee.2016.08.016>

Reference: SPINEE 57132

To appear in: *The Spine Journal*

Received date: 12-8-2015

Revised date: 15-6-2016

Accepted date: 3-8-2016

Please cite this article as: Luis C. Morales, Fernando Alvarado, José A. Corredor, Andrés Rodríguez, Bilateral C1 laminar hooks combined with C2 pedicle screw fixation in the treatment of atlantoaxial subluxation after Grisel's syndrome, *The Spine Journal* (2016), <http://dx.doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.spinee.2016.08.016>.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1 **Title:** Bilateral C1 laminar hooks combined with C2 pedicle screw fixation in the treatment of
2 atlantoaxial subluxation after Grisel's syndrome

3

4 **Concise title:** Surgical treatment of Grisel's Syndrome

5

6 **Author names and affiliations**

7

8 Luis C. Morales M.D. Orthopaedic and Spine Surgeon, Hospital Universitario Fundación Santa
9 Fe de Bogotá, cirugía de Columna.

10 Postal Address: Carrera 7 No. 117-15. Bogotá D.C., Colombia

11 E-mail: luiscarlosmoralessaenz@gmail.com

12

13 Fernando Alvarado M.D. Orthopaedic and Spine Surgeon, Hospital Universitario Fundación
14 Santa Fe de Bogotá, cirugía de Columna.

15 Postal Address: Carrera 7 No. 117-15. Bogotá D.C., Colombia

16 E-mail: falvaradogomez@gmail.com

17

18 **Corresponding author:**

19 José A. Corredor M.D., Orthopaedic and Spine Surgeon, Hospital Universitario Fundación Santa
20 Fe de Bogotá, cirugía de Columna.

21 Address: Carrera 7 No. 117-15. Bogotá D.C., Colombia

22 Phone number: (+57) 3124747340 Fax: (+571) 603 0303

23 E-mail: joalcosa@yahoo.com

24

1 Andrés Rodríguez M.D. Orthopaedic and Spine Surgeon, Hospital Universitario Fundación
2 Santa Fe de Bogotá, cirugía de Columna.

3 Postal Address: Carrera 7 No. 117-15. Bogotá D.C., Colombia

4 E-mail: andres.rodriguez@ama.com.co

5

6 Acknowledgments

7 The authors would like to thank Teryn Buna from University of British Columbia and

8 Alexander Joeris MD and Melissa Wilhelmi from AO Clinical Investigation and

9 Documentation for their contribution in preparation and copy-editing of the manuscript.

10 Also, we thank Jimmy Peña and Miguel Farfan MD for the drawings in this manuscript.

11

12 Abstract

13 **Background context.** Many etiologies can lead to atlantoaxial subluxation. In Grisel's
14 syndrome, this subluxation occurs spontaneously after inflammatory processes of the
15 head and neck. Diagnosis is typically based on clinical history and a strong suspicion of
16 this syndrome. Non-surgical treatment most often resolves the symptoms, however in
17 some cases surgical treatment is necessary to repair the subluxation. Various surgical
18 techniques and instrumentation systems have been used to treat atlantoaxial
19 subluxation, although there is no consensus regarding the best treatment method for the
20 pediatric population.

21 **Purpose.** To describe a case of atlantoaxial subluxation in a child with Grisel's
22 syndrome treated surgically with an alternative construct.

1 **Study Design/Setting.** Case report and literature review.

2 **Methods.** Our case study involves a five-year-old female with a six-month history of
3 unresolved Fielding type II atlantoaxial subluxation due to Grisel's syndrome. Despite
4 conservative treatment, the patient's symptoms continued to progress. After two failed
5 closed reduction attempts, open reduction and C1-C2 fusion was performed with atlas
6 laminar hook and axis pedicle polyaxial screws. A literature review of the surgical
7 treatment of Grisel's syndrome was also performed.

8 **Results.** After surgery, the patient exhibited full clinical and functional recovery with
9 complete resolution of symptoms. At the 36-month follow-up examination, there was
10 continual evidence of satisfactory reduction and fusion. No complications were
11 observed. Upon completion of the literature review, eight Grisel's syndrome cases were
12 found to have been treated surgically with the minimum patient age being nine years.

