

14. Internet Issues

14.1 The Effects of the Internet

- **Benefits:**
 - Easier access to information
 - Faster and simpler communication (individual/group)
 - Streamlined commercial transactions
 - Broad accessibility beyond privileged groups
- **Challenges:**

Different countries have different laws for:

- Defamation (false claims about people/organizations).
- Pornography.
- Political/religious comments.
- Incitement to racial hatred.
- Depiction of violence.

- **IT Context:**
 - Companies must comply with **cross-border laws** for hosted content
 - Social media algorithms may inadvertently promote harmful content → responsibility for IT professionals in content moderation
-

14.2 Internet Service Providers (ISPs)

- **Key Issue:** Liability for user-generated content
- **European Framework:** EC Directive 2000/31/EC → implemented in UK as Electronic Commerce Regulations 2002
 - Roles of ISPs:
 1. **Mere Conduit:** transmits data without modification, ISP not liable
 2. **Caching:** temporary storage for efficiency; ISP not liable if:
 - No modification
 - Complies with access/updating rules
 - Removes info after learning it was removed at source
 3. **Hosting:** stores customer data; ISP not liable if:
 - Customer acts independently
 - ISP unaware of unlawful activity or removes it promptly once aware
- **US Comparison:**
 - Broader ISP immunity, even for hosting
 - ISPs often **remove content to avoid risk**, potentially censoring lawful content
- **Anonymous/Pseudonymous Posts:**

- UK: ISP can release identity if legally required
 - US: Generally cannot release unless serious crimes
 - **IT Context:**
 - ISPs must implement **content management and removal workflows**
 - Systems should log complaints and removal actions for **legal compliance**
-

14.3 Modernising the Legislation

- **EU Digital Services Act (proposed):**
 - Transparency in advertising (targeted ads)
 - Combat disinformation and illegal content
 - **UK Online Safety Bill (proposed):**
 - Duty of care for social media/search engine providers
 - Proactive detection/removal of harmful content
 - Transparency of algorithms → prevent distress/harm
 - Regulation by Ofcom
 - **IT Context:**
 - IT professionals must **review content moderation, data use, advertising practices**
 - Systems need capability for **algorithm oversight, content filtering, and reporting**
-

14.4 The Law Across National Boundaries

14.4.1 Criminal Law

- **Extradition:**
 - Offender in Country B for crime in Country A → can be extradited if treaty exists and act is criminal in both countries
 - Some countries claim **extraterritorial jurisdiction** for serious crimes (e.g., child sexual abuse)
 - **IT Context:**
 - Publishing content legal in one country may be illegal elsewhere → risk if visiting that country
 - IT operations must **consider jurisdictional differences** for website content
-

14.4.2 Convention on Cybercrime

- **Covers:**
 - Internet crimes (copyright infringement, hacking, fraud)
 - Child sexual abuse imagery
 - Optional: incitement to racial/religious hatred

- **IT Context:**
 - Global IT operations must comply with international agreements if participating in these regions
 - Ratification delays → uneven enforcement across countries
-

14.4.3 Civil Law

- **Contracts:**
 - Multi-country contracts should **explicitly state governing law**
- **Intellectual Property:**
 - International agreements exist, enforcement can be difficult
 - Plaintiffs often choose jurisdiction **practically** based on assets and legal efficiency
- **Example:** ISP hosting user content in multiple countries
 - Legal action may be initiated in any relevant country
 - Practical enforcement often in country with ISP presence or sympathetic law
- **IT Context:**
 - IT professionals should **design systems with clear jurisdiction and compliance tracking**
 - For international users, ensure **T&Cs and content policies reflect applicable laws**
- **Trans-Tasman Proceedings Act 2010:**
 - Simplifies cross-country disputes between NZ and Australia

14.5 Defamation

- **Definition:**
 - Making **false statements** that:
 - Damage someone's reputation
 - Bring them into contempt
 - Cause others to dislike them
 - UK distinction:
 - **Slander** → spoken
 - **Libel** → written/recording (including emails, web pages)
- **Example Scenario:**
 - University student posts online that a referee is corrupt
 - Referee claims reputation damage → potential financial loss
 - Key issue: can the student or university be sued?
- **Key Legal Requirements (Defamation Act 2013):**
 - Claim must show **serious harm** (actual or likely financial loss)
 - Law modernized to prevent trivial claims
- **Who can be sued:**
 - **Author** of the defamatory statement
 - **Editor**
 - **Publisher**

