Solving nonlinear equations

Due: Tuesday, February 7, 11:59pm.

Push your assignment solutions to the appropriate GitHub Classroom repository. Submit the following for this assignment:

- A PDF document, typeset in LaTeX, that contains your solutions to questions 1(a), 1(b), 1(c), 1(g), 2(d) and 3(b).
- Files called NewtonRaphsonA2.py and bisectionA2.py, that contain the Python functions for performing Newton-Raphson iteration and the bisection method, respectively, as well as a file called Quest1.py that calls the functions defined in NewtonRaphsonA2.py and bisectionA2.py and plots the convergence properties of the two methods
- the plot, with labels, produced by Quest1.py
- A file called EulerChebA2.py that contains a Python function that performs Euler-Chebyshev iteration, as well as a file called Quest2.py that calls the Python functions defined in NewtonRaphsonA2.py and EulerChebA2.py and plots the convergence properties of the two methods
- the plot, with labels, produced by Quest2.py
- A file called Quest3.py that calls the function defined in NewtonRaphsonA2.py and plots the convergence properties of the problem described in Question 3.
- the plot, with labels, produced by Quest3.py

Make sure all files submitted include a comment line with your name and student number. Also, include comments with a brief description of the functionality, input and output arguments and usage of each function or script. Also, add some comments that explain what steps are taken. A SLACK channel for discussion on this assignment is available.

Question 1 25 marks

Consider the nonlinear equation

$$f(x) = e^{-x} + \cos(x+1) - 1 = 0$$

- (a) Use the Intermediate Value Theorem to show that the equation f(x) = 0 has at least one solution on the interval [0, 1].
- (b) Use Rolle's Theorem (which is a special case of the Mean Value Theorem) to show that the equation f(x) = 0 has at most one solution on the interval [0,1]. Hint: Use contradiction. That is, assume that there are two solutions, then show that f(x) cannot satisfy the conditions of the theorem.

Note: Part (a) and (b) together show that f(x) = 0 has exactly one solution in the interval [0, 1].

(c) Write out the Newton-Raphson iteration formula for finding the approximate solution of f(x) = 0, i.e. find the formula that gives $x^{(k+1)}$ as a function of $x^{(k)}$.

- (d) Write a Python function that computes the approximate solution of an equation f(x) = 0 in a given interval using bisection, and returns the approximation and an array that contains the current approximation, the approximate errors and residuals for all iterations. Use the bisectionA1.py code as a starter code. See further instructions on the output variables in the starter code. Hint: we've already done this in class.
- (e) Write a Python function that computes the approximate solution of an equation f(x) = 0 given an initial guess using the Newton-Raphson method, and returns the approximate solution and an array that contains the current approximation, the approximate errors and residuals for all iterations. Use the NewtonRaphsonA1.py code as a starter code. See further instructions on the output variables in the starter code.
- (f) Write a script (Python code) called Quest1.py that
 - uses your bisection code to find the approximate solution of the equation given above to a tolerance of less than 10^{-12} , with the appropriate starting interval.
 - uses your Newton-Raphson method code to find the approximate solution of the equation given above to a tolerance of less than 10⁻¹², with a starting guess of your choice.
 - plots the approximate errors for the bisection and Newton-Raphson methods versus the number of iterations on a semilogarithmic scale (log on the y axis). Hint: the bisection data should appear as a straight line. Make sure you label your plot appropriately.
- (g) Which method is better if you want to minimize the number of computations to find an approximate solution with this accuracy? Explain.

Question 2 25 marks

We saw that the Newton-Raphson method converges very fast for approximating solutions of f(x) = 0. It can be derived from a linear (tangent line) approximation of f(x) about the guess $x^{(k)}$, which involves computing f'(x). You might ask whether we could derive a method with even faster convergence if we also incorporated the second derivative f''(x). This can be done with the following iteration formula, which is sometimes referred to as the *Euler-Chebyshev method*:

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} - \frac{f}{f'} - \frac{f''}{2f'} \left(\frac{f}{f'}\right)^2$$

where $f = f(x^{(k)})$, $f' = f'(x^{(k)})$, and $f'' = f''(x^{(k)})$, i.e. the function and its first and second derivatives, respectively, evaluated at $x^{(k)}$. It can be shown that this method shows cubic convergence, i.e. errors decrease as the cube of the error on each iteration.

- (a) Using your Newton-Raphson Method code as a starting point, write a Python function that uses the Euler-Chebyshev method to find approximate solutions of f(x) = 0, given an initial guess. Hint: you will need to include an additional input that passes a Python function that computes f''(x). Use EulerCheb.py as a starter code.
- (b) Write a script (Python code) called Quest2.py that uses your code to approximate the solution of

$$f(x) = e^{-x} + \cos(x+1) - 1 = 0$$

to an error of less than 10^{-14} , with a starting guess of $x_0 = 1$.

(c) Also, in the script Quest2.py, compare your results to those obtained using your Newton-Raphson method code of Question 1, this time approximating the solution to an error of less than 10^{-14} , with the same starting guess as you used for the Euler-Chebyshev method. In particular, plot the approximate errors for the Euler-Chebyshev and Newton-Raphson methods versus the number of iterations on a semilogarithmic plot (the y axis should be in logarithmic scale). Make sure you label your plot appropriately.

(d) In this case, is the benefit of the Euler-Chebyshev method worth the extra work? Explain.

Question 3 25 marks

Consider the nonlinear equation

$$4x^4 - 8x^3 + 7x^2 - 3x + \frac{1}{2} = 0$$

- (a) Write a script (Python code) called Quest3.py that
 - uses your Newton-Raphson method code to find the approximate solution to the given equation to an error of less than 10^{-8} , with a starting guess of $x^{(0)} = 1$.
 - plot the approximate errors for Newton-Raphson's method versus the number of iterations on a semilogarithmic scale (log on the y axis). Make sure you label your plot appropriately.
- (b) Do the Newton-Raphson iterations converge quadratically (i.e. compare to the results found in Question 1)? What feature of the function do you think leads to the unexpected results. Hint: plot the function f(x) vs. x near the approximate solution.