13 **Conclusions.** Conservative management of Grisel's syndrome is the most common and
14 effective treatment; however a few surgical cases have been reported in the literature
15 with good results. Satisfactory clinical results and fusion at 36 months post-surgery were
16 seen in a pediatric patient with atlantoaxial subluxation and instability using atlas laminar
17 hook and axis pedicle polyaxial screws.

18

19 **Key words:** Atlantoaxial subluxation; atlas laminar hook; axis pedicle polyaxial screws;
20 C1 - C2 arthrodesis; Grisel's syndrome; Goel–Harms arthrodesis.

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 **Introduction**

8 C1-C2 subluxation is an uncommon condition in clinical practice. If spontaneous
9 subluxation of the atlantoaxial joint occurs secondary to infectious or inflammatory
10 processes of the head and neck, it is known as Grisel's syndrome (GS) and is most
11 often seen in children. In 1830, Sir Charles described the first case of atlantoaxial
12 subluxation and Pierre Grisel described three patients with pharyngitis and torticollis
13 who also experienced dislocation of the C1 – C2 joint nearly a century later [1;2].

14

15 Conservative treatment of GS is the gold standard involving anti-inflammatory,
16 antibiotics, and muscle relaxants therapies as well as bed rest, physiotherapy and
17 immobilization with soft collars [3]. These methods typically offer relief of all symptom,
18 and are considered as first line treatment prior to considering surgery [4]. The option to
19 undergo surgical intervention is rare, and generally only taken when symptoms progress
20 and initial treatments are no longer effective [5]. Occipitocervical fixation, C1-C2 wiring

1 with bone grafting (Brooks-Jenkins fusion), transarticular atlantoaxial screws (Magerl
2 technique) or C1 lateral mass screws and C2 transpedicular screw and rods (described
3 by Goels and modified by Harms) [6-9] have been described to achieve intraoperative
4 reduction and subsequent fixation to maintain correct alignment. Potential growth and
5 the possibility of postoperative spinal deformity is a concern associated with cervical
6 arthrodesis in children, especially those younger than ten years.

7

8 This case study describes a five-year-old girl with a six-month history of unresolved type
9 II atlantoaxial subluxation classified according to Fielding-Hawkins [10]. Surgical
10 treatment involving open reduction and C1-C2 fusion with atlas laminar hook and axis
11 pedicle polyaxial screws was performed. Based on the final 36-month outcome of this
12 case, we exhibit the outcome of the surgical treatment of atlantoaxial subluxation and
13 instability secondary to inflammatory process (Grisel's syndrome) in a child in which
14 conservative treatment was unsuccessful.

15

16 **Materials and Methods**

17 **Case presentation**

18 A five-year-old female was admitted to the emergency room of our center with a 15-day
19 history of neck pain, right-sided inclination and left-sided rotation of the head, cervical
20 muscle spasm and a description of electric pain behind her right ear without any
21 mechanism of injury (Figure 1). One week prior to admission, the patient had started

1 micro-nebulization treatment for a broncho-obstructive syndrome. Physical examination
2 revealed cervical adenopathy, pain on palpation of the left side of the neck, and
3 restriction in all cervical movements. Radiographic investigations identified right lateral
4 inclination of the head and rectification of the cervical lordosis (Figure 2). A CT scan
5 revealed asymmetrical odontoid-lateral mass relationship (Figure 3). Based on these
6 findings, initial treatment involved a Philadelphia soft collar and rest. At a two-week
7 follow-up examination, the patient presented with acute sinusitis and further
8 investigations identified persistent impairment of cervical range of motion. Treatment
9 with cervical traction device (1 kg) was started and continued on a daily basis in
10 combination with gentle soft tissue manipulation. Amoxicillin was prescribed for sinusitis
11 treatment and physiotherapy. After 10 days following this inpatient treatment regimen
12 the deformity persisted. Under general anesthesia, closed reduction under CT guidance
13 was therefore attempted without success. A follow-up MRI revealed fluid in the C1-C2
14 joint. After discussion among all clinic spine surgeons, the diagnosis of Grisel's
15 syndrome was reached and further monitoring with home treatment including the use of
16 soft collar, analgesia, and physical therapy was recommended. There was no evidence
17 of improvement in symptoms by the five month clinical follow-up; further CT imaging
18 confirmed progression of the dislocation (Figure 4), and the MRI revealed progression of
19 C1-C2 subluxation and right rotation toward the C2 vertebral body, right facet C1-C2
20 dislocation, loss of relationship between the atlanto-odontoid joint and scoliosis. A new
21 treatment intervention was proposed involving the cervical traction halo-traction system;
22 this device was fitted under general anesthesia with the traction level starting at 1
23 kilogram (equivalent to 5% of the total weight) and progressively increasing to 20% of
24 the total weight over 10 days (Figure 5). A second attempt of closed reduction under CT

1 examination was done, using the transoral method according to Jeszenszky [11],
2 achieving partial reduction. Further cervical traction with the halo traction device was
3 undertaken for 8 days; however the reduction was not maintained. Consequently,
4 surgical treatment for reduction was considered.

5

6 ***Surgical technique***



7 The patient, stabilized with the halo-traction device, was placed in the prone position
8 and neurophysiological monitoring (including somatosensory- and motor-evoked
9 potential) was performed throughout the surgery. A posterior neutral approach was
10 performed and C1-C2 was subperiosteally exposed. Upon further exposure of the
11 posterior arch of C1 and the lamina of C2 evidence of right C2 facet indentation was
12 apparent. Real-time fluoroscopy was used to place axis pedicle polyaxial screws 3.5
13 mm in diameter. Reduction was performed under C-arm fluoroscopy to verify alignment
14 and positioning. Laminar hooks were placed on the posterior arch of the atlas, and
15 connection of both constructs was made with posterior rods (size 3.5mm in diameter).
16 The lamina of C1 and C2 were then decorticated posteriorly and a demineralized bone
17 matrix allograft was applied. No intraoperative complications were experienced.
18 Postoperative radiographs demonstrate proper alignment of cervical spine and
19 placement of implant (Figure 6).

20

21 ***Postoperative course***

1 After surgery, almost complete pain relief was achieved and the torticollis subsided. A
2 rapid early postoperative recovery was observed and the patient was discharged five
3 days post-surgery with upper cervical spine soft collar stabilization for 8 weeks.
4 Thereafter, physical therapy was initiated, which included pain management techniques
5 as well as exercises to regain cervical spine mobility. At the 36-month follow-up, C1-C2
6 spinal fusion was obtained based on radiographic evaluation without any spinal
7 deformity (Figure 7), there were no further reports of neck pain, and gait abnormalities
8 and urinary disturbances were nonexistent.

9

10 **Discussion.**

11 The case exhibit an effective and safe surgical construct that combines the C1 laminar
12 hooks and C2 transpedicular screws united with connecting rods, for use in the pediatric
13 population (Figure 8). This construct was proposed by Ni et al. as an alternative surgical
14 technique to treat atlantoaxial instability secondary to odontoid fracture, os odontoideum
15 or traumatic rupture of the transverse ligament [12]. A satisfying clinical result and fusion
16 at the 36-month follow-up were achieved with this technique. The construct minimizes
17 the risk of neurological or vascular injuries.

18

19 When a static CT scan is performed using the characteristic cock-robin posture of the
20 head, there is a significant chance of misinterpreting the findings [13]. Static CT may
21 facilitate the diagnosis of a subluxation or dislocation of C1 on C2, yet it does not
22 demonstrate the dynamic relationship between this pair [14]. Dynamic relationship

1 between C1 and C2 can be better seen with a Dynamic CT Scan (DCTS) [13,15].
2 Currently there is a lack of good quality studies in literature recommending its use to
3 specifically evaluate rotatory subluxation. Alany et al, found that DCTS has poor
4 reliability and reproducibility in the diagnosis of atlantoaxial subluxation in patients with
5 acute torticollis. As a result, they suggest that routine use of DCTS is a costly choice in
6 patients with acute torticollis as the deformity usually resolves by a simple cervical
7 mobilization [16]. We consider DCTS doesn't change the treatment, moreover, increase
8 costs and patient radiation exposure. In our case, sequential CT scan were performed,
9 being obvious the progression of subluxation

10

11 There is no formal consensus advocating the optimal surgical treatment method,
12 particularly since conservative treatment is most often effective. Analysis of the
13 literature revealed only eight cases of GS treated with arthrodesis (Table 1) where the
14 youngest patient was nine years old [8;17;18;19]. One case was treated with the Goel-
15 Harms technique [8], one with the occipito-C3 technique and wires [17], five cases were
16 treated with C1-C2 sub- and interlaminar wires with an interspinous allograft [18], and
17 the last one treated with C1-C2 transarticular screws and C1 lateral mass screws [19].
18 The union rate was 100% for the first two cases without any reported complications. For
19 cases involving treatment using C1-C2 sub- and interlaminar wires and C1-
20 C2 transarticular screws with C1 lateral mass screws, the union rate was not reported.
21 For our knowledge this construct using atlas laminar hook and axis pedicle polyaxial
22 screws has not previously described neither in pediatric population nor in the treatment
23 of GS.

1
2 In the pediatric population, the Goel-Harms technique (bilateral insertion of polyaxial-
3 head screws in the lateral mass of C1 and the pedicle of C2) has been an effective
4 method for the management of atlantoaxial instability. Heuer et al. used this technique
5 for six pediatric patients (age range 7.5 to 17 years) with instability secondary to os
6 odontoideum [20]. All patients were reported with complete fusion and normal alignment
7 after an average of 14 months follow-up. Only two patients experienced postoperative
8 paresthesia in C2 dermatome, which resolved spontaneously within two weeks
9 postoperative; there were no reports of vertebral artery injuries, infections or wound
10 dehiscence.

11
12 Limitations of Goel-Harms construct are related to the technical difficulties of the surgery
13 as well as the inherent risk associated with placing screws in the lateral masses of C-1.
14 This is due to the presence of immature bone, small bone structures and extensive
15 anatomical variability. In some patients, neurotomy of the spinal nerve must be
16 performed to achieve suitable positioning of the screw in the lateral masses of C1 [12].
17 Based on these observations, Ni et al. propose arthrodesis using laminar hooks in C1
18 and transarticular screws in C2 connected with rods for pediatric patients. C1 laminar
19 hooks avoid the neurological risk caused by sublaminar cables that are passed under
20 the C1 arch as well as vascular injury arising from screws positioning within the C1
21 lateral masses[12]. A disadvantage of this construct is that the C1 level only has one
22 fixation point with limited biomechanical stability; nevertheless the outcome for five
23 patients was excellent, with fusion rates of 100% [12]. In line with this, we considered

1 the use of sublaminar hooks in C1 as safe and effective for the management of
2 atlantoaxial subluxation in pediatric patients.

3

4 In order to perform this technique, the C1 posterior arch is needed to bear fixation with
5 hooks. Patients with complete or incomplete congenital absence of the C1 posterior
6 arch (1.5- 5% of the population) [20] or those that require its surgical removal cannot be
7 considered for this type of surgery. These patients would undergo the original Goel-
8 Harms technique. Posterior arch hypoplasia is a relative contraindication that is more
9 commonly found in some patients with atlantoaxial subluxation or instability [20]. The
10 presence of a C2 short pedicle may also limit screw placement, although Sim et al.
11 consider the possibility of using these screws in this anatomic variants; they reported
12 stable fixation using long and short pedicle screws with a low occurrence of vascular or
13 neurological injury [21].

14

15 This surgical technique may be applied to children with traumatic injuries, rheumatologic
16 diseases, connective tissue disorders, Grisel's syndrome and congenital malformations
17 such as Chiari, Down syndrome and os odontoideum, in which C1-C2 fixation is
18 required.

19

20 **Conclusion.**

1 Based on the outcome of the presented patient, we exhibit an effective, alternative and
2 safe surgical construct using atlas laminar hooks, axis pedicle polyaxial screws, rows
3 connectors and bone allograft in the pediatric population for the treatment of atlantoaxial
4 subluxation and instability. A satisfying clinical result and fusion at the 36-month follow-
5 up were achieved with this technique. As Gisel's Syndrome is a rare diagnosis in
6 children, it might be interesting to evaluate the outcome of children with an atlantoaxial
7 subluxation or instability secondary to traumatic injuries, rheumatologic diseases,
8 connective tissue disorders and congenital malformations such as Chiari, down
9 syndrome or os odontoideum, where C1-C2 fixation is required.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4 **References**

- 5 1. S. Osiro, K.J. Tiwari, P. Matusz. Grisel's syndrome: a comprehensive review with
6 focus on pathogenesis, natural history, and current treatment options. *Childs Nerv.Syst.* 2012; 28: 821-5.
- 7 2. A. Harma , Y. Firat. Grisel syndrome: nontraumatic atlantoaxial rotatory
8 subluxation. *J.Craniofac.Surg.* 2008; 19: 1119-21.
- 9 3. V. Salpietro, A. Polizzi, F. Granata, et al. Upper respiratory tract infection and
10 torticollis in children: differential diagnosis of Grisel's syndrome. *Clin.Neuroradiol.*
11 2012; 22: 351-3.
- 12 4. B.R. Subach, M.R. McLaughlin, A.L. Albright et al. Current management of
13 pediatric atlantoaxial rotatory subluxation. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976.)* 1998; 23: 2174-9.
- 14 5. V.J. Fernandez Cornejo, J.F. Martinez-Lage, C. Piqueras et al. Inflammatory
15 atlanto-axial subluxation (Grisel's syndrome) in children: clinical diagnosis and
16 management. *Childs Nerv.Syst.* 2003; 19: 342-7.
- 17 6. D.D Cavalcanti,A. Agrawal, U. Garcia-Gonzalez et al. Anterolateral C1-C2
18 transarticular fixation for atlantoaxial arthrodesis: landmarks, working area, and
19 angles of approach. *Neurosurgery* 2010; 67: ons38-ons42.
- 20

- 1 7. J. Harms, R.P MelcheR. Posterior C1-C2 fusion with polyaxial screw and rod
2 fixation. Spine (Phila Pa 1976.) 2001; 26: 2467-71.
- 3 8. R. Desai, C.B Stevenson, A.H. Crawford et al. C-1 lateral mass screw fixation in
4 children with atlantoaxial instability: case series and technical report. J.Spinl
5 Disord.Tech. 2010; 23: 474-9.
- 6 9. M.E. Jacobson, S.N. Khan, H.S. An. C1-C2 posterior fixation: indications,
7 technique, and results. Orthop.Clin.North Am. 2012; 43: 11-8, vii.
- 8 10. C. Bocciolini, D. Dall'Olio, E. Cunsolo et al. Grisel's syndrome: a rare complication
9 following adenoidectomy. Acta Otorhinolaryngol.Ital. 2005; 25: 245-9.
- 10 11. D. Jeszenszky, R. Willms, A. Steigleider et al. Closed reposition of C1/C2 rotatory
11 subluxations, a new method. Presented at the XIV Annual Meeting of the Cervical
12 Spine Research Society. Varese, Italy, September 17–19
- 13 12. B. Ni, Z. Zhu, F. Zhou, Q. Guo, J. Yang, J. Liu, F. Wang. Bilateral C1 laminar hooks
14 combined with C2 pedicle screws fixation for treatment of C1-C2 instability not
15 suitable for placement of transarticular screws. Eur Spine J. 2010 Aug;19(8):1378-
16 82.
- 17 13. Been HD, Kerkhoffs GM, Maas M. Suspected atlantoaxial rotatory fixation-
18 subluxation: the value of multidetector computed tomography scanning under
19 general anesthesia. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2007 Mar 1;32(5):E163-7
- 20 14. Kowalski HM, Cohen WA, Cooper P, et al. Pitfalls in the CT diagnosis of atlanto-
21 axial rotatory subluxation. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1987;149:595–600.

- 1 15. McGuire KJ, Silber J, Flynn JM, Levine M, Dormans JP. Torticollis in children: can
2 dynamic computed tomography help determine severity and treatment. J Pediatr
3 Orthop. 2002 Nov-Dec;22(6):766-70.
- 4 16. Alanay A, Hicazi A, Acaroglu E, Yazici M, Aksoy C, Cila A, Akalan N, Surat A.
5 Reliability and necessity of dynamic computerized tomography in diagnosis of
6 atlantoaxial rotatory subluxation. J Pediatr Orthop. 2002 Nov-Dec;22(6):763-5.
- 7 17. M. Yamazak, Y. Someya, M. Aramomi et al. Infection-related atlantoaxial
8 subluxation (Grisel syndrome) in an adult with Down syndrome. Spine (Phila Pa
9 1976.) 2008; 33: E156-E160.
- 10 18. S.C. Lee, T.N. Lui, S.T. Lee. Atlantoaxial rotatory subluxation in skeletally immature
11 patients. Br.J.Neurosurg. 2002; 16: 154-7.
- 12 19. E.A. Pereira, J. Hempenstall, P.M. Pretorius, A.A. Kamat, T.A. Cadoux-Hudson. La
13 maladie de Grisel treated by combined C1-2 transarticular and C1 lateral mass
14 screw fixation. Br J Neurosurg. 2010 Aug;24(4):499-501.
- 15 20. G.G Heuer, D.A. Hardesty, D.A Bhowmick et al. Treatment of pediatric atlantoaxial
16 instability with traditional and modified Goel-Harms fusion constructs. Eur.Spine J.
17 2009; 18: 884-92.
- 18 21. H.B. Sim, J.W. Lee, J.T. Park et al. Biomechanical evaluations of various c1-c2
19 posterior fixation techniques. Spine (Phila Pa 1976.) 2011; 36: E401-E407.
- 20

1 **Figure legend**

2 **Figure 1.** Patient' clinical image with right-sided inclination and left-sided rotation of the
3 head.

4 **Figure 2.** a). Radiographic investigations identified right lateral inclination of the head
5 and rectification of the cervical lordosis. b). Open-mouth radiograph showing
6 asymmetry of the odontoid process in relation to the lateral masses of the atlas

7 **Figure 3.** Initial coronal and axial CT scan of C1-C2 revealed asymmetrical odontoid-
8 lateral mass relationship (narrow)

9 **Figure 4.** a. Five-month coronal and axial CT scan of C1-C2 revealed progression of the
10 subluxation. b. Axial and Coronal 3D-CTin atlanto-axial rotatory subluxation

11 **Figure 5.** Patient under cervical traction with the halo-traction system.

12 **Figure 6.** Postoperative open-mouth and lateral radiograph of cervical spine,
13 demonstrate proper alignment of cervical spine and placement of atlas laminar hooks
14 and axis pedicle screws.

15 **Figure 7.** Sagittal (a) coronal (b) and 3D – CT reconstruction (c) of cervical spine – 36
16 months after surgery, demonstrate fusion across C1-C2.

17 **Figure 8.** Alternative surgical construct combines C1 hooks and C2 pedicle screws
18 united with connecting rods. a) Anteroposterior view b) lateral view c) superior and right
19 rotated view.

1 **Table 1.** Literature review. Surgical treatment Grisel's syndrome

AUTHOR	STUDY DESIGN AND YEAR	# PATIENTS	AGE	PROCEDURE	FOLLOW -UP	COMPLICATIONS	FUSION RATE
Lee	Case series (2002)	6 (5 treated with arthrodesis)	Mean: 9 years	C1 - C2 sublaminar wirings with (range , 7 to 12)	18 months	None	No reporte d
Yamazaki M	Case report (2008)	1	26 years.	Occipitocervical fixation and wires	3 years	None	100%
Desai R	Case series (2010)	1 case secondary inflammation process	9 years	C1 lateral mass screws and C2 transpedicular screw and rods	23 months	None	100%
Pereira	Case report (2010)	1	66 years	C1-C2 transarticular and C1 lateral mass screws with vertical connecting rods	12 months	None	No reporte d

Accepted Manuscript