- Website operators (e.g., university) may **not be liable** if not responsible for posting content
- **Possible Defences (simplified):**
 1. Defendant is **not the author/editor/publisher**
 2. Statement is **substantially true**
 3. Statement is a **clear opinion** with a basis
 4. Published in the **public interest**
 5. Website operator **not responsible** for posting (provided author not under operator's control)
 6. Published in a **peer-reviewed scientific/academic journal**
 7. Statement **protected by privilege** (e.g., court reports)
- **Website/ISP Responsibilities:**
 - Must have a **complaint handling process**
 - Respond to complaints promptly:
 - 48 hours to notify author (excluding non-working days)
 - Up to 5 days for author to respond
 - If no/insufficient response → **remove content**
 - Aim: prevent website operator from being held liable
- **International Issues:**
 - **US Law:** more favorable to authors/publishers (First Amendment)
 - Public figures must prove **malicious/reckless intent**
 - **Cross-border publication:**
 - UK resident defamed by US website → UK law may apply if ISP has **legal presence in UK**
 - US courts unlikely to enforce UK law
 - Example cases:
 - **Lalit Modi (2010):** tweeted defamatory allegation about Chris Cairns → UK court awarded £90,000 damages
 - **Justin Bieber (2020):** legal action against tweets → delayed, later dropped

14.7 SPAM

Definition

- According to the **UK Information Commissioner's Office (ICO):**

Spam = emails sent **without your knowledge or consent**, often for marketing purposes.
- Characteristics:
 - Sent to **large numbers of recipients** without checking interest
 - Often includes **dubious content:** e.g., Viagra, penis enlargement, porn, financial scams
 - Can be **irritating, offensive**, and may carry **viruses or malware**
- **Global trend:**
 - 2014 → 70% of global email traffic was spam
 - 2021 → reduced to 43% (Statista)
- **Impacts of spam:**

- Users may be defrauded or have accounts compromised
 - Important emails may be missed
 - Reduces **internet effectiveness** due to unnecessary load
-

Technical Measures Against Spam

- Close loopholes that allow spammers to **relay through others' computers**
 - **Machine learning** and algorithms to identify suspicious emails
 - **Virus detection** to reject infected emails
 - Maintain **stop lists** of known spam sources
 - Scan **URLs** in emails for malware
 - Caveats:
 - Requires **constant vigilance**
 - Risk of **false positives** → legitimate emails blocked
 - More suitable for **organizations** than individuals
 - Most email apps now include basic **spam filters**
-

14.7.1 European Legislation

Directive: Privacy and Electronic Communications Directive (2002/58/EC)

- Implemented in the UK via **PECR 2003** (with amendments up to 2018)
- Remains part of UK law post-Brexit

Key rules on unsolicited email:

1. Can only email **individuals** with prior **consent** (opt-in)
2. Must **provide sender's address** and a valid means to stop mailings
3. If email collected during sale of goods/services:
 - Can send direct marketing **only with easy opt-out**
4. **Definition of email:** includes text messages and social media direct messages

Consent Requirements (aligned with GDPR 2018):

- **Clear, explicit opt-in** required
- Pre-checked boxes = **not valid consent**
- Each type of communication should have **separate consent**

Enforcement:

- ICO responsible for penalties
- Max fine: **£500,000**

Weaknesses:

- Only effective for EU-origin spam
- Individuals must **take action against spammers**
- Enforcement is **time-consuming and often low-value**

Soft Opt-in Provision:

- Businesses can email **existing customers** about similar products/services
 - Conditions:
 - Must market **own goods/services**
 - Only **commercial marketing** (not political/charitable)
 - Must have collected data **directly** (cannot buy lists)
 - Must provide **opt-out** option at first contact and all future communications
-

14.7.2 Legislation in the USA

Act: CAN-SPAM Act 2003 (effective 2004)

- Key difference:
 - Legal to send spam **unless recipient objects**
 - Must include **valid return address** to opt-out
- Problems:
 - Users must respond to spam → **confirming valid email**
 - Responsibility is **on recipient** (unlike EU, where it's on sender)

Other provisions:

- Illegal to **forge routing information**
 - ISPs can **sue spammers**
 - Examples:
 - 2005: Microsoft vs. Robert Soloway → \$7.8 million judgment
 - Robert Braver (ISP) awarded \$10 million
 - 2008: Soloway sentenced to 47 months + \$700,000 for email fraud
-

14.7.3 Registration

Concept:

- UK & USA allow **telephone numbers to be registered** to block unsolicited calls
- This is **harder to replicate for email** because:
 - No billing records per email
 - Emails **cost same to send locally or globally**

- Spoofing and relaying make source **hard to trace**

Limitations of email opt-out:

- PECR soft opt-in allows **direct marketing to customers** who have purchased similar products
 - Cannot be used by:
 - Charities or political parties
 - Businesses using **bought-in contact lists**
 - Must always allow **opt-out**
-

Summary Points

- Spam = **unsolicited, often harmful emails**
- **Technical solutions** help but cannot fully stop spam
- EU: PECR + GDPR → sender must get consent
- USA: CAN-SPAM → recipient must object
- **Soft opt-in** allows some marketing to existing customers
- **Registration & blocking** easier for phones than email due to traceability issues

14.8 COOKIES AND USER TRACKING

Definition and Purpose

- **Cookies** = small pieces of data stored in a user's browser when visiting a website.
 - **Uses:**
 - **Login/session management** (e.g., remember user authentication)
 - **Analytics** (track site usage)
 - **Personalization** (track viewed products to target ads)
 - **Third-party cookies:**
 - Set by external providers
 - Track users across **different websites**
 - Used for **personalized advertising**
-

Regulatory Requirements

PECR (Privacy and Electronic Communications Regulations):

- Websites must **inform users** if cookies are being used
- Users must have the **option to decline** non-essential cookies
- Declining cookies may **limit functionality**, e.g., search history resets

GDPR (2018) impact:

- Cookies require **consent** as the legal basis for data processing
 - Consent must be:
 - **Explicit and obvious**
 - **Flexible:** users can choose which types of cookies to accept
 - Not pre-checked; users must **actively opt-in**
-

Types of Cookies

1. **Required cookies**
 - Essential for website operation
 - No choice for users; site cannot function without them
2. **Functional cookies**
 - Maintain website functionality (e.g., forms, preferences)
 - Users may choose to decline
3. **Performance cookies**
 - Track site usage for analytics (e.g., page visits, navigation)
 - Optional; provides insights for site improvement
4. **Advertising cookies**
 - Track users across websites
 - Used for personalized ads by **third-party providers**
 - Optional; impacts site revenue if declined

Key points:

- Authentication cookies **do not require consent**
 - Users can accept some cookies while declining others
 - Websites often provide **detailed consent lists** for hundreds of third-party trackers
 - Some dialogs combine **consent and legitimate interest**, but legal validity may vary
-

Challenges and Observations

- Users often click “**Accept All**” due to overwhelming choices
 - Difficult for average users to understand scope of tracking
 - GDPR penalties have motivated compliance
 - Cookies are **not the only tracking method**, but remain the most common
 - Legislation impacts any organization **handling EU/UK citizens’ data**
-

14.9 CONSUMER CONTRACTS REGULATIONS (2013)

Scope:

- Governs **distance selling** (internet, phone)
 - Replaced **2000 regulations**
 - Still applies in the UK post-Brexit
-

Supplier Information Requirements (Before Contract)

Schedule 2 Requirements:

- Supplier name and address
- Description of goods/services
- Total cost including **tax**
- Delivery charges and **method of delivery**
- Method of payment
- Customer **cancellation rights** and complaint handling
- Communication costs (e.g., premium-rate calls)
- Offer validity period
- Contract duration (if not one-off)

Presentation:

- Information must be **clear and understandable**
 - Can be provided **physically or digitally**
 - Supplier must **fulfill contract within 30 days** unless agreed otherwise
-

Right to Cancel

- Standard cancellation period: **14 days**
 - Goods: from delivery
 - Services: from contract agreement
- Extended cancellation period: up to **12 months** if supplier failed to provide required information
- Supplier must **reimburse within 14 days** of cancellation

Exceptions:

- Customised goods
 - Newspapers/magazines
 - Digital downloads (e.g., movies) once download starts
 - Protection against **pre-checked extras** (e.g., insurance cannot be automatically added)
-

Key Principles

- Ensures **consumer clarity** about purchase
- Prevents **hidden costs or unfair practices**
- Protects consumers in **online transactions** similar to offline rights
- Aligns with other online protections (cookies, email marketing, etc